BioOne COMPLETE

All Irradiations that are Ultra-High Dose Rate may not be FLASH: The Critical Importance of Beam Parameter Characterization and In Vivo Validation of the FLASH Effect

Authors: Vozenin, Marie-Catherine, Montay-Gruel, Pierre, Limoli, Charles, and Germond, Jean-François

Source: Radiation Research, 194(6) : 571-572

Published By: Radiation Research Society

URL: https://doi.org/10.1667/RADE-20-00141.1

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Radiation-Research on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

RADIATION RESEARCH **194**, 571–572 (2020)
0033-7587/20 $15.00
©2020 by Radiation Research Society.
All rights of reproduction in any form reserved.
DOI: 10.1667/RADE-20-00141.1

AN INTRODUCTION LETTER

All Irradiations that are Ultra-High Dose Rate may not be FLASH: The Critical Importance of Beam Parameter Characterization and *In Vivo* Validation of the FLASH Effect

Marie-Catherine Vozenin,[a,1] Pierre Montay-Gruel,[a,b] Charles Limoli,[b] and Jean-François Germond[c]

[a] *Laboratory of Radiation Oncology, Department of Radiation Oncology, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland;* [b] *Department of Radiation Oncology, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California; and* [c] *Institute of Radiation Physics/CHUV, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland*

The recent surge of interest in ultra-high-dose-rate ''FLASH'' radiotherapy (FLASH-RT) has opened a flood of investigations and a deluge of reviews trying to define, capitalize, validate and rationalize this intriguing phenomenon. While the field is primed for carefully conducted investigations ranging from physics to chemistry and biology implementing a number of model systems, care must be taken not to rush, and jeopardize the advancement of this promising yet burgeoning field of study. Moving forward requires a prudent approach, with an accumulated knowledge that certain prerequisite conditions must be established and defined such that data sets can be placed in the proper context as multidisciplinary research efforts converge and collaborate to critically evaluate the translation potential of FLASH-RT.

With this perspective, we highlight the critical features that investigators should try and incorporate as they initiate their research into ultra-high-dose-rate radiation science. By adopting and evaluating the important considerations detailed below, the development of further experimentation necessary to move the field of FLASH-RT forward in an unbiased, productive and efficient manner will only be hastened.

First, and critical to all FLASH studies, it is essential to provide specific information related to the physics parameters of the irradiation beam (*1, 2*). Unfortunately, these details have been frequently omitted for certain investigations, details that are fundamental to critically evaluate whether or not optimal beam delivery conditions were implemented to observe the FLASH effect. The physics parameters are of utmost importance and need to be properly documented when attempting to rigorously test whether the stated conditions are sufficient for normal tissue sparing at ultra-high dose rates. Details regarding the prescribed dose delivery as well as its dosimetry verification are also absolutely necessary. While FLASH-RT has been mainly characterized using the mean dose-rate ($\geq$ 40 Gy/s for FLASH-RT vs. $\geq$ 0.01 Gy/s for CONV-RT) (*3*), this definition has proven to be overly simplistic (*4, 5*). The full characterization of FLASH-RT is much more complex as it involves several inter-dependent physical parameters that need to be properly recorded for analysis and interpretation of the data [see Supplementary Data in (*4*)]. Our recent analyses show that the instantaneous dose-rate and the overall time of irradiation can be considered as two of the critical parameters (*6*). In addition, and with respect to pulsed electron beam structure, the pulse dose, number, interspacing (given by the repetition frequency) and width as well as the total duration of exposure although interdependent will impact the biological outcome (*1, 2*). Geometric parameters of the irradiation including volume specifications (i.e., amount of exposed tissue) are also important factors (*5, 6*).

Second, the FLASH effect is defined as a biological effect, best characterized in vivo, and any validation of a FLASH beam needs the combination of carefully selected physical parameters along with rigorous biological validation. Validation and/or refutation of the FLASH effect must be coupled with robust methodology supported at least by *dose rate escalation*. While we are cognizant that present technology limits the investigation of the FLASH effect, positive biological data have been generated with various devices including dedicated linacs *(1–3, 7)* and modified clinical linacs (*8, 9*). New results can be found in the present Focus Issue of *Radiation Research* that have included tables with specific beam parameters.

Third, *in vitro* experimentation does not substitute for in vivo validation, and in the former, attention must be paid in particular to the prevailing oxygen tension. While data and arguments for and against the oxygen hypothesis have stimulated lively scientific exchange, attention to detail is again critical, as there is a rich history of previously

[1] Address for correspondence: Laboratoire de Radio-Oncologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, Bugnon 46, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland; Email: marie-catherine.vozenin@chuv.ch.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Radiation-Research on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

published studies describing the oxygen dependence of the FLASH effect (*10, 11*). Past and present work (*12*) showing that in vitro radioprotection cannot be observed under atmospheric conditions (21% oxygen) at doses below 20 Gy support the contention that carefully controlled studies should be replicated under physiological oxygen tension in efforts to provide meaningful mechanistic insight regarding the oxygen hypothesis.

In summary, FLASH radiotherapy and the FLASH effect are generating intense interest in the radio-oncology community, as these may significantly change oncology practice at reasonable cost. As with any innovation, it must be carefully investigated and evaluated by several independent investigators. Modeling approaches are also useful and accordingly, numerous theoretical frameworks including the role of redox biology and tissue oxygenation have been published recently *(13–17)*. Ultimately, all such models will require data from carefully conducted experiments, and reporting of critical beam parameters over and above mean dose rates. Inclusion of such detail will help the field resolve the discrepancies in the literature where negative effects have been reported (*18–20*). In those instances, instantaneous dose rates may have been insufficient for the volumes irradiated, as similar (albeit not identical) experimental set-ups have found positive FLASH effects using synchrotron and proton beams (*21, 22*). Moving forward, we suggest that for future FLASH related publications, a table reporting the full physical parameters of the beam should be included. In the end however, it's important to remember that the FLASH effect is a *biology effect*, and this fact should drive our future efforts at defining the details of this innovative radiation modality more completely.

Received: June 9, 2020; accepted: June 11, 2020; published online: August 27, 2020

References

1. Jaccard M, Duran MT, Petersson K, et al. High dose-per-pulse electron beam dosimetry: Commissioning of the oriatron ert6 prototype linear accelerator for preclinical use. *Med Physics* 2018; 45:863–74.
2. Jorge PG, Jaccard M, Petersson K, et al. Dosimetric and preparation procedures for irradiating biological models with pulsed electron beam at ultra-high dose-rate. *Radiother Oncol* 2019; 139:34–9.
3. Favaudon V, Caplier L, Monceau V, et al. Ultrahigh dose-rate flash irradiation increases the differential response between normal and tumor tissue in mice. *Sci Trans Med* 2014; 6:245ra93.
4. Montay-Gruel P, Acharya MM, Petersson K, et al. Long-term neurocognitive benefits of flash radiotherapy driven by reduced reactive oxygen species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019; 116:10943–10951.
5. Vozenin MC, Hendry JH, Limoli CL. Biological benefits of ultra-high dose rate flash radiotherapy: Sleeping beauty awoken. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2019; 31:407–415.
6. Bourhis J, Montay-Gruel P, Goncalves Jorge P, et al. Clinical translation of FLASH radiotherapy: Why and how? Radiother Oncol 2019; 139:11–17.
7. Fouillade C, Curras-Alonso S, Giuranno L, et al. Flash irradiation spares lung progenitor cells and limits the incidence of radio-induced senescence. Clin Cancer Res 2020; 26:1497–1506.
8. Lempart M, Blad B, Adrian G, et al. Modifying a clinical linear accelerator for delivery of ultra-high dose rate irradiation. *Radiother Oncol* 2019; 139:40–45.
9. Schuler E, Trovati S, King G, et al. Experimental platform for ultra-high dose rate flash irradiation of small animals using a clinical linear accelerator. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2017; 97:195–203.
10. Hendry JH, Moore JV, Hodgson BW, et al. The constant low oxygen concentration in all the target cells for mouse tail radionecrosis. *Radiat Res* 1982; 92:172–81.
11. Weiss H, Epp ER, Heslin JM, et al. Oxygen depletion in cells irradiated at ultra-high dose-rates and at conventional dose-rates. *Int J Radiat Biol Relat Stud Phys Chem Med* 1974; 26:17–29.
12. Adrian G, Konradsson E, Lempart M, et al. The flash effect depends on oxygen concentration. *Br J Radiol* 2020; 93:20190702.
13. Pratx G, Kapp DS. A computational model of radiolytic oxygen depletion during flash irradiation and its effect on the oxygen enhancement ratio. *Phys Med Biol* 2019; 64:185005.
14. Pratx G, Kapp DS. Ultra-high-dose-rate flash irradiation may spare hypoxic stem cell niches in normal tissues. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2019; 105:190–192.
15. Spitz DR, Buettner GR, Petronek MS, et al. An integrated physico-chemical approach for explaining the differential impact of flash versus conventional dose rate irradiation on cancer and normal tissue responses. *Radiother Oncol* 2019; 139:23–27.
16. Zhou S, Zheng D, Fan Q, et al. Minimum dose rate estimation for pulsed flash radiotherapy: A dimensional analysis. *Med Phys* 2020; Online ahead of print. (DOI: 10.1002/mp.14181)
17. Petersson K, Adrian G, Butterworth K, et al. A quantitative analysis of the role of oxygen tension in flash radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2020; 107:539–547.
18. Smyth LML, Donoghue JF, Ventura JA, et al. Comparative toxicity of synchrotron and conventional radiation therapy based on total and partial body irradiation in a murine model. *Sci Rep* 2018; 8:12044.
19. Beyreuther E, Brand M, Hans S, et al. Feasibility of proton flash effect tested by zebrafish embryo irradiation. *Radiother Oncol* 2019; 139:46–50.
20. Venkatesulu BP, Sharma A, Pollard-Larkin JM, et al. Ultra high dose rate (35 gy/sec) radiation does not spare the normal tissue in cardiac and splenic models of lymphopenia and gastrointestinal syndrome. *Sci Rep* 2019; 9:17180.
21. Montay-Gruel P, Bouchet A, Jaccard M, et al. X-rays can trigger the flash effect: Ultra-high dose-rate synchrotron light source prevents normal brain injury after whole brain irradiation in mice. *Radiother Oncol* 2018; Dec:582–588.
22. Diffenderfer ES, Verginadis, II, Kim MM, et al. Design, implementation, and in vivo validation of a novel proton flash radiation therapy system. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2020; 106:440–448.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Radiation-Research on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use