

## **Climate Warming Postpones Senescence in High Arctic Tundra**

Authors: Marchand, Fleur L., Marchand, Fleur L., Nijs, Ivan, Heuer, Mark, Mertens, Sofie, et al.

Source: Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 36(4) : 390-394

Published By: Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR),  
University of Colorado

URL: [https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430\(2004\)036\[0390:CWPSIH\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2004)036[0390:CWPSIH]2.0.CO;2)

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# Climate Warming Postpones Senescence in High Arctic Tundra

Fleur L. Marchand\*#

Ivan Nijs\*

Mark Heuer\*

Sofie Mertens\*

Fred Kockelbergh\*

Jean-Yves Pontailier†

Ivan Impens\* and

Louis Beyens‡

\*Research Group Plant and Vegetation Ecology, Department of Biology, University of Antwerp (UA), Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium.

†Laboratory of Plant Ecophysiology, CNRS URA 2154, Bâtiment 362, University of Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France.

‡Research Group Polar Ecology, Limnology and Paleobiology, Department of Biology, University of Antwerp (UA), Groenenborgerlaan 171, B-2020 Antwerpen, Belgium.

#fleur.marchand@ua.ac.be

## Abstract

Lengthening of the growing season at high latitudes, observed by satellites with the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), has been ascribed to climate warming. To test this assumption, and to verify whether changes in vegetation greenness are quantitative or qualitative, we experimentally warmed patches of High Arctic tundra with infrared heating in Northeast Greenland. By analyzing digital images of the vegetation, changes in cover were distinguished from changes in senescence. During the season, experimental warming significantly increased green cover, for example, at the time of peak cover, the total green cover was enhanced from 59.1 to 67.3%. The dominant wavelength (hue) reflected by our tundra plots shifted from yellow-green to yellow. Experimental warming with 2.5°C delayed this hue-shift by 15 d. The results demonstrate that higher summer temperatures do not only promote plant growth at these latitudes but also retard and/or postpone the senescence process, contrary to indications from previous research that late-season phenology in the High Arctic is governed by photoperiod.

## Introduction

High latitudes are expected to undergo the earliest and most pronounced effects of global warming (Maxwell 1992; Watson et al., 1998; McCarthy et al., 2001). Scenarios of temperature increase in arctic regions for 2080 vary between 0.5 and 7.5°C in summer and between 2.5 and 16°C in winter (McCarthy et al., 2001). This will affect soil temperatures, soil moisture content, nutrient cycling, active layer depth, and mean daily solar radiation available to plants (Kane et al., 1992; Maxwell 1992). The effects on arctic plants are likely to be strongly constrained by existing controls on phenology and growth (Shaver and Kummerow, 1992). For example, the controls on autumn senescence have a strong genetic component to them that is unlikely to change except over a very long time span (Shaver and Kummerow, 1992). In addition, previous research indicates that late-season phenology in High Arctic species is controlled by photoperiod rather than by temperature (Barnes et al., 1998; Arft et al., 1999), so senescence should not be sensitive to climate warming. Still, satellite observations of the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) over the past two decades indicate a lengthening of the growing season (Myneni et al., 1997; Zhou et al., 2001; Lucht et al., 2002). But NDVI data are only surrogates of plant photosynthetic activity and the translation to actual photosynthetic gains requires additional research. The inferred changes in NDVI magnitude and growing season duration must consequently be interpreted with caution (Zhou et al., 2001). In particular, NDVI does not distinguish between quantitative (e.g., cover) and qualitative (e.g., senescence) changes of vegetation greenness; therefore, we disentangled these processes by digital image analysis, in tundra plots exposed to experimental warming in Northeast Greenland. We investigated whether higher summer temperatures modify the

seasonal variation in cover at these latitudes and alter the timing of senescence. To avoid artefacts associated with greenhouses or open top chambers (for critiques see Kennedy [1995] and Marion et al. [1997]), the vegetation was warmed by infrared irradiation with the Free Air Temperature Increase (FATI) technique (Nijs et al., 1996; Nijs et al., 2000).

## Materials and Methods

### SET UP

The study was conducted in the grassland area of the Zackenberg research station on the Northeast coast of Greenland (74°28'N, 20°34'W, 25 m a.s.l.). In this wet High Arctic tundra site with continuous permafrost, the growing season lasts approximately 2 mo, mean annual air temperature is -10.4°C, and annual precipitation 215 mm (means for 1961–90, Danish Meteorological Institute). At the start of the 1999-growing season, we selected six tundra plots (40 × 50 cm) with visually similar species composition, dominated by *Salix arctica* Pall. and *Arctagrostis latifolia* Griseb., with *Carex bigelowii* Torr. ex Schwein, *Polygonum viviparum* L., and *Dryas* spp. as subdominants. Living plant cover was subsequently estimated with pin-frames placed on the plots, which recorded the species with a vertical needle at each point of a 500-point matrix (40 × 50 cm). Plots were allocated to the two temperature groups to have approximately equal cover and species composition before heating began (ANOVA of cover by species,  $P > 0.05$ ) and were placed a minimum distance of 2 m apart to avoid interference. From 2 July to 26 August, three of these plots were continuously warmed with individual FATI-units placed on the north side, designed to increase surface temperature of the vegetation by




FIGURE 1. Seasonal variation in normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) for heated (●) and unheated (○) tundra. Readings of individual plots and fitted second-order polynomial curve.

2.5°C. The three other plots served as controls and had “dummy” FATI-units without lamps to ensure they received similar solar radiation. Over the period of warming, the surface temperature of the vegetation, monitored and controlled by noncontact semiconductor sensors (“infracouple”, type OS39-MVC-6; Omega engineering, Stamford, CT, US) was elevated on average with  $2.50 \pm \text{SD } 0.71$ ,  $2.48 \pm \text{SD } 0.34$ , and  $2.44 \pm \text{SD } 0.42^\circ\text{C}$ , above means of 9.26, 7.90, and  $7.92^\circ\text{C}$  in FATI units 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Of the instantaneous increments in surface temperature, 78% fell within  $\pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$  of the target increment of  $+2.5^\circ\text{C}$ . Soil temperature was increased on average 2.59, 2.13, 1.63, and  $0.86^\circ\text{C}$  at 2.5, 7.5, 15, and 30 cm depth, respectively; air temperature at a height of 5 cm was increased  $1.09^\circ\text{C}$ , all measured with NTC-thermistors (EC95, Thermometrics, New Jersey, US). Total cumulative photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), measured with a gallium-arsenide sensor (JYP-1000, SDEC, France), was  $2052 \text{ mol m}^{-2}$ .

## MEASUREMENTS

We first examined the seasonal dynamics of the vegetation with NDVI, a greenness index ranging from  $-1$  to  $+1$  related to the fraction of absorbed PAR. NDVI integrates effects of leaf area index and effects of absorbance per unit leaf area. For exposed soils, it varies between  $-0.2$  and  $0.5$ , which progressively increases to  $0.7$  as green cover increases (Tucker et al., 1986). At Zackenberg we measured NDVI throughout the growing season on day of the year (DOY) 184 (3 July), 189, 194, 210, 216, 218, 227, 232, and 238 (28 August), with a gallium-arsenide sensor (JYP-1000, SDEC, France), adapted for the NDVI-wavebands (Pon-tailler and Genty, 1996) at 8 cm above the ground surface. FATI systems were turned off during the measurements.

To distinguish quantitative (e.g., cover) from qualitative (e.g., senescence) changes in greenness, we recorded color images of the plots with a digital camera (Red, Green, Blue values for each of 300000 pixels, Mavica MVC-FD91, Sony Corporation, Japan). Digital color imaging has been applied successfully in assessment of both senescence (Adamsen et al., 1999) and cover (Ewing and Horton, 1999; Richardson et al., 2001). For efficient color processing, RGB was converted to HSI (hue, saturation, and intensity) with software *xv* for Linux (Gonzalez and Woods, 1992).

$$\text{Intensity} = I = (R + G + B)/3 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Saturation} = 1 - [\min(R, G, B)]/I \quad (2)$$

If  $G \geq B$ ,





FIGURE 2. A: Relative frequency distributions of hues of pixels from living plants, taken from digital images of heated and unheated tundra plots on three days of the year (DOY). Grouping hues into classes of five units smoothed the curves. Long and short vertical lines indicate the means of the distributions for the unheated and heated treatment, respectively, and arrows the shifts of these means with experimental warming. B: Hue-shift in heated (●) and unheated (○) plots relative to the start of the growing season, calculated with cross-correlation statistics. Fitted lines estimate the seasonal hue-shifts.

$$\text{Hue} = \arccos \left\{ \frac{[(R-G) + (R-B)]/2}{\sqrt{((R-G)^2)/[(R-G)*(G-B)]}} \right\} \quad (3)$$

If  $G < B$ ,

$$\text{Hue} = 360 - \arccos \left\{ \frac{[(R-G) + (R-B)]/2}{\sqrt{((R-G)^2)/[(R-G)*(G-B)]}} \right\} \quad (4)$$

Hue represents the dominant wavelength in a mixture of light waves, saturation the relative purity or the amount of white light mixed with light of a given hue, and intensity the brightness (Tang et al., 2000; Choi et al., 1995). Red, yellow, green, and blue have a hue value of 0, 60, 120, and 240, respectively. Effects of illumination intensity on hue (the Bezold-Brucke shift, Pridmore [1999]) could be discarded since the mean intensity of the images did not change significantly with date or treatment (ANOVA,  $P > 0.05$ ,  $F_{7,7} = 3.181$  and  $F_{1,7} = 0.117$  for, respectively, DOY and treatment). In the experiment, images were taken from each plot at a height of 128 cm with maximum zoom on eight dates spanning the entire growing season (DOY 182, 189, 194, 210, 218, 227, 232, and 239). From each image 300 pixels of living (green) leaves were selected at random. We excluded (i) leaf edges to avoid mixing of leaf with background color and (ii) dead material in order to detect senescence rather than mortality. To detect changes




FIGURE 3. Typical examples of digital images ( $\pm 4000$  pixels) cropped from the original images ( $\pm 300,000$  pixels) at the end of the season (DOY 239), showing living leaves (see text for filtering technique). A: From an unheated plot (mean hue 55). B: From a heated plot (mean hue 61).

in hue during the season, we calculated the relative frequency distributions of the hues of these pixels for each treatment on each DOY. Because the mean, skewness, kurtosis, variance, and standard deviation were not significantly different between replicate plots of the same treatment ( $\Rightarrow$ no plot effect, MANOVA,  $P > 0.05$ ,  $F_{2,20}$  between 0.056 and 1.762), the hue values of 300 randomly selected pixels from each replicate plot were combined into 900 hue values per treatment per date ( $\Rightarrow$ one frequency distribution). We quantified the hue-shift with cross-correlation statistics. This method shifts the frequency curves by one hue at the time and calculates each time the correlation-coefficient ( $r$ ) between the shifted curve and the curve on the starting day (DOY 182). The hue-shift is the number of hues a frequency curve had to be moved to maximize  $r$ .

With software *xv* for Linux we also determined from the digital images the quantitative component of greening, i.e. the total green cover (TGC). Two thresholds were used to quantify the number of all green and moss pixels, which corrected for the minor (1 to 10%) overlaps with bare soil and dead material (hue  $< 43$ ) and with sensors placed in the plots (hue  $> 115$ ). TGC derived in this way was not significantly different (ANOVA,  $P > 0.05$ ,  $F_{1,67} = 0.205$ ) from TGC measured with the pin-frames placed on the plots. From this pin-frame

readings we also calculated the moss cover (MC), and subsequently the higher-plant green cover (HPGC) as TGC-MC.

## Results and Discussion

Overall, NDVI was significantly higher in the heated plots (Fig. 1, ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ ,  $F_{1,105} = 10.054$ ). Effects became significant from DOY 210 onwards (separate ANOVAs on early- (DOY 184, 189, and 194) ( $P > 0.05$ ,  $F_{1,33} = 0.246$ ), mid- (DOY 210, 216, and 218) ( $P < 0.05$ ,  $F_{1,33} = 6.787$ ), and late-season data (DOY 227, 232, and 238) ( $P < 0.01$ ,  $F_{1,33} = 29.980$ )). In spite of the accelerated greening, the peak NDVI value did not shift (standard-error overlap of peak DOY). In contrast to this, the NDVI value, observed in the heated plots at the end of the season (0.60 on DOY 238), was reached 10 d earlier in the unheated plots. This may indicate that whole-season warming delayed the onset of senescence and/or decelerated the senescence process, in spite of its assumed photoperiodic control. However, higher NDVI after the mid-season peak might equally arise from increased or prolonged growth in this period. The origin of the NDVI increase under experimental warming was identified from the image analysis results.




FIGURE 4. A: Percentage total green cover (TGC), determined either from digital images (heated  $\bullet$  and unheated  $\circ$ ) or from pin-frame measurements (heated  $\blacklozenge$  and unheated  $\diamond$ ). B: Percentage higher-plant green cover (HPGC, heated  $\blacktriangle$  and unheated  $\triangle$ ), determined from both techniques combined (see text), in the course of the growing season. Readings of individual plots and fitted second-order polynomial curve.

Figure 2A shows the relative frequency distribution of the hues from heated and unheated plots on DOY 182, 218, and 239. During the season, the hue shifted from green to yellow-green, which we quantified with cross-correlation statistics (Fig. 2B). Hue-shifts are related to senescence because the mean hue of the images was negative linear related with the percentage dead cover in the plots ( $r^2 = 0.83$ ), indicating that more vegetation had senesced in plots with a lower hue. Also the research of Adamsen et al. (1999) confirms the utility of digital images for studying “greenness,” they found that the average green to red ratio (G/R) of an image and the chlorophyll measurements showed similar temporal trends and had a good correlation ( $r^2 = 0.91$ ). Experimental warming significantly retarded the seasonal hue-shift (ANOVA on pooled samples,  $P < 0.05$ ,  $F_{1,13} = 8.519$ ), by 6.0 units by the end of August (Fig. 2B, average shift  $-17.0$  instead of  $-23.0$  in the controls, or a 15-d delay). The end-of-season difference in hue is visible in Figure 3: unheated vegetation (Fig. 3A) was substantially more yellow than heated vegetation (Fig. 3B). These data elucidate that NDVI findings of growing season extension can indeed be caused by the fact that the senescence process *itself* is slower or delayed in a warmer climate. As the hue started shifting towards yellow almost immediately after the start of the growing season (Fig. 2B), senescence is clearly a gradual process, possibly with a different onset in different species.

Overall, experimental warming significantly increased green cover (all components, Fig. 4, MANOVA,  $P < 0.01$ ,  $F_{1,45} = 13.979$  for TGC and  $F_{1,45} = 39.949$  for HPGC). For example, at the time of peak cover (DOY 205), TGC derived from the images was enhanced from 59.1 to 67.3%, and HPGC from 45.8 to 58.2%. The 12.4% increase in HPGC at this time augmented to 18.6% by the end of the season. Time of peak TGC or HPGC was not affected by the warming (standard error overlap of peak DOY). These results cohere with our NDVI measurements and confirm that plant growth was higher in the warmer plots. Whether this was still the case after the seasonal peak we cannot ascertain, because decreases in cover in this period reflect the balance of remaining plant growth and mortality. Two species, *Arctagrostis latifolia* and *Polygonum viviparum*, seemed to contribute most to the greening, although no species contributed significantly (MANOVA,  $P > 0.05$ ,  $F_{1,5}$  between 0.007 and 4.419). Species-specific warming responses have been observed before and sometimes compensate for each other (Chapin and Shaver, 1996) due to space limitations. This was not the case here, probably because our tundra plots had sparse vegetation (10.0, 10.8, and 18.8% bare ground, moss, and dead material, respectively, at the time of peak cover).

The results of this study shed more light on satellite data of NDVI and greening trends (Myneni et al., 1997, 1998; Tucker et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2001; Bogaert et al., 2002; Lucht et al., 2002; Shabanov et al., 2002). Between 1982 and 1999, NDVI increased 8.44 and 12.41% over Eurasia and North America, respectively (Zhou et al., 2001). During the same period, the greatest average NDVI increase at latitudes  $>35^\circ\text{N}$  (i.e. 15%), was observed between  $65$  and  $75^\circ\text{N}$  in North America (Tucker et al., 2001). For vegetated surfaces between  $40$  and  $70^\circ\text{N}$ , Tucker et al. (2002) found a statistically meaningful relationship between NDVI changes and land surface temperature and concluded that higher northern latitude vegetation is responding to warmer temperatures by starting the growing season earlier and continuing longer. Also according to a biogeochemical model using climate data, the observed trends towards earlier spring, delayed autumn and increased maximum leaf area index, were mainly a consequence of changes in temperature (Lucht et al., 2002). Our data collected on the ground at high latitude in Northeast Greenland confirm this hypothesis and document for the first time that changes in greening under simulated warming can have both a qualitative and a quantitative origin (decreased hue-shift from green to yellow-green, and increased green cover, respectively). Both direct effects of temperature on growth and indirect effects, such as increased

nutrient cycling, might account for the change. Higher nutrient availability might occur because of an increase of active layer thickness and thus an improvement of drainage (Oechel and Billings, 1992; Mooney et al., 1999). Higher temperatures may mobilize nutrients previously frozen in the soil (Fung, 1997). A warmer soil can also stimulate root activity and uptake of nutrients (Oechel and Billings, 1992). As a consequence, plant growth and leaf area index could increase (Hobbie et al., 2002). We conclude that even moderate warming with  $+2.5^\circ\text{C}$  has the potential to significantly alter seasonal plant growth patterns in the High Arctic, and to lift temperature constraints to the extent that plant activity is maintained later in the season. Whether this enhances the risk of early frost damage, or of untimely resorption of nutrients from senescing leaves, remains unknown.

## Acknowledgments

We thank the Danish Polar Centre for providing access to and logistics at the research station at Zackenberg under the framework program ZERO. This study was supported by the Fund for Scientific Research-Flanders (F.W.O., Belgium) under contract G.0086.98.

## References Cited

- Adamsen, F. J., Pinter, P. J., Barnes, E. M., LaMorte, R. L., Wall, G. W., Leavitt, S. W., and Kimball, B. A., 1999: Measuring wheat senescence with a digital camera. *Crop Science*, 39: 719–724.
- Arft, A. M., Walker, M. D., Gurevitch, J., Alatalo, J. M., Bret-Harte, M. S., Dale, M., Diemer, M., Gugerli, F., Henry, G. H. R., Jones, M. H., Hollister, R. D., Jonsdottir, I., Laine, K., Lévesque, E., Marion, G. M., Molau, U., Molgaard, P., Nordenhall, U., Rashiviv, V., Robinson, C. H., Starr, G., Stenstrom, A., Stenstrom, M., Totland, O., Turner, P. L., Walker, L., Webber, P. J., Welker, J. M., and Wookey, P. A., 1999: Responses of tundra plants to experimental warming: meta-analysis of the international tundra experiment. *Ecological Monographs*, 69: 491–511.
- Barnes, B. V., Zak, D. R., Denton, S. R., and Spurr, S. H., 1998: *Forest Ecology*. New York: Wiley. 774 pp.
- Bogaert, J., Zhou, L., Tucker, C. J., Myneni, R. B., and Ceulemans, R., 2002: Evidence for a persistent and extensive greening trend in Eurasia inferred from satellite vegetation index data. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107, DOI 10.1029/2001JD001075.
- Chapin, F. S. and Shaver, G. R., 1996: Physiological and growth responses of arctic plants to a field experiment simulating climatic change. *Ecology*, 77: 822–840.
- Choi, K., Lee, G., Han, Y. J., and Bunn, J. M., 1995: Tomato maturity evaluation using color image analysis. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 38: 171–176.
- Ewing, R. P. and Horton, R., 1999: Quantitative color image analysis of agronomic images. *Agronomy Journal*, 91: 148–153.
- Fung, I., 1997: A Greener North. *Nature*, 386: 659–660.
- Gonzalez, R. C. and Woods, R. E., 1992: *Digital Image Processing*. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley Publishing Co. 716 pp.
- Hobbie, S. E., Nadelhoffer, K. J., and Hogberg, P., 2002: A synthesis: the role of nutrients as constraints on carbon balances in boreal and arctic regions. *Plant and Soil*, 242: 163–170.
- Kane, D. L., Hinzman, L. D., Woo, M.-K., and Everett, K. R., 1992: Arctic hydrology and climate change. In Chapin, F. S., Jefferies, R. L., Reynolds, J. F., Shaver, G. R., and Svoboda, J., (eds.), *Arctic Ecosystems in a Changing Climate: An Ecophysiological Perspective*. San Diego: Academic Press, 35–55.
- Kennedy, A. D., 1995: Simulated climate change: are passive greenhouses a valid microcosm for testing the biological effects of environmental perturbations? *Global Change Biology*, 1: 29–42.
- Lucht, W., Prentice, I. C., Myneni, R. B., Stith, S., Friedlingstein, P., Cramer, W., Bousquet, P., Buermann, W., and Smith, B., 2002: Climatic control of the high-latitude vegetation greening trend and Pinatubo effect. *Science*, 296: 1687–1689.

- Marion, G. M., Henry, G. H. R., Freckman, D. W., Johnstone, J., Jones, G., Jones, M. H., Lévesque, E., Molau, U., Molgaard, P., Parsons, A. N., Svoboda, J., and Virginia, R. A., 1997: Open-top design for manipulating field temperature in high-latitude ecosystems. *Global Change Biology*, 3: 20–32.
- Maxwell, B., 1992: Arctic Climate: potential for change under global warming. In Chapin, F. S., Jefferies, R. L., Reynolds, J. F., Shaver, G. R., and Svoboda, J., (eds.), *Arctic Ecosystems in a Changing Climate: An Ecophysiological Perspective*. San Diego: Academic Press, 11–34.
- McCarthy, J. J., Canziani, O. F., Leary, N. A., Dokken, D. J., and White, K. S., 2001: *Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 1032 pp.
- Mooney, H. A., Canadell, J., Chapin, F. S., III., Ehleringer, J. R., Kömer, Ch., McMurtrie, R. E., Parton, W. J., Pitelka, L. F., and Schulze, E. D., 1999: Ecosystem physiology responses to global change. In Walker, B., Steffen, W., Canadell, J., and Ingram, J., (eds.), *The Terrestrial Biosphere and Global Change: Implications for Natural and Managed Ecosystems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 141–189.
- Myneni, R. B., Keeling, C. D., Tucker, C. J., Asrar, G., and Nemani, R. R., 1997: Increased plant growth in the northern high latitudes from 1981 to 1991. *Nature*, 386: 698–702.
- Myneni, R. B., Tucker, C. J., Asrar, G., and Keeling, C. D., 1998: Interannual variations in satellite-sensed vegetation index data from 1981 to 1991. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103: 6145–6160.
- Nijs, I., Kockelbergh, F., Heuer, M., Beyens, L., Trappeniers, K., and Impens, I., 2000: Climate-warming simulation in tundra: enhanced precision and repeatability with an improved infrared-heating device. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research* 32: 242–253.
- Nijs, I., Kockelbergh, F., Teughels, H., Blum, H., Hendrey, G., and Impens, I., 1996: Free Air Temperature Increase (FATI): a new tool to study global warming effects on plants in the field. *Plant Cell Environment*, 19: 495–502.
- Oechel, W. C., and Billings, W. D., 1992: Effects of global change on the carbon balance of Arctic plants and ecosystems. In Chapin, F. S., III., Jefferies, R. L., Reynolds, J. F., Shaver, G. R., and Svoboda, J., (eds.), *Arctic Ecosystems in a Changing Climate: An Ecophysiological Perspective*. San Diego: Academic Press, 139–168.
- Pontailier, J. Y. and Genty, B., 1996: A simple red: far-red sensor using gallium arsenide phosphide detectors. *Functional Ecology*, 10: 535–540.
- Pridmore, R. W., 1999: Bezold-Brucke hue-shift as functions of luminance level, luminance ratio, interstimulus interval and adapting white for aperture and object colors. *Vision Research*, 39: 3873–3891.
- Richardson, M. D., Karcher, D. E., and Purcell, L. C., 2001: Quantifying turfgrass cover using digital image analysis. *Crop Science*, 41: 1884–1888.
- Shabanov, N. V., Zhou, L., Knyazikhin, Y., Myneni, R. B., and Tucker, C. J., 2002: Analysis of interannual changes in northern vegetation activity observed in AVHRR data from 1981 to 1994. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 40: 115–130.
- Shaver, G. R. and Kummerov, J., 1992: Phenology, resource allocation, and growth of Arctic vascular plants. In Chapin, F. S., Jefferies, R. L., Reynolds, J. F., Shaver, G. R., and Svoboda, J., (eds.), *Arctic Ecosystems in a Changing Climate: An Ecophysiological Perspective*. San Diego: Academic Press, 193–211.
- Tang, L., Tian, L., and Steward, B. L., 2000: Color image segmentation with genetic algorithm for in field weed sensing. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 43: 1019–1027.
- Tucker, C. J., Fung, I. Y., Keeling, C. D., and Gammon, R. H., 1986: Relationship between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> variations and a satellite-derived vegetation index. *Nature*, 319: 195–199.
- Tucker, C. J., Slaybeck, D. A., Pinzon, J. E., Los, S. O., Myneni, R. B., and Taylor, M. G., 2001: Higher northern latitude NDVI and growing season trends from 1982 to 1999. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 45: 184–190.
- Watson, R. T., Zinyowera, M. C., and Moss, R. H., 1998: *The Regional Impacts of Climate Change: An Assessment of Vulnerability*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 517 pp.
- Zhou, L., Tucker, C. J., Kaufmann, R. K., Slayback, D., Shabanov, N. V., and Myneni, R. B., 2001: Variations in northern vegetation activity inferred from satellite data of vegetation index during 1981 to 1999. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106: 20069–20083.

Ms submitted April 2003

Ms accepted February 2004