

## **Seasonal Flight Activity and Distribution of Metallic Woodboring Beetles (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) Collected in North Carolina and Tennessee**

Authors: Klingeman, William E., Hansen, Jason A., Basham, Joshua P., Oliver, Jason B., Youssef, Nadeer N., et al.

Source: Florida Entomologist, 98(2) : 579-587

Published By: Florida Entomological Society

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1653/024.098.0230>

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# Seasonal flight activity and distribution of metallic woodboring beetles (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) collected in North Carolina and Tennessee

William E. Klingeman<sup>1,\*</sup>, Jason A. Hansen<sup>2</sup>, Joshua P. Basham<sup>3</sup>, Jason B. Oliver<sup>3</sup>, Nadeer N. Youssef<sup>3</sup>, Whitney Swink<sup>4</sup>, Christine A. Nalepa<sup>4</sup>, Donna C. Fare<sup>5</sup>, and J. Kevin Moulton<sup>2</sup>

---

## Abstract

Distribution records and seasonal flight activity information for metallic woodboring beetle (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) species have not been compiled for North Carolina and Tennessee. Institutional, research, and private collections in North Carolina and Tennessee were reviewed to provide seasonal activity data of 5 subfamilies of buprestid beetle species. Label information was checked for 15,217 specimens of 135 species collected between 1901 and 2013 (North Carolina) and between 1934 and 2013 (Tennessee). These collections provided data on adult seasonal activity and county records for 121 species (4,467 specimens) and 105 species (10,750 specimens) from North Carolina and Tennessee, respectively. Two species, *Agrilus carpini* Knull and *A. pensus* Horn, are reported as New State Records for North Carolina. The data reveal key geographic areas in both states where few to no collections have been made, highlighting opportunities to validate species distributions and locations where future collecting efforts can be matched with the occurrence of larval and adult host plant resources. Seasonal activity records will inform future biosurveillance efforts for invasive and endemic pests and facilitate predictions of buprestid species that are likely to be active within the hunting flight season of *Cerceris fumipennis* (Say) (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae) wasps. Activity periods of the buprestids also can focus the management of selected economic pest species to times of the year when treatment efforts, particularly through use of contact insecticides, are likely to be most effective.

Key Words: biosurveillance; *Cerceris fumipennis*; intrastate distribution; jewel beetles; landscape; non-native insects; nursery; pest monitoring

## Resumen

Una búsqueda bibliográfica indica que los registros de distribución y la información de actividad de vuelo estacional de las especies de escarabajos metálicos barrenadores de madera (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) no han sido compilados para la Carolina del Norte y Tennessee. Si estuvieran disponibles, esos datos proveerían información valiosa para el futuro de biovigilancia, el monitoreo y manejo de estos insectos económicos y ecológicamente importantes. Para satisfacer esta necesidad, se evaluaron las colecciones institucionales, las de investigación y las colecciones privadas en Carolina del Norte y Tennessee para proveer datos de actividad estacional de 5 subfamilias de especies de escarabajos buprestidos activos en ambos estados. Se revisaron las etiquetas de 15,010 especímenes en 136 especies de buprestidos recolectadas entre 1901–2013 (Carolina del Norte) y 1934–2013 (Tennessee). Estas colecciones proveen datos de 121 especies (4,467 especímenes) y 106 especies (10,543 especímenes) de Carolina del Norte y Tennessee, respectivamente. Se presentan registros de actividad estacional de los adultos y las colecciones para los condados. Se reportan dos especies de buprestidos, *Agrilus carpini* Knull y *A. pensus* Horn, como nuevos registros estatales de Carolina del Norte. Los datos revelan las áreas geográficas clave en ambos estados donde no recolectaron o recolectaron pocos buprestidos, destacando la oportunidad para validar la distribución de las especies y los lugares donde los futuros esfuerzos de recolectar se puede emparejar con la aparición de los recursos de plantas hospederas de larvas y los adultos. Los registros de su actividad estacional informarán los esfuerzos de biovigilancia de plagas invasoras y endémicas en el futuro, y facilitar las predicciones de especies de buprestidos que son propensos a ser activos dentro de la temporada de caza de vuelo de la avispa, *Cerceris fumipennis* (Say) (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae). Los periodos de actividad de los buprestidos pueden enfocar el manejo de especies seleccionadas de plagas económicas para épocas del año cuando los esfuerzos de tratamiento, en particular, los del uso de insecticidas de contacto, es probable que sean los menos eficaz.

Palabras Clave: biovigilancia; *Cerceris fumipennis*; distribución intraestatal; escarabajos joya; campo; insectos no nativos; viveros; monitoreo de plagas

---

<sup>1</sup>University of Tennessee, Department of Plant Sciences, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

<sup>2</sup>University of Tennessee, Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

<sup>3</sup>Tennessee State University, College of Agriculture, Human, and Natural Sciences, Otis L. Floyd Nursery Research Center, McMinnville, Tennessee 37110, USA

<sup>4</sup>Beneficial Insects Laboratory, North Carolina Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1060, USA

<sup>5</sup>US National Arboretum, USDA-ARS, Otis L. Floyd Nursery Research Center, McMinnville, Tennessee 37110, USA

\*Corresponding author; E-mail: wklingem@utk.edu

Supplementary material for this article in Florida Entomologist 98(2) (June 2015) is online at <http://purl.fcla.edu/fcla/entomologist/browse>

Woodboring insects, including metallic woodboring beetles (Coleoptera: Buprestidae), contribute to recycling dead and dying host plant tissues and are an important food resource for avian and mammalian fauna in U.S. forests (Solomon 1985). Woodboring insects are also associated with high levels of host plant injury and economic losses to deciduous shade and flowering trees in commercial nurseries, urban forests, and managed landscapes (Fulcher et al. 2012, Brame et al. 2015). Infestation rates in Tennessee within just one red maple (*Acer rubrum* L.; Sapindales: Sapindaceae) cultivar by the native buprestid *Chrysobothris femorata* (Olivier) were as high as 38% among untreated trees (Oliver et al. 2010). Similar field infestation percentages have been observed in other southeastern U.S. nurseries (Potter et al. 1988; Allen & Alverson 1994; Coyle et al. 2005). Given the broad host plant range of *C. femorata*, as well as other beetles within the *C. femorata* species group (Solomon 1985; Wellso & Manley 2007; Nelson et al. 2008; Hansen 2010; Hansen et al. 2012; Paiero et al. 2012; Hansen et al. in press), large numbers of tree species and cultivars are under significant risk if buprestid species are misidentified, are not monitored properly, or if management efforts are timed poorly (Troxclair 2005; Seagraves et al. 2012; LeBude & Adkins 2014).

In addition to economic threats from native buprestids, non-native buprestid species threaten environmental sustainability of U.S. forests and managed landscapes. Emerald ash borer, *Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire, occurs now in north-central North Carolina and middle to eastern Tennessee (USDA–Forest Service et al. 2014). The non-native *A. planipennis* threatens timber value of ash trees (*Fraxinus* spp. L.; Lamiales: Oleaceae) in Tennessee and North Carolina, estimated to be worth about \$16.06 billion dollars annually (Nowak et al. 2003; USDA–Forest Service et al. 2014). In Tennessee and North Carolina, loss of ash trees would have an impact on local ecology, including survival of native arthropods that are dependent upon the species (Gandhi & Herms 2010). Another non-native buprestid, *Agrilus subrobustus* Saunders, was recently discovered in Tennessee and appears to be associated only with non-indigenous and invasive mimosa trees (*Albizia julibrissin* Durazzini; Fabales: Fabaceae) (Westcott 2007; Hansen et al. 2010; Hoebeke & Wheeler 2011; Hansen et al. 2012). The biology and behavior of *A. subrobustus* remain poorly understood, thus the extent to which this species may become a potential pest or ally in biological control of mimosa remains unclear (Hoebeke & Wheeler 2011).

To address these monitoring and management challenges, several efforts have been made to develop flight intercept traps for insect monitoring that are optimized by exploiting visual cues used by buprestid beetle species and other woodboring pests (e.g., Oliver et al. 2002). These approaches, in turn, provided insights into optimizing the attraction of many buprestid species to traps (Hansen et al. 2012; MacRae & Basham 2013) and have led to widespread deployment of the purple prism traps currently used to monitor populations of emerald ash borers (Francese et al. 2010, 2013). Buprestid beetles are also the primary prey item of the ground-nesting wasp *Cerceris fumipennis* (Say) (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae). Utilizing this species' hunting behavior has become an effective biosurveillance tool in efforts to detect the non-native *A. planipennis* as well as other economically important buprestid pest species (Marshall et al. 2005; Careless 2009; Careless et al. 2009), and to survey native buprestid faunas (Swink et al. 2013; Careless et al. 2014).

Evaluation of several years of trap optimization and efficacy trials, together with regional field trapping for key woodboring pests, revealed gaps in our knowledge regarding regional activity periods for buprestid species that are the primary economic pests of woody ornamental plants (e.g., *Chrysobothris* species). Distribution patterns across both states where these species could be expected to occur were not known (Hansen et al. 2012). Moreover, it remained unclear

whether the activity period of other species of buprestid beetles overlapped with the hunting flight season of the relatively short-lived adult *C. fumipennis* (Marshall et al. 2005; Careless et al. 2014).

To address these knowledge gaps, institutional, research, and teaching collections as well as museums and personal collections in both North Carolina and Tennessee were accessed to assemble available label data to determine species location and distribution, as well as seasonal flight activities of adult buprestid beetles. The outcome of this effort will provide baseline data for documentation of species diversity, help direct field collection efforts to resolve on-going challenges related to species taxonomy and phylogenies within difficult species groups, assist with documentation of invasive species, and fine-tune the use of *C. fumipennis* as a tool for biosurveillance of pest buprestids.

Supplementary material for this article in Florida Entomologist 98(2) (June 2015) is online at <http://purl.fcla.edu/fcla/entomologist/browse>. Therefore, the supplementary table is referred to in this article as Suppl. Table 1, and supplementary figures are referred to herein as Suppl. Figs. All supplementary figures are displayed online in color.

## Materials and Methods

The data for this report were derived from specimens collected in conjunction with a series of season-long experimental trials conducted by Tennessee State University–affiliated authors and cooperators with the goal of optimizing trap type and color to enhance attraction of woodboring insects to static traps (Oliver et al. 2002, 2004), and by Hansen (2010) during the process of obtaining specimens needed for phylogenetic analyses within closely-related taxa among buprestid species. Data from these research efforts are taken from reports in progress; thus details about trap type and trap color or kairomone and lure efficacy are not reported herein. Extended seasonal collections were made in regions of middle or eastern Tennessee at about weekly intervals from Apr 1 to Aug 28, 2001; Apr 6 to Oct 7, 2002; May 5 to Sep 2, 2003; Apr 12 to Aug 9, 2004; May 2 to Aug 15, 2005; May 2 to Aug 15, 2006; Jun 5 to Jul 21, 2009; Jun 8 to Aug 5, 2010; Jun 17 to Aug 18, 2011; Apr 9 to Sep 10, 2012; and May 11 to Sep 10, 2013. In North Carolina, biosurveillance efforts targeting *A. planipennis* yielded buprestid beetle captures collected from *C. fumipennis* wasps during Jun 2 to Jul 27, 2009; Jun 4 to Jul 28, 2010; Jun 2 to Jul 13, 2011; and May 21 to Jul 13, 2012 (Nalepa et al. 2012, 2013; Swink et al. 2013, 2014). Collection records for many of these studies included trap yield results for deployment durations spanning several days, thus data for individual specimens were pooled within 1 of 4 weeks for each month.

Data compiled from labeled specimens from each state included collection date, county data, other locality information provided, and collector. Specimens may have been taken by sugar or ethanol baited traps (Lindgren and others), light traps, sticky panel traps, malaise traps, vane traps, canopy fogging, and sweep-net or hand collections. Label dates provided for specimens that emerged from infested trunk, stem, firewood, and branch sections were not included among seasonal activity charts, but species were listed among county records for each state. Dashed vertical lines (at May and Jul) are presented on the seasonal activity grid and indicate approximate flight activity period (in North Carolina) for *C. fumipennis* wasps. Species collected by *C. fumipennis* wasps in North Carolina during biosurveillance efforts (Swink et al. 2013, 2014) are noted with an asterisk (Figs. 1A, 1B, and 1C; Suppl. Fig. 1A–C).

Specimens also were examined in the collections of the University of Tennessee Entomology and Plant Pathology Insect Museum [ECUT] and the Great Smoky Mountains National Park [GSNP]. The latter included results of tree sampling taken at multiple times across 2 or more seasons from targeted tree species, such as eastern hem-




Fig. 1A. Seasonal flight activities recorded for Agrilinae: Agrilini metallic woodboring beetle species collected across North Carolina (1901–2013) and Tennessee (1934–2013). Collection records frequently included trapping yield results from multi-day deployments; thus, data for individual specimens were pooled within 1 of 4 weeks for each month. Dated records for specimens with labels that noted specimen emergence from infested trunk, stem, firewood, and branch sections are not included within the seasonal ranges presented. Dashed vertical lines (at May and Jul) indicate approximate flight activity period (in North Carolina) for *Cerceris fumipennis* (Say) (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae) wasps. Asterisks indicate species collected by the wasps during biosurveillance in North Carolina (Nalepa et al. 2013; Swink et al. 2013, 2014). This figure is displayed in color online at <http://purl.fcla.edu/fcla/entomologist/browse>





Fig. 1B. Seasonal flight activities recorded for Agrilinae (Coraebini and Trachyini), Polycestinae, and Chrysochroinae metallic woodboring beetle species collected across North Carolina (1901–2013) and Tennessee (1934–2013). Collection records frequently included trapping yield results from multi-day deployments; thus, data for individual specimens were pooled within 1 of 4 weeks for each month. Dated records for specimens with labels that noted specimen emergence from infested trunk, stem, firewood, and branch sections are not included within the seasonal ranges presented. Dashed vertical lines (at May and Jul) indicate approximate flight activity period (in North Carolina) for *Cerceris fumipennis* (Say) (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae) wasps. Asterisks indicate species collected by the wasps during bio-surveillance in North Carolina (Nalepa et al. 2013; Swink et al. 2013, 2014). This figure is displayed in color online at <http://purl.fcla.edu/fcla/entomologist/browse>



<sup>A</sup> Anatomical features, particularly among male specimens, may differentiate these members of the *Chrysobothris* species group into cohorts that could represent individual species, though with noted geographic variations in form (Wellso and Manley 2007). Yet, analyses of COX I nuclear and arginine kinase mitochondrial genes were unable to classify representative members as monophyletic species (Hansen et al. 2015). Specimens presented here of anatomically grouped individuals illustrate seasonal overlap of adult flight activities in both North Carolina and Tennessee.

Fig. 1C. Seasonal flight activities recorded for Buprestinae metallic woodboring beetle species collected across North Carolina (1901–2013) and Tennessee (1934–2013). Collection records frequently included trapping yield results from multi-day deployments; thus, data for individual specimens were pooled within 1 of 4 weeks for each month. Dated records for specimens with labels that noted specimen emergence from infested trunk, stem, firewood, and branch sections are not included within the seasonal ranges presented. Dashed vertical lines (at May and Jul) indicate approximate flight activity period (in North Carolina) for *Cerceris fumipennis* (Say) (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae) wasps. Asterisks indicate species collected by the wasps during biosurveillance in North Carolina (Nalepa et al. 2013; Swink et al. 2013, 2014). This figure is displayed in color online at <http://purl.fcla.edu/fcla/entomologist/browse>



lock (*Tsuga canadensis* [L.]; Pinales: Pinaceae) (Buck 2004), southern magnolia (*Magnolia grandiflora* L.; Magnoliales: Magnoliaceae) (Werle 2002), tulip poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera* L.; Magnoliales: Magnoliaceae) (LaForest et al. 2000), and northern red oak [*Quercus rubra* L.; Fagales: Fagaceae] (Trieff 2002). Other records that included seasonal activity and county occurrence data were retrieved from published reports (Nicolay & Weiss 1923; Fisher 1928; Knull 1930, 1934; Evans 1957; Dozier 1955; Hespenheide 1973; Nelson & Westcott 1976; Nelson 1978; Westcott et al. 1979; Nelson et al. 1981, 1996; Hespenheide 2003; MacRae & Nelson 2003; Wellso & Manley 2007; MacRae & Basham 2013).

Collection depositories [brackets] with specimen data that were evaluated and integrated into this report include [Evenhuis & Samuelson 2007, or as acknowledged]: [CUIC], [ECUT], [EDNC], [GSPN], [FSCA (repository for G.H. Nelson collection)], [LSAM], [MCZ (repository for the G. H. Horn collection, formerly housed by the Pennsylvania Academy of Natural Sciences)], [NCSU], [TSRS], [UGCA], and [WFBM]. [UGCA] had also recently acquired and made available the arthropod collection previously maintained at the Memphis State University (now, University of Memphis). Records of observed specimens and published accounts from additional institutional and personal collections that were incorporated included those of: JAHC = Jason A. Hansen, JEW = James E. Wappes, JMBC = Jason M. Basham, JPBC = Joshua P. Basham, NNYC = Nadeer N. Youssef, RLWE = Richard L. Westcott, SGWC = Stanley G. Wellso, TCMC = Ted C. MacRae, TTUC = Tennessee Technological University, and WEKC = William E. Klingeman.

To reduce inherent bias, an optimal method to approach a survey like this would no doubt include extended-season sampling across all regions of each state for several years. Economic and labor constraints limit the feasibility of this aim. Regardless, we expect this study will highlight poorly-studied regions across both states; thus, future research and collection can focus on these regions.

## Results

Identities and label records were examined for 15,217 specimens, representing 135 different buprestid beetle species taken between 1901 and 2013 (North Carolina) and between 1934 and 2013 (Tennes-


see) and used to compile seasonal activity and distributional records for each species. Collections and records assessed from North Carolina yielded 121 buprestid species (4,467 specimens), and 105 species (10,750 specimens) were reported from Tennessee (Suppl. Table 1). Among the 100 North Carolina and 96 Tennessee counties, collection records included specimens taken in 96 (96%) and 54 (56%), respectively (Suppl. Table 1). To date, no buprestid records were found for Camden, Chowan, Hertford, or Perquimans Counties in northeastern North Carolina. Slightly less than one-half of Tennessee counties were unrepresented in buprestid beetle collection records. For most counties in both states, documented collection records represented a single to very few buprestid species (Figs. 2 and 3; Suppl. Figs. 2 and 3).

The most intensive collection activity in Tennessee focused around the University of Tennessee – Knoxville campus and the Great Smoky Mountains National Park, as well as the Otis L. Floyd Nursery Crops Research Center in McMinnville, Tennessee. The Otis L. Floyd Nursery Crops Research Center currently maintains the largest single collection of buprestids in Tennessee (Hansen et al. 2010). Most specimens collected in North Carolina were from counties adjacent to North Carolina State University and counties that were surveyed annually as part of the *C. fumipennis* biosurveillance program (Swink et al. 2013). Species tallies reported within individual counties (Fig. 3; Suppl. Fig. 3) were also highest in counties containing North Carolina State University–managed forests, research and Extension facilities, and counties containing readily accessible and unique ecosystems, like the Carolina Sandhills region spanning Moore County.


Biosurveillance with *C. fumipennis* wasps during 2013 yielded 2 New State Records for North Carolina. A single *Agrilus* Knull (1923) was collected from a foraging *C. fumipennis* wasp in Wake County, Raleigh, Jaycee Park, 8-VI-2010, det. S. Paiero. Seven specimens of *Agrilus pensus* Horn (1891) were taken in Surry County, Mt. Airy, Meadowview Middle School, 13-VI (1), 26-VI (5), 8-VII-2013 (1), det. Joshua P. Basham. All *A. carpini* and *A. pensus* specimens are held at [EDNC].

## Discussion

Distributional records and seasonal flight activity charts for the buprestid fauna in North Carolina and Tennessee are expected to help



**Fig. 2.** Tallies within each North Carolina county indicate the total buprestid species recorded from specimen label records spanning 1901 to 2013. When presented across North Carolina, species yields indicate areas of greatest and least collection activity and highlight regions of future collection interest. This figure is displayed in color online at <http://purl.fcla.edu/fcla/entomologist/browse>



**Fig. 3.** Tallies within each Tennessee county indicate the total buprestid species recorded from specimen label records spanning 1934 to 2013. When presented across Tennessee, species yields indicate areas of greatest and least collection activity and highlight regions of future collection interest. This figure is displayed in color online at <http://purl.fcla.edu/fcla/entomologist/browse>

document potential environmental and economic impacts presented by non-native buprestid beetle species to these states. Since the 2008 inception of the *C. fumipennis* biosurveillance program in North Carolina, more than 2,300 buprestids, including 13 new state records with the 2 reported herein, have been collected from the wasp in 26 counties (MacRae & Basham 2013; Swink et al. 2013, 2014). In a similar timeframe, host plant-directed scouting and improvements to buprestid trapping using experimental panel traps and other techniques have yielded 48 new state records for Tennessee (Hansen et al. 2010, 2012; MacRae & Basham 2013).

Although biosurveillance efforts have not been undertaken in Tennessee, monitoring *C. fumipennis* wasp nest sites has been effective in North Carolina for detecting many infrequently collected and uncommon buprestid species, particularly when high-density nesting aggregations of the wasp can be found (Swink et al. 2013, 2014). We anticipate that the seasonal flight activity and regional occurrence records presented herein for buprestid beetles will aid future biosurveillance efforts, and that *C. fumipennis* can help to elucidate seasonal activity patterns of infrequently collected or uncommon species. Future collections are needed to help validate range distributions of species not collected in either North Carolina or Tennessee. Opportunities exist to document the occurrence of *Chrysobothris scabripennis* Gory & Laporte, *Chrysobothris caddo* Wellso & Manley, and *Xenorhipis brendeli* LeConte, as well as to clarify range distribution *Chrysobothris orono* Frost in North Carolina. Monitoring efforts may also document *Chrysobothris chrysoela* (Illiger) in Tennessee and *Chrysobothris verdigripennis* Frost in both states. When plotted across counties for each state (Figs. 2 and 3; Suppl. Figs. 2 and 3), collection data reveal large portions of the state where buprestid collections are infrequent to absent. Future trapping efforts are expected to be most productive when our maps are paired with ecoregional distribution records of likely larval host plants (see Griffith et al. 1998, 2002).

In addition to the success of using *C. fumipennis* to locate emerald ash borer in Connecticut (Rutledge et al. 2013), the wasp might be used for monitoring and detecting other invasive buprestid species, such as *A. subrobustus* and *Agrilus sulcicollis* Lacordaire (Marshall et al. 2005; Careless 2009; Careless et al. 2009; Haack et al. 2009), due to potential overlapping flight activity periods of these beetles and the wasp. In North Carolina however, population densities of *C. fumipennis* are lower than those observed in the northeastern US (Nalepa et al. 2012). Efforts to employ biosurveillance in the North Carolina counties of Granville, Person, Vance, and Warren, where *A. planipennis* has been detected by other means, were not successful, likely due to failure in these counties of finding sites with a sufficient number of *C. fumipennis* nests (CA Nalepa & W Swink, personal observation).

Still, *C. fumipennis* has been successful in detecting *Agrilus anxius* Gory, which had not previously been reported in North Carolina (Swink et al. 2013). We anticipate that *C. fumipennis* will play a future role in surveys of eastern US forests and landscapes for non-native pests of potential concern, such as the goldspotted oak borer, *Agrilus auroguttatus* Schaeffer (Coleman & Seybold 2009; Hespenheide et al. 2011), which is currently distributed only in Arizona, California, and Mexico. The activity period of *C. fumipennis* in North Carolina overlaps with most documented buprestid flight periods (Figs. 1A, 1B, and 1C; Suppl. Fig. 1A–C).

The genus *Chrysobothris* Eschscholtz includes several readily-confused members that are frequently pooled within a *C. femorata* species group, or complex. Within the complex, differing anatomical characters, including integument color, elytral pattern, and form of the male genitalia are used to differentiate between species in the complex (Fisher 1942; MacRae 2001; Wellso & Manley 2007). When populations of these putative species are examined across a wider geographic range, intermediary forms of these character states may be observed, confounding even the ability of experts to accurately identify some of them (Wellso & Manley 2007; Hansen 2010; Paiero et al. 2012; Hansen et al. in press). In fact, molecular analyses of nuclear and mitochondrial genes have been unable to resolve *C. femorata* species group identities between specimens grouped by anatomical characters as *C. femorata* (Olivier), *C. rugosiceps* Melsheimer, *C. quadriimpressa* Melsheimer, or *C. shawnee* Wellso & Manley. These species group members emerged as polyphyletic in maximum likelihood phylograms based on morphological characters and concatenated analyses of cytochrome oxidase I and arginine kinase genes (Hansen et al. in press). By contrast, *C. adelpha* Harold and *C. viridiceps* Melsheimer, along with *C. wintu* Wellso & Manley distributed in the western US are supported as monophyletic species and possess anatomical differences in their male genitalia that may influence interspecific functionality (Hansen et al. in press). Observations presented here help support working hypotheses that some *C. femorata* species group members may be interbreeding (Fisher 1942; Hansen 2010; Hansen et al. in press). Members of this complex demonstrate overlapping seasonal flight activity (Fig. 1C; Suppl. Fig. 1A–C), are active within many of the same counties in both North Carolina and Tennessee (Suppl. Table 1), and share *Quercus* species as plant hosts (e.g., MacRae 2001; Nelson et al. 2008; Hansen et al. 2011, 2012; Paiero et al. 2012).

Distributional records will be helpful for guiding collections needed for future phylogenetic research efforts to clarify species identities within other closely related taxa and within the *C. femorata* group, and to substantiate evidence of interbreeding. Flight activity records also can guide commercial pest management strategies that are necessary



to limit economic and aesthetic losses, for example by members of the *C. femorata* species group that are active in deciduous shade tree production systems. Except *A. bilineatus* and *Agrilus ruficollis* (F.), adults of most *Agrilus* species are active from late spring until mid-summer. Efforts in late summer to monitor or manage adult *Chrysobothris* pests in nurseries and landscapes are unlikely to be effective as adult populations decline, particularly if employing contact and short-residual insecticides that do not reach larvae feeding beneath the bark.

Finally, despite the relatively recent trapping activity conducted mostly from mid-Apr through Aug in Tennessee and Jun and Jul in North Carolina across several years, collection records for many species remain limited to relatively few individuals and specimens opportunistically or serendipitously collected independent of seasonal trapping efforts. We caution, therefore, that inferences made about potential voltinism for species presented here may not be valid when evaluating the seasonal activity data presented for each state.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Christopher Brown [TTUC] for access to their entomological teaching collection, Bob Blinn [NCSU], Richard Hoebeke [UGCA], Philip Perkins [MCZ], and Adriean Mayor and Becky Nichols [GSMC] for access to collections and professional assistance. We appreciate Henry Hespenehede, Ted MacRae, Steve Paiero, Stan Wellso, and Richard Westcott for both taxonomic identifications and confirmations of species identities across the various projects. We also thank Phil Flanagan, Jason Basham, and numerous laboratory assistants during the past 12 yr for their assistance in specimen processing. Special thanks also are extended to Rich Maxey, University of Tennessee Communications and Marketing Department, for his assistance in creating the Tennessee County records map. In North Carolina, we are grateful to Rebecca Norris, Kathy Kidd, David Allgood, Alan Larkins, Phil Groves, David Pearce, Ginger Hemmings, and Sue Dial for help with *Cerceris* wasp surveys and biosurveillance. This project was supported, in part, by The University of Tennessee Institute of Agriculture, Tennessee State University College of Agriculture, Human and Natural Sciences, the North Carolina Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, USDA APHIS, and USDA NIFA, and as value-added outputs resulting from collections made with Discover Life in America (#DLIA2009-07), Forest Health Protection, USDA Forest Service (#10-DG-11083137-002) and USDA-ARS (#58-6404-7-213) grants.

## References Cited

- Allen RR, Alverson DR. 1994. Assessment of insect pests on improved maple cultivars in South Carolina tree nurseries: the first two years. Proceedings of the Southern Nursery Association Research Conference 39: 174-177.
- Braman SK, Chappell M, Chong JC, Fulcher A, Gauthier NW, Klingeman WE, Knox G, LeBude A, Neal J, White SA, Adkins C, Derr J, Frank S, Hale F, Hand FP, Marble C, Williams-Woodward J, Windham A. 2015. Pest management strategic plan for container and field-produced nursery crops: revision 2015. AV LeBude and A Fulcher, eds. Southern Region IPM Center, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA. 234 p.
- Buck SE III. 2004. Insect fauna associated with eastern hemlock, *Tsuga canadensis* (L.), in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. M.S. Thesis, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA.
- Careless PD. 2009. Biosurveillance: utilizing the beetle hunting wasp (*Cerceris fumipennis*, Hymenoptera: Crabronidae) to detect infestations of emerald ash borers (*Agrilus planipennis*, Coleoptera: Buprestidae). M.S. Thesis, Dept. of Environmental Biology, University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada. <http://www.cerceris.info/literature.html> (last accessed 5 Jan 2015).
- Careless PD, Marshall SA, Gill BD, Appleton E, Favrin R, Kimoto T. 2009. *Cerceris fumipennis* – A Biosurveillance Tool for Emerald Ash Borer, Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Ottawa, Canada. <http://www.cerceris.info/literature.html> (last accessed 5 Jan 2015).
- Careless P, Marshall SA, Gill BD. 2014. The use of *Cerceris fumipennis* (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae) for surveying and monitoring emerald ash borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) infestations in eastern North America. Canadian Entomologist 146: 90-105.
- Coleman TW, Seybold SJ. 2009. Previously unrecorded damage to oak, *Quercus* spp. in southern California by the goldspotted oak borer, *Agrilus coxalis* (Waterhouse) (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). The Pan-Pacific Entomologist 68(4): 279-280.
- Coyle DR, Nebeker, Elwood RH, Mattson WJ. 2005. Biology and management of insect pests in North American intensively managed hardwood forest systems. Annual Review of Entomology 50: 1-29.
- Dozier BK. 1955. A new *Chrysobothris* from eastern red cedar, *Juniperus virginiana*. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 57(2): 75-77.
- Evans D. 1957. A revision of the genus *Poecilona* in America north of Mexico (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 50: 21-37.
- Evenhuis NL, Samuelson GA. 2007. The insect and spider collections of the world website. <http://hbs.bishopmuseum.org/codens/codens-inst.html> (last accessed 5 Jan 2015).
- Fisher WS. 1928. A revision of the North American species of buprestid beetles belonging to the genus *Agrilus*. U.S. National Museum Bulletin 145: 1-347.
- Fisher WS. 1942. A revision of the North American species of buprestid beetles belonging to the tribe *Chrysobothrini*. U.S. Department of Agriculture Miscellaneous Publications 470: 1-270.
- Francesse JA, Crook DJ, Fraser I, Lance DR, Sawyer AJ, Mastro VC. 2010. Optimization of trap color for emerald ash borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 103: 1235-1241.
- Francesse JA, Reitz ML, Crook DJ, Fraser I, Lance DR, Mastro VC. 2013. Improving detection tools for the emerald ash borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae): comparison of prism and multifunnel traps at varying population densities. Journal of Economic Entomology 106: 2407-2414.
- Fulcher A, Klingeman WE, Chong J-H, LeBude A, Armel GR, Chappell M, Frank SD, Hale F, Neal J, White SA, Williams-Woodward J, Ivors K, Adkins C, Senesac A, Windham A. 2012. Stakeholder vision of future direction and strategies for southeastern U.S. nursery pest research and Extension programming. Journal of Integrated Pest Management 3(2): D1-D8, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/IPM11030> (last accessed 29 Oct 2014).
- Gandhi KJK, Herms DA. 2010. North American arthropods at risk due to widespread *Fraxinus* mortality caused by the alien emerald ash borer. Biological Invasions 12(6): 1839-1846.
- Griffith GE, Omernik JM, Acevedo SH. 1998. Ecoregions of Tennessee. Reston, Virginia, U.S. Geological Survey. [http://www.epa.gov/wed/pages/ecoregions/tn\\_eco.htm](http://www.epa.gov/wed/pages/ecoregions/tn_eco.htm) (last accessed 5 Jan 2015).
- Griffith GE, Omernik JM, Comstock JA, Schafale MP, McNab WH, Lenat DR, MacPherson TF, Glover JB, Shelburne VB. 2002. Ecoregions of North Carolina and South Carolina. Reston, Virginia, U.S. Geological Survey. [http://www.epa.gov/wed/pages/ecoregions/ncsc\\_eco.htm](http://www.epa.gov/wed/pages/ecoregions/ncsc_eco.htm) (last accessed 5 Jan 2015).
- Haack RA, Petrice TR, Zablotny JE. 2009. First report of the European oak borer, *Agrilus sulcicollis* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae), in the United States. Great Lakes Entomologist 42: 1-7.
- Hansen JA. 2010. Identification and phylogenetic characterization of select species of Buprestidae (Coleoptera) and Sesiidae (Lepidoptera) wood boring insect families occurring across the southeastern United States. Ph.D. Dissertation, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA. [http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk\\_graddiss/696](http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_graddiss/696) (last accessed 5 Jan 2015).
- Hansen J, Moulton JK, Klingeman WE. 2010. Range expansion and adult flight activity of *Agrilus subrobustus* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) in Tennessee. Florida Entomologist 93(3): 444-445.
- Hansen JA, Petrice TR, Haack RA. 2011. New state distribution and host records of North American Buprestidae (Coleoptera). Great Lakes Entomologist 44(1/2): 74-77.
- Hansen JA, Basham JP, Oliver JB, Youssef NN, Klingeman WE, Moulton JK, Fare DC. 2012. New state and host plant records for metallic woodboring beetles (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) in Tennessee, U.S.A. The Coleopterists Bulletin 66(4): 337-343.
- Hansen J, Moulton JK, Klingeman WE, Oliver JB, Windham MT, Trigiano RN, Reding ME. 2015. Molecular phylogeny of the *Chrysobothris femorata* species group (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America (in press).
- Hespenehede HA. 1973. Notes on the ecology, distribution and taxonomy of certain Buprestidae. The Coleopterists Bulletin 27(4): 183-186.
- Hespenehede HA. 2003. A reconsideration of the *Pachyschelus schwarzi* Kerremans and a review of American *Pachyschelus* North of Mexico (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). The Coleopterists Bulletin 57(4): 459-468.
- Hespenehede HA, Westcott RL, Bellamy CL. 2011. *Agrilus* Curtis (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) of the Baja California peninsula, Mexico. Zootaxa 2805: 36-56.

- Hoebeker ER, Wheeler Jr AG. 2011. *Agrilus subrobustus* Saunders (Coleoptera: Buprestidae): new southeastern U.S. records of an Asian immigrant on mimosa, *Albizia julibrissin* (Fabaceae). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 113(3): 315-324.
- Knull JN. 1930. Notes on Coleoptera—No 2. Entomological News 41(3): 82-86.
- Knull JN. 1934. New Coleoptera (Buprestidae and Cerambycidae). The Ohio Journal of Science 34(5): 333-336.
- LaForest, JM, Lambdin PL, Grant JF. 2000. Arthropod predators associated with the Yellow Poplar, *Liriodendron tulipifera* L. Proceedings of the Southern Nursery Association Research Conference 45: 175-179.
- LeBude A, Adkins C. 2014. Incidence and severity of buprestid infestation in field-grown *Acer platanoides* related to cardinal orientation of understock bud union. Journal of Environmental Horticulture 32(4): 215-218.
- MacRae TC. 2001. The Buprestidae (Coleoptera) of Missouri. Insecta Mundi 5(2): 101-126.
- MacRae TC, Basham JP. 2013. Distributional, biological, and nomenclatural notes on Buprestidae (Coleoptera) occurring in the U.S. and Canada. Pan-Pacific Entomologist 89(3): 125-142.
- MacRae TC, Nelson GH. 2003. Distributional and biological notes on Buprestidae (Coleoptera) in North and Central America and the West Indies, with validation of one species. The Coleopterists Bulletin 57(1): 57-70.
- Marshall SA, Paiero SM, Buck M. 2005. Buprestid sampling at nests of *Cerceris fumipennis* (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae) in southern Ontario: the first Canadian records of three buprestids (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). Canadian Entomologist 137: 416-419.
- Nalepa CA, Teerling C, Rutledge CE, Swink WG, Arellano C. 2012. Ball diamonds as habitat for nests of *Cerceris fumipennis* (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae): comparisons among three states. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 85: 219-225.
- Nalepa CA, Swink WG, Merten P, Moan JE. 2013. Conservative estimates of hunting distance in *Cerceris fumipennis* Say (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae). Journal of Entomological Science 48: 299-305.
- Nelson GH. 1978. Review of the genus *Ptosima* in North America (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). The Coleopterists Bulletin 32(4): 327-336.
- Nelson GH, Westcott RL. 1976. Notes on the distribution, synonymy and biology of Buprestidae (Coleoptera) of North America. The Coleopterists Bulletin 30(3): 273-284.
- Nelson GH, Verity DS, Westcott RL. 1981. Additional notes on the biology and distribution of Buprestidae (Coleoptera) of North America. The Coleopterists Bulletin 35(2): 129-152.
- Nelson GH, Westcott RL, MacRae TC. 1996. Miscellaneous notes on Buprestidae and Schizopodidae occurring in the United States and Canada, including descriptions of previously unknown sexes of six *Agrilus* Curtis (Coleoptera). The Coleopterists Bulletin 50(2): 183-191.
- Nelson GH, Walters Jr GW, Haines RD, Bellamy CL. 2008. A catalogue and bibliography of the Buprestoidea of America north of Mexico. Coleopterists Society Special Publication 4, North Potomac, Maryland, USA. 274 pp. + iv.
- Nicolay AS, Weiss HB. 1923. The group *Trachys* in North America, Part II. The genus *Brachys* (Coleoptera). Journal of the New York Entomological Society 31(2): 59-77.
- Nowak D, Crane D, Stevens J, Walton J. 2003. Potential damage from emerald ash borer. [http://nrs.fs.fed.us/disturbance/invasive\\_species/eab/effects\\_impacts/](http://nrs.fs.fed.us/disturbance/invasive_species/eab/effects_impacts/) (last accessed 5 Jan 2015).
- Oliver JB, Youssef N, Fare D, Halcomb M, Scholl S, Klingeman W, Flanagan P. 2002. Monitoring buprestid borers in production nursery areas, pp. 17-23 In Haun G [ed.], Proceedings of the 29th Annual Meeting of the Tennessee Entomological Society. Nashville, Tennessee, USA, 10–11 Oct 2002.
- Oliver JB, Fare DC, Youssef N, Klingeman W. 2004. Collection of adult flatheaded borers using multicolored traps. Proceedings of the Southern Nursery Association Research Conference 48: 193-199.
- Oliver JB, Fare DC, Youssef N, Scholl SS, Reding ME, Ranger CM, Moyseenko JJ, Halcomb MA. 2010. Evaluation of a single application of neonicotinoids and multi-application contact insecticides for control of Japanese beetle (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) and other scarab larvae in the root zone of field-grown nursery trees. Journal of Environmental Horticulture 28(3): 135-149.
- Paiero SM, Jackson MD, Jewiss-Gaines A, Kimoto T, Gill BD, Marshall SA. 2012. Field guide to the jewel beetles (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) of northeastern North America. Canadian Food Inspection Agency.
- Potter DA, Timmons GM, Gordon FC. 1988. Flatheaded apple tree borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) in nursery-grown red maples: phenology of emergence, treatment timing, and response to stressed trees. Journal of Environmental Horticulture 6(1): 18-22.
- Rutledge CE, Fierke MK, Careless PD, Worthley T. 2013. First detection of *Agrilus planipennis* in Connecticut made by monitoring *Cerceris fumipennis* (Crabronidae) colonies. Journal of Hymenoptera Research 32: 72-81.
- Seagraves BL, Redmond CT, Potter DA. 2012. Relative resistance or susceptibility of maple (*Acer*) species, hybrids, and cultivars to six arthropod pests of production nurseries. Pest Management Science 69: 112-119.
- Solomon, JD. 1985. Guide to Insect Borers in North American Broadleaf Trees and Shrubs. United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service. Agriculture Handbook AH-706. Washington, D.C., USA. 747 pp.
- Swink WG, Paiero SM, Nalepa CA. 2013. Buprestidae collected as prey by the solitary, ground nesting philanthine wasp *Cerceris fumipennis* (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae) in North Carolina. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 106(1): 111-116.
- Swink WG, Nalepa CA, Basham JP. 2014. Year-to-year variation in prey capture by *Cerceris fumipennis* (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae) at two sites in North Carolina. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 107(6): 1121-1125.
- Trieff DD. 2002. Composition of the Coleoptera and associated insects collected by canopy fogging of northern red oak (*Quercus rubra* L.) trees in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park and The University of Tennessee Arboretum. M.S. Thesis, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA.
- Troxclair N. 2005. Managing the flatheaded appletree borer. Texas A&M University, AgriLife Extension EE-00027, College Station, Texas, USA. [https://insects.tamu.edu/extension/publications/epubs/eee\\_00027.cfm](https://insects.tamu.edu/extension/publications/epubs/eee_00027.cfm) (last accessed 5 Jan 2015).
- USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) Forest Service, Michigan State University, Purdue University, and Ohio State University. 2014. Emerald ash borer information online web resource. <http://www.emeraldashborer.info/> (last accessed 5 Jan 2015).
- Wellso SG, Manley GV. 2007. A revision of the *Chrysobothris femorata* (Olivier, 1790) species group from North America, north of Mexico (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). Zootaxa 1652: 1-126.
- Werle CT. 2002. Insects associated with southern magnolia (*Magnolia grandiflora* L.) in East Tennessee. M.S. Thesis, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA.
- Westcott R. 2007. The exotic *Agrilus subrobustus* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) is found in northern Georgia. The Coleopterists Bulletin 61(1): 111-112.
- Westcott RL, Barr WF, Nelson GH, Verity DS. 1979. Distributional and biological notes on North and Central American species of *Acmaeodera* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). The Coleopterists Bulletin 33(2): 169-181.