

## A Hit Where It Hurts

Author: Beardsley, Timothy M.

Source: BioScience, 55(9) : 723

Published By: American Institute of Biological Sciences

URL: [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2005\)055\[0723:AHWIH\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2005)055[0723:AHWIH]2.0.CO;2)

---

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](http://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

PUBLISHER  
Richard T. O'Grady

EDITOR IN CHIEF  
Timothy M. Beardsley

SENIOR EDITOR  
Donna Daniels Verdier

PRODUCTION MANAGER / ART DIRECTOR  
Herman Marshall

PUBLICATIONS ASSISTANT  
Jennifer A. Williams

**Editors:** Eye on Education: Susan Musante; Feature articles: Cathy Lundmark ([features@aibs.org](mailto:features@aibs.org)); Washington Watch: Robert E. Gropp ([publicpolicy@aibs.org](mailto:publicpolicy@aibs.org)).

**Editorial Associate:** Barbara J. Orton.

**Editorial Board:** Agriculture: Sonny Ramaswamy; Animal Behavior: Janice Moore; Animal Development: Paula Mabee; Botany: Gregory J. Anderson; Cell Biology: Randy Wayne; Ecology: Scott Collins, Daniel Simberloff; Ecotoxicology: Judith S. Weis; Education: Gordon E. Uno; Environmental Policy: Gordon Brown, J. Michael Scott; Genetics and Evolution: Martin Tracey; History and Philosophy: Richard M. Burian; Invertebrate Biology: Kirk Fitzhugh; Landscape Ecology: Monica Turner; Microbiology: Edna S. Kaneshiro; Molecular Biology: David Hillis; Neurobiology: Cole Gilbert; Plant Development: Cynthia S. Jones; Policy Forum: Eric A. Fischer; Population Biology: Ben Pierce; Professional Biologist: Jean Wyld; Sensing and Computation: Geoffrey M. Henebry; Statistics: E. Barry Moser; Vertebrate Biology: Harvey B. Lillywhite.

**Editorial Correspondence:** 1444 I Street, NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20005; 202-628-1500; fax: 202-628-1509; e-mail: [bioscience@aibs.org](mailto:bioscience@aibs.org). Instructions for preparing a manuscript for BioScience can be found at [www.aibs.org/bioscience/resources/Info\\_for\\_contribs.pdf](http://www.aibs.org/bioscience/resources/Info_for_contribs.pdf).

**Advertising:** Carrie Hartin, Network Publications, Inc., Executive Plaza 1, 11350 McCormick Road, Suite 900, Hunt Valley, MD 21031; 410-584-1972; fax: 410-584-1998; e-mail: [bioscience@networkpub.com](mailto:bioscience@networkpub.com).

**BioScience** (ISSN 0006-3568) is published monthly by the American Institute of Biological Sciences. To subscribe, call 1-800-992-2427, ext. 29. Individual membership: sustaining, \$90/yr; individual, \$70/yr; family, \$90/yr (includes \$36 for BioScience); emeritus, \$50/yr; K-12 teacher/administrator, \$45/yr (includes \$22 for BioScience); graduate and postdoctoral students, \$40/yr (includes \$21 for BioScience); undergraduate and K-12 students, \$20/yr (includes \$15 for BioScience); lifetime, \$1400 (one-time fee). Institutional subscriptions: domestic, \$280/yr; foreign, \$336/yr. Single copies: \$14 plus shipping and handling for up to 20 copies; volume discounts available for more than 20 (call 1-800-992-2427, ext. 29). Subscription renewal month is shown in the four-digit year-month code in the upper right corner of the mailing label.

© 2005 American Institute of Biological Sciences. All rights reserved. Periodical postage paid at Washington, DC, and additional mailing offices.

**POSTMASTER:** Send address changes to BioScience Circulation, AIBS, 1313 Dolley Madison Blvd., Suite 402, McLean, VA 22101. Printed in USA. AIBS authorizes photocopying for internal or personal use, provided the appropriate fee is paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; phone: 978-750-8400; fax: 978-750-4744; Web site: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com).

To photocopy articles for classroom use, request authorization, subject to conditions thereof, from the Academic Permissions Service at CCC. Each copy must say "© [year] by the American Institute of Biological Sciences." Statements and opinions expressed in BioScience are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official positions of the American Institute of Biological Sciences, the editors, the publisher, or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated. The editors, publisher, and AIBS disclaim any responsibility or liability for such material.

# BioScience

American Institute of Biological Sciences

## A Hit Where It Hurts

Biologists of many persuasions are now grappling with the difficult problem of identifying the effects of climate change on species of all types. The direct effects of shifting temperature regimes are in principle the simplest to detect, and many apparent instances of climate-related changes in the ranges of both animals and plants have now been found. But the possibility of indirect effects—in which the response of one species to a climate trend in turn affects a different species—has always loomed large. Researchers are increasingly looking for such influences.

The article that begins on page 761, by Alex Woods and colleagues, provides a good example. The study describes strong evidence for an indirect climate effect on plantations of an economically important tree species. In the northwestern part of British Columbia, mature lodgepole pines, used in construction and for pulp, are losing their needles and dying because of blight caused by the fungus *Dothistroma septosporum*. Woods and colleagues believe this to be a globally unprecedented occurrence. The fungus has long been recognized as a pathogen of pines, but although it is a serious disease of exotic plantations in the Southern Hemisphere, it has heretofore been considered a minor threat to northern temperate forests. Why, Woods and colleagues wondered, has *Dothistroma* turned lethal on a large scale?

Their investigation of climate records led them to the conclusion that warming per se has not occurred in the affected areas over the relevant time period. The records did, however, reveal a clear local increase in summer precipitation over the past decade. That constitutes a smoking gun, because *Dothistroma*'s life cycle depends on summer moisture for spore distribution. The increase in precipitation had no clear link to the Pacific Decadal Oscillation, a fluctuation of the ocean surface's temperature that might have explained it. The authors conclude that the increase in precipitation is most likely related to a directional climate trend.

Biologists might keep in mind this compelling illustration of an unanticipated consequence of climate change—an increase in summer precipitation might have been expected to benefit the pines—because it emphasizes the need for more research into such effects. By damaging logging companies' interests, the *Dothistroma* epidemic could reinforce an intriguing political shift. President Bush, whose administration has sometimes seemed more sympathetic to logging companies than to scientists, has recently (and belatedly) acknowledged that greenhouse gas emissions are creating a long-term problem. And some in Congress who were not previously impressed by the urgency of tackling global warming have been more attentive in recent weeks. The energy bill signed by the president last month—which makes a start on some measures that should slow the US growth in fossil fuel consumption—is also progress of a sort, despite its failure to require stricter vehicle efficiency standards.

Although US support for studies on climate change falls short of the level most scientists would consider justifiable, the scientific message is apparently now being heard a little better than it was a few years ago. A blow to the wallet gets attention. If biologists can provide additional convincing demonstrations of climate change impacts on economic interests—direct or indirect—they might find more people listening who are willing to take action.

TIMOTHY M. BEARDSLEY  
Editor in Chief