



Evaluation of amino acid–inhibiting herbicide mixtures for hair fescue (*Festuca filiformis*) management in lowbush blueberry

Author: White, Scott N.

Source: Weed Technology, 36(4) : 553-560

Published By: Weed Science Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2022.55>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Research Article

Cite this article: White SN (2022) Evaluation of amino acid-inhibiting herbicide mixtures for hair fescue (*Festuca filiformis*) management in lowbush blueberry. *Weed Technol.* **36**: 553–560. doi: [10.1017/wet.2022.55](https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2022.55)

Received: 21 February 2022

Revised: 20 June 2022

Accepted: 3 July 2022

First published online: 11 July 2022

Associate Editor:

Peter J. Dittmar, University of Florida

Nomenclature:

Flazasulfuron; foramsulfuron; glufosinate; glyphosate; nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron; hair fescue; *Festuca filiformis* Pourr; FESTE; lowbush blueberry; *Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait

Keywords:

Amino acid-inhibiting herbicide; fall bearing year herbicide; fall nonbearing year herbicide; perennial grass; spring nonbearing year herbicide; tuft density

Author for correspondence:

Scott White, 50 Pictou Road, Truro, Nova Scotia, Canada B2N 5E3.
Email: scott.white@dal.ca

Evaluation of amino acid-inhibiting herbicide mixtures for hair fescue (*Festuca filiformis*) management in lowbush blueberry

Scott N. White 

Assistant Professor, Department of Plant, Food, and Environmental Sciences, Dalhousie University Faculty of Agriculture, Bible Hill, Nova Scotia, Canada

Abstract

Hair fescue is a perennial grass weed in lowbush blueberry fields that forms dense sods and reduces yield. As a result of natural tolerance or resistance of this grass to other currently registered herbicides growers rely on preemergence (PRE) applications of pronamide and postemergence (POST) applications of the Group 2 herbicides foramsulfuron and nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron for hair fescue management. This causes repeated application of Group 2 herbicides, which is compounded by the recent registration of flazasulfuron for POST suppression of hair fescue in lowbush blueberry. Mixtures of Group 2 herbicides with the amino acid-inhibiting herbicides glyphosate (Group 9) and glufosinate (Group 10), however, can improve weed control and may delay herbicide resistance development. This research used a factorial arrangement of Group 2 herbicides (none, foramsulfuron [35 g ai ha⁻¹], nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron [13 + 13 g ai ha⁻¹], flazasulfuron [50 g ai ha⁻¹]) and mixtures (none, with glyphosate [902 g ae ha⁻¹], and with glufosinate [750 g ai ha⁻¹]) to identify possible mixtures that improve weed control and delay resistance development. Herbicides were applied in spring nonbearing year, fall bearing year, and fall nonbearing year, with each application timing conducted as a separate experiment. Foramsulfuron and nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron were not effective as fall applications, and spring applications of these herbicides with glyphosate or glufosinate improved hair fescue suppression. Glyphosate and glufosinate were more effective as fall rather than spring applications. Flazasulfuron was effective across all application timings, although its mixture with glufosinate generally improved hair fescue suppression. Flazasulfuron + glufosinate is tentatively recommended as an effective mixture for management of spring nonbearing-year and fall bearing-year hair fescue in lowbush blueberry.

Introduction

Lowbush blueberries are produced on more than 67,000 ha in Canada and had a farm gate value of Can\$47.4 million in 2017 (Anonymous 2019). Commercial fields are established from native stands in which blueberry plants spread through underground rhizomes and eventually become the dominant plant species (Eaton 1994; Hall 1959; Yarborough and Bhowmik 1989). The crop is managed on a 2-yr cycle during which plants are pruned to ground level by flail mowing in the first year (nonbearing year) to stimulate new shoot growth and flower bud formation, and shoots flower and produce berries in the second year, or bearing year (Eaton et al. 2004). Lack of tillage and crop rotation promotes the occurrence of perennial weeds (Lyu et al. 2021; McCully et al. 1991), with perennial grasses becoming serious weeds due to natural tolerance or evolved resistance to several commonly used herbicides in lowbush blueberry (Burgess 2002; Jensen and Yarborough 2004; White 2019; Yarborough and Cote 2014).

Hair fescue is a tuft-forming perennial grass and is currently the fourth most common weed species in lowbush blueberry fields in Nova Scotia (Lyu et al. 2021). Tufts form dense sods that reduce lowbush blueberry yield (White 2019; Zhang 2017) and inhibit harvest. Hair fescue was traditionally managed in lowbush blueberry fields with hexazinone, terbacil, and pronamide (Jensen 1985; Jensen and Yarborough 2004; Sampson et al. 1990), though efficacy and economic viability of these herbicides has not remained consistent. Hexazinone no longer controls hair fescue (White 2019; Zhang 2017) due to suspected, but as-of-yet unconfirmed, resistance (Jensen and Yarborough 2004; Yarborough and Cote 2014). Terbacil kills hair fescue seedlings (White 2018), but efficacy on established populations of larger tufts is variable in Nova Scotia (White and Zhang 2021a; Zhang et al. 2018), and many growers no longer use this herbicide due to recent increases in product cost. Pronamide provides consistent control (>90%) of hair fescue (White 2019; White and Zhang 2020; White and Zhang 2021a) but costs >Can\$500.00 ha⁻¹, which limits routine use of this herbicide by growers. Hair fescue is also tolerant to sethoxydim and flazafop-p-butyl, the currently registered herbicides that inhibit acetyl-CoA carboxylase (White and Graham 2021), forcing most growers to rely on postemergence (POST) applications

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Weed Science Society of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



of foramsulfuron and nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron to suppress hair fescue (White and Kumar 2017; White and Zhang 2020; Zhang 2017).

Foramsulfuron and nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron are sulfonyl-urea herbicides that control weeds by inhibiting the enzyme acetolactate synthase (ALS), which is required for catalyzing the first step in the biosynthetic pathway for the branch-chain amino acids isoleucine, valine, and leucine (Kishore and Shah 1988; McCourt et al. 2006; Ray 1984; Rhodes et al. 1987; Zhou et al. 2007). The resulting lack of these amino acids results in protein deficiency and other deleterious effects that cause injury or death in susceptible plant species (Bestman et al. 1990; Gaston et al. 2003; Ray 1984; Rhodes et al. 1987). The ALS-inhibiting herbicides are among the only herbicides known to provide selective POST suppression or control of *Festuca* spp. (Derr 2012; Ferrel et al. 2004; Lycan and Hart 2004). This is further confirmed by recent confirmation of flazasulfuron efficacy on hair fescue (Zhang et al. 2018) and subsequent registration of this herbicide in lowbush blueberry. Exclusive use of ALS-inhibiting herbicides for weed management, however, poses significant risk for the evolution of herbicide-resistant weed biotypes (Beckie and Reboud 2009; Tranel and Wright 2002).

Herbicide resistance can be managed by chemical and non-chemical means (Norsworthy et al. 2012), with use of mixtures of multiple effective herbicide modes of action being an important tactic in cropping systems that rely on herbicides for management of important weed species (Beckie and Reboud 2009). Glyphosate and glufosinate are currently registered for use in lowbush blueberry and, like ALS-inhibiting herbicides, control weeds by inhibiting amino acid synthesis. Glyphosate inhibits the enzyme 5-enolpyruval-shikimate-3-phosphate synthetase (EPSPS), preventing formation of the aromatic amino acids phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan (Duke and Powles 2008), whereas glufosinate inhibits the enzyme glutamine synthetase, which is required to convert ammonium plus glutamate to glutamine (Gill and Eisenberg 2001; Siehl 1997). Mixtures of amino acid-inhibiting herbicides can improve control of some weed species (Kudsk and Mathiassen 2004), but this has not been evaluated on hair fescue in lowbush blueberry.

The objective of this research was to evaluate spring nonbearing-year, fall nonbearing-year, and fall bearing-year applications of foramsulfuron, nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron, and flazasulfuron alone and in mixture with glyphosate and glufosinate for hair fescue management and crop tolerance in lowbush blueberry fields.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Design

The experiment was arranged as a 4×3 factorial arrangement of Group 2 herbicide (as classified by the Weed Science Society of America): none, foramsulfuron (Option 2.25 OD herbicide, Bayer CropScience, Calgary, AB, Canada), nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron (Ultim 75DF herbicide, Corteva Agriscience, Calgary, AB, Canada), flazasulfuron (Chikara herbicide, ISK Biosciences Corporation, Concord, OH, USA), and mixture (none, glyphosate [Roundup Weathermax herbicide, Monsanto Canada Inc., Winnipeg, MN, Canada], or glufosinate [Ignite herbicide, BASF Canada Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada]) arranged in a randomized complete block design with four blocks and $2\text{-m} \times 4\text{-m}$ plot size. Herbicides were applied in spring of the nonbearing year, fall of the nonbearing year, and fall of the bearing year, with each application

timing conducted as a separate experiment. Foramsulfuron, nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron, flazasulfuron, and glufosinate were applied at 35, 13 + 13, 50, and 750 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively. Glyphosate was applied at 902 g ae ha⁻¹. Foramsulfuron was applied in conjunction with 2.5 L ha⁻¹ of 28-0-0 liquid fertilizer adjuvant in both solitary and mixture applications. Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron and flazasulfuron were applied in conjunction with 0.2% vol/vol non-ionic surfactant in both solitary and mixture applications.

The spring nonbearing-year experiment was established in two nonbearing-year lowbush blueberry fields located near Camden (45.300157°N, 63.183758°W) and Collingwood (45.590865°N, 63.812052°W), Nova Scotia, Canada. Trials were established on May 16 and May 17, 2019, at Camden and Collingwood, respectively. Herbicides were applied on May 17, 2019, at each site, POST to vegetative (non-flowering) hair fescue tufts and premergence (PRE) to lowbush blueberry. Mean air temperature, relative humidity, and wind velocity at the time of herbicide applications were 11.6 C, 65.8%, and 2.4 km h⁻¹, respectively, at Camden, and 9.8 C, 75.2%, and 3.2 km h⁻¹, respectively, at Collingwood. The fall nonbearing-year experiment was established in two nonbearing-year lowbush blueberry fields located at North River (45.463923°N, 63.213010°W) and Earltown (45.605615°N, 63.183885°W), Nova Scotia. The trial was established at each site on October 22, 2020, and herbicides were applied at North River and Earltown on November 7, 2020, and November 20, 2020, respectively. Herbicide application timing was based on a 90% lowbush blueberry leaf drop threshold for fall glyphosate applications (Anonymous 2015), and mean lowbush blueberry percent leaf drop at the time of herbicide applications was 87% ± 2% and 99% ± 1% at North River and Earltown, respectively. Hair fescue was not exposed to herbicides prior to plot establishment and had therefore flowered during the summer and retained spent inflorescences by the time of fall nonbearing-year herbicide applications. Mean air temperature, relative humidity, and wind velocity at the time of herbicide applications were 8.9 C, 73%, and 3.2 km h⁻¹, respectively, at Earltown and 22 C, 78%, and 1.6 km h⁻¹, respectively, at North River. The fall bearing-year experiment was established in two bearing-year lowbush blueberry fields located at Camden (45.299551°N, 63.156692°W) and Greenfield (45.392551°N, 63.136464°W), Nova Scotia. Fields were pruned by flail mowing prior to trial establishment at each site, though hair fescue tufts retained green leaves after pruning because flail mowing does not cut plants completely to ground level. The trial was established at each site on October 24, 2019, and herbicides were applied at each site on October 29, 2019. Mean air temperature, relative humidity, and wind velocity at the time of herbicide applications were 14.4 C, 39%, and 1.8 km h⁻¹, respectively, at Camden and 14.2 C, 55%, and 3 km h⁻¹, respectively, at Greenfield. Herbicide treatments in all experiments were applied using a CO₂-pressurized research plot sprayer equipped with four HYPRO ULD 120-02 nozzles and calibrated to deliver 200 L water ha⁻¹ for each herbicide at a pressure of 276 kPa.

Data Collection

Nonbearing-year data collection for hair fescue in the spring nonbearing-year experiment included total tuft density at the time of herbicide applications, summer vegetative and flowering tuft density on June 26 and June 27, 2019, at Camden and Collingwood, respectively; tuft inflorescence number on July 3 and 4, 2019, at Camden and Collingwood, respectively; and fall total tuft density

on October 15 and September 25, 2019, at Camden and Collingwood, respectively. Bearing-year data collection for hair fescue in this experiment included vegetative and flower tuft density on June 24 and June 25, 2020, at Camden and Collingwood, respectively.

Data collection for hair fescue in the fall nonbearing-year experiment included total tuft density at the time of herbicide applications; bearing-year vegetative and flowering tuft density on June 15 and June 23, 2021, at North River and Earltown, respectively; and bearing-year tuft inflorescence number on July 15, 2021, at each site.

Nonbearing-year data collection for hair fescue in the fall bearing-year experiment included total tuft density at the time of herbicide applications; summer vegetative and flowering tuft density on June 22 and June 23, 2020, at Camden and Greenfield, respectively; tuft inflorescence number on July 7 and July 9, 2020, at Camden and Greenfield, respectively; and fall total tuft density on October 15, 2020, at each site. Bearing-year data collection for hair fescue in this experiment included vegetative and flower tuft density on June 9 and June 17, 2021, at Camden and Greenfield, respectively.

Data collection for lowbush blueberry in the spring nonbearing-year and fall bearing-year experiments included stem density, height, and flower bud number per stem in the nonbearing year and yield in the bearing year. Stem density was determined in the spring nonbearing-year experiment on July 24 and July 22, 2019, at Camden and Collingwood, respectively; and in the fall bearing-year experiment on August 18, 2020, at both Camden and Greenfield. Stem height and flower bud number per stem were determined in the spring nonbearing-year experiment on October 16 and October 2, 2019, at Camden and Collingwood, respectively; and in the fall bearing-year experiment on October 15, 2020, at both Camden and Greenfield. Yield in the spring nonbearing-year experiment was determined on August 13 and August 17, 2020, at Camden and Collingwood, respectively; and in the bearing-year experiment on August 4, 2021, at both Camden and Greenfield. Data collection for lowbush blueberry in the fall nonbearing-year experiment was limited to yield, which was determined on August 9, 2021, at both North River and Earltown.

Hair fescue tuft density was determined in two 1-m \times 1-m quadrats per plot and tuft inflorescence number was determined on 10 tufts per plot selected using a line transect method described by White and Kumar (2017). Lowbush blueberry stem density was determined in three 0.3-m \times 0.3-m quadrats per plot and stem height and flower bud number per stem were determined on 30 stems per plot selected using the line transect method indicated above. Lowbush blueberry yield was determined by hand raking all berries in two 1-m \times 1-m quadrats per plot. Quadrat and transect-based data were averaged in each plot for use in the final analysis. Objective data were also supplemented with subjective visual injury ratings of herbicide injury on hair fescue and lowbush blueberry using a 0 to 100 scale where 0 = no injury and 100 = complete plant death. Ratings were determined based on chlorosis, necrosis, and reduced growth of both hair fescue and lowbush blueberry and were always conducted by the author to ensure consistency.

Statistical Analysis

The significance of Group 2 herbicide, mixture, and the Group 2 herbicide \times mixture interaction on all hair fescue and lowbush blueberry response variables was determined using ANOVA in the MIXED procedure of SAS software (Statistical Analysis

System, version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Main and interaction effects were modeled as fixed effects in the analysis, and blocks were modeled as a random effect. Main and interactive effects were considered significant at $\alpha = 0.05$. Assumptions of normality and constant variance for all analyses were assessed using the UNIVARIATE procedure in SAS, and data were LOG(Y+1) or SQRT(Y+1) transformed where necessary to achieve normality and constant variance. Means separation, where necessary, was conducted using a Tukey's test at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

Hair Fescue Response to Herbicide Treatments

Significance of main and interactive effects of site varied across hair fescue response variables, but there was no site by Group 2 by mixture interaction effect on any hair fescue response variables in any experiment ($P \geq 0.05$). Hair fescue response variables were therefore pooled across sites for analysis within each experiment. There was a significant Group 2 effect on all hair fescue response variables in each experiment, and a significant mixture effect on all hair fescue response variables except summer total tuft density in the spring nonbearing-year experiment (Table 1). There was also a significant Group 2 by mixture interaction effect on nonbearing-year flower tuft density, tuft inflorescence number, and fall total tuft density; bearing-year total tuft density in the spring nonbearing-year experiment; all hair fescue response variables in the fall nonbearing-year experiment; and all nonbearing-year response variables except fall total tuft density in the fall bearing-year experiment (Table 1).

Flazasulfuron-based treatments were generally the most effective on hair fescue across all application timings (Tables 2, 3, and 4). Spring nonbearing-year flazasulfuron applications significantly reduced all nonbearing-year and bearing-year hair fescue response variables relative to no herbicide applications and provided greater hair fescue suppression than foramsulfuron and nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron (Table 2). Flazasulfuron was also more effective than foramsulfuron in previous research (Zhang et al. 2018), but this is the first report of superior efficacy relative to nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron, glyphosate, and glufosinate. Fall applications of flazasulfuron were also effective with fall nonbearing-year flazasulfuron applications resulting in significantly reduced bearing-year total tuft density, flower tuft density, and tuft inflorescence number relative to no herbicide applications (Table 3) and fall bearing-year flazasulfuron applications significantly reducing all nonbearing-year hair fescue response variables and bearing-year flowering tuft density relative to no herbicide applications (Table 4). Zhang et al. (2018) reported similar efficacy of fall nonbearing-year flazasulfuron applications, and collectively these results suggest that flazasulfuron is effective as both a spring nonbearing year, fall nonbearing year, and fall bearing-year application on hair fescue.

Spring nonbearing-year, fall nonbearing-year, and fall bearing-year flazasulfuron mixtures with glyphosate generally did not improve hair fescue control relative to flazasulfuron alone (Tables 2, 3, and 4). Spring nonbearing-year flazasulfuron mixtures with glufosinate, however, gave greater reductions in nonbearing-year fall total tuft density than flazasulfuron alone and significantly reduced bearing-year total and flower tuft density relative to no herbicide applications (Table 2). This mixture also tended to provide the greatest reductions in all hair fescue response variables relative to the nontreated control and most other treatments (Table 2), suggesting that spring nonbearing-year applications of

Table 1. Effect of Group 2 herbicide, mixture, and the Group 2 herbicide by mixture interaction on nonbearing and bearing-year hair fescue response variables.

Experiment ^a	Effect	Nonbearing year				Bearing year		
		Summer total tuft density	Flower tuft density	Tuft inflorescence number	Fall total tuft density	Total tuft density	Flower tuft density	Tuft inflorescence number
Spring nonbearing year	Group 2 ^b	<0.0001 ^d	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	- ^e
	Mixture ^c	0.3030	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0002	0.0005	-
	Group 2 by mixture	0.1640	<0.0001	0.0401	0.0002	0.0427	0.0719	-
Fall nonbearing year	Group 2	-	-	-	-	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
	Mixture	-	-	-	-	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
	Group 2 by mixture	-	-	-	-	0.0037	<0.0001	<0.0001
Fall bearing year	Group 2	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	-
	Mixture	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	-
	Group 2 by mixture	0.0026	<0.0001	0.0003	0.1127	0.3703	0.5415	-

^aSpring nonbearing year herbicides were applied postemergence (POST) to hair fescue and preemergence (PRE) to lowbush blueberry on May 17, 2019, at Camden and Collingwood. Fall nonbearing-year herbicides were applied POST to hair fescue and lowbush blueberry but after approximately 90% lowbush blueberry leaf drop. Herbicides were applied on November 7, 2020, and November 20, 2020, at North River and Earltown, respectively. Fall bearing-year herbicides were applied after field pruning and POST to the retained hair fescue leaves on October 29, 2019, at Camden and Greenfield.

^bForamsulfuron, nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron, and flazasulfuron were applied at application rates of 35, 13 + 13, and 50 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively. Foramsulfuron was applied in conjunction with 28-0-0 UAN (urea ammonium nitrate) liquid nitrogen fertilizer at an application rate of 2.5 L ha⁻¹. Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron and flazasulfuron were applied in conjunction with 0.2% vol/vol non-ionic surfactant.

^cGlyphosate and glufosinate were applied at application rates of 902 g ae ha⁻¹ and 750 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively.

^dP-values obtained from an ANOVA using the MIXED procedure in SAS software. All data were pooled across sites within each experiment prior to analysis due to a nonsignificant site by Group 2 by mixture interaction effect. Values are considered significant at $\alpha = 0.05$.

^eResponse variable not assessed in experiment.

Table 2. Effect of spring nonbearing-year herbicides applied^a alone and in mixture on nonbearing-year and bearing-year hair fescue response variables in lowbush blueberry fields in Camden and Collingwood in 2019 (nonbearing year) and 2020 (bearing year).

Group 2 ^b	Mixture ^c	Nonbearing year				Bearing year	
		Summer total tuft density	Flower tuft density ^d	Tuft inflorescence number ^d	Fall total tuft density ^d	Total tuft density	Flower tuft density
		Tufts m ⁻²		Number per tuft		Tufts m ⁻²	
None	None	33 ± 4 a ^{ef}	18 a	11 a	42 a	41 ± 4 a	21 ± 2 a
None	Glyphosate	32 ± 4 ab	7 abcd	3 bcd	40 a	38 ± 4 ab	20 ± 2 a
None	Glufosinate	28 ± 4 abc	6 bcd	3 bcde	34 a	32 ± 4 abc	17 ± 2 ab
Foramsulfuron	None	21 ± 4 abcde	9 abc	5 abc	30 ab	32 ± 4 abc	16 ± 2 ab
Foramsulfuron	Glyphosate	29 ± 4 abc	3 de	3 cde	38 a	36 ± 4 ab	19 ± 2 a
Foramsulfuron	Glufosinate	30 ± 4 abc	5 cd	3 bcde	29 ab	34 ± 4 abc	18 ± 2 a
Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron	None	24 ± 4 abcd	13 ab	6 ab	32 a	35 ± 4 ab	17 ± 2 ab
Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron	Glyphosate	20 ± 4 abcde	1 ef	2 def	29 ab	32 ± 4 abc	18 ± 2 a
Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron	Glufosinate	18 ± 4 bcde	1 ef	2 def	29 ab	29 ± 4 bc	14 ± 2 ab
Flazasulfuron	None	13 ± 4 de	0 f	1 efg	16 b	22 ± 4 cd	10 ± 2 bc
Flazasulfuron	Glyphosate	16 ± 4 cde	0 f	1 fg	26 ab	27 ± 4 bc	15 ± 2 ab
Flazasulfuron	Glufosinate	9 ± 4 e	0 f	0 g	8 c	13 ± 4 d	5 ± 2 c

^aSpring nonbearing year herbicides were applied postemergence to hair fescue and preemergence to lowbush blueberry on May 17, 2019, at Camden and Collingwood.

^bForamsulfuron, nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron, and flazasulfuron were applied at application rates of 35, 13 + 13, and 50 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively. Foramsulfuron was applied in conjunction with 28-0-0 UAN (urea ammonium nitrate) liquid nitrogen fertilizer at an application rate of 2.5 L ha⁻¹. Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron and flazasulfuron were applied in conjunction with 0.2% vol/vol non-ionic surfactant.

^cGlyphosate and glufosinate were applied at application rates of 902 g ae ha⁻¹ and 750 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively.

^dData were LOG(Y+1) transformed prior to analysis to meet assumptions of the ANOVA. Geometric means determined using the MEANS procedure in SAS software are presented.

^eValues represent the mean ± SE.

^fMeans followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to a Tukey's multiple means comparison test at $\alpha = 0.05$.

this mixture provide effective hair fescue suppression for the entire 2-yr production cycle. Fall nonbearing-year flazasulfuron + glufosinate applications also gave greater reductions in total and

flowering tuft density relative to flazasulfuron and most other treatments (Table 3), further suggesting that this mixture is more effective than flazasulfuron alone. Fall bearing-year flazasulfuron

Table 3. Effect of fall nonbearing-year herbicides applied^a alone and in mixture on bearing-year hair fescue response variables in lowbush blueberry fields at North River and Earlton in 2021.^a

Group 2 ^b	Mixture ^c	Total tuft density ^d	Flower tuft density ^d	Tuft inflorescence number ^e
		Tufts m ⁻²		Number per tuft
None	None	40 a ^f	25 a	70 a
None	Glyphosate	19 bc	8 d	7 de
None	Glufosinate	31 ab	15 bc	23 bc
Foramsulfuron	None	39 a	24 ab	45 ab
Foramsulfuron	Glyphosate	21 bc	8 d	5 e
Foramsulfuron	Glufosinate	28 ab	16 abc	18 c
Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron	None	35 a	22 ab	57 ab
Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron	Glyphosate	19 bc	8 de	7 de
Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron	Glufosinate	28 ab	17 abc	24 bc
Flazasulfuron	None	22 bc	10 cd	13 de
Flazasulfuron	Glyphosate	13 cd	5 de	5 e
Flazasulfuron	Glufosinate	7 d	2 e	4 e

^aFall nonbearing-year herbicides were applied postemergence to hair fescue and lowbush blueberry but after approximately 90% lowbush blueberry leaf drop. Herbicides were applied on November 7, 2020, and November 20, 2020, at North River and Earlton, respectively.

^bForamsulfuron, nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron, and flazasulfuron were applied at application rates of 35, 13 + 13, and 50 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively. Foramsulfuron was applied in conjunction with 28-0-0 UAN (urea ammonium nitrate) liquid nitrogen fertilizer at an application rate of 2.5 L ha⁻¹. Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron and flazasulfuron were applied in conjunction with 0.2% vol/vol non-ionic surfactant.

^cGlyphosate and glufosinate were applied at application rates of 902 g ae ha⁻¹ and 750 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively.

^dData were SQRT(Y+1) transformed prior to analysis to meet assumptions of the ANOVA. Geometric means determined using the MEANS procedure in SAS software are presented.

^eData were LOG(Y+1) transformed prior to analysis to meet assumptions of the ANOVA. Geometric means determined using the MEANS procedure in SAS software are presented.

^fMeans followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to a Tukey's multiple means comparison test at $\alpha = 0.05$.

+ glufosinate mixtures, however, did not provide statistically significant reductions in nonbearing-year and bearing-year hair fescue response variables relative to flazasulfuron applications alone (Table 4), despite generally lower tuft density and flowering in the mixture treatments relative to flazasulfuron applications alone. Future research may therefore need to focus on comparison of the flazasulfuron treatments only and use increased replication to determine possible differences between mixtures and flazasulfuron applications alone.

Efficacy of other herbicides was limited or variable across application timings. Spring nonbearing-year glyphosate and glufosinate applications did not significantly reduce nonbearing-year summer or fall total tuft density relative to no herbicide applications but did significantly reduce flower tuft density and tuft inflorescence number (Table 2). Similar results were reported previously for spring nonbearing-year glufosinate applications on hair fescue (White 2019; White and Kumar 2017; White and Zhang 2021b) and spring glyphosate applications reduced inflorescence number but did not kill any of 56 fineleaf turf fescues (Askew et al. 2019). In contrast, fall nonbearing-year glyphosate applications significantly reduced bearing-year total tuft density and fall nonbearing-year glyphosate and glufosinate applications significantly reduced bearing-year flower tuft density and tuft inflorescence number relative to no herbicide applications (Table 3). Similarly, fall bearing-year glyphosate and glufosinate applications significantly reduced all nonbearing-year hair fescue response variables relative to no herbicide applications (Table 4), suggesting that fall applications of these herbicides are more effective on hair fescue than spring applications. Fall glufosinate applications gave more consistent hair fescue suppression than spring applications in previous research (White 2019; White and Zhang 2021b) and fall glyphosate applications were more effective than spring applications on red fescue (*Festuca rubra* L.; Comes et al. 1985), further suggesting that these herbicides should be applied in fall rather than spring for hair fescue management. Hair fescue is also a cool-season grass that requires vernalization to flower (White 2018). Tufts are therefore vegetative in fall and allocate resources to vegetative tillers, crowns,

and roots (Jensen et al. 2014; Livingston 1991; Prud'Homme et al. 1993), possibly increasing susceptibility to herbicides relative to spring when plants are bolting and allocating resources to flowering.

Spring nonbearing-year, fall nonbearing-year, and fall bearing-year applications of foramsulfuron and nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron did not significantly reduce any hair fescue response variables relative to no herbicide applications (Tables 2, 3, and 4). Application of these herbicides in spring nonbearing years gave inconsistent hair fescue suppression in previous research (White and Kumar 2017; Zhang 2017; Zhang et al. 2018), and these results further confirm this inconsistency. Foramsulfuron and nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron mixtures with glyphosate and glufosinate applied in spring nonbearing years, however, resulted in significantly reduced nonbearing-year flower tuft density and tuft inflorescence number relative to no herbicide applications (Table 2), with nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron + glufosinate also resulting in significantly reduced nonbearing-year summer total tuft density and bearing-year total tuft density relative to no herbicide applications (Table 2). Mixtures of foramsulfuron and nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron with glyphosate applied in spring also gave better control of annual ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*) than foramsulfuron, nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron, and glyphosate applications alone (Soltani et al. 2021), suggesting that mixtures of these herbicides may improve weed control. Application of foramsulfuron or nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron mixtures with glyphosate or glufosinate applied in the fall nonbearing year and fall bearing year gave similar reductions in tuft density and flowering as glyphosate and glufosinate applications alone (Tables 3 and 4), further indicating the limited efficacy of fall foramsulfuron and nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron applications on hair fescue.

Lowbush Blueberry Response to Herbicide Treatments

Significance of main and interactive effects of site varied across lowbush blueberry response variables, but there was no site by Group 2 by mixture interaction effect on lowbush blueberry stem

Table 4. Effect of fall bearing year herbicides applied^a alone and in mixture on nonbearing-year and bearing-year hair fescue response variables in lowbush blueberry fields at Camden and Greenfield in 2020 (nonbearing year) and 2021 (bearing year).^a

Group 2 ^b	Mixture ^c	Nonbearing year				Bearing year	
		Summer total tuft density	Flower tuft density ^d	Tuft inflorescence number ^e	Fall total tuft density	Total tuft density	Flower tuft density
		Tufts m ⁻²		Number per tuft	Tufts m ⁻²		
None	None	42 ± 3 ^f a ^g	27 a	13 a	46 ± 4 a	30 ± 3 a	24 ± 2 a
None	Glyphosate	10 ± 3 bc	3 b	3 b	23 ± 4 c	27 ± 3 a	18 ± 2 ab
None	Glufosinate	18 ± 3 b	3 b	3 b	27 ± 4 bc	26 ± 3 a	18 ± 2 ab
Foramsulfuron	None	36 ± 3 a	22 a	9 a	39 ± 4 ab	31 ± 3 a	25 ± 2 a
Foramsulfuron	Glyphosate	10 ± 3 bc	2 b	2 b	23 ± 4 c	19 ± 3 ab	13 ± 2 bc
Foramsulfuron	Glufosinate	15 ± 3 bc	2 b	3 b	25 ± 4 bc	28 ± 3 a	19 ± 2 ab
Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron	None	37 ± 3 a	23 a	10 a	45 ± 4 a	31 ± 3 a	25 ± 2 a
Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron	Glyphosate	11 ± 3 bc	4 b	4 b	19 ± 4 cde	22 ± 3 ab	16 ± 2 b
Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron	Glufosinate	14 ± 3 bc	3 b	2 b	22 ± 4 cd	22 ± 3 ab	15 ± 2 b
Flazasulfuron	None	10 ± 3 bc	1 b	2 b	14 ± 4 cde	19 ± 3 ab	12 ± 2 bc
Flazasulfuron	Glyphosate	3 ± 3 c	0 b	2 b	7 ± 4 de	12 ± 3 b	5 ± 2 c
Flazasulfuron	Glufosinate	1 ± 3 c	0 b	1 b	3 ± 4 e	11 ± 3 b	6 ± 2 c

^aFall bearing-year herbicides were applied after field pruning and postemergence to the retained hair fescue leaves on October 29, 2019, at Camden and Greenfield.

^bForamsulfuron, nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron, and flazasulfuron were applied at application rates of 35, 13 + 13, and 50 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively. Foramsulfuron was applied in conjunction with 28-0-0 UAN (urea ammonium nitrate) liquid nitrogen fertilizer at an application rate of 2.5 L ha⁻¹. Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron and flazasulfuron were applied in conjunction with 0.2% vol/vol non-ionic surfactant.

^cGlyphosate and glufosinate were applied at application rates of 902 g ae ha⁻¹ and 750 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively.

^dData were LOG(Y+1) transformed prior to analysis to meet assumptions of the ANOVA. Geometric means determined using the MEANS procedure in SAS software are presented.

^eData were SQRT(Y+1) transformed prior to analysis to meet assumptions of the ANOVA. Geometric means determined using the MEANS procedure in SAS software are presented.

^fValues represent the mean ± SE.

^gMeans followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to a Tukey's multiple means comparison test at $\alpha = 0.05$.

density, stem height, or flower bud number per stem in any experiment or on yield in the spring nonbearing-year and fall nonbearing-year experiments ($P \geq 0.1131$). These data were therefore pooled across sites for analysis. There was, however, a significant site by Group 2 by mixture interaction effect on lowbush blueberry yield in the fall bearing-year experiment ($P = 0.0012$) and these data were therefore analyzed separately across sites in this experiment.

There was no effect of Group 2, mixture, or the Group 2 by mixture interaction on lowbush blueberry stem height, flower bud number per stem, or yield in the spring nonbearing-year experiment (Table 5), with mean stem height, flower bud number per stem, and yield of 15.6 ± 0.3 cm, 4.2 ± 0.1 buds stem⁻¹, and $3,217 \pm 235$ kg ha⁻¹, respectively. There was, however, a significant Group 2 by mixture interaction effect on lowbush blueberry stem density (Table 5), with generally fewer stems in the glyphosate treatment relative to the other treatments (data not shown). Spring nonbearing-year glyphosate applications applied POST to red fescue but PRE to lowbush blueberry also reduced lowbush blueberry stem density (Sikoriya 2014), suggesting that glyphosate retention in the surface crop residue layer of lowbush blueberry fields may damage emerging lowbush blueberry stems. Glyphosate can remain in active form in crop residues left on the soil surface (Aslam et al. 2018), and lowbush blueberry growers should therefore use caution if considering spring nonbearing-year glyphosate applications for hair fescue management. It is unclear why hair fescue control (Table 2) failed to increase lowbush blueberry yield potential and yield in this experiment, but increases in these responses following spring nonbearing-year hair fescue suppression have been inconsistent in previous research (White 2019; White and Kumar 2017; White and Zhang 2021a; Zhang et al. 2018). Lowbush blueberry response to weed control can also take several years to manifest (Eaton 1994), and lack of statistical

differences in lowbush blueberry response variables is not uncommon in trials limited to a single production cycle.

There was a significant effect of mixture but not Group 2 or the Group 2 by mixture interaction on lowbush blueberry yield in the fall nonbearing-year experiment (Table 5). Yield data were therefore pooled across mixtures for analysis. There was a significant mixture effect on yield ($P < 0.0001$), with mean yield in the no mixture, glyphosate, and glufosinate treatments of $1,200 \pm 114$, 345 ± 114 , and 167 ± 114 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. Visual observance of injury to lowbush blueberry from fall nonbearing-year glyphosate and glufosinate applications ranged from 9% to 91% with glyphosate injury occurring primarily as stems with stunted, chlorotic leaf growth and limited fruit number, and glufosinate injury occurring primarily as blackened stems with very few leaves or fruit. This injury, combined with yield reductions, likely precludes use of fall nonbearing-year glyphosate and glufosinate applications despite possible benefits in terms of hair fescue suppression.

There was no Group 2, mixture, or Group 2 by mixture interaction effect on lowbush blueberry stem density or height in the fall bearing-year experiment (Table 5) with mean stem density and height of 506 ± 18 stems m⁻² and 19.6 ± 0.2 cm, respectively. There was, however, a significant Group 2, mixture, and Group 2 by mixture interaction effect on lowbush blueberry flower buds per stem (Table 5) with 35% to 50% more flower buds per stem in the flazasulfuron and the flazasulfuron + glufosinate treatments relative to the other herbicide treatments (Table 6). There was also a significant Group 2 and mixture effect on yield at Camden ($P \leq 0.0004$) and a significant Group 2 effect on yield at Greenfield ($P = 0.0002$). Application of flazasulfuron + glufosinate in the fall bearing-year experiment significantly increased yield relative to the nontreated control, glyphosate, foramsulfuron, and nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron treatments at Camden (Table 6). This yield increase reflects increased flower buds per stem in the

Table 5. Effect of Group 2 herbicide, mixture, and the Group 2 herbicide by mixture interaction on nonbearing-year and bearing-year lowbush blueberry response variables in spring nonbearing-year, fall nonbearing-year, and fall bearing-year evaluations of herbicides applied alone or in mixture.

Experiment ^a	Effect	Nonbearing year			Bearing year
		Stem density	Stem height	Flower buds per stem	Yield
Spring nonbearing year	Group 2 ^b	0.0844 ^d	0.7700	0.2185	0.2458
	Mixture ^c	0.5921	0.4832	0.0539	0.5951
	Group 2 by mixture	0.0216	0.1137	0.3006	0.9571
Fall nonbearing year	Group 2	— ^e	—	—	0.1835
	Mixture	—	—	—	<0.0001
	Group 2 by mixture	—	—	—	0.9824
Fall bearing year	Group 2	0.3516	0.5913	<0.0001	— ^f
	Mixture	0.1078	0.0618	0.0024	—
	Group 2 by mixture	0.0733	0.5772	0.0001	—

^aSpring nonbearing-year herbicides were applied postemergence (POST) to hair fescue and preemergence to lowbush blueberry on May 17, 2019, at Camden and Collingwood. Fall nonbearing-year herbicides were applied POST to hair fescue and lowbush blueberry but after approximately 90% lowbush blueberry leaf drop. Herbicides were applied on November 7, 2020, and November 20, 2020, at North River and Earlton, respectively. Fall bearing-year herbicides were applied after field pruning and POST to the retained hair fescue leaves on October 29, 2019, at Camden and Greenfield.

^bForamsulfuron, nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron, and flazasulfuron were applied at application rates of 35, 13 + 13, and 50 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively. Foramsulfuron was applied in conjunction with 28-0-0 UAN (urea ammonium nitrate) liquid nitrogen fertilizer at an application rate of 2.5 L ha⁻¹. Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron and flazasulfuron were applied in conjunction with 0.2% vol/vol non-ionic surfactant.

^cGlyphosate and glufosinate were applied at application rates of 902 g ae ha⁻¹ and 750 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively.

^dP-values obtained from an ANOVA using the MIXED procedure in SAS software. All data were pooled across sites within each experiment prior to analysis due to a nonsignificant site by Group 2 by mixture interaction effect unless otherwise indicated. Values are considered significant at $\alpha = 0.05$.

^eResponse variable not assessed in experiment.

^fData were analyzed separately across sites due to significant site by Group 2 by mixture interaction effect. Significance is discussed in the text.

Table 6. Effect of fall bearing-year herbicides applied^a alone and in mixture on nonbearing-year lowbush blueberry flower bud number per stem and bearing-year yield at lowbush blueberry fields in Camden and Greenfield in 2020 (nonbearing year) and 2021 (bearing year).^a

Group 2 ^b	Mixture ^c	Flower buds	Yield	
			Camden	Greenfield
		Buds per stem	kg ha ⁻¹	
None	None	2.1 ± 0.3 ^d b ^e	5,650 ± 1,043 bcd	2,475 ± 442 abc
None	Glyphosate	2.5 ± 0.3 b	5,525 ± 1,043 bcd	3,633 ± 442 abc
None	Glufosinate	3 ± 0.3 b	9,150 ± 1,043 abc	2,650 ± 442 abc
Foramsulfuron	None	2.3 ± 0.3 b	3,450 ± 1,043 d	2,775 ± 442 abc
Foramsulfuron	Glyphosate	3 ± 0.3 b	6,850 ± 1,043 abcd	2,450 ± 442 abc
Foramsulfuron	Glufosinate	2.9 ± 0.3 b	8,825 ± 1,043 abc	2,575 ± 442 abc
Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron	None	2.4 ± 0.3 b	4,775 ± 1,043 cd	2,300 ± 442 bc
Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron	Glyphosate	2.1 ± 0.3 b	6,800 ± 1,043 abcd	1,900 ± 442 c
Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron	Glufosinate	2.8 ± 0.3 b	6,425 ± 1,043 abcd	3,175 ± 442 abc
Flazasulfuron	None	4.6 ± 0.3 a	9,875 ± 1,043 ab	3,950 ± 442 ab
Flazasulfuron	Glyphosate	2.8 ± 0.3 b	9,100 ± 1,043 abc	3,575 ± 442 abc
Flazasulfuron	Glufosinate	4.3 ± 0.3 a	10,650 ± 1,043 a	4,325 ± 442 a

^aFall bearing-year herbicides were applied after field pruning and postemergence to the retained hair fescue leaves on October 29, 2019, at Camden and Greenfield.

^bForamsulfuron, nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron, and flazasulfuron were applied at application rates of 35, 13 + 13, and 50 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively. Foramsulfuron was applied in conjunction with 28-0-0 UAN (urea ammonium nitrate) liquid nitrogen fertilizer at an application rate of 2.5 L ha⁻¹. Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron and flazasulfuron were applied in conjunction with 0.2% vol/vol non-ionic surfactant.

^cGlyphosate and glufosinate were applied at application rates of 902 g ae ha⁻¹ and 750 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively.

^dValues represent the mean ± SE.

^eMeans followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to a Tukey's multiple means comparison test at $\alpha = 0.05$.

flazasulfuron treatments (Table 6) and indicates that control of hair fescue with fall flazasulfuron applications may cause greater yield response from lowbush blueberry than spring flazasulfuron applications. Yield was generally highest in the flazasulfuron + glufosinate treatment at Greenfield as well, though overall yields at this site were lower, and differences were less pronounced relative to those at the Camden location.

In conclusion, flazasulfuron-based herbicide treatments were the most effective on hair fescue. Applications of flazasulfuron in the spring nonbearing year, the fall nonbearing year, and the fall bearing year reduced hair fescue total and flowering tuft density, suggesting that this herbicide is effective as both a fall and spring treatment for hair fescue in lowbush blueberry. Flazasulfuron

mixtures with glyphosate gave similar levels of hair fescue control as flazasulfuron alone across all application timings. Flazasulfuron mixtures with glufosinate, however, tended to provide greater reductions in total tuft density than flazasulfuron applications alone. This mixture would improve herbicide resistance management by providing two unique sites of action relative to flazasulfuron applications alone and is tentatively recommended as an effective mixture for spring nonbearing-year and fall bearing-year hair fescue management in lowbush blueberry fields.

Acknowledgments. Thanks to Chesley Walsh, Kevin McNutt, Steven Parks, Dale Downey, Bill Cox, Bragg Lumber Company, and Purdy Resources for providing field sites for this research. I am grateful for the field assistance of Jianan

Lin, Lienna Hoeg, Vanessa Deveau, Hugh Lyu, Rakesh Menapati, Alicia Cattiaux-Fraser, and Janelle MacKeil. Funding for this research was provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC CRDPJ 500615), the Wild Blueberry Producers Association of Nova Scotia, Belchim Crop Protection Canada, and ISK BioSciences. No conflicts of interest have been declared.

References

- Anonymous (2015) Fall glyphosate use for lambkill control. Wild blueberry factsheet C.4.7.0. Fredericton: New Brunswick Department of Agriculture, Aquaculture, and Fisheries
- Anonymous (2019) Pages 1–3 in Crop profile for wild blueberry in Canada, 2017. Ottawa: Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Pest Management Center
- Askew SD, Askew WB, Goatley JM Jr (2019) Fineleaf fescue species and variety tolerance to glyphosate. *Weed Technol* 33:185–191
- Aslam S, Iqbal A, Lafolie F, Recous S, Benoit P, Garnier P (2018) Mulch of plant residues at the soil surface impact leaching and persistence of pesticides: a modelling study from soil columns. *J Contam Hydrol* 214:54–64
- Beckie HJ, Reboud X (2009) Selecting for weed resistance: herbicide rotation and mixture. *Weed Technol* 23:363–370
- Bestman HD, Devine MD, Vanden Born WH (1990) Herbicide chlorsulfuron decreases assimilate transport out of treated leaves of field pennycress (*Thlaspi arvense* L.) seedlings. *Plant Physiol* 93:1441–1448
- Burgess P (2002) Efficacy and crop phytotoxicity of several herbicides on commercial wild blueberries (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.) and introduced living mulches. M.Sc. thesis. Halifax: Dalhousie University. 57 pp
- Comes RD, Marquis LY, Kelley AD (1985) Differential response to glyphosate and growth patterns of red fescue (*Festuca rubra*). *J Aquat Plant Manag* 23:32–35
- Derr JF (2012) Broadleaf weed control with sulfonylurea herbicides in cool-season turfgrass. *Weed Technol* 26:582–586
- Duke SO, Powles SB (2008) Glyphosate: a once-in-a-century herbicide. *Pest Manag Sci* 64:319–325
- Eaton LJ (1994) Long-term effects of herbicide and fertilizers on lowbush blueberry growth and production. *Can J Plant Sci* 74:341–345
- Eaton LJ, Glen RW, Wyllie JD (2004) Efficient mowing for pruning wild blueberry fields. *Small Fruits Rev* 3:123–131
- Ferrel JT, Murphy T, Waltz C, Yelverton F (2004) Pages 92–98 in Sulfonylurea herbicides: how do different turfgrasses tolerate them? *Turfgrass Trends*. March 2004
- Gaston S, Ribas-Carbo M, Busquets S, Berry JA, Zabalza A, Royuela M (2003) Changes in mitochondrial electron partitioning in response to herbicides inhibiting branched-chain amino acid biosynthesis in soybean. *Plant Physiol* 133:1351–1359
- Gill HS, Eisenberg D (2001) The crystal structure of phosphinothricin in the active site of glutamate synthetase illuminates the mechanism of enzymatic inhibition. *Biochemistry* 40:1903–1912
- Hall IV (1959) Plant populations in blueberry stands developed from abandoned hayfields and woodlots. *Ecology* 40:742–743
- Jensen KIN (1985) Tolerance and residues of hexazinone in lowbush blueberries. *Can J Plant Sci* 65:223–227
- Jensen KIN, Yarrow DE (2004) An overview of weed management in the wild lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium*) fields. *Small Fruits Rev* 3:229–255
- Jensen KB, Harrison P, Chatterton NJ, Bushman BS, Creech JE (2014) Seasonal trends in non-structural carbohydrates in cool- and warm-season grasses. *Crop Sci* 54:2328–2340
- Kishore GM, Shah DM (1988) Amino acid biosynthesis inhibitors as herbicides. *Ann Rev Biochem* 57:627–663
- Kudsk P, Mathiasen SK (2004) Joint action of amino acid biosynthesis-inhibiting herbicides. *Weed Res* 44:313–322
- Livingston DP III (1991) Nonstructural carbohydrate accumulation in winter oat crowns before and during cold hardening. *Crop Sci* 31:751–755
- Lycan DW, Hart SE (2004) Relative tolerance of four cool-season turfgrass species to sulfosulfuron. *Weed Technol* 18:977–981
- Lyu H, McLean N, McKenzie-Gopsill A, White SN (2021) Weed survey of Nova Scotia lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.) fields. *Int J Fruit Sci* 21:359–378
- McCourt JA, Pang SS, King-Scott J, Guddat LW, Duggleby RG (2006) Herbicide-binding sites revealed in the structure of plant acetohydroxyacid synthase. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 103:569–573
- McCully KV, Sampson MG, Watson AK (1991) Weed survey of Nova Scotia lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium*) fields. *Weed Sci* 39:180–185
- Norsworthy JK, Ward SM, Shaw DR, Llewellyn RS, Nichols RL, Webster TM, Bradley KW, Frisvold G, Powles SB, Burgos NR, Witt WW, Barret M (2012) Reducing the risks of herbicide resistance: best management practices and recommendations. *Weed Sci* 60(SP1):31–62
- Prud'Homme MP, Gastal F, Belanger G, Boucad J (1993) Temperature effects on partitioning of ^{14}C assimilates in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea* Schreb.). *New Phytol* 123:255–261
- Ray TB (1984) Site of action of chlorsulfuron. *Plant Physiol* 75:827–831
- Rhodes D, Hogan AL, Deal L, Jamieson GC, Haworth P (1987) Amino acid metabolism in *Lemna minor* L. II. Responses to chlorsulfuron. *Plant Physiol* 84:775–780
- Sampson MG, McCully KV, Sampson DL (1990) Pages 213–215 in Weeds of eastern Canadian blueberry fields. Truro: Nova Scotia Agricultural College
- Siehl DL (1997) Inhibitors of EPSP synthase, glutamine synthetase and histidine synthesis. Pages 37–67 in Roe RM, Burton JD, Kuhr RJ, eds. *Herbicide activity: toxicology, biochemistry, and molecular biology*. Amsterdam: IOS Press
- Sikoriya SK (2014) Phenological study and management of red fescue (*Festuca rubra*). M.Sc. thesis. Halifax: Dalhousie University. 106 pp
- Soltani N, Shropshire C, Sikkema PH (2021) Annual ryegrass control prior to seeding corn with glyphosate plus group 2 herbicides. *Agron J* 113:52–60
- Tranel PJ, Wright TR (2002) Resistance of weeds to ALS-inhibiting herbicides: what have we learned? *Weed Sci* 50:700–712
- White SN (2018) Determination of *Festuca filiformis* seedbank characteristics, seedling emergence and herbicide susceptibility to aid management in lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium*). *Weed Res* 58:112–120
- White SN (2019) Evaluation of herbicides for hair fescue (*Festuca filiformis*) management and potential seedbank reduction in lowbush blueberry. *Weed Technol* 33:840–846
- White SN, Graham G (2021) Clethodim suppresses hair fescue in lowbush blueberry. *Can J Plant Sci* 101:508–516
- White SN, Kumar SK (2017) Potential role of sequential glufosinate and foramsulfuron applications for management of fescue (*Festuca* spp.) in wild blueberry. *Weed Technol* 31:100–110
- White SN, Zhang L (2020) Fall non-bearing year herbicides and spring non-bearing year foramsulfuron applications for hair fescue management in lowbush blueberry. *HortTechnology* 30:670–676
- White SN, Zhang L (2021a) Evaluation of terbacil-based herbicide treatments for hair fescue (*Festuca filiformis*) management in lowbush blueberry. *Weed Technol* 35:485–491
- White SN, Zhang L (2021b) Effects of fall bearing-year glufosinate applications, spring nonbearing-year glufosinate applications, and spring nonbearing-year foramsulfuron applications on hair fescue (*Festuca filiformis*) in lowbush blueberry. *Weed Technol* 35:330–337
- Yarrow DE, Bhowmik PC (1989) Effect of hexazinone on weed populations and on lowbush blueberry in Maine. *Acta Hort* 241:344–349
- Yarrow DE, Cote JD (2014) Pre- and post-emergence applications of herbicides for control of resistant fine-leaf sheep fescue in wild blueberry fields in Maine. *Proceedings of the North American Blueberry Research and Extension Workers Conference*, Atlantic City, NJ, June 23–26, 2014. doi:10.7281/T3DJ5H9G
- Zhang L (2017) Management of perennial grasses in wild blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium*) fields. M.Sc. thesis. Halifax: Dalhousie University. 173 pp
- Zhang L, White SN, Olson AR, Pruski K (2018) Evaluation of flazasulfuron for hair fescue (*Festuca filiformis*) suppression and wild blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.) tolerance. *Can J Plant Sci* 98:1293–1303
- Zhou Q, Liu W, Zhang Y, Liu K (2007) Action mechanisms of acetolactate synthase-inhibiting herbicides. *Pest Biochem Physiol* 89:89–96