BioOne COMPLETE

Antihypertensive treatment with telmisartan in a cat with amlodipine-induced gingival hyperplasia

Authors: Desmet, Lien, and van der Meer, Jeroen

Source: Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery Open Reports, 3(2)

Published By: SAGE Publishing

URL: https://doi.org/10.1177/2055116917745236

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Feline-Medicine-and-Surgery-Open-Reports on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Case Report

jfms OPEN REPORTS

Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery Open Reports
1–5
© The Author(s) 2017
Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/2055116917745236
journals.sagepub.com/home/jfmsopenreports

This paper was handled and processed by the European Editorial Office (ISFM) for publication in *JFMS Open Reports*

SAGE

Antihypertensive treatment with telmisartan in a cat with amlodipine-induced gingival hyperplasia

Lien Desmet[1] and Jeroen van der Meer[2]

Abstract

Case summary Systemic arterial hypertension is commonly reported in middle-aged-to-older cats. Amlodipine is recommended as the initial antihypertensive drug in cats. In this case report, gingival hyperplasia secondary to the use of amlodipine in a cat is described. Benazepril as a monotherapy was unsuccessful in reducing blood pressure in this cat. After replacement of benazepril by telmisartan, gingival hyperplasia disappeared and blood pressure was well controlled.

Relevance and novel information This case report describes the first reported case of reversible gingival hyperplasia as a result of the treatment with amlodipine. It also contains the first published data on the effect of telmisartan in a hypertensive cat.

Accepted: 18 October 2016

Introduction

In cats, systemic arterial hypertension has been commonly described.[1] At the time of treatment of the described cat no antihypertensive drugs were licensed for this species. Therefore, amlodipine was commonly used off label for the reduction of blood pressure. Gingival hyperplasia is a well-known side effect of amlodipine in humans and dogs, but, to our knowledge, this side effect has not been described in cats. Telmisartan is licensed for the treatment of hypertension in humans and a dose-dependent reduction of blood pressure in cats is mentioned in the European Public Assessment Report (EPAR), a review of the registration study of telmisartan performed by the European Medicines Agency (Semintra; Boehringer Ingelheim). In this case report the effects of amlodipine, benazepril and telmisartan on hypertension in a cat with systemic hypertension are described. Gingival hyperplasia induced by amlodipine is also reported in this case.

Case description

A 15-year-old castrated male European Shorthair cat, without a history or clinical signs of hypertension or other disease, was presented for a routine senior check-up.

During the physical examination, a systolic apical murmur grade II/VI and a systolic blood pressure (SBP) of 215 mmHg, measured at the forelimb by a Doppler device (Model 811-B; Parks Medical Electronics), was established. Fundoscopy did not reveal any abnormalities. The results of the haematology and plasma biochemistry examination were all within the reference interval (RI; Table 1). A urine sample was collected by cystocentesis. The urine was minimally concentrated (specific gravity of 1.015); urinalysis, including urine protein: creatinine ratio and bacterial culture, revealed no abnormalities. The rest of the physical examination was unremarkable and body condition score was 5/9. Dental examination revealed no calculus or gingivitis.

[1]Dierenziekenhuis Rotterdam, The Netherlands
[2]Boehringer Ingelheim, Alkmaar, The Netherlands

Corresponding author:
Jeroen van der Meer DVM, Boehringer Ingelheim, Alkmaar, The Netherlands
Email: jeroen.van_der_meer@boehringer-ingelheim.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Feline-Medicine-and-Surgery-Open-Reports on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Table 1 Laboratory results of the initial examination

Test	Result	RI
TT4 (nmol/l)	24.5	10–60
Urea (mmol/l)	11.7	5.7–13.5
Creatinine (μmol/l)	130	<168
Sodium (mmol/l)	151	147–159
Potassium (mmol/l)	3.5	3.3–5.8
Inorganic phosphate (mmol/l)	1.0	0.8–2.2
Bilirubin (μmol/l)	3.6	<6.8
ALT (U/l)	120	<175
ALP (U/l)	36	<73
GGT (U/l)	<1	<5
AST (U/l)	104	<71
GLDH (U/l)	1	<11
Total protein (g/l)	81	59–87
Albumin (g/l)	34	27–44
Globulin (g/l)	47	29–54
Albumin:globulin ratio	0.71	>0.57
Glucose (mmol/l)	7.3	3.5–7.8
Cholesterol (mmol/l)	6.9	<8.5
Fructosamine (μmol/l)	328	190–365
CK (U/l)	306	<542
LDH (U/l)	178	<182
Calcium (mmol/l)	2.5	2.2–2.9
Magnesium (mmol/l)	0.8	0.6–1.1
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	0.3	0.2–4.9
Leukocytes (g/l)	12.5	6–11
Erythrocytes (t/l)	8.5	5–10
Haemoglobin (g/dl)	9.9	9–15
PCV (%)	33	28–45
MCV (fl)	39	40–55
MCH (pg)	12	13–17
MCHC (g/dl)	30	31–35
Thrombocytes (g/l)	535	150–550
Reticulocytes (relative) (%)	0.39	
Reticulocytes (absolute) (/μl)	33,000	
Basophils (relative) (%)	0	0–1
Eosinophils (relative) (%)	4	0–6
Segmented neutrophils (relative) (%)	81	50–75
Lymphocytes (relative) (%)	13	15–50
Monocytes (relative) (%)	1	0–4
Basophils (absolute) (/μl)	0	
Eosinophils (absolute) (/μl)	511	0–600
Segmented neutrophils (absolute) (/μl)	10,142	3000–11,000
Lymphocytes (absolute) (/μl)	1620	1000–6000
Monocytes (absolute) (/μl)	162	0–500

RI = reference interval; TT4 = total thyroxine; ALT = alanine transaminase; ALP = alkaline phosphatase; GGT = gamma glutamyl transpeptidase; AST = aspartate aminotransferase; GLDH = glutamate dehydrogenase; CK = creatine kinase; LDH = lactate dehydrogenase; PCV = packed cell volume; MCV = mean cell volume; MCH = mean cell haemoglobin; MCHC = mean cell haemoglobin concentration

Treatment for hypertension with amlodipine (Norvasc; Pfizer) was started at 2.5 mg q24h. This dose was higher than the 1.25 mg/kg normally used; why the attending veterinarian made this decision remains unknown. Three weeks later SBP was 165 mmHg (Table 2, Figure 1). Treatment with amlodipine was continued. Recheck blood pressure measurements were advised every 3 months.

Five months later a SBP of 160 mmHg was measured (Table 2, Figure 1). Mild gingival hyperplasia was identified; no treatment was initiated. The cat also showed signs of bilateral conjunctivitis, including bilateral dried-up ocular discharge. The Schirmer tear test (STT) was 7 mm/min for the left eye and 6 mm/min for the right eye (reference >10 mm/min).[2] Based on these findings a diagnosis of keratoconjunctivitis sicca (KCS) was made. The cat was treated with Optimmune (ciclosporin A 0.2%; MSD Animal Health) twice daily in both eyes. After 1 month of treatment the STT for the left eye was 7 mm/min and the STT for the right eye was 13 mm/min. The conjunctivitis had healed. Treatment with Optimmune was continued in the left eye twice daily and in the right eye once daily. No clinical abnormalities other than the development of mild gingival hyperplasia and KCS were seen or reported.

Two months later blood pressure was 180 mmHg and gingival hyperplasia had worsened. The KCS was well controlled: STT of the left and right eye were both 14 mm/min; treatment with Optimmune once daily in both eyes was continued.

To achieve better control of systemic hypertension benazepril (0.7mg/kg q24h [Benakor; AST Farma]), was added to amlodipine therapy. Five weeks later SBP decreased to 155 mmHg; therefore, therapy with amlodipine and benazepril was continued, and tear production was unchanged.

After 2 months blood pressure and presumed KCS were well controlled (SBP 150 mmHg) (STT left eye 10 mm/min; STT right eye 25 mm/min). Gradual progression of gingival hyperplasia continued and it was even visible with closed mouth. Because of this side effect the owner wanted to reduce treatment with amlodipine, owing to concerns that the gingival hyperplasia would cause discomfort. The dose of amlodipine was gradually reduced by 50% every 3 weeks while continuing benazepril. While decreasing the dose of amlodipine to 0.625 mg q24h, blood pressure was monitored and remained stable at a SBP of 160 mmHg (Table 2, Figure 1).

At the time when the dose of amlodipine was reduced to 0.625 mg the owner discontinued treatment with Optimmune. Despite discontinuing the Optimmmune, clinical signs of conjunctivitis did not recur and tear production remained adequate.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Feline-Medicine-and-Surgery-Open-Reports on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Table 2 Type and duration of treatment and systolic blood pressure (SBP)

Treatment	SBP	Treatment period since last BP measurement in days	Total treatment period in days
None	215		0
Amlodipine 2.5 mg q24h	165	21	21
Amlodipine 2.5 mg q24h	160	150	171
Amlodipine 2.5 mg q24h	180	60	231
Amlodipine 2.5 mg/kg and benazepril 0.7 mg/kg q24h	155	35	266
Amlodipine 2.5 mg/kg and benazepril 0.7 mg/kg q24h	150	60	326
Amlodipine 0.625 mg and benazepril 0.7 mg/kg q24h	160	42	368
Benazepril 0.7 mg/kg q24h	230	21	389
Telmisartan 1 mg/kg	220	7	396
Telmisartan 1 mg/kg	180	28	424
Telmisartan 2 mg/kg	170	35	459
Telmisartan 2 mg/kg	150	56	515

BP = blood pressure




Figure 1 Blood pressure over time with different treatments

Upon the owner's request, the amlodipine was discontinued and treatment with benazepril was continued. The possibility of an increase in blood pressure when stopping amlodipine was discussed with the owner.

Three weeks later SBP measured 230 mmHg (Table 2, Figure 1). The owner refused to restart amlodipine. Treatment with telmisartan (an angiotensin II receptor blocker) once daily at 1 mg/kg body weight was started (Semintra; Boehringer Ingelheim). Benazepril was discontinued 24 h before starting treatment with telmisartan.

One week and 4 weeks after starting therapy with telmisartan, SBP was 220 mmHg and 180 mmHg, respectively (Table 2, Figure 1). Since the antihypertensive effect of telmisartan is dose related (EPAR), the dose given was doubled after 4 weeks of treatment (2 mg/kg body weight) to try to achieve more pronounced control of hypertension. Five weeks later SBP was 170 mmHg (Table 2, Figure 1). At this point blood and urinalysis were repeated. The urine was minimally concentrated (1.017) and creatinine was mildly elevated (155 µmol/l). During the treatment with telmisartan, no other abnormalities were noticed and the gingival hyperplasia gradually decreased. Three months later gingival hyperplasia was almost completely dissolved. SBP had decreased further to 150 mmHg (Table 2, Figure 1). At the last check-up, 808 days after the first treatment with amlodipine, the gingival hyperplasia completely disappeared and SBP was 160 mmHg (Table 2).

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Feline-Medicine-and-Surgery-Open-Reports on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Discussion

In this case amlodipine therapy was started at a dose of 2.5 mg q24h, which is higher than the recommended dose (0.625–1.25 mg/cat q24h). Gingival hyperplasia associated with calcium channel blockers is known to be a dose-dependent adverse event in humans.[3] In cats, dosage may also be a contributing factor to gingival hyperplasia. Even though a reduction of amlodipine dose did not resolve the gingival hyperplasia in this case, it is possible that at a lower dose the side effect would not have appeared. The side effect only disappeared when the amlodipine was discontinued. Blood pressure monitoring was performed during a total period of 26 months.

Systemic hypertension in cats is most commonly secondary to an underlying cause. The differential diagnosis for systemic arterial hypertension in cats includes renal disease, hyperthyroidism, diabetes mellitus, hyperaldosteronism, hyperadrenocorticism, phaeochromocytoma, liver disease, chronic anaemia and primary or idiopathic hypertension. As the results of the haematology and biochemistry examination (including thyroxine, glucose, fructosamine, liver enzymes and haematocrit) were normal, it was possible to exclude diabetes mellitus, liver disease and anaemia. Occult hyperthyroidism is unlikely as total thyroxine was twice within the RI (24.5 nmol/l and 25.7 nmol/l, respectively [RI 10–60 nmol/l]).

While white coat hypertension also occurs in cats, in this case this was highly unlikely. The cat was persistently hypertensive prior to treatment and normotensive while receiving antihypertensive medications, making anxiety an unlikely cause of the hypertension.

The main differentials for the hypertension in this case are idiopathic or underlying chronic kidney disease (CKD).[4] The presence of minimally concentrated urine could be a result of underlying CKD or pressure diuresis from the hypertension. The progressive increase in creatinine similarly maybe due to underlying CKD or evidence of target organ damage due to the hypertension or an effect of the antihypertensive medication.

Both benazepril and telmisartan reduce glomerular capillary pressure, which can give a mild increase in creatinine concentration. The use of an angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor is rarely sufficient to significantly reduce blood pressure in cats with systemic hypertension.[5] However, an earlier study reported blood pressure returning to the normal range in 69% of cats treated with ramipril alone,[6] although this study lacked a placebo control group. In this case benazepril as a monotherapy was unsuccessful in reducing blood pressure.

Telmisartan is an angiotensin II receptor blocker (ARB) that is licensed for the reduction of proteinuria associated with CKD in cats. In humans, telmisartan is licensed to treat essential hypertension. The EPAR of Semintra describes a dose-dependent reduction of blood pressure. Theoretically, an ARB can reduce blood pressure in cats because it inhibits the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS), therefore reducing blood pressure. It is not known if all forms of feline hypertension are caused by RAAS activation.[7,8] In this case benazepril, which is also a RAAS-suppressing agent, was not able to reduce BP as a monotherapy. However, telmisartan did result in a dose-dependent reduction in blood pressure. The reason for this difference is unclear; it may be a result of the mode of action of the two drugs. Telmisartan selectively binds to the angiotensin II type 1 receptor and blocks the activity of angiotensin II (ANG II). Benazepril is an enzyme inhibitor that blocks the formation of ANG II by blocking ACE. One of the possible explanations for the apparent difference in efficacy between telmisartan and benazepril in this case is the ACE escape phenomenon that has been described in several species, including cats.[9–11] The formation of ANG II from angiotensin I is catalysed by ACE. There are different pathways/enzymes (eg, chymase) that can also catalyse the formation of ANG II. If this happens ACE is still reduced by the ACE inhibitor, but ANG II reverts to previous levels.

There were some limitations in this case. There was no diagnostic investigation for hyperaldosteronism. Hyperaldosteronism is an established cause of feline hypertension and should be ruled out before a diagnosis of idiopathic hypertension can be made. A grade II/VI systolic heart murmur was heard, but the owner declined echocardiographic examination. Because of the possible adverse consequences of hypertension, the owner elected to treat with antihypertensive medications and declined further diagnostic tests. The dose of amlodipine used in this case was higher than recommended; this might have contributed to the occurrence of gingival hyperplasia.

The cause of the KCS remains unknown. It may have been caused by a herpes infection, even though the cat had a good vaccination status, or it could have been a side effect of amlodipine. The work-up on the cause of this clinical sign was insufficient to make a clear diagnosis.

Conclusions

In this cat, telmisartan was an effective and safe alternative to amlodipine. It gave a sufficient reduction of SBP (230 mmHg before telmisartan, 150–160 mmHg on 2 mg/kg telmisartan) and during treatment the side effects of the treatment with amlodipine completely disappeared.

Based on this case report telmisartan might be a good alternative for amlodipine for the treatment of feline hypertension. Replacement of amlodipine with benazepril did not give sufficient blood pressure control. Further research of the use of telmisartan in feline hypertension is necessary to make further conclusions.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Feline-Medicine-and-Surgery-Open-Reports on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Conflict of interest Jeroen van der Meer is currently employed at Boehringer-Ingelheim, the registration holder of Semintra (telmisartan).

Funding The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1 Brown S, Atkins C, Bagley R, et al. **Guidelines for the identification, evaluation, and management of systemic hypertension in dogs and cats**. *J Vet Intern Med* 2007; 21: 542–558.
2 Sebbag L, Kass PH and Maggs DJ. **Reference values, intertest correlations, and test-retest repeatability of selected tear film tests in healthy cats**. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2015; 15: 426–435.
3 Kaur G, Verhamme KMC, Dieleman JP, et al. **Association between calcium channel blockers and gingival hyperplasia**. *J Clin Periodontol* 2010; 37: 625–630.
4 Taylor SS, Sparkes AH, Briscoe K, et al. **ISFM consensus guidelines on the diagnosis and management of hypertension in cats**. *J Feline Med Surg* 2017; 19: 288–303.
5 Jensen J, Henik RA, Brownfield M and Armstrong J. **Plasma renin activity and angiotensin I and aldosterone concentrations in cats with hypertension associated with chronic renal disease**. *Am J Vet Res* 1997; 58: 535–540.
6 Van Israel N, Desmoulins PO, Huyghe B, et al. **Ramipril as monotherapy for the treatment of feline hypertension**. *ECVIM Proceedings;* 2009 Sept 10–12; Porto.
7 Syme HM, Markwell PJ and Elliott J. **Aldosterone and plasma renin activity in cats with hypertension and/or chronic renal failure**. *J Vet Intern Med* 2002; 16: 354
8 Jensen J, Henik RA, Brownfield M and Armstrong J. **Plasma renin activity and angiotensin I and aldosterone concentrations in cats with hypertension associated with chronic renal disease**. *Am J Vet Res* 1997; 58: 535–540.
9 Aramaki Y, Uechi M and Takase K. **Angiotensin converting enzyme and chymase activity in the feline heart and serum**. *J Vet Med Sci* 2003; 65: 1115–1118.
10 Côté E, Macdonald KA, Meurs KM, et al. **Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy**. In: Côté E, Macdonald KA, Meurs KM, et al. (eds). Feline cardiology. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2011, p 158.
11 Macdonald KA, Kittleson MD, Larson RF, et al. **The effect of ramipril on left ventricular mass, myocardial fibrosis, diastolic function, and plasma neurohormones in Maine Coon cats with familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy without heart failure**. *J Vet Intern Med* 2006; 20: 1093–1105.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Feline-Medicine-and-Surgery-Open-Reports on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use