

Cold Season Respiration Across a Low Arctic Landscape: the Influence of Vegetation Type, Snow Depth, and Interannual Climatic Variation

Author: Grogan, Paul

Source: Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 44(4) : 446-456

Published By: Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR), University of Colorado

URL: https://doi.org/10.1657/1938-4246-44.4.446

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Cold Season Respiration across a Low Arctic Landscape: the Influence of Vegetation Type, Snow Depth, and Interannual Climatic Variation

Paul Grogan **Abstract**

Cold season respiration may significantly affect arctic terrestrial ecosystem annual net Department of Biology, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, K7L 3N6, carbon balances. Here, the influences of vegetation type, experimentally deepened snow, Canada. groganp@queensu.ca and interannual climatic variation on total cold season $CO₂$ efflux were investigated in a Canadian low arctic site containing dry heath, tall birch understory, birch hummock, and wet sedge ecosystems.

> Total efflux ranged from 34 to 126 g CO_2 -C m⁻² among the vegetation types, with the tall birch understory respiring at least twice that of the birch hummock and four times that of either the dry heath or wet sedge. This variation did not correlate with soil temperature differences alone, but instead was attributed to ecosystem-specific interactions between snow depth, vegetation canopy cover, soil temperature, and moisture, as well as differences in plant biomass and litter production. Respiration from the birch hummock site was twice as high in 2006/2007 (the year of relatively warm fall and late winter soil temperature phases) as compared to 2004/2005, and was enhanced by the snow fence treatment only in the latter year. Together, these data demonstrate that cold season $CO₂$ release differs substantially among tundra vegetation types, and strongly suggest that these effluxes can significantly offset growing season carbon gains, resulting in annual net carbon losses in some years.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1657/1938-4246-44.4.446

Introduction

The carbon (C) balance of the Arctic is important to understanding and predicting global climate change (ACIA, 2005; Solomon et al., 2007) because the northern circumpolar permafrost region contains twice as much C as is currently in the entire atmosphere (Schuur et al., 2008). Warming of the Earth's climate is occurring most rapidly in the arctic region (Hinzman et al., 2005), and is consistently predicted to be greatest during winter rather than summer over the course of this century (ACIA, 2005; Solomon et al., 2007). Permafrost thawing and enhanced microbial decomposition of high-latitude soil organic matter as a result of rising temperatures will lead to a substantial release of $CO₂$ to the atmosphere, further amplifying global warming if this release is not matched by enhanced uptake (Lashof et al., 1997; McGuire et al., 2010). Although the controls and vegetation feedbacks affecting growing season C balance in the Arctic have been intensively researched for several decades (e.g. Shaver et al., 1992; Oechel et al., 1993; Michelsen et al., 1996; Aurela et al., 1998; Soegaard and Nordstroem, 1999; Oechel et al., 2000; Chapin et al., 2005; Lafleur and Humphreys, 2008), only in the last \sim 15 years has it become clear that significant biological activity can continue through the long cold season (i.e. fall, winter, and early spring) at high latitudes (Oechel et al., 1997; Fahnestock et al., 1999; Rivkina et al., 2000; Mikan et al., 2002; Panikov et al., 2006; Larsen et al., 2007b). Accordingly, there is now an urgent need to quantify the magnitude of arctic tundra $CO₂$ efflux during the cold season, and its spatial and temporal variability at the plot, ecosystem, and landscape scales (Hobbie et al., 2000; Lafleur and Humphreys, 2008).

Variation in vegetation type is clearly an important control on summertime $CO₂$ exchange across arctic tundra landscapes (McFadden et al., 1998; Christensen et al., 2000; Nobrega and Grogan, 2008), and therefore it may also be an important control on cold season CO₂ effluxes. In one of the very few arctic studies specifically addressing this topic, instantaneous rates of $CO₂$ efflux on three sampling days between March and May varied significantly among a wide range of northern Alaskan tundra vegetation types (Fahnestock et al., 1998). To the best of my knowledge, all other investigations of winter respiration in the Arctic have been focused on single or at most two vegetation types (Oechel et al., 1997; Grogan et al., 2001; Grogan and Jonasson, 2005, 2006; Larsen et al., 2007a; Nobrega and Grogan, 2007; Sullivan et al., 2008; Morgner et al., 2010), and none have included interannual comparisons. Accordingly, this study is novel in that: (a) it compares full cold season $CO₂$ effluxes (i.e. cumulative release from early fall to spring) across a wide range of low arctic vegetation types; (b) it includes $CO₂$ efflux measurements from the understory of a tall shrub ecosystem—a vegetation type that is of particular interest because it seems to be expanding in cover across the low Arctic over the past few decades (Chapin et al., 1995; Bret-Harte et al., 2001; Stow et al., 2004; Tape et al., 2006; Walker et al., 2006), and its relatively high production of woody stems that decompose slowly is likely to enhance growing season net C uptake; and (c) it reports interannual variation in winter respiration from one of the vegetation types (a birch hummock site in which $CO₂$ effluxes were measured from ambient and experimentally deepened snow plots as part of an earlier study; Nobrega and Grogan, 2007).

Snow accumulation clearly influences rates of winter respiration (Brooks et al., 2011), but its relative importance compared to

1523-0430/6 \$7.00

vegetation type in determining landscape-level patterns of $CO₂$ efflux may depend on the extent of snow depth. In areas of deep snow $(>1$ m), and in most experimental snow-fence studies, soil (and subsurface snow) temperatures are often very close to 0° C (Taras et al., 2002; Brooks et al., 2011), resulting in high respiration rates (Walker et al., 1999). In a study of sites under such conditions, total winter $CO₂$ production did not differ between heath and birch forest understory vegetation types (Grogan and Jonasson, 2006). By contrast, in shallow snow areas, temperatures are much colder and therefore respiration rates are relatively low, but in these circumstances, efflux may differ significantly between the same vegetation types (Grogan and Jonasson, 2006). These results suggest that under low to moderate snow depths $(<1$ m), vegetation type exerts a significant influence on landscape level patterns of cold season $CO₂$ efflux. The study reported here tests this hypothesis across a wide range of vegetation types.

Interannual variability in growing season arctic tundra net ecosystem $CO₂$ exchange can vary substantially, and therefore may have a significant impact on annual net C balance (Vourlitis and Oechel, 1999; Lafleur and Humphreys, 2008). However, the latter authors point out that given the small magnitude of growing season C gains typically observed in low arctic tundra over successive years, even low rates of $CO₂$ efflux over the cold season have the potential to greatly influence whether a site is ultimately a net C sink, source, or neutral on an annual basis. Therefore, it is important to determine not only the magnitude of cold season $CO₂$ efflux, but also the extent to which that magnitude may vary interannually. Here, cold season $CO₂$ effluxes from the same vegetation type (using the same measurement technique and the same plots) over two climatically different winters are compared. Interannual variation in efflux is interpreted according to corresponding soil and air temperature and ambient snow depth data. Finally, although it is well established that experimentally deepened snow enhances winter respiration (e.g. Nobrega and Grogan, 2007; Walker et al., 1999), the potential interaction between interannual climatic variation and deepened snow effects on cold season respiration has not been explored. Here, the influence of experimentally deepened snow on $CO₂$ effluxes in these two winters is investigated (using the same snow-fence plots in the same vegetation type in both years) to determine the consistency of the effect, and the potential impact of climatic variation.

The following hypotheses were tested: (1) Total $CO₂$ efflux during the cold season differs significantly among the principal vegetation types of the low Arctic. (2) Vegetation type is more important than snow depth in determining cold season $CO₂$ efflux across tundra landscapes where snow accumulation is low to moderate (<1 m). (3) Cold season $CO₂$ efflux from birch hummock tundra vegetation is significantly larger in years with relatively warm fall and late winter/spring phases. (4) Experimentally deepened snow (increased from 0.3 to 1 m) does not enhance cold season $CO₂$ efflux from birch hummock tundra in years when the snow-fence moderating effect on soil temperature is confined to the mid-winter deep cold phase.

Methods

SITE DESCRIPTION

This study was conducted near the Tundra Ecological Research Station at Daring Lake, Northwest Territories, Canada

 $(64°52'N, 111°35'W)$, which is located 300 km northeast of Yellowknife, close to the geographic center of the western continental Arctic within the Coppermine River drainage basin. The region is characterized by numerous Canadian Shield outcrops and occasional eskers that were formed toward the end of the most recent glacial period (Rampton, 2000). A hydrologically driven mosaic of vegetation types including dry heath tundra, dwarf birch tundra, and inundated wet sedge tundra occurs within the lowlands and gentle slope depressions between the eskers and outcrops near Daring Lake (Nobrega and Grogan, 2008). Patches of tall birch vegetation are found scattered across the landscape in mesic and wet locations, but generally close to areas of obvious periodic surface water flow, or in areas protected from wind, and where snow preferentially accumulates (Obst, 2008). The soils underlying these vegetation types generally consist of an organic layer overlying sand and silt, and the whole region is underlain by continuous permafrost with a soil active layer ranging from 0.3 to 2 m (Nobrega and Grogan, 2008; Obst, 2008). The research site lies in the center of the summer range of the Bathurst caribou herd (*Rangifer tarandus groenlandicus*), whose population has been in severe decline since the mid-1980s (Adamczewski et al., 2009).

Local climate records indicate daily mean air temperatures ranging from as low as -40 °C in winter to as high as 22 °C in summer, with a mean annual rainfall of 152 mm (S.D. = 54; 1996–2010; Bob Reid, Department of Indian and Northern Affairs, Canada, unpublished data). Snow is present for \sim 200 days (mid-October to mid-June), with snow depth generally ≤ 10 cm until early November, by which time soil temperatures have dropped below 0 °C (Buckeridge and Grogan, 2008). Snow then accumulates toward maximum depths in late April and varies substantially among years (e.g. peak annual snow depth in exposed heath vegetation at the Daring Lake weather station ranged from 18 to 59 cm (mean 36 cm) over the period 1997–2010).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

This experiment compared cumulative $CO₂$ effluxes among dry heath, tall birch understory, birch hummock, and wet sedge vegetation types over the cold season of 2006/2007. In addition, $CO₂$ effluxes from snow-fenced birch hummock plots that had previously been measured over the cold season of 2004/2005 (Nobrega and Grogan, 2007) were determined using the same measurement protocol (see below). These snow fences (1.2 m tall, 15 m long, and 30–60 m apart; $n = 5$) typically increase peak snow depth from \sim 0.3 to \sim 1 m and extend spring snow cover duration by \sim 10 days (Nobrega and Grogan, 2007; Buckeridge and Grogan, 2010). Properties of the vegetation types and their associated soils have been described elsewhere (Nobrega and Grogan, 2007, 2008; Buckeridge et al., 2010; Chu and Grogan, 2010). Briefly, dry heath vegetation is dominated by mosses and lichens with some matforming evergreen shrubs [*Rhododendron subarctica* (Harmaja) formerly *Ledum decumbens* (Ait.), *Vaccinium vitis-idaea* (L.), *Empetrum nigrum* (L.), *Loiseleuria procumbens* (L.), and *Arctostaphylos alpina* (L.)], and occasional deciduous dwarf shrubs [*Betula glandulosa* (Michx.) and *Vaccinium uliginosum* (L.)] and graminoids (mostly *Carex* spp.). Mesic birch hummock ecosystems are characterized by hummocks 10–30 cm high and deciduous dwarf birch (*B. glandulosa*) shrubs (10–40 cm tall, and \sim 2 clusters of

ramets m^{-2}). The vegetation is dominated by mosses, lichens, and dwarf ericaceous shrubs [*V. vitis-idaea*, *V. uliginosum*, *L. decumbens*, *Andromeda polifolia* (L.)], with occasional sedges and herbs (*Rubus chamaemorus* L.). The tall birch ecosystem contains a dense cover of tall (50–150 cm high) *B. glandulosa* shrubs, with an understory of similar species composition as the birch hummock vegetation (above). Wet sedge vegetation consists of *Carex* and *Eriophorum* spp. above a thick layer of mainly *Sphagnum* spp. and algae, and occurs in low-lying flat areas that are generally inundated with surface water for a substantial part of the growing season.

Flux measurement sampling areas were randomly selected within single large patches of dry heath and wet sedge vegetation $(n = 8$ and 7, respectively). At the tall birch site, sampling areas $(n = 9)$ that were not in obvious ungulate pathways between the birch shrubs were selected in order to measure $CO₂$ efflux from the understory vegetation (i.e. the size of the tall birch shrubs precluded them from being included in the sampling chambers). In the birch hummock vegetation, each flux chamber sampling area within the control and snow-fence plots was centered on a single mature dwarf birch shrub and its surrounding evergreen and moss vegetation (*n* $= 9$ sampling areas in total for each treatment, $1-2$ per plot). As in the 2004/2005 study (Nobrega and Grogan, 2007), sampling areas in the snow-fenced plots were located \sim 1.5 m perpendicular to the south-facing side of the fences and at least 2 m in from the ends of the fences.

FLUX AND ENVIRONMENTAL MEASURES

Ecosystem respiration during the cold season was measured with the soda lime $CO₂$ adsorption technique (Edwards, 1982; Grogan, 1998; Keith and Wong, 2006) using a protocol very similar to our previous respiration study at this site (Nobrega and Grogan, 2007). Note that the soda lime technique estimates *cumulative production* of CO₂ from the enclosed vegetation and soil over the full cold season, including fall, as well as spring snowmelt. In the latter period in particular, substantial flushes of $CO₂$ that have built up over winter in the soil and within the snow can be rapidly vented to the atmosphere as the snow melts (Oechel et al., 1997; Elberling and Brandt, 2003; Morgner et al., 2010). Although it has several other methodological constraints (see below), the soda lime technique is in theory unaffected by this particular phenomenon since it is directly capturing the $CO₂$ produced from the soil and enclosed vegetation during the cold season. Subnivean $CO₂$ build-up beneath the developing snowpack is a consequence of higher rates of production relative to diffusion out through the snow. Assuming the ultimate goal is to measure the total $CO₂$ that is produced over the period from fall through to spring snowmelt, the soda lime method in theory circumvents this issue by capturing the $CO₂$ as it is produced.

Briefly, soda lime (Indicating type, 4–8 mesh, J.T. Baker, Phillipsburg, New Jersey) was used to estimate $CO₂$ released from an enclosed area (633 cm^2) of vegetation and underlying soil into the headspace (18.9 L) of a sample chamber (inverted 5 gallon bucket, EMCO, Yellowknife, Northwest Territories). The soda lime was first placed in weighed mason jars (1 L) and dried to constant mass in a large fan-assisted oven for 162 h at 80 °C at a laboratory in Kingston, Ontario. Afterwards, the jars were closed tightly and weighed immediately to determine initial soda lime

mass $(\sim]370$ g) prior to transport to the field site. Several days prior to beginning the cold season efflux measurements, a slot $($ \sim 10 cm deep) was cut into the soil around the circumference of each sampling area to facilitate insertion of the bucket chambers and ensure a good seal. At the beginning of each flux measurement, one of the mason jars containing soda lime was opened and balanced on a platform of wooden skewers $(\sim 10$ cm above the soil surface) close to the center of each sampling area. Water $(\sim 150 \text{ mL})$ was quickly added to increase the soda lime $CO₂$ adsorption efficiency at freezing temperatures (Grogan and Chapin, 1999), and then an inverted bucket chamber was placed over the sampling area and pressed into the soil slot to \sim 10 cm depth so that all of, or for the wet sedge a major part of, the organic horizon—which contains most of the root biomass (Churchland et al., 2010)—was enclosed within the chamber. $CO₂$ adsorption by the soda lime in the headspace enhances the $CO₂$ diffusion gradient, potentially drawing $CO₂$ from a larger soil volume than that contained by the cylindrical volume directly beneath the chamber sampling area (Grogan and Chapin, 1999), and resulting in an overestimate of efflux per unit ground area. We minimized this artifact by inserting the chamber lip down into the soil to 10 cm depth, thereby isolating the sampled soil volume to that depth at least. Blanks $(n = 9$ in total) to correct for any mass changes associated with storage, transport, field exposure, and in particular $CO₂$ adsorption during final oven drying (Grogan, 1998; Keith and Wong, 2006) were placed in all sites. Each mason jar sample assigned to the blank treatment was placed in a staked upright chamber bucket (24.6 L) which was then quickly sealed with an airtight lid and left *in situ* over the cold season.

On the first day in which the soil at the sites had thawed sufficiently to lift most of the buckets in the following spring (mid-June, details below), the mason jar soda lime samples were removed from the chambers and quickly sealed. In some cases, the chambers were still frozen in place, and had to be excavated with a mallet and chisel. Deciduous plant leaf-out had not yet begun at that time in either of the measurement years, and the ensuing data interpretation assumes that any photosynthesis by other plants was negligible. The effect of $CO₂$ adsorption by the soda lime on headspace concentrations was tested by drilling very small holes in the bucket wall and immediately taking syringe gas samples prior to chamber lifting. Syringe samples were analyzed within hours on a portable gas chromatograph (SRI 8610A, Wennick Scientific Corporation, Ottawa, Ontario) fitted with a Porapak column (Alltech Canada, Guelph, Ontario) and a flame ionization detector. Mean headspace $CO₂$ concentrations in the birch hummock and tall birch chambers were 229 ppm $(n = 5; SE = 13)$ and 563 ppm $(n = 4; SE = 13)$ 77), respectively, and 76 ppm ($n = 2$; SE = 7) in the blank chambers. These test results indicate that the soda lime was still very effective at drawing down headspace $CO₂$ concentrations in the birch hummock, and especially the blank chambers, even at the end of the study. However, the higher-than-ambient values in the tall birch understory chambers indicate that the soda lime's $CO₂$ adsorption capacity had been exceeded, and therefore that the flux values obtained underestimate the total cold season $CO₂$ efflux from that ecosystem.

The soda lime chambers were in place from 28 August 2006 until 18 June 2007 (294 days) in all plots (vegetation types and birch hummock snow-fence treatment), and for 278 and 284 days (control and snow-fence birch hummock plots, respectively) over the period from 12 September–25 June in the winter 2004/2005 study (Nobrega and Grogan, 2007). After transport back to Kingston, the soda lime samples were oven-dried to constant mass (at 100 °C for 240 h followed by 24 h at 75 °C). The mass of CO_2 released during the cold season period was calculated as the increase in soda lime mass corrected $(\times 1.69)$ for water loss associated with adsorption (Grogan, 1998). Afterwards, the cold season efflux values were corrected for mean $CO₂$ adsorption in the blanks $(n = 7)$, because two were knocked over by wind or animals), and then divided by the exposed soil surface area to calculate flux per $m²$. Mean CO₂ adsorption in the blanks in the current study were 0.03 g $CO₂$ (as compared to an overall mean of 16.1 g $CO₂$ for all samples), whereas it was 100 times higher in the 2004/2005 study $(3.0 \text{ g } CO₂$ as compared to an overall mean of 11.1 g $CO₂$ for all samples from vegetated plots) (Nobrega and Grogan, 2007). Since the soda lime samples were dried at 100 °C in the current study (to comply with the latest soda lime measurement protocol (Keith and Wong, 2006) as compared to 80 $^{\circ}$ C in the previous study, I specifically tested the effect of this higher drying temperature on CO2 adsorption during the oven drying stage. Fresh soda lime samples $({\sim}40 \text{ g})$ that had been moistened (20 mL) and exposed for 20 h in the lab gained $\sim 2 \times$ more mass at the lower oven-drying temperature (corresponding to \sim 1.7 g mass increase for 400 g of soda lime if the proportional gain is constant). Finally, according to the ideal gas law, the minimum soda lime mass gain for the blanks should be the amount of $CO₂$ contained in the chamber volume $(\sim 0.018 \text{ g})$, which is very close to the 2006/2007 mean blank value. Together, these test and computation results support Keith and Wong's 2006 protocol, by strongly suggesting that most $CO₂$ adsorption by blanks occurs during the final oven-drying phase, and that this effect can be greatly reduced by rapid drying at high temperature (100 °C). Ultimately, this approach to estimating field respiration rates assumes that the drying rates for blank and exposed samples are similar. Note that the differences in soda lime blank correction values between the 2006/2007 and 2004/ 2005 studies should not affect our capacity to make inter-annual comparisons of $CO₂$ fluxes because the blank and exposed soda lime samples for each year were dried at the same oven temperature.

Soil temperatures at \sim 5 cm depth into the organic layer were measured throughout the cold season of 2006/2007 every 6 h in the tall birch, and once per day in the birch hummock control and snow-fence plots $(n = 4, 4 \text{ and } 3 \text{ probes},$ respectively, for each ecosystem) using copper-constantan thermocouples (T type, OMEGA, Stamford, Connecticut) and dataloggers (CR10 and CR10X, Campbell Scientific, Logan, Utah). Temperatures were measured adjacent to, but not within, the chambers so there was no test for biologically significant soil temperature differences as a result of the chambers being exposed to the atmosphere for periods while the surrounding vegetation was snow covered, nor for the magnitude of this effect for the different vegetation types. In theory, this effect would tend to underestimate the fluxes, especially for those vegetation types with generally shallow snow cover (dry heath and wet sedge). However, a previous test of this phenomenon (Grogan and Chapin, 1999) indicated that the decline in diel soil

temperature associated with this effect ranged from 0 to 0.7 $^{\circ}C$, and that there were subsequent deep snow periods over winter when the chambers actually enhanced soil temperature relative to the adjacent, perhaps because of the insulating properties of the trapped air within the headspace. The 2004/2005 diel mean soil temperatures in the same birch hummock control plots were based on data at \sim 2 and \sim 6 cm depth ($n = 1$ probe each) (Nobrega and Grogan, 2007). Diel mean soil temperatures (5 cm depth) in nearby dry heath and wet sedge sites (1 probe per site) were provided by Bob Reid (Department of Indian and Northern Affairs, Canada, unpublished data) and Dr. Elyn Humphreys, respectively. Similar patterns of soil temperature differences among these vegetation types have been observed with multiple replicate probes in subsequent years. Data for air temperature and snow depth (SR50A ultrasonic acoustic distance sensor, Campbell Scientific, Logan, Utah) over 2004/2005 and 2006/2007 were provided by Bob Reid.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

The influence of vegetation type on total cold season respiration was determined using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The impacts of the snow-fence treatment and year on total cold season respiration from the birch hummock ecosystem were tested using a two-way factorial ANOVA. All $CO₂$ efflux data were log transformed to pass Shapiro-Wilks normality tests prior to these analyses (JMP 8.0, SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

Results

Total respiration over the cold season of 2006/2007 differed strongly among the vegetation types ($F_{3,26} = 38.39$, $P < 0.0001$; Fig. 1). $CO₂$ effluxes were lowest in the dry heath and wet sedge sites, \sim 2 \times higher in the birch hummock, and \sim 4 \times higher in the tall birch understory ecosystem. This pattern of variation among ecosystems was partly matched by differences in soil diel mean temperatures since the tall birch soil was clearly substantially

FIGURE 1. Mean CO₂ efflux over the 2006/2007 cold season in the four principal vegetation types near Daring Lake, Northwest Territories (NWT), Canada ($n = 7-9$ **plots, bars = standard errors). Note that the respiration measurement from the tall birch ecosystem included the shoots of understory plants and all underlying roots and soil, but not the shoots of the birch shrubs that dominate the vegetation of this ecosystem.**

FIGURE 2. Diel mean soil temperatures at 5 cm depth during the 2006/2007 cold season in each of the four principal vegetation types near Daring Lake, NWT $(n = 4$ probes for the tall birch and birch hummock sites; $n = 1$ for the dry heath and wet sedge sites).

warmer than any of the other sites from December through April (Fig. 2). However, total respiration was lower in the wet sedge than in the birch hummock ecosystem even though soil temperatures in the former were warmer for much of the fall, and were similar for the remainder of the cold season (Fig. 2). Furthermore, $CO₂$ effluxes from the wet sedge and dry heath ecosystems were similar even though soil temperatures in the latter plummeted early in the fall, and were clearly substantially lower than in all of the other ecosystems throughout most of the remaining winter.

Total cold season respiration in the birch hummock vegetation was \sim 2 \times higher in 2006/2007 compared to 2004/2005, while the deepened snow treatment significantly enhanced cold season respiration in 2004/2005 (by \sim 50%), but had no effect in 2006/2007 (Year: $F_{1,31} = 32.11$, $P < 0.0001$, Snow fence: $F_{1,31} = 4.17$, *P* 0.05 ; Year \times Snow fence: F_{1,31} = 38.39, P 0.06 ; Fig. 3). Again, this pattern of $CO₂$ effluxes was at least partly matched by interannual differences in diel mean soil temperatures (Fig. 4). During 2006/2007, the control (unfenced) plot soils were generally 4-10 °C warmer from mid-September to mid-November, from early December to mid-February, and in May, than in the same periods in 2004/2005 (Fig. 4). However, in contrast, the snow fences did not enhance respiration in 2006/2007 even though the

FIGURE 3. Mean CO₂ efflux during the cold seasons of 2004/2005 **and 2006/2007 in control and snow-fenced birch hummock plots** near Daring Lake, NWT $(n = 9-10$ plots, bars $=$ standard errors).

450 / ARCTIC, ANTARCTIC, AND ALPINE RESEARCH

soils there were substantially warmer than in the control plots (Figs. 3, 4). No snow-fence soil temperature data are available for 2004/ 2005 because of a datalogger malfunction.

Discussion

LANDSCAPE-SCALE VARIATION IN ECOSYSTEM RESPIRATION DURING THE COLD SEASON

Total cold season $CO₂$ efflux differed by at least a factor of four among the four principal vegetation types of low arctic tundra (Fig. 1), supporting Hypothesis 1. By contrast, an earlier study by this author reported no significant differences in wintertime soil $CO₂$ efflux from several Alaskan low arctic vegetation types (Grogan and Chapin, 1999), but the plots in that study had previously been clipped to remove aboveground plant tissues and diminish live root influences. Together, these results along with those of more recent studies (Grogan et al., 2001; Grogan and Jonasson, 2005; Nobrega and Grogan, 2007) are all consistent in suggesting that it is very likely that plants (both shoots and roots) are responsible for a significant proportion of total winter $CO₂$ production in many ecosystems, and that ecosystem differences in winter respiration are largely driven by variation in respiration of recently fixed plant carbon rather than respiration of bulk soil carbon.

The largest $CO₂$ efflux was from the tall birch site where the chambers measured respiration from the soil, all roots, and understory shoot vegetation. Since I have concluded above that plants are a significant component of winter respiration, total ecosystem $CO₂$ efflux at the tall birch site would undoubtedly have been even larger if our flux measurement chambers had been big enough to contain the shoots of the dominant birch shrubs. Furthermore, the headspace $CO₂$ concentrations in the tall birch chambers at the end of the study were \sim 50% higher than ambient (see Methods), indicating that the soda lime had become $CO₂$ saturated. Since neither of these constraints apply to our measurements in the other ecosystems, total cold season respiration from the complete tall birch ecosystem was underestimated in absolute magnitude, and relative to the others, and therefore undoubtedly differed by *more* than a factor of four among the vegetation types in this landscape.

FIGURE 4. Diel mean soil temperatures at -**5 cm depth in control and snow-fenced birch hummock sites during** the 2006/2007 cold season ($n = 4$ and 3 probes, respec**tively) and in the same control sites during the corre**sponding period in 2004/2005 ($n = 2$ probes).

The large $CO₂$ efflux from the tall birch site was at least in part due to its relatively deep snow (Table 1) and tall vegetation canopy cover that together insulated the soil and understory vegetation from severe air temperatures. For example, the tall birch soils cooled very slowly in the fall/winter and remained above -5 °C until the beginning of February (Fig. 2) even though mean air temperatures were already below -20 °C two months earlier (Fig. 5). In addition, this ecosystem had the largest plant biomass (Table 1), and although shoots of the dominant birch shrubs were not included within the flux chambers, their abundant belowground stems and roots (Vankoughnett, 2009) must surely have contributed substantially to our measures of cold season respiration. Finally, this ecosystem has large senesced leaf litter inputs $(\sim 10$ times larger than birch hummock tundra—Grogan, unpublished data) that help to insulate the soil as well as being an important substrate for microbial respiration (Buckeridge et al., 2010).

Total cold season respiration for the birch hummock ecosystem was about half as large as from the tall birch understory, but

twice as large as from the dry heath and wet sedge ecosystems (Fig. 1). Again, relatively deep snow, warmer soils, and larger plant biomass are likely to be the primary factors contributing to the high effluxes in the birch hummock compared to the latter two ecosystems. Finally, differences in water content were probably an important factor in explaining the similar magnitudes of $CO₂$ efflux between the wettest and driest sites. Both the wet sedge and the dry heath vegetation types tended to have low snow accumulation (Table 1), resulting in relatively cool vegetation and potentially very cold soils. However, the wet sedge ecosystem is inundated with water for most of the growing season (Table 1), and consequently its soil has a high specific heat capacity which, coupled with the very high latent heat of freezing associated with the waterice phase transition, would have resulted in very slow rates of soil cooling in the fall (Fig. 2). Although plant biomass is particularly low in this ecosystem (Table 1), the relatively warm fall soil temperatures may have promoted microbial decomposition of its sedge litter inputs as well as older plant materials in its relatively deep

TABLE 1	
---------	--

Plant and soil characteristics in each of the major vegetation types near Daring Lake, Northwest Territories, Canada. Data were derived from two separate previous studies (Vankoughnett, 2009) (Tall birch and Birch hummock) and (Nobrega and Grogan, 2008) (Dry heath and Wet sedge).

^aMean snow depths ($n = 14-88$) at the flux measurement sites on 12–13 May 2009. Similar values were recorded for the birch hummock and tall birch sites in the late winters of 2007 and 2008 (Vankoughnett, 2009).

^bVascular biomass includes above- plus belowground plant components.

^cActive layer depths $(n = 15)$ were measured in late August (Tall birch) and mid-September.

^dSoil moisture data for tall birch and birch hummock sites are for the top 5 cm of soil during summer 2008 (Vankoughnett, 2009), and for the dry heath and wet sedge sites are for the top 10 cm of soil during the summer of 2004 (Nobrega and Grogan, 2008). Total summer rainfall was almost identical (~120 mm) in both years (Bob Reid, Department of Indian and Northern Affairs, Canada).

FIGURE 5. Diel mean air temperatures and snow depths in dry heath vegetation at the Daring Lake weather station during the cold seasons of 2004/2005 and 2006/2007 (data courtesy of Bob Reid, Department of Indian and Northern Affairs, Canada).

organic layer. By contrast, the similar total cold season efflux from the dry heath site may be the consequence of a much larger plant biomass, but particularly early and rapid cooling to severe temperatures in the well-drained soils of this ecosystem (Fig. 3). I conclude that the differences in cold season $CO₂$ efflux among low arctic ecosystems demonstrated here cannot be attributed to differences in soil (and subsurface snow around the shoot vegetation) temperatures alone, but rather are likely the result of its interactions with snow depth, canopy cover, and soil moisture, as well as differences in plant biomass and litter production.

THE INFLUENCE OF SNOW DEPTH ON COLD SEASON CO2 EFFLUX

Total cold season respiration correlated with peak snow depth for the four vegetation types (Table 1, Fig. 1), suggesting that variation in snow accumulation across the landscape may be a primary determinant of CO*²* efflux. However, peak snow depth in the snow fences was typically 1 m—almost twice as high as in the tall birch ecosystem (Table 1), and yet CO*²* efflux in the former was about half that of the latter (Fig. 1). Furthermore, although the snow fences increased peak snow depth to levels \sim 3 \times ambient, this treatment did not significantly increase cold season respiration from the birch hummock ecosystem in 2006/2007 (Fig. 3). Even in 2004/2005, the snow fence treatment only enhanced cold season $CO₂$ efflux by \sim 0.5. By contrast, the variation in efflux among ecosystems was at least a factor of four (Fig. 1). These results indicate that landscape-scale variation in cold season $CO₂$ efflux was influenced more by differences in microclimatic and biogeochemical characteristics associated with the vegetation types than by snow depth, supporting Hypothesis 2. Note that this conclusion applies to tundra vegetation types where snow accumulation is low to moderate $(< 1$ m) and therefore winter soil temperatures are generally well below freezing. An earlier study based on just two vegetation types reached a similar conclusion (Grogan and Jonasson, 2006). However, where snow can accumulate above the \sim 1 m threshold, soil (and subsurface snow around the shoot vegetation) temperatures were the primary determinant of efflux, and variation in efflux between the two vegetation types tested was negligible (Grogan and Jonasson, 2006). These latter results suggest that where snow accumulation is substantial (i.e. >1 m), landscapescale variation in cold season respiration is determined more by variation in snow depth than by variation in vegetation type (Grogan and Jonasson, 2006).

INTERANNUAL VARIATION IN COLD SEASON RESPIRATION FROM BIRCH HUMMOCK TUNDRA

Total cold season $CO₂$ efflux from the birch hummock tundra ecosystem was almost twice as high in 2006/2007 than in 2004/ 2005 (Fig. 3). The Daring Lake weather station data indicate that air temperatures were much colder in 2004/2005 than in 2006/2007 during three separate periods: mid-fall (mid-September to end of October), the deep cold phase (mid- to late November, after which the sensors malfunctioned), and late winter/early spring (end of April to mid-June) (Fig. 5). For example, the overall mean air temperature from 16 September to 4 November was -6.1 °C in 2004/2005 and -2.5 °C in 2006/2007 (Fig. 5), resulting in corresponding mean soil temperatures (5 cm depth) in the birch hummock site of -1.8 and $+0.4$ °C, respectively (Fig. 4). Mean soil temperatures from 3 December to 12 February were -15.0 °C in 2004/2005 and -10.1 °C in 2006/2007 (Fig. 4). Finally, the overall mean air temperature from 29 April to 17 June was -2.4 °C in 2004/2005 and -0.4 °C in 2006/2007, resulting in corresponding mean soil temperatures of -7.5 and -2.2 °C, respectively. Together, these data clearly demonstrate that air and soil temperatures were substantially cooler over several different phases during the cold season of 2004/2005 as compared to 2006/2007. By implication, temperatures in the subsurface snow surrounding the plant shoots must also have followed a similar pattern. Since soil, plant, and whole ecosystem respiration are exponentially related to temperature (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994; Grogan and Jonasson, 2005; Davidson and Janssens, 2006), I conclude that the much larger $CO₂$ efflux in 2006/2007 is primarily the result of the relatively warm mid-fall and late winter/spring phases in that year, supporting Hypothesis 3.

INTERANNUAL VARIATION IN THE INFLUENCE OF DEEPENED SNOW ON COLD SEASON RESPIRATION FROM BIRCH HUM-MOCK TUNDRA

The snow-fence results were surprising in that the treatment enhanced cold season respiration in 2004/2005—consistent with all other comparable arctic tundra studies of which I am aware (Walker et al., 1999; Schimel et al., 2004; Larsen et al., 2007a; Morgner et al., 2010) —but had no effect in 2006/2007 (Fig. 3). This novel result should be very robust in the sense that it is based on a *relative* comparison (rather than absolute accuracy) using the same rigorous application of a measurement technique that was applied to the same plots in both years. The absence of a snowfence stimulatory effect on cold season respiration in the latter year may be attributed to at least two potentially interacting factors: temperature (air, snow, and soil) and snow (timing and depth).

First, the snow-fence treatment restricted soil temperature declines only during the deep cold phase (mid-December to end of April) of 2006/2007, when soils were generally well below -5° C (Fig. 4). Since respiration rates decrease at exponential or even greater rates as temperature declines over sub-zero temperatures (Mikan et al., 2002), the contribution of the deep cold phase to total cold season $CO₂$ efflux may be small compared to the 'shoulder' phases, resulting in a negligible snow-fence effect in 2006/ 2007. Unfortunately, the snow-fence soil temperatures for 2004/ 2005 are not available (because the datalogger failed), but the consistent pattern of warmer and more stable temperature profiles in these snow fences in all subsequent years (Buckeridge and Grogan, 2008; Vankoughnett, 2009) strongly suggests a similar effect in that year. Nevertheless, soil temperatures in the control plots were obviously much cooler during both fall and late winter shoulder phases of 2004/2005 than in 2006/2007 (Fig. 4), implying a greater *potential* for snow-fence effects in the former year.

Second, significant snow accumulation in the exposed heath tundra vegetation directly beneath the Daring Lake weather station began in late November of 2006/2007—a month later than in 2004/ 2005 (Fig. 5)—restricting the likelihood of a snow-fence effect on respiration during the fall phase of 2006/2007 as compared to the earlier year. The post-fall patterns of snow accumulation in the control and snow-fence plots and their effects in ameliorating air temperatures in the two years are more complex. Although the weather station data indicate substantially deeper snow from early February onwards in 2006/2007 than in 2004/2005 (Fig. 5), our on-site measures in late winter indicated very similar mean snow depths in both years in the birch hummock (28 and 30 cm on 17 April and 18 May 2005, and 29 and 31 cm on 8 April and 10 May 2007) and snow-fenced birch hummock plots (100 cm on both 17 April and 18 May 2005, and 87 and 101 cm on 8 April and 10 May 2007). Clearly, temporal and spatial heterogeneities in snow accumulation due to re-dispersal across the landscape necessitate caution in interpreting the weather station snow data in the context of the snow-fence treatment on the birch hummock plots (0.5–1 km away). Nevertheless, since soil temperature is almost entirely insulated from air temperature at snow depths exceeding 0.8–1 m (Taras et al., 2002; Grogan and Jonasson, 2006), and these levels were reached in the snow-fenced plots in late winter in *both* years, but the control plot soils were >5 °C cooler in 2004/2005 than in 2006/2007 from late April onwards (Fig. 4), the magnitude of any deepened snow effect on respiration during the late winter shoulder phase is likely to have been greater in the former year. In summary, the results support Hypothesis 4 by demonstrating that the effect of increased snow depth on cold season $CO₂$ efflux varies significantly between years, and suggest that snow-fence effects are negligible in years where they only restrict soil temperature declines during the mid-winter deep cold phase.

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF VARIATION IN COLD SEASON RESPIRA-TION AMONG VEGETATION TYPES ON ANNUAL C BALANCE

Our estimates of total cold season respiration $(34-126 \text{ g }CO_{2}^{-1})$ $C \text{ m}^{-2}$) fall well within the range of many previous studies in analogous low arctic and alpine tundra ecosystems (Sommerfeld et al., 1993; Brooks et al., 1997; Oechel et al., 1997; Mast et al., 1998; Fahnestock et al., 1999; Grogan and Jonasson, 2005; Schimel et al., 2006; Sullivan et al., 2008). Strong differences in late winter instantaneous efflux rates among a wide range of northern Alaskan tundra vegetation types have previously been reported (Fahnestock et al., 1998). However, the magnitude of the effluxes measured using the snowpack $CO₂$ diffusion technique were extremely low $(1-12 \text{ g } CO_2$ -C m⁻², assuming a 235 day winter) compared to most other studies included in a recent review (Bjorkman et al., 2010). Furthermore, differences among vegetation types were not consistent across the three measurement days, and the study's time span confines the conclusions to the mid–late winter period, when respiration might be expected to be relatively low because of generally severe soil temperatures compared to fall and early winter. Unfortunately, the severe technical challenges of gathering continuous eddy covariance measurements through the harsh arctic winter have not yet been overcome, although subarctic locations with commercial electricity supply have been successfully measured (Aurela et al., 2002). Nevertheless, a very recent eddy covariance study from northern Alaska based on 85% complete data over 2 years reported total cold season respiration effluxes of $98-113$ g $CO₂$ -C m⁻² for dry heath tundra and 132–137 g CO₂-C m⁻² for wet sedge (Euskirchen et al, 2012). It is interesting that these two vegetation types had similar effluxes—just as reported here—but the absolute magnitudes of release were \sim 3 \times larger. As the technical challenges associated with running eddy covariance towers through winter are overcome during the next decade and multiple sites are measured over multiple years, we can anticipate better accuracy in the quantification of cold season effluxes and a much improved understanding of the temporal dynamics of instantaneous $CO₂$ release rates from the snow surface through the cold season.

Of the other techniques for measuring ecosystem respiration during winter (e.g. soda lime, chamber infrared gas analysis, and diffusion gradient approach), each has its limitations (Bjorkman et al., 2010) but at least soda lime has one distinct advantage in that it should incorporate *all* CO₂ released over the full cold season, thereby including the short, often large flushes that can occur as accumulated $CO₂$ beneath the snow is released during spring melt (Elberling and Brandt, 2003; Morgner et al., 2010). In contrast to its early use in this context (e.g. Grogan and Chapin, 1999), the soda lime technique has now been substantially improved by the development of a rigorous protocol (Keith and Wong, 2006), but it still undoubtedly has certain inherent methodological constraints (Nobrega and Grogan, 2007), some of which I have specifically tried to address in its use here (see Methods). Thus, while its use to test hypotheses that depend on *relative* comparisons among vegetation types or years (i.e. the focus of this paper, and points 1 and 2 below) should not be affected by these constraints, the absolute accuracy of the fluxes should be treated with caution.

Our data can be used to make several points relating to annual net C balances of these ecosystems. First, comparison of the cold season effluxes from dry heath, birch hummock, and wet sedge vegetation types (36, 65, and 34 g CO_2 -C m⁻², respectively) with our previous infrared gas analysis static chamber estimates of growing season net C gain for 2004 (-1 , 37, and 88 g CO₂-C m⁻², respectively) (Nobrega and Grogan, 2008) indicates very strong differences in the proportion of summer net C gains relative to cold season losses among the vegetation types. In the latter study, we concluded that growing season net C gain was largest in the wet sedge ecosystem because the inundated conditions there resulted in the lowest rates of soil (and ecosystem) respiration. The data here indicate that cold season respiration rates from wet sedge ecosystems are also relatively low. I conclude that, at the landscape scale, soil C accumulation is greatest in wet sedge ecosystems across the low Arctic because both summer *and* cold season decomposition processes are relatively restricted. At the regional scale, this conclusion is consistent with the fact that sedge-dominated wetlands contain the largest soil organic C contents (to 1 m depth) among all arctic vegetation types (Tarnocai et al., 2009). Second, growing season eddy covariance measurements of net ecosystem $CO₂$ exchange in a footprint dominated by heath and birch hummock tundra at Daring Lake varied by a factor of 2 (ranging from 32 to 61 g CO_2 -C m⁻²) over the years 2004–2006 (Lafleur and Humphreys, 2008). Our data indicate that interannual variability in cold season net $CO₂$ fluxes may be just as large. Third, the data presented here—even if the method used overestimated efflux by a factor of two—suggest that cold season respiratory C losses can significantly offset growing season C gains, resulting in strong effects on annual C balance, and even net losses in some years.

Acknowledgments

I thank Haiyan Chu, Mat Vankoughnett, Meghan Laidlaw, Erik Zufelt, Elyn Humphreys, Mike Treberg, and Peter Lafleur for help in the field. Many thanks to Katherine Stewart and Darwyn Coxson for the field lab analyses of chamber headspace $CO₂$ concentrations. Bob Reid (Department of Indian and Northern Affairs Canada) kindly provided the Daring Lake weather station data. Many thanks to Elyn Humphreys for providing soil temperature data for nearby wet sedge ecosystem at Daring Lake, and for collecting snow-depth data in April 2007. I am grateful to Steve Matthews, Karin Clark, and Andy Kritsch for logistical assistance, and to the Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Government of Northwest Territories, for the facilities at the Tundra Ecosystem Research Station (TERS) at Daring Lake. This research was funded by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and the Northern Scientific Training Program (NSTP).

References Cited

- ACIA, 2005: *Arctic Climate Impact Assessment*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Adamczewski, J., Boulanger, J., Croft, B., Cluff, D., Elkin, B., Nishi, J., Kelly, A., and D'hont, A., 2009: Decline in the Bathurst caribou herd 2006–2009: a technical evaluation of field data and modeling. Yellowknife, NWT, Canada: Government of Northwest Territories.
- 454 / ARCTIC, ANTARCTIC, AND ALPINE RESEARCH
- Aurela, M., Tuovinen, J. P., and Laurila, T., 1998: Carbon dioxide exchange in a subarctic peatland ecosystem in northern Europe measured by the eddy covariance technique. *Journal of Geophysical Research–Atmospheres,* 103: 11289–11301.
- Aurela, M., Laurila, T., and Tuovinen, J. P., 2002: Annual $CO₂$ balance of a subarctic fen in northern Europe: importance of the wintertime efflux. *Journal of Geophysical Research–Atmospheres,* 107: http:// dx.doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002055.
- Bjorkman, M. P., Morgner, E., Cooper, E. J., Elberling, B., Klemedtsson, L., and Bjork, R. G., 2010: Winter carbon dioxide effluxes from Arctic ecosystems: an overview and comparison of methodologies. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles,* 24: GB3010, 10 pp., http:// dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009GB003667.
- Bret-Harte, M. S., Shaver, G. R., Zoerner, J. P., Johnstone, J. F., Wagner, J. L., Chavez, A. S., Gunkelman, R. F., Lippert, S. C., and Laundre, J. A., 2001: Developmental plasticity allows *Betula nana* to dominate tundra subjected to an altered environment. *Ecology,* 82: 18–32.
- Brooks, P. D., Schmidt, S. K., and Williams, M. W., 1997: Winter production of $CO₂$ and $N₂O$ from Alpine tundra: environmental controls and relationship to inter-system C and N fluxes. *Oecologia,* 110: 403–413.
- Brooks, P. D., Grogan, P., Templer, P. H., Groffman, P., Oquist, M. G., and Schimel, J., 2011: Carbon and nitrogen cycling in snowcovered environments. *Geography Compass,* 5: 682–699.
- Buckeridge, K. M., and Grogan, P., 2008: Deepened snow alters soil microbial nutrient limitations in arctic birch hummock tundra. *Applied Soil Ecology,* 39: 210–222.
- Buckeridge, K. M., and Grogan, P., 2010: Deepened snow increases late thaw biogeochemical pulses in mesic low arctic tundra. *Biogeochemistry,* 101: 105–121.
- Buckeridge, K. M., Zufelt, E., Chu, H. Y., and Grogan, P., 2010: Soil nitrogen cycling rates in low arctic shrub tundra are enhanced by litter feedbacks. *Plant and Soil,* 330: 407–421.
- Chapin, F. S., III, Shaver, G. R., Giblin, A. E., Nadelhoffer, K. J., and Laundre, J. A., 1995: Responses of arctic tundra to experimental and observed changes in climate. *Ecology,* 76: 694–711.
- Chapin, F. S., III, Sturm, M., Serreze, M. C., McFadden, J. P., Key, J. R., Lloyd, A. H., McGuire, A. D., Rupp, T. S., Lynch, A. H., Schimel, J. P., Beringer, J., Chapman, W. L., Epstein, H. E., Euskirchen, E. S., Hinzman, L. D., Jia, G., Ping, C. L., Tape, K. D., Thompson, C. D. C., Walker, D. A., and Welker, J. M., 2005: Role of land-surface changes in Arctic summer warming. *Science,* 310: 657–660.
- Christensen, T. R., Friborg, T., Sommerkorn, M., Kaplan, J., Illeris, L., Soegaard, H., Nordstroem, C., and Jonasson, S., 2000: Trace gas exchange in a high-arctic valley 1. Variations in $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ flux between tundra vegetation types. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles,* 14: 701–713.
- Chu, H. Y., and Grogan, P., 2010: Soil microbial biomass, nutrient availability and nitrogen mineralization potential among vegetation types in a low arctic tundra landscape. *Plant and Soil,* 329: 411–420.
- Churchland, C., Mayo-Bruinsma, L., Ronson, A., and Grogan, P., 2010: Soil microbial and plant community responses to single large carbon and nitrogen additions in low arctic tundra. *Plant and Soil,* 334: 409–421.
- Davidson, E. A., and Janssens, I. A., 2006: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. *Nature,* 440: 165–173.
- Edwards, N. T., 1982: The use of soda-lime for measuring respiration rates in terrestrial systems. *Pedobiologia,* 23: 321–330.
- Elberling, B., and Brandt, K. K., 2003: Uncoupling of microbial $CO₂$ production and release in frozen soil and its implications for field studies of Arctic C cycling. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry,* 35: 263–272.
- Euskirchen, E. S., Bret-Harte, M. S., Scott, G. J., Edgar, C., and Shaver, G. R., 2012: Seasonal patterns of carbon dioxide and water fluxes in three representative tundra ecosystems in northern Alaska. *Ecosphere,* 3(1): 1–19.
- Fahnestock, J. T., Jones, M. H., Brooks, P. D., Walker, D. A., and Welker, J. M., 1998: Winter and early spring CO₂ efflux from tundra communities of northern Alaska. *Journal of Geophysical Research–Atmospheres,* 103: 29023–29027.
- Fahnestock, J. T., Jones, M. H., and Welker, J. M., 1999: Wintertime $CO₂$ efflux from arctic soils: implications for annual carbon budgets. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles,* 13: 775–779.
- Grogan, P., 1998: $CO₂$ flux measurement using soda lime: correction for water formed during $CO₂$ adsorption. *Ecology*, 79: 1467–1468.
- Grogan, P., and Chapin, F. S., III, 1999: Arctic soil respiration: effects of climate and vegetation depend on season. *Ecosystems,* 2: 451–459.
- Grogan, P., and Jonasson, S., 2005: Temperature and substrate controls on intra-annual variation in ecosystem respiration in two subarctic vegetation types. *Global Change Biology,* 11: 465–475.
- Grogan, P., and Jonasson, S., 2006: Ecosystem CO₂ production during winter in a Swedish subarctic region: the relative importance of climate and vegetation type. *Global Change Biology,* 12: 1479–1495.
- Grogan, P., Illeris, L., Michelsen, A., and Jonasson, S., 2001: Respiration of recently-fixed plant carbon dominates mid-winter ecosystem CO2 production in sub-arctic heath tundra. *Climatic Change,* 50: 129–142.
- Hinzman, L. D., Bettez, N. D., Bolton, W. R., Chapin, F. S., Dyurgerov, M. B., Fastie, C. L., Griffith, B., Hollister, R. D., Hope, A., Huntington, H. P., Jensen, A. M., Jia, G. J., Jorgenson, T., Kane, D. L., Klein, D. R., Kofinas, G., Lynch, A. H., Lloyd, A. H., McGuire, A. D., Nelson, F. E., Oechel, W. C., Osterkamp, T. E., Racine, C. H., Romanovsky, V. E., Stone, R. S., Stow, D. A., Sturm, M., Tweedie, C. E., Vourlitis, G. L., Walker, M. D., Walker, D. A., Webber, P. J., Welker, J. M., Winker, K., and Yoshikawa, K., 2005: Evidence and implications of recent climate change in northern Alaska and other arctic regions. *Climatic Change,* 72: 251–298.
- Hobbie, S. E., Schimel, J. P., Trumbore, S. E., and Randerson, J. R., 2000: Controls over carbon storage and turnover in high-latitude soils. *Global Change Biology,* 6: 196–210.
- Keith, H., and Wong, S. C., 2006: Measurement of soil $CO₂$ efflux using soda lime absorption: both quantitative and reliable. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry,* 38: 1121–1131.
- Lafleur, P. M., and Humphreys, E. R., 2008: Spring warming and carbon dioxide exchange over low Arctic tundra in central Canada. *Global Change Biology,* 14: 740–756.
- Larsen, K. S., Grogan, P., Jonasson, S., and Michelsen, A., 2007a: Dynamics and microbial dynamics in two subarctic ecosystems during winter and spring thaw: effects of increased snow depth. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research,* 39: 268–276.
- Larsen, K. S., Ibrom, A., Jonasson, S., Michelsen, A., and Beier, C., 2007b: Significance of cold-season respiration and photosynthesis in a subarctic heath ecosystem in northern Sweden. *Global Change Biology,* 13: 1498–1508.
- Lashof, D. A., DeAngelo, B. J., Saleska, S. R., and Harte, J., 1997: Terrestrial ecosystem feedbacks to global climate change. *Annual Review of Energy and the Environment,* 22: 75–118.
- Lloyd, J., and Taylor, J. A., 1994: On the temperature-dependence of soil respiration. *Functional Ecology,* 8: 315–323.
- Mast, M. A., Wickland, K. P., Striegl, R. T., and Clow, D. W., 1998: Winter fluxes of $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ from subalpine soils in Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles,* 12: 607–620.
- McFadden, J. P., Chapin, F. S., and Hollinger, D. Y., 1998: Subgridscale variability in the surface energy balance of arctic tundra. *Journal of Geophysical Research–Atmospheres,* 103: 28947–28961.
- McGuire, A. D., Macdonald, R. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Harden, J. W., Kuhry, P., Hayes, D. J., Christensen, T. R., and Heimann, M., 2010: The carbon budget of the northern cryosphere region. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability,* 2: 231–236.
- Michelsen, A., Jonasson, S., Sleep, D., Havstrom, M., and Callaghan, T. V., 1996: Shoot biomass, $\sigma^{13}C$, nitrogen and chlorophyll responses of two arctic dwarf shrubs to *in situ* shading, nutrient application and warming simulating climatic change. *Oecologia,* 105: 1–12.
- Mikan, C. J., Schimel, J. P., and Doyle, A. P., 2002: Temperature controls of microbial respiration in arctic tundra soils above and below freezing. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry,* 34: 1785–1795.
- Morgner, E., Elberling, B., Strebel, D., and Cooper, E. J., 2010: The importance of winter in annual ecosystem respiration in the High Arctic: effects of snow depth in two vegetation types. *Polar Research,* 29: 58–74.
- Nobrega, S., and Grogan, P., 2007: Deeper snow enhances winter respiration from both plant-associated and bulk soil carbon pools in birch hummock tundra. *Ecosystems,* 10: 419–431.
- Nobrega, S., and Grogan, P., 2008: Landscape and ecosystem-level controls on net carbon dioxide exchange along a natural moisture gradient in Canadian low arctic tundra. *Ecosystems,* 11: 377–396.
- Obst, J., 2008: *Classification of Land Cover, Vegetation Communities, Ecosystems and Habitats in East Daring Lake Basin, Northwest Territories.* Yellowknife, Northwest Territories: Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Wildlife Division Government of the Northwest Territories.
- Oechel, W. C., Hastings, S. J., Vourlitis, G., Jenkins, M., Riechers, G., and Grulke, N., 1993: Recent change of arctic tundra ecosystems from a net carbon-dioxide sink to a source. *Nature,* 361: 520–523.
- Oechel, W. C., Vourlitis, G., and Hastings, S. J., 1997: Cold season CO2 emission from arctic soils. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles,* 11: 163–172.
- Oechel, W. C., Vourlitis, G. L., Hastings, S. J., Zulueta, R. C., Hinzman, L., and Kane, D., 2000: Acclimation of ecosystem $CO₂$ exchange in the Alaskan Arctic in response to decadal climate warming. *Nature,* 406: 978–981.
- Panikov, N. S., Flanagan, P. W., Oechel, W. C., Mastepanov, M. A., and Christensen, T. R., 2006: Microbial activity in soils frozen to below -39°C. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 38(4): 785-794.
- Rampton, V. N., 2000: Large-scale effects of subglacial meltwater flow in the southern Slave Province, Northwest Territories, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences,* 37: 81–93.
- Rivkina, E. M., Friedmann, E. I., McKay, C. P., and Gilichinsky, D. A., 2000: Metabolic activity of permafrost bacteria below the freezing point. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology,* 66: 3230–3233.
- Schimel, J. P., Bilbrough, C., and Welker, J. A., 2004: Increased snow depth affects microbial activity and nitrogen mineralization in two Arctic tundra communities. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry,* 36: 217–227.
- Schimel, J. P., Fahnestock, J., Michaelson, G., Mikan, C., Ping, C. L., Romanovsky, V. E., and Welker, J., 2006: Cold-season production of $CO₂$ in arctic soils: can laboratory and field estimates be reconciled through a simple modeling approach? *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research,* 38: 249–256.
- Schuur, E. A. G., Bockheim, J., Canadell, J. G., Euskirchen, E., Field, C. B., Goryachkin, S. V., Hagemann, S., Kuhry, P., Lafleur, P. M., Lee, H., Mazhitova, G., Nelson, F. E., Rinke, A., Romanovsky, V. E., Shiklomanov, N., Tarnocai, C., Venevsky, S., Vogel, J. G., and Zimov, S. A., 2008: Vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change: implications for the global carbon cycle. *BioScience,* 58: 701–714.
- Shaver, G. R., Billings, W. D., Chapin, F. S., III, Giblin, A. E., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Oechel, W. C., and Rastetter, E. B., 1992: Global change and the carbon balance of arctic ecosystems. *Bioscience,* 42: 433–441.
- Soegaard, H., and Nordstroem, C., 1999: Carbon dioxide exchange in a high-arctic fen estimated by eddy covariance measurements and modelling. *Global Change Biology,* 5: 547–562.
- Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., 2007: *IPCC Report. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Sommerfeld, R. A., Mosier, A. R., and Musselman, R. C., 1993: CO₂, $CH₄$ and N₂O Flux through a Wyoming snowpack and implications for global budgets. *Nature,* 361: 140–142.
- Stow, D. A., Hope, A., McGuire, D., Verbyla, D., Gamon, J., Huemmrich, F., Houston, S., Racine, C., Sturm, M., Tape, K., Hinzman, L.,

Yoshikawa, K., Tweedie, C., Noyle, B., Silapaswan, C., Douglas, D., Griffith, B., Jia, G., Epstein, H., Walker, D., Daeschner, S., Petersen, A., Zhou, L. M., and Myneni, R., 2004: Remote sensing of vegetation and land-cover change in Arctic tundra ecosystems. *Remote Sensing of Environment,* 89: 281–308.

- Sullivan, P. F., Welker, J. M., Arens, S. J. T., and Sveinbjornsson, B., 2008: Continuous estimates of $CO₂$ efflux from arctic and boreal soils during the snow-covered season in Alaska. *Journal of Geophysical Research–Biogeosciences,* 113: G04009, 11 pp., http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1029/2008JG000715.
- Tape, K., Sturm, M., and Racine, C., 2006: The evidence for shrub expansion in northern Alaska and the pan-Arctic. *Global Change Biology,* 12: 686–702.
- Taras, B., Sturm, M., and Liston, G. E., 2002: Snow-ground interface temperatures in the Kuparuk river basin, arctic Alaska: measurements and model. *Journal of Hydrometeorology,* 3: 377–394.
- Tarnocai, C., Canadell, J. G., Schuur, E. A. G., Kuhry, P., Mazhitova, G., and Zimov, S., 2009: Soil organic carbon pools in the northern circumpolar permafrost region. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles,* 23: GB2023, 11 pp., http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003327.
- Vankoughnett, 2009: Shrub expansion in the low Arctic: the influence

of snow and vegetation feedbacks on nitrogen cycling. M.Sc. thesis, Department of Biology, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada.

- Vourlitis, G. L., and Oechel, W. C., 1999: Eddy covariance measurements of CO₂ and energy fluxes of an Alaskan tussock tundra ecosystem. *Ecology,* 80: 686–701.
- Walker, M. D., Walker, D. A., Welker, J. M., Arft, A. M., Bardsley, T., Brooks, P. D., Fahnestock, J. T., Jones, M. H., Losleben, M., Parsons, A. N., Seastedt, T. R., and Turner, P. L., 1999: Long-term experimental manipulation of winter snow regime and summer temperature in arctic and alpine tundra. *Hydrological Processes,* 13: 2315–2330.
- Walker, M. D., Wahren, C. H., Hollister, R. D., Henry, G. H. R., Ahlquist, L. E., Alatalo, J. M., Bret-Harte, M. S., Calef, M. P., Callaghan, T. V., Carroll, A. B., Epstein, H. E., Jonsdottir, I. S., Klein, J. A., Magnusson, B., Molau, U., Oberbauer, S. F., Rewa, S. P., Robinson, C. H., Shaver, G. R., Suding, K. N., Thompson, C. C., Tolvanen, A., Totland, O., Turner, P. L., Tweedie, C. E., Webber, P. J., and Wookey, P. A., 2006: Plant community responses to experimental warming across the tundra biome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,* 103: 1342–1346. *MS accepted May 2012*

456 / ARCTIC, ANTARCTIC, AND ALPINE RESEARCH