Comment on the proposed conservation of the specific name of *Callidea lateralis* Guérin-Méneville, 1838 (currently *Lamprocoris lateralis*; Insecta, Heteroptera) (Case 3523; see BZN 67: 213–217)
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I agree completely with the four items listed under Paragraph 9 in Case 3523. Basically, *Lamprocoris lateralis* (Guérin-Méneville, 1838) has been used in many publications, including economic ones and even popular ones; *Lamprocoris obtusus* (Westwood, 1837) has not. Moreover, *Lamprocoris lateralis* in the revised sense is widespread as an economic species, and – under that name – has been redescribed and its biology described.

I was the editor of the Tsai & Rédei (2009) paper in *Zootaxa*, and we have briefly discussed this problem before. Again, I strongly support Rédei & Tsai’s arguments in Case 3523.

Comment on the proposed precedence of *Megaselia abdita* Schmitz, 1959 over *Aphiochaeta griseipennis* Santos Abreu, 1921 (currently *Megaselia griseipennis*; Diptera, PHORIDAE) (Case 3521; see BZN 67: 238–242)
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I would like to express my strong support for the request to conserve the specific name *Megaselia abdita* for the scuttle fly in question. Our laboratory is part of a small but growing research community using this species as a laboratory model for embryological studies. We are using quantitative approaches to study the processes involved in segmentation, heart and mechano-sensory bristle development in this species.

Renaming *Megaselia abdita* would lead to considerable confusion regarding the significant number of research papers already published on the subject.