

Prey consumption and functional response of Neoseiulus californicus and Neoseiulus longispinosus (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on Tetranychus urticae and Tetranychus kanzawai (Acari: Tetranychidae)

Authors: Song, Zi-Wei, Zheng, Yuan, Zhang, Bao-Xin, and Li, Dun-

Song

Source: Systematic and Applied Acarology, 21(7): 936-946

Published By: Systematic and Applied Acarology Society

URL: https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.21.7.7

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Article

Prey consumption and functional response of *Neoseiulus* californicus and *Neoseiulus* longispinosus (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on *Tetranychus urticae* and *Tetranychus kanzawai* (Acari: Tetranychidae)

ZI-WEI SONG¹, YUAN ZHENG¹, BAO-XIN ZHANG¹ & DUN-SONG LI^{1,2}

- ¹ Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of High Technology for Plant Protection/Plant Protection Research Institute, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, 7 Jinying Road, Tianhe District, Guangzhou, 510640, China
- ² Corresponding author: dsli@gdppri.cn

Abstract

Tetranychus urticae Koch and Tetranychus kanzawai Kishida are important pest mites of various crops of economic importance around the world. Prey consumption and functional responses of two species of phytoseiid mites on these two spider mites were evaluated at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, $65 \pm 10\%$ RH in the artificial climatic chamber with a photoperiod of 16 h light:8 h dark. The functional response of both Neoseiulus species was type II on three immature stages (egg, larva and protonymph) of Tetranychus species. The value of attack rate coefficients (α) of N. californicus to each stage of Tetranychus was greater than N. longispinosus, and the shortest handling time (T_h) was obtained on larvae followed by nymphs and eggs. The maximum attack rate (T/T_h) on eggs, larvae and nymphs of T. urticae was estimated to be 11.87, 37.23 and 26.95 for N. californicus, and 18.43, 28.98 and 20.67 for N. longispinosus; the maximum attack rate (T/T_h) on eggs, larvae and nymphs of T. kanzawai was estimated to be 11.90, 42.97 and 39.60 for N. californicus, and 24.15, 31.60 and 24.45 for N. longispinosus. When different densities of prey were offered to the predators, more prey was consumed at higher prey densities, and interaction between prey stage and prey density was significant for N. californicus, but not significant for N. longispinosus. The ability of N. californicus preying on larvae and nymphs of both Tetranychus species was significantly greater than N. longispinosus at high prey densities, but N. longispinosus consumed more eggs than N. californicus.

Key words: predatory capacity, two-spotted spider mite, red-mite, phytoseiid mites

Introduction

The two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch, is one of the most economically important pests on a wide range of crops around the world (Farazmand *et al.* 2012). Two forms are recognized in the two-spotted spider mite; one is the green form, which has green summer females, and other is the red form, which has reddish brown females (Goka *et al.* 1996). Both forms are distributed widely in China; the green form was named *T. urticae*, and the red form was named *T. cinnabarinus* (Boisduval) (Xie *et al.* 2006; Sun *et al.* 2012). The Kanzawai spider-mite, *T. kanzawai* Kishida is also red in color and similar to the red form of *T. urticae* in morphology and biology (Oku 2008; de Mendonca *et al.* 2011; Chen *et al.* 2014).

936 © Systematic & Applied Acarology Society

Neoseiulus californicus (McGregor) is one of the most efficient biological control agents of tetranychid mites. It is widely distributed and has been commercially used in various countries around the world (Gotoh et al. 2004; Canlas et al. 2006; McMurtry et al. 2013; Barbosa & de Moraes 2015). Neoseiulus longispinosus (Evans) is another efficient phytoseiid mite of large number of mite pests, and this species has primarily an Asian distribution (Zhang et al. 1998; Thongtab et al. 2001; Carrillo et al. 2012; Rahman et al. 2013).

During several surveys of pest mites in Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China, two *Tetranychus* species (*T. kanzawai* and *T. urticae*) and two *Neoseiulus* species (*N. californicus* and *N. longispinosus*) were found in the papaya field, in which the control of mite pests is usually carried out by spraying abamectin. In the first survey, only *T. kanzawai* and two phytoseiid mites were found. After two surveys, *T. kanzawai* disappeared, and only *T. urticae* was left (Song *et al.* 2013; 2014). In the first taxonomy of *Neoseiulus*, the *Neoseiulus* sp. was identified as *N. fallacis*, but in the following research Xu *et al.* (2013) classified this species to *N. californicus*; however there were some differences between Chinese specimens of *N. californicus* and the specimens collected from elsewhere (Xu *et al.* 2013; Lv *et al.* 2016).

Functional response is defined as the relationship between predation rates of a single predator at different densities of a prey per unit time (Farazmand *et al.* 2012). The functional response of phytoseiids is affected by a number of factors, such as different feeding history (Castagnoli & Simoni 1999), environmental temperature (Gotoh *et al.* 2004), different prey species (Escudero & Ferragut 2005) and the physical characteristics of host plant (Ahn *et al.* 2010). Functional response can show the efficiencies of studies and predation rates for predators and evaluate the ability of predators to regulate prey population (Xiao & Fadamiro 2010; Fathipour & Maleknia 2016).

In this study, we separately compared the functional response of *N. californicus* (Guangdong group) and *N. longispinosus* (an indigenous species) to two different *Tetranychus* species in the same conditions. The aim of this study was to see if the efficacies of *Neoseiulus* to the similar types of preys are different. The predation capacities of *N. californicus* and *N. longispinosus* to the *Tetranychus* are also compared in this study.

Material and methods

Prey Culture

Both species of *Tetranychus* (green form *T. urticae* and *T. kanzawai*) were originally collected from a papaya orchard in Nansha (22°42′N, 113°32′), Guangzhou, China in 2011, and reared on bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) leaf discs (rearing arena) at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, $65 \pm 10\%$ RH in an artificial climatic chamber with a photoperiod of 16 h light:8 h dark. The leaf discs were placed upside down on a water-saturated sponge, and the leaf edge was surrounded with strips of absorbent paper, in order to minimize the escape of individual mites and providing moisture. Every rearing unit was in a square plastic box (15cm × 15cm × 6.5cm) with a lid, which was holed and covered with fine nylon mesh to allow ventilation. The plastic boxes were filled with water until the water reached just below the leaf disc, and water was added every day.

Predator Source and Rearing

The colony of *N. californicus* and *N. longispinosus* were also collected together with *Tetranychus* in the same location. The predators were reared on the bean leaf disc filled with enough leaf mites (two *Tetranychus* species mixed). To obtain females predators of the same age for experiments, predator eggs were collected daily and transferred to a new arena with adequate leaf mites (two *Tetranychus* species mixed).

2016 SONG ET AL.: FUNCTIONAL RESPONSE OF NEOSEIULUS CALIFORNICUS & N. LONGISPINOSUS 937

General Experimental Conditions

The experimental unit consisted in a bean leaf disc (3.5cm diameter) placed upside down on a water-saturated sponge (3.5cm diameter). The leaf discs were surrounded with strips of absorbent paper in order to minimize the escape of individual mites and provide moisture. Individuals trapped in the wet paper surrounding the leaf discs were excluded from data analysis. Every four test units were treated as one test group, and each test group was in a square plastic box as the rearing box. The plastic boxes were filled with water until the water level was just below the leaf disc, and water was added every day. All experiments were conducted at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, $65 \pm 10\%$ RH and a photoperiod of 16 h light:8 h dark. Before each test, the female adults (emerged in 48h) were fertilized and starved for 24h.

Each mite was transferred with fine hair brush to experimental unit for both functional response experiments, and individuals injured during the transfer were excluded from the experiments.

Functional Response

Seven densities of eggs, larvae and nymphs (protonymph) (2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 eggs, larvae or nymphs) of *T. urticae* and *T. kanzawai* were offered separately to the adult female of *N. californicus* and *N. longispinosus*. Each treatment was utilized 16 replicates. After 24h, the number of prey eaten was determined by counting intact eggs and the carcasses of larvae or nymphs.

The different densities of prey eggs were obtained as follows: 1–25 adult females of *Tetranychus* from the stock culture were introduced onto bean leaf disc (experimental unit) and allowed to lay eggs for 10–24 h at the experimental conditions, and then the females were removed. The numbers of prey eggs were adjusted for each experiment by removing excess eggs with a fine brush.

For prey larvae and nymphs, enough adult females of *Tetranychus* from the stock culture were introduced onto bean leaf disc, and allowed to lay eggs for 10 h at the experimental conditions, and then the females were removed. The discs with eggs were put in an artificial climatic chamber for their development. As soon as the larvae or nymphs emerged; the number of prey (larvae and nymphs) was transferred to the experimental unit with a fine brush.

Data Analysis

The data on functional response were analyzed in two steps (Juliano 2001). First, the logistic regression of the proportion of prey consumed ($N_{\rm e}/N_0$) as a function of initial density (N_0) was used to determine the shape of the functional response. The data were fitted to a polynomial function that describes the relationship between the proportion of preys consumed and initial density:

$$N_e/N_0 = \exp(P_0 + P_1N_0 + P_2N_0^2 + P_3N_0^3)/[1 + \exp(P_0 + P_1N_0 + P_2N_0^2 + P_3N_0^3)]$$
 (1)

where (N_e/N_0) is the probability a prey will be consumed, and P_0 , P_1 , P_2 and P_3 are the maximum likelihood estimates as being intercept, linear, quadratic and cubic coefficients respectively (Xiao & Fadamiro 2010). The values of P_0 , P_1 , P_2 and P_3 were estimated by using a cubic mathematical function for curve estimation (Table 1). The type of functional response was determined by fitting data to the model (1). The sign of P_1 and P_2 were used to distinguish the shape of the curves. When the function is negative ($P_1 < 0$), the predator displays a Type II functional response that indicates the proportion of prey consumed declines monotonically with the initial number of prey. When a positive density-dependent result for the proportion of prey consumed ($P_1 > 0$ and $P_2 < 0$) is obtained, the predator displays a Type III functional response (Juliano 2001)

Second, the handling time and the attack rate coefficients of a type II response were estimated using the random predator equation:

$$N_{\rm e} = N_0 \{1 - \exp[\alpha (T_{\rm h} N_{\rm e} - T)]\}$$
 (2)

938 SYSTEMATIC & APPLIED ACAROLOGY VOL. 21

where $N_{\rm e}$ is the number of prey killed; N_0 is the initial number of prey; $T_{\rm h}$ is the handling time; and T is the total time available for predator. The data was analyzed using SAS software (SAS 2007). NLIN procedure in SAS was used to estimate the attack rate and the handling time parameters.

The effect of prey density on the daily consumption of *Neoseiulus* spp. was analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test (P<0.05) (SAS 2007).

Results

The percentage of prey consumed for each prey stage declined with increasing prey density (Figure 1), which means inverse density-dependence, and the logistic regression for all prey stages had a significant linear parameter $P_1 < 0$ and positive quadratic coefficient (P_2) of the proportion of preys consumed at each density versus each initial density of prey (Table 1). This suggests that the functional response of both *Neoseiulus* species was type II on both stages of *Tetranychus* species. Therefore, the "random-predator" equation (2) for Type II was used to estimate the attack rate coefficient (α) and the handling time (T_h) (Table 2).

The value of attack rate coefficients (α) of *N. californicus* to each stage of *Tetranychus* was greater than that of *N. longispinosus*. The shortest handling time (T_h) of each *Neoseiulus* species was obtained when feeding on larvae of *Tetranychus*, followed by nymphs, the handling time (T_h) when feeding the eggs was the longest. The maximum attack rate (T/T_h) on eggs, larvae and nymphs of *T. urticae* was estimated to be 11.87, 37.23 and 26.95 for *N. californicus*, and 18.43, 28.98 and 20.67 for *N. longispinosus*; the maximum attack rate (T/T_h) on eggs, larvae and nymphs of *T. kanzawai* was estimated to be 11.90, 42.97 and 39.60 for *N. californicus*, and 24.15, 31.60 and 24.45 for *N. longispinosus* (Table 2). In other words, when *Neoseiulus* spp. was with three stages of prey (eggs, larvae and nymphs), they tended to consume more larvae, followed by nymphs and eggs.

For *N. californicus*, when different densities of prey were offered to the predators, more prey was consumed at higher densities of prey and the interaction between prey stage and different density was significant (Table 3). In other words, with increasing density of prey, most larvae were consumed in comparison to nymphs and eggs. For *N. longispinosus*, when different densities of prey were offered to the predators, the interaction between prey stage and different density was not significant (Table 3); with increasing density of prey, three stages of *Tetranychus* were consumed equally. The data of prey consumption also showed that *N. californicus* attacked more larvae and nymphs per day compared to *N. longispinosus*, and *N. longispinosus* attacked more eggs per day than *N. californicus*.

Discussion

Consumption rate of the predators is generally inversely related to prey size (Kasap & Atlihan 2011) but in this study, consumption rate of *N. californicus* of *Tetranychus* larvae was the highest, followed by the nymphs, and the consumption rate of eggs is the lowest. This might be due to the fact that larvae and nymphs of *Tetranychus* fed in clusters which make them relatively easy to handle them. Li *et al.* (2014) also tested the consumption rate of Chinese *N. californicus* feeding on *T. cinnabarinus* at 25°C, and the results showed that the ability of *N. californicus* controlling three stages of *T. cinnabarinus* was also larvae>nymphs>eggs. For *N. longispinosus*, although the mean consumption of *N. longispinosus* at different densities of three stages of *Tetranychus* spp. was not significantly different, the data showed that *N. longispinosus* consumed more eggs than larvae and nymphs; *N. longispinosus* preferred eggs more. Blackwood *et al.* (2001) tested *N. longispinosus* for

2016 SONG ET AL: FUNCTIONAL RESPONSE OF NEOSEIULUS CALIFORNICUS & N. LONGISPINOSUS 939

prey preferences between eggs and larvae, and the results showed that *N. longispinosus* had strong preference for eggs.

TABLE 1. Maximum likelihood estimates from logistic regression of proportion of prey consumed as a function of initial prey densities by adults of *Neoseiulus californicus* and *N. longispinosus*.

Prey	Neoseiulus californicus		Neoseiulus longispinosus					
species	Stage	Parameters	Estimate (±SE)	χ^2	P	Estimate (±SE)	χ^2	P
	Egg	P_0	2.8425±0.2662	114.00	< 0.0001	1.7882±0.2230	64.33	< 0.0001
		P_1	-0.1555 ± 0.0191	66.10	< 0.0001	-0.0786 ± 0.0165	22.74	< 0.0001
		P_2	0.00174 ± 0.000357	23.82	< 0.0001	0.000635 ± 0.000311	4.18	0.0410
		P_3	$-6.51{\times}10^{\text{-}6}{\pm}1.758{\times}10^{\text{-}6}$	13.73	0.0002	$-1.877{\times}10^{\text{-}6}{\pm}1.537{\times}10^{\text{-}6}$	1.48	0.2235
	Larva	P_0	3.3110±0.3801	75.87	< 0.0001	0.3852 ± 0.1883	4.18	0.0408
		P_1	-0.0730 ± 0.0254	8.26	0.0040	-0.0556 ± 0.0151	13.52	0.0002
		P_2	0.000475 ± 0.00451	1.11	0.2923	0.000674 ± 0.000294	5.25	0.0220
ae		P_3	$-1.331{\times}10^{\text{-}6}{\pm}2.156{\times}10^{\text{-}6}$	0.38	0.5366	$-2.83{\times}10^{\text{-}6}{\pm}1.471{\times}10^{\text{-}6}$	3.70	0.0545
urtic		P_0	2.0207±0.2435	68.87	< 0.0001	-0.1889 ± 0.1917	0.97	0.3245
smy		P_1	-0.0593 ± 0.0175	11.53	0.0007	-0.0326 ± 0.0156	4.39	0.0361
Tetranychus urticae	Nymph	P_2	$0.000193 {\pm} 0.000324$	0.35	0.5514	0.000250 ± 0.000305	0.67	0.4127
Tet		P_3	$5.035{\times}10^{7}{\pm}1.589{\times}10^{6}$	0.10	0.7514	$-8.03{\times}10^{7}{\pm}1.531{\times}10^{6}$	0.27	0.6000
	Egg	P_0	2.8425 ± 0.2662	114.00	< 0.0001	2.1361±0.2395	79.53	< 0.0001
		P_1	-0.1555 ± 0.0191	66.10	< 0.0001	-0.0913 ± 0.0173	27.81	< 0.0001
		P_2	0.00174 ± 0.000357	23.82	< 0.0001	0.000786 ± 0.000323	5.93	0.0149
		P_3	$-6.51{\times}10^{\text{-}6}{\pm}1.758{\times}10^{\text{-}6}$	13.73	0.0002	$-2.28{\times}10^{\text{-}6}{\pm}1.586{\times}10^{\text{-}6}$	2.07	0.01503
	Larva	P_0	3.7338 ± 0.3885	92.39	< 0.0001	0.6134±0.1893	10.50	0.0012
		P_1	-0.1254±0.0253	24.66	< 0.0001	-0.0522 ± 0.0150	12.14	0.0005
Tetranychus kanzawai		P_2	0.00142 ± 0.000442	10.28	0.0013	0.000532 ± 0.000290	3.37	0.0665
		P_3	$-5.62{\times}10^{\text{-}6}{\pm}2.103{\times}10^{\text{-}6}$	7.14	0.0076	$-1.97{\times}10^{\text{-}6}{\pm}1.447{\times}10^{\text{-}6}$	1.86	0.1727
kanz	Nymph	P_0	3.2211±0.2987	116.29	< 0.0001	0.6896 ± 0.1908	13.06	0.0003
chus		P_1	-0.1545 ± 0.0203	57.78	< 0.0001	-0.0750 ± 0.0153	23.91	< 0.0001
ranye		P_2	0.00201 ± 0.000365	30.19	< 0.0001	0.000965 ± 0.000298	10.47	0.0012
Teth		P_3	$-8.3{\times}10^{\text{-}6}{\pm}1.763{\times}10^{\text{-}6}$	22.16	< 0.0001	$-4.13{\times}10^{\text{-}6}{\pm}1.493{\times}10^{\text{-}6}$	7.64	0.0057

The data from the logistic regression indicate that both N. californicus and N. longispinosus exhibited typical type II functional response on three immature stages of Tetranychus spp. Similar data were obtained for Chinese N. californicus (Qin & Li 2013; Li et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2014) and N. longispinosus (Zhang et al. 1998). Predators with higher searching efficiency (α) and lower handling time (T_h) are better agents, although predators exhibiting the Type III functional response are efficient biocontrol agents. Many of the predators that have been successfully released as biological control agents have shown the Type II functional response on their prey (Fathipour & Maleknia 2016).

In previous reports, *N. longispinosus* was considered to be oligophagous, specialized spider mite predators; and *N. californicus* was considered to be more polyphagous, generalist predators (Blackwood *et al.* 2001). However, Croft *et al.* (2004) suggested *N. californicus* should be the same type as *N. longispinosus*. In this manuscript, we showed that *N. californicus* was more effective

940 SYSTEMATIC & APPLIED ACAROLOGY VOL. 21

against *Tetranychus*'s larvae and nymphs than *N. longispinosus*, and *N. longispinosus* was more effective against *Tetranychus*'s eggs.

TABLE 2. Estimate (±SE) of instantaneous attack rate and handling time of *Neoseiulus californicus* and *N. longispinosus* feeding on different stages of *Tetranychus urticae* and *T. kanzawai*

		Neoseiulus californio	cus		
Prey species	Stage	$a (h^{-1})$	$T_{\rm h}\left({\rm h}\right)$	$T/T_{ m h}$	r^2
		0.1721±0.0408	2.0213±0.0679	11.87	0.948
	Egg	(0.0912 - 0.2530)	(1.8867 - 2.1559)		
		0.2503±0.0704	0.6446±0.0275	37.23	0.951
Tetranychus	Larva			31.23	0.931
urticae	Laiva	(0.1108–0.3897)	(0.5900–0.6992)		
		0.0960±0.0149	0.8905±0.0387	26.95	0.948
	Nymph	(0.0665 - 0.1255)	(0.8137 - 0.9673)		
	_	0.3285 ± 0.1322	2.0174±0.0671	11.90	0.948
	Egg	(0.0665 - 0.5906)	(1.8844 - 2.1504)		
		0.1229±0.0190	0.5585±0.0250	42.97	0.959
Tetranychus	Larva	(0.0853-0.1606)	(0.5089–0.6081)	12.57	0.555
kanzawai		(0.0033 0.1000)	(0.500) 0.0001)		
		0.0562 ± 0.0082	0.6061±0.0437	39.60	0.925
	Nymph	(0.0400 - 0.0725)	(0.5195 - 0.6926)		
•					
		Neoseiulus longispin			
Prey species	Stage	a (h-1)	$T_{\rm h}\left({\rm h}\right)$	$T/T_{ m h}$	r^2
Prey species		a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307	T _h (h) 1.3020±0.0698	T/T _h 18.43	r^2 0.900
Prey species	Stage Egg	a (h-1)	$T_{\rm h}\left({\rm h}\right)$		
		a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804)	T _h (h) 1.3020±0.0698 (1.1637–1.4403)	18.43	0.900
Tetranychus	Egg	a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804) 0.0257±0.0039	T _h (h) 1.3020±0.0698 (1.1637–1.4403) 0.8281±0.0867		
		a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804)	T _h (h) 1.3020±0.0698 (1.1637–1.4403)	18.43	0.900
Tetranychus	Egg	a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804) 0.0257±0.0039	T _h (h) 1.3020±0.0698 (1.1637–1.4403) 0.8281±0.0867	18.43	0.900
Tetranychus	Egg	a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804) 0.0257±0.0039 (0.0180–0.0335)	T _h (h) 1.3020±0.0698 (1.1637–1.4403) 0.8281±0.0867 (0.6563–0.9998)	18.43	0.900
Tetranychus	Egg Larva	a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804) 0.0257±0.0039 (0.0180–0.0335) 0.0225±0.0037 (0.0152–0.0298)	T _h (h) 1.3020±0.0698 (1.1637–1.4403) 0.8281±0.0867 (0.6563–0.9998) 1.1611±0.1162 (0.9307–1.3914)	18.43 28.98 20.67	0.900 0.883 0.865
Tetranychus	Egg Larva Nymph	a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804) 0.0257±0.0039 (0.0180–0.0335) 0.0225±0.0037 (0.0152–0.0298) 0.0772±0.0259	T _h (h) 1.3020±0.0698 (1.1637–1.4403) 0.8281±0.0867 (0.6563–0.9998) 1.1611±0.1162 (0.9307–1.3914) 0.9937±0.1033	18.43	0.900
Tetranychus	Egg Larva	a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804) 0.0257±0.0039 (0.0180–0.0335) 0.0225±0.0037 (0.0152–0.0298)	T _h (h) 1.3020±0.0698 (1.1637–1.4403) 0.8281±0.0867 (0.6563–0.9998) 1.1611±0.1162 (0.9307–1.3914)	18.43 28.98 20.67	0.900 0.883 0.865
Tetranychus urticae	Egg Larva Nymph	a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804) 0.0257±0.0039 (0.0180–0.0335) 0.0225±0.0037 (0.0152–0.0298) 0.0772±0.0259	T _h (h) 1.3020±0.0698 (1.1637–1.4403) 0.8281±0.0867 (0.6563–0.9998) 1.1611±0.1162 (0.9307–1.3914) 0.9937±0.1033	18.43 28.98 20.67	0.900 0.883 0.865
Tetranychus urticae Tetranychus	Egg Larva Nymph	a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804) 0.0257±0.0039 (0.0180–0.0335) 0.0225±0.0037 (0.0152–0.0298) 0.0772±0.0259 (0.0259–0.1285)	T _h (h) 1.3020±0.0698 (1.1637–1.4403) 0.8281±0.0867 (0.6563–0.9998) 1.1611±0.1162 (0.9307–1.3914) 0.9937±0.1033 (0.7890–1.1983)	18.43 28.98 20.67 24.15	0.900 0.883 0.865 0.764
Tetranychus urticae	Egg Larva Nymph Egg	a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804) 0.0257±0.0039 (0.0180–0.0335) 0.0225±0.0037 (0.0152–0.0298) 0.0772±0.0259 (0.0259–0.1285) 0.0301±0.0038 (0.0226–0.0376)	$T_{\rm h}$ (h) 1.3020 \pm 0.0698 (1.1637 $-$ 1.4403) 0.8281 \pm 0.0867 (0.6563 $-$ 0.9998) 1.1611 \pm 0.1162 (0.9307 $-$ 1.3914) 0.9937 \pm 0.1033 (0.7890 $-$ 1.1983) 0.7595 \pm 0.0625 (0.6356 $-$ 0.8835)	18.43 28.98 20.67 24.15 31.60	0.900 0.883 0.865 0.764 0.922
Tetranychus urticae Tetranychus	Egg Larva Nymph Egg Larva	a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804) 0.0257±0.0039 (0.0180–0.0335) 0.0225±0.0037 (0.0152–0.0298) 0.0772±0.0259 (0.0259–0.1285) 0.0301±0.0038 (0.0226–0.0376) 0.0281±0.0037	$T_{\rm h}$ (h) 1.3020 \pm 0.0698 (1.1637 $-$ 1.4403) 0.8281 \pm 0.0867 (0.6563 $-$ 0.9998) 1.1611 \pm 0.1162 (0.9307 $-$ 1.3914) 0.9937 \pm 0.1033 (0.7890 $-$ 1.1983) 0.7595 \pm 0.0625 (0.6356 $-$ 0.8835) 0.9817 \pm 0.0766	18.43 28.98 20.67 24.15	0.900 0.883 0.865 0.764
Tetranychus urticae Tetranychus	Egg Larva Nymph Egg	a (h ⁻¹) 0.1196±0.0307 (0.0588–0.1804) 0.0257±0.0039 (0.0180–0.0335) 0.0225±0.0037 (0.0152–0.0298) 0.0772±0.0259 (0.0259–0.1285) 0.0301±0.0038 (0.0226–0.0376)	$T_{\rm h}$ (h) 1.3020 \pm 0.0698 (1.1637 $-$ 1.4403) 0.8281 \pm 0.0867 (0.6563 $-$ 0.9998) 1.1611 \pm 0.1162 (0.9307 $-$ 1.3914) 0.9937 \pm 0.1033 (0.7890 $-$ 1.1983) 0.7595 \pm 0.0625 (0.6356 $-$ 0.8835)	18.43 28.98 20.67 24.15 31.60	0.900 0.883 0.865 0.764 0.922

Notes: The values are presented as mean \pm SE. The values in parentheses represent 95% confidence intervals. a, attack rate; T_h , handling time; T/T_h , maximum attack rate.

Comparing the mean daily consumption of *Neoseiulus* spp. at different densities of different stages of *Tetranychus urticae* and *T. kanzawai*, more *T. kanzawai* larvae and nymphs were consumed by *Neoseiulus* spp, although the differences were not significant after analyzed by ANOVA followed by Tukey's test. In the draft of this paper, we analyzed the data by Duncan's Multiple Range Test, and the results showed that the mean daily consumption of *Neoseiulus* spp. at the higher densities of

T. urticae and *T. kanzawai* were significantly different. This showed that the Tukey's test was more appropriate and precise for multiple comparisons in this paper.

TABLE 3. Mean (\pm SE) daily consumption of *Neoseiulus californicus* and *N. longispinosus* at different densities of different stages of *Tetranychus urticae* and *T. kanzawai*

Duari amanina	Prey		Larva		
Prey species	density	N. californicus	N. longispinosus	N. californicus	
	2	$1.38 \pm 0.22 f AB$	1.69±0.15 e A	$1.56 \pm 0.18 \text{ eAB}$	
	4	$3.06 \pm 0.31 \text{ f ABC}$	2.13 ± 0.34 e BCD	$3.63 \pm 0.18 \text{ de A}$	
T	8	7.19±0.29 e A	$6.50 \pm 0.42 \text{ de A}$	$7.81 \pm 0.14 \text{ d A}$	
Tetranychus urticae	16	$9.69 \pm 0.33 \text{ cd C}$	$12.69 \pm 0.46 \text{ bcd B}$	15.38±0.29 c A	
urneue	32	$9.75 \pm 0.57 \text{ cd CD}$	$13.25 \pm 1.09 \text{ bcd C}$	$24.94 \pm 0.93 \text{ b A}$	
	64	11.25 ± 0.42 abc C	$16.00 \pm 1.43 \text{ b BC}$	$35.94 \pm 1.65 \text{ a A}$	
	128	$12.19 \pm 0.96 \text{ ab D}$	18.31 ± 1.70 ab CD	$33.19 \pm 2.57 \text{ a A}$	
	2	$1.63 \pm 0.18 f A$	$1.69 \pm 0.15 \text{ e A}$	$1.63 \pm 0.15 \text{ e A}$	
	4	$3.50 \pm 0.18 f AB$	$2.19 \pm 0.32 e C$	$3.63 \pm 0.15 \text{ de A}$	
	8	$7.56 \pm 0.16 \text{ de A}$	7.19 ± 0.33 cde A	$7.88 \pm 0.09 \text{ d A}$	
Tetranychus kanzawai	16	$10.38 \pm 0.58 \text{ bc C}$	$13.13 \pm 0.66 \text{ bcd AB}$	15.00±0.56 c A	
kanzawai	32	11.75 ± 0.43 abc CD	$13.94 \pm 0.85 \text{ bc BC}$	$22.56 \pm 0.89 \mathrm{b} \mathrm{A}$	
	64	$10.00 \pm 0.37 \text{ bc D}$	$16.56 \pm 1.22 \text{ b C}$	32.88 ± 1.56 a A	
	128	12.81±1.05 a D	$24.19 \pm 4.62 \text{ a BC}$	$37.88 \pm 2.38~a~A$	

Prove species	Prey	Larva	Nymph	
Prey species	density	N. longispinosus	N. californicus	N. longispinosus
	2	0.88±0.18 i B	$1.56 \pm 0.18 h AB$	1.00±0.18 h AB
	4	$2.06\pm0.23~\mathrm{hi}~\mathrm{CD}$	$3.25\pm0.28~\mathrm{gh~AB}$	$1.75 \pm 0.28 \text{ gh D}$
m	8	4.56 ± 0.48 ghi B	$7.00 \pm 0.32 \text{ g A}$	$2.88\pm0.40~\mathrm{fgh}~\mathrm{C}$
Tetranychus urticae	16	$6.50 \pm 0.49 \text{ fgh D}$	$12.25 \pm 0.89 \text{ ef B}$	$5.50 \pm 0.66 \mathrm{efg} \mathrm{D}$
urneue	32	9.81 ± 0.90 ef CD	$18.38 \pm 1.05 \text{ cd B}$	$8.69 \pm 0.99 \text{cde D}$
	64	$15.38 \pm 1.38 \text{ cd BC}$	$19.19 \pm 0.80 \text{ cd B}$	$12.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ bc C}$
	128	21.00 ± 2.00 ab BC	$26.25 \pm 1.49 \text{ b AB}$	15.88 ± 1.63 ab CD
	2	$1.06 \pm 0.17 \text{ i A}$	$1.75 \pm 0.14 h A$	$1.19 \pm 0.21 \text{ h A}$
	4	$2.13 \pm 0.30 \text{ hi C}$	$3.56\pm0.16~\mathrm{gh~AB}$	$2.56\pm0.33~\mathrm{fgh~BC}$
m	8	5.06 ± 0.38 ghi B	$7.44 \pm 0.20 \text{ fg A}$	$4.38\pm0.42~\mathrm{fgh~B}$
Tetranychus kanzawai	16	$7.69 \pm 0.45 \ \text{efg D}$	$12.63 \pm 0.54 \text{ e B}$	$6.56 \pm 0.41 \text{ def D}$
Kanzawai	32	11.25 ± 0.87 de CD	$16.19 \pm 0.64 \ de \ B$	$9.75 \pm 0.57 \text{ cd D}$
	64	$16.50 \pm 1.40 \text{ bc C}$	$22.5 \pm 1.47 \text{ bc B}$	$14.38 \pm 1.17 \text{ b CD}$
	128	23.56 ± 1.56 a BC	$32.88\pm2.63~a~AB$	$19.13 \pm 1.54 \text{ a CD}$

Notes: The means followed by different small letters in the table are significantly different (p<0.05, Tukey's test) in the same column, the values followed by different capital letters in the table are significantly different (p<0.05, Tukey's test) in the same line. The values are presented as mean \pm SE




FIGURE 1. Mean proportion (±SE) of prey consumed by adult females of *Neoseiulus californicus* and *N. longispinosus* at seven densities of three stages of *Tetranychus urticae* and *T. kanzawai*.

Phytoseiids are able to perceive chemical cues produced by their spider-mite prey; these chemical compounds involved were called "kairomones" (Sabelis & Van de Baan 1983; Sabelis & Dicke 1985; Dicke & Sabelis 1988; Dicke et al. 1990). Recent research shows that these chemical volatiles were produced by plants infected by herbivores; the volatiles emitted from the plant are called "HIPVs" (herbivore-induced plant volatiles) (Takabayashi et al. 1994; Shimoda et al. 2005; Nachappa et al. 2006; Dicke & Baldwin 2010; Dicke 2015). "HIPVs" comprise a complex mixture of tens up to more than 200 compounds, the composition of which may vary with herbivore species, herbivore developmental instar, plant tissue, and abiotic conditions (Dicke 2015). In this study, at the high density of each prey stage, N. californicus consumed more Tetranychus's larvae and nymphs than eggs, because the volatiles are concentrated at the lower epidermis of the leaves, which are exploited by the spider mites. The more prey on the leaf, the more HIPVs are released (Sabelis & Van de Baan 1983); the larvae and nymphs of spider mites damaged the leaf more directly than the eggs; N. californicus would sense more volatiles from leaves infested with Tetranychus larvae and nymphs. However, N. longispinosus consumed three stages of Tetranychus spp. equally, and the predatory ability of N. longispinosus was lower than the predatory ability of N. californicus; perhaps the abilities of sensing HIPVs between these two Neoseiulus spp. were different. N. californicus and N. longispinosus are all good candidates for the control of Tetranychus mites. To confirm the relationship between the predatory abilities and chemosensory abilities, the chemical sense capacities of these two *Neoseiulus* spp. to differently treated bean leaves should be measured by the olfactometer, then by SEM and TEM to confirm the composition and structure of the olfactory system.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported in part by a Grant-in-aid from the Science and Technology Program of the National Public Welfare Professional Fund (201103020) from the Ministry of Agriculture of China, a Grant-in-aid from PhD Start-up Fund (S2012040007007) from the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, and a Grant-in-aid from Science and Technology Project of Guangdong Province, China (the Construction of Green Management R&D Center for Crop Pests in Guangdong Province). We also thank Prof. Xiao-Yue Hong, Prof. Xue-Nong Xu and the anonymous reviewers for reviewing and giving constructive suggestions.

References

- Ahn, J.J., Kim, K.W. & Lee, J.H. (2010) Functional response of *Neoseiulus californicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) to *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae) on strawberry leaves. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 134, 98–104.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2009.01440.x
- Barbosa, M.F.C. & de Moraes, G.J. (2015) Evaluation of astigmatid mites as factitious food for rearing four predaceous phytoseiid mites (Acari: Astigmatina; Phytoseiidae). *Biological Control*, 91, 22–26. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2015.06.010
- Blackwood, J.S., Schausberger, P. & Croft, B.A. (2001) Prey-stage preferences in generalist and specialist phytoseiid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) when offered *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae) eggs and larvae. *Environmental Entomology*, 30, 1103–1111. http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-30.6.1103
- Canlas, L.J., Amano, H., Ochiai, N. & Takeda, M. (2006) Biology and predation of the Japanese strain of Neo-seiulus californicus (McGregor) (Acari: Phytoseiidae). Systematic & Applied Acarology, 11, 141–157. http://dx.doi.org/10.11158/saa.11.2.2
- Carrillo, D., Frank, J.H., Rodrigues, J.C. & Pena, J.E. (2012) A review of the natural enemies of the red palm mite, *Raoiella indica* (Acari: Tenuipalpidae). *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 57, 347–360. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10493-011-9499-4
- Castagnoli, M. & Simoni, S. (1999) Effect of long-term feeding history on functional and numerical response of *Neoseiulus californicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 23, 217–234. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1006066930638
- Chen, D.S., Jin, P.Y., Zhang, K.J., Ding, X.L., Yang, S.X., Ju, J.F., Zhao, J.Y. & Hong, X.Y. (2014) The complete mitochondrial genomes of six species of *Tetranychus* provide insights into the phylogeny and evolution of spider mites. *PLOS One*, 9, e110625. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110625
- Croft, B.A., Blackwood, J.S. & McMurtry, J.A. (2004) Classifying life-style types of phytoseiid mites: diagnostic traits. *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 33, 247–260. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:APPA.0000038622.26584.82
- de Mendonca, R.S., Navia, D., Diniz, I.R., Auger, P. & Navajas, M. (2011) A critical review on some closely related species of *Tetranychus* sensu stricto (Acari: Tetranychidae) in the public DNA sequences databases. *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 55, 1–23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10493-011-9453-5
- Dicke, M. (2015) Herbivore-induced plant volatiles as a rich source of information for arthropod predators: fundamental and applied aspects. *Journal of the Indian Institute of Science*, 95, 35–42.
- Dicke, M. & Baldwin, I.T. (2010) The evolutionary context for herbivore-induced plant volatiles: beyond the 'cry for help'. *Trends in Plant Science*, 15, 167–175. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2009.12.002
- Dicke, M. & Sabelis, M.W. (1988) How plants obtain predatory mites as bodyguards. *Netherlands Journal of Zoology*, 38, 148–165. http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/156854288X00111
- Dicke, M., van Beek, T.A., Posthumus, M.A., ben Dom, N., van Bokhoven, H. & de Groot, A. (1990) Isolation and indentification of volatile kairomone that affects acarine predator-prey interactions, involvement of

SYSTEMATIC & APPLIED ACAROLOGY VOL. 21

944

- host plant in its production. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 16, 381–396. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01021772
- Escudero, L.A. & Ferragut, F. (2005) Life-history of predatory mites *Neoseiulus californicus* and *Phytoseiulus persimilis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on four spider mite species as prey, with special reference to *Tetranychus evansi* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Biological Control*, 32, 378–384. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2004.12.010
- Farazmand, A., Fathipour, Y. & Kamali, K. (2012) Functional response and mutual interference of *Neoseiulus calfornicus* and *Typhlodromus bagdasarjani* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *International Journal of Acarology*, 38(5), 369–376. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01647954.2012.655310
- Fathipour, Y. & Maleknia, B. (2016). Mite Predators. In: Omkar (eds.), Ecofriendly Pest Management for Food Security. San Diego, USA, Elsevier, pp. 329–366. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-803265-7.00011-7
- Goka, K., Takafuji, A., Toda, S., Hamamura, T., Osakabe, M. & Komazaki, S. (1996) Genetic distinctness between two forms of *Tetranychus uritcae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae) detected by electrophoresis. *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 20, 683–693. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00051553
- Gotoh, T., Yamaguchi, K. & Mori, K. (2004) Effect of temperature on life history of the predatory mite *Amblyseius* (*Neoseiulus*) californicus (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 32, 15–30. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:APPA.0000018192.91930.49
- Juliano S.A. (2001) Nonlinear curve fitting: predation and functional response curves. *In*: Scheiner, S.M. & Gurevitch, J. (eds.) *Design and analysis of ecological experiments*. New York, Oxford University Press, pp. 178–196.
- Kasap, I. & Atlihan, R. (2011) Consumption rate and functional response of the predaceous mite *Kampimodromus aberrans* to two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* in the laboratory. *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 53, 253–261. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10493-010-9400-x
- Li, Q., Cui, Q., Jiang, C.X., Wang, H.J. & Yang, Q.F. (2014) Control efficacy of Chinese *Neoseiulus californicus* (McGregor) population on *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Boisduval). *Acta Phytophylacica Sinica*, 41, 257–262.
- Lv, J.L., Li, F.Q., Wu, C.Y., Zhang, J., Wang, G.R., Wang, E.D. & Xu, X.N. (2016) Molecular and biological characterization of *Neoseiulus* species from China. *Systematic & Applied Acarology*, 21, 356–366. http://dx.doi.org/10.11158/saa.21.3.10
- McMurtry, J.A., Moraes, G.J.D. & Sourassou, N.F. (2013) Revision of the lifestyles of phytoseiid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) and implications for biological control strategies. *Systematic & Applied Acarology*, 18, 297–320.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.11158/saa.18.4.1
- Nachappa, P., Margolies, D.C., Nechols, J.R. & Loughin, T. (2006) *Phytoseiulus persimilis* response to herbivore-induced plant volatiles as a function of mite-days. *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 40, 231–239. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10493-006-9043-0
- Oku, K. (2008) Is only the first mating effective for females in the Kanzawa spider mite, *Tetranychus kanzawai* (Acari: Tetranychidae)? *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 45, 53–57. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10493-008-9157-7
- Qin, G.Y. & Li, Q. (2013). Effect of temperature on predation of *Neoseiulus californicus* (McGregor) and starvation tolerance at high temperature. *Southwest China Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 26, 1034–1037.
- Rahman, V.J., Babu, A., Roobakkumar, A. & Perumalsamy, K. (2013) Life table and predation of *Neoseiulus longispinosus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on *Oligonychus coffeae* (Acari: Tetranychidae) infesting tea. *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 60, 229–240. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10493-012-9649-3
- Sabelis, M.W. & Dicke, M. (1985) Long-range dispersal and searching behaviour. In: Helle, W. & Sabelis, M.W. (eds.) Spider mites: their biology, natural enemies and control. Amsterdam, Elsevier, pp. 141–160.
- Sabelis, M.W. & Van de Baan, H.E. (1983) Location of distant spider mite colonies by phytoseiid predators: demonstration of specific kairomones emitted by *Tetranychus urticae* and *Panonychus ulmi. Entomologia Experimentalis & Applicata*, 33, 303–314. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.1983.tb03273.x
- SAS (2007) SAS/Stat. Software. Hangen and Enhanced, USA, SAS, Institute Incorporation.
- 2016 SONG ET AL: FUNCTIONAL RESPONSE OF NEOSEIULUS CALIFORNICUS & N. LONGISPINOSUS 945

- Shimoda, T., Ozawa, R., Sano, K., Yano, E. & Takabayashi, J. (2005) The involvement of volatile infochemicals from spider mites and from food-plants in prey location of the generalist predatory mite *Neoseiulus californicus*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 31, 2019–2032. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10886-005-6075-6
- Song, Z.W., Zhang, B.X., Deng, C.F. & Li, D.S. (2014) Sublethal effects of abamectin on the biological performance of *Neoseiulus fallacis* (Garman). *Chinese Journal of Biological Control*, 30, 460–465.
- Song, Z.W., Zhang, B.X., Li, D.S. & Zheng, Y. (2013) Effects of abamectin on the survival and development of *Neoseiulus fallacis* (Garman). *Chinese Journal of Biological Control*, 29, 349–353.
- Sun, J.T., Lian, C.L., Navajas, M. & Hong, X.Y. (2012) Microsatellites reveal a strong subdivision of genetic structure in Chinese populations of the mite *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae). *BMC Genetics*, 13, 8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-13-8
- Takabayashi, J., Dicke, M. & Posthumus, M.A. (1994) Volatile herbivore-induced terpenoids in plant-mite interactions: variation caused by biotic and abiotic factors. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 20, 1329–1354. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02059811
- Thongtab, T., Chandrapatya, A. & Baker, G.T. (2001) Biology and efficacy of the predatory mite, *Amblyseius longispinosus* (Evans) (Acari, Phytoseiidae) as a biological control agent of *Eotetranychus cendanai* Rimando (Acari, Tetranychiade). *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 125, 543–549. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0418.2001.00583.x
- Wang, X.D., Zhang, J.H., Huang, Y.Q., Yuan, X.P., He, M., Li, Q. & Zhao, Y.Y. (2014) Predation of *Neoseiulus californicus* on *Tetranychus truncate*. *Acta Agriculturae Boreali-occidentalis Sinica*, 23, 39–43. http://dx.doi.org/10.7606/j.issn.1004-1389.2014.02.008
- Xiao, Y. & Fadamiro, H.Y. (2010) Functional responses and prey-stage preferences of three species of predacious mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on citrus red mite, *Panonychus citri* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Biological Control*, 53, 345–352. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2010.03.001
- Xie, L., Miao, H. & Hong, X.-Y. (2006) The two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* Koch and the carmine spider mite *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Boisduval) in China mixed in their *Wolbachia* phylogenetic tree. *Zootaxa*, 1165, 33–46.
- Xu, X.N., Wang, B.M., Wang, E.D. & Zhang, Z.Q. (2013) Comments on the identity of *Neoseiulus californicus* sensu lato (Acari: Phytoseiidae) with a redescription of this species from southern China. *Systematic & Applied Acarology*, 18, 329–344. http://dx.doi.org/10.11158/saa.18.4.3
- Zhang, Y.X., Zhang, Z.Q., Lin, J.Z. & Liu, Q.Y. (1998) Predation of *Amblyseius longispinosus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on *Aponychus corpuzae* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Systematic & Applied Acarology*, 3, 53–58. http://dx.doi.org/10.11158/saa.3.1.8

Submitted: 19 Feb. 2016; accepted by Zhi-Qiang Zhang: 16 Apr. 2016; published: 3 Jun. 2016

VOL. 21