

# Sexual dimorphism of five Cobitis species (Cypriniformes, Actinopterygii) in the Adriatic watershed

Authors: Buj, Ivana, Šanda, Radek, Marčić, Zoran, Ćaleta, Marko, and Mrakovčić, Milorad

Source: Folia Zoologica, 64(2): 97-103

Published By: Institute of Vertebrate Biology, Czech Academy of Sciences

URL: https://doi.org/10.25225/fozo.v64.i2.a2.2015

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# Sexual dimorphism of five *Cobitis* species (Cypriniformes, Actinopterygii) in the Adriatic watershed

Ivana BUJ<sup>1\*</sup>, Radek ŠANDA<sup>2</sup>, Zoran MARČIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Marko ĆALETA<sup>3</sup> and Milorad MRAKOVČIĆ<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Rooseveltov trg 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; e-mail: ivaradic@biol.pmf.hr

<sup>2</sup> National Museum, Václavské náměstí 68, 115 79 Prague 1, Czech Republic

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Teacher Education, University of Zagreb, Savska cesta 77, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Received 8 December 2014; Accepted 30 May 2015

**Abstract.** Morphological differences between female and male spined loaches belonging to five species from the Adriatic basin were examined. Besides the presence of the Canestrini scale and the length of the pectoral fins, sexual dimorphism is also visible in the position of the pectoral fins, as well as in the length of the pelvic fins. Both pectoral and pelvic fins are significantly longer in males than in females, whereas pectoral fins of females are located more anteriorly then in males. Differences in the length of pectoral and pelvic fins among species are also revealed. The role of the secondary sexual characters in males is probably connected with their special mating behaviour. Furthermore, a hypothesis that habitat conditions have greater impact on body dimensions of females than males is proposed.

Key words: loaches, morphological differences, females, males, reproduction

#### Introduction

Secondary sexual characters play a significant role in reproductive behaviour of fishes so describing morphological differences between females and males of different fish species can help us to understand their reproductive biology. Furthermore, knowledge on variation in sexual dimorphism is very important in taxonomic investigations, since characters that depend on sex have to be analyzed separately for females and males in order to obtain reliable results. In this investigation we have compared morphometric and external morphology characters of females and males from five Cobitis species distributed in the Adriatic basin, in order to find differences between them and to compare results with already reported sexual dimorphism characters of other spined loach species.

Spined loaches of the genus *Cobitis* are a group of primarily freshwater fishes, widely distributed in waters of the Palearctic region (Nalbant et al. 2001) and represented with more than 60 species (Kottelat 2012) with similar morphological characters. They all have small, elongated, characteristically coloured bodies, with dark spots and blotches on paler basic

colour. Investigations on several Cobitis species revealed that females are generally significantly larger than males of the same age (Boroń & Pimpicka 2000, Erős 2000, Przybylski & Valladolid 2000, Schneider et al. 2000b, Kottelat & Freyhof 2007, Bohlen et al. 2008, Boroń et al. 2008, Valladolid & Przybylski 2008). The assumed reason for such difference is faster growth of females, since during the first year of life females utilize all their energy for growth and it is not before their second year that they start using energy for reproduction, whereas males use energy for reproduction already in the first year of life (Erős 2003). Previous researches showed that, besides in body length, females and males differ also in the size of their pectoral fins and presence of the Canestrini scale. Pectoral fins are usually significantly larger in males than in females of the same species, although all other body measures are larger in females (Freyhof & Serov 2000 for Cobitis laoensis Freyhof & Serov, 2007, Freyhof & Stelbrink 2007 for Cobitis illyrica Freyhof & Stelbrink, 2007, Mustafić et al. 2008 for Cobitis jadovaensis Mustafić & Mrakovčić, 2008). Furthermore, in males of the majority of Cobitis species (with the exception of Cobitis elongata Heckel

\* Corresponding Author

& Kner, 1858 and *Cobitis calderoni* Băcescu, 1962) on dorsal side of pectoral fins one or two Canestrini scales (*laminae Canestrini*) are located (Kotusz 2000, Schneider et al. 2000a, Perdices & Doadrio 2001). They appear together with sexual maturation of males (Robotham 1981) and it has been hypothesized that they play a role during mating behaviour of male loaches (Bohlen 2008). Since sexual dimorphism has not been particularly investigated in the Adriatic spined loaches, the present paper aims to contribute to better understanding of sexual, but also interspecific variation of the genus *Cobitis*.

# Material and Methods

Observations were made on C. bilineata Canestrini, 1865; C. dalmatina Karaman, 1928; C. narentana Karaman, 1928; C. illyrica and C. herzegoviniensis Buj & Šanda, 2014, from waters of the Adriatic watershed in Croatia and Bosnia and Herzegovina. Specimens were collected by electrofishing on 11 localities (Table 1). This material was also used for systematic revision of spined loaches in the Adriatic basin (Buj et al. 2014) in which morphological and genetic data were used to resolve the taxonomic status of Cobitis populations. Investigation presented in this paper is based on comparison of morphological characters between females and males of five Cobitis species in order to reveal their sexual dimorphism. Sex was determined based on the presence of the Canestrini scale in males. A total of 24 morphometric characters was measured using an electronic caliper to the nearest 0.01 mm: total length (TL), standard length (SL), lateral head length (c), preanus distance

(Pan), preanal distance (aA), prepelvic (preventral) distance (aV), prepectoral distance (aP), predorsal distance (aD), caudal peduncle length (lpc), length of dorsal (1D), anal (1A), caudal (1C), pectoral (1P) and pelvic (ventral, IV) fins, distance between pelvic (ventral) fins and anal aperture (Van), head depth (measured in the level of eyes) (hc), maximum body depth (H) and caudal peduncle depth (or minimum body depth, h), head width (laco), maximum body width (lac), distance between eyes (io), eye diameter (o), preorbital distance (prO), postorbital distance (poO). All measurements were made point to point. Standardization of morphometric characters was conducted by calculating morphometric ratios, i.e. percentage ratios of morphometric characters in relation to SL, c and H. For statistical comparison of morphometric features between females and males Student's t-test was employed and comparison was conducted between all females and males belonging to a certain species. Normal distribution of characters was confirmed by Shapiro-Wilk W test. In order to determine the correlation between each morphometric character and SL, the correlation analysis was conducted separately for females and males. For statistical analyses Statistica 7.1 software package was employed.

In addition to morphometric characters, overall external morphology was examined in details to check for differences between two sexes of each species. Morphological analysis included comparison of the external colouration (Gambetta zones, spots on the caudal fin base and dorsal blotches), shape and colouration of the head, scale coverage, structure of

 Table 1. Taxonomy, geographic origin and the number of the investigated specimens.

Carrier	Legelite	Dimension	Countra	Number of specimens		
Species	Locality	River system	Country	females	males	
C. bilineata	Zrmanja	Zrmanja	Croatia	19	7	
C. dalmatina	Blato na Cetini	Cetina	Croatia	7	11	
C. narentana	Mislina	Neretva	Croatia	females         m           19         7           5         15           15         15           vina         11           vina         15           61         13           18         9           40         40	4	
	Norin	Neretva	Croatia	15	2	
	Modro oko	Neretva	Croatia	15	4	
	Hutovo b.	Neretva	Bosnia and Herzegovina	11	4	
	Trebišnjica	Neretva	Bosnia and Herzegovina	15	6	
	total C. narentana			61	20	
C. illyrica	Matica	Neretva	Croatia	13	7	
	Prološko blato	Imotski field	Croatia	18	1	
	Krenica	Bekijsko field	Bosnia and Herzegovina	9	9	
	total C. illyrica			40	17	
C. herzegoviniensis	Mostarsko blato	Neretva	Bosnia and Herzegovina	8	15	

**Table 2.** The length of the pectoral fin (IP) and the ratio between the length of the pectoral fin and the distance between pectoral and pelvic fins bases (IP/(aP-aV)) in females and males of investigated species. Values in the table are minimal and maximal measured values. Exceptional values (excep.) were found in only one specimen.

	females		males				
	lP (mm)	lP/(aP-aV)	lP (mm)	lP/(aP-aV)			
C. bilineata	6.56-11.25	0.32-0.51 (excep. 0.56)	8.51-10.35	0.59-0.71			
C. dalmatina	9.16-12.43	0.40-0.44	10.46-13.78	0.64-0.91			
C. narentana	6.9-11.58	0.36-0.56	7.42-12.83	0.62-0.84 (excep. 0.56)			
C. illyrica	5.89-11.25	0.37-0.52 (excep. 0.57)	8.34-12.15	0.62-0.84			
C. herzegoviniensis	5.22-8.64	0.28-0.45	8.69-12.85	0.60-0.78			

Table 3. Prepectoral distance (aP) and its relation to standard length (aP/SL) in females and males of investigated species. Exceptional values (excep.) were found in only one specimen.

	females		males				
	aP (mm)	aP/SL	aP (mm)	aP/SL			
C. bilineata	10.18-16.16	0.193-0.241	10.16-11.84	0.223-0.252			
C. dalmatina	13.81-19.15	0.197-0.216	10.93-15.79	0.231-0.273 (excep. 0.214)			
C. narentana	11.51-17.48	0.187-0.248	10.24-14.25	0.226-0.274			
C. illyrica	10.15-17.51	0.199-0.253	11.58-15.43	0.221-0.266			
C. herzegoviniensis	11.75-14.03	0.210-0.235	9.75-14.02	0.221-0.275			

Table 4. The length of pelvic fins (IV) and its relation to standard length (IV/SL) in females and males of investigated species.

	females		males				
	lV (mm)	lV/SL	lV (mm)	lV/SL			
C. bilineata	6.06-10.24	0.120-0.141	6.18-8.11	0.136-0.164			
C. dalmatina	7.48-9.55	0.111-0.122	7.05-9.04	0.132-0.179			
C. narentana	5.92-10.01	0.098-0.144	5.63-8.55	0.126-0.178			
C. illyrica	4.74-9.35	0.101-0.130	6.37-8.64	0.122-0.169			
C. herzegoviniensis	5.49-6.67	0.098-0.108	5.02-8.08	0.108-0.156			

Table 5. Minimal and maximal values of the caudal fin length and head length in relation to standard length (IC/SL and c/SL, respectively).

	lC/SL		c/SL				
	females	males	females	males			
C. bilineata	0.168-0.208	0.172-0.192	0.196-0.220	0.203-0.231			
C. dalmatina	0.146-0.180	0.159-0.216	0.187-0.205	0.199-0.244			
C.narentana	0.151-0.204	0.163-0.231	0.185-0.222	0.206-0.237			
C. illyrica	0.132-0.177	0.135-0.191	0.181-0.219	0.192-0.239			
C. herzegoviniensis	0.125-0.154	0.141-0.167	0.199-0.218	0.196-0.230			

scales, length of barbels (mandibular, maxillary and rostral) and length of urogenital papilla between females and males of each species.

It is important to mention that ploidy level of samples has not been investigated so the possibility of presence of hybrid biotypes cannot be completely excluded, even though they have not been recorded in the Adriatic basin.

### Results

One Canestrini scale was formed as an outgrowth of the second pectoral fin ray in males. The smallest male in whom the Canestrini scale was already developed had 49 mm of TL and 42 mm of SL.

Besides the pronounced difference in the total and standard body lengths, the pectoral fins are visible and significantly longer in males then in females 

 Table 6. T-test results (p-values) between females and males of investigated species based on their morphometric ratios. Bold values represent statistically significant difference in certain character.

	c/SL	Pan/SL	aA/SL	aV/SL	aP/SL	aD/SL	lpc/SL	Van/SL
C. bilineata	0.0084	0.7643	0.6903	0.1851	0.0054	0.5427	0.1245	0.1275
C. dalmatina	0.0001	0.8492	0.5966	0.5056	0.0000	0.7776	0.0540	0.7573
C. narentana	0.0000	0.5640	0.7557	0.1345	0.0000	0.1226	0.6006	0.2525
C. illyrica	0.0000	0.3215	0.6226	0.1570	0.0000	0.2920	0.4696	0.9732
C. herzegoviniensis	0.0276	0.0099	0.0038	0.6507	0.0027	0.6486	0.6650	0.0663
	1D/SL	lA/SL	lC/SL	1P/SL	lV/SL	laco/SL	lac/SL	H/SL
C. bilineata	0.2043	0.4846	0.9850	0.0000	0.0000	0.1182	0.1564	0.0826
C. dalmatina	0.1954	0.2432	0.0153	0.0000	0.0000	0.6059	0.9715	0.6060
C. narentana	0.4066	0.0002	0.0015	0.0000	0.0000	0.3710	0.4823	0.0832
C. illyrica	0.5716	0.0266	0.0012	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.5989	0.2007
C. herzegoviniensis	0.1484	0.6381	0.0446	0.0000	0.0000	0.0627	0.1191	0.1164
	h/SL	hc/H	h/H	io/c	o/c	prO/c	poO/c	h/lpc
C. bilineata	0.6316	0.0015	0.0626	0.1723	0.1276	0.0092	0.1494	0.4416
C. dalmatina	0.0137	0.0093	0.0021	0.2176	0.0010	0.0052	0.1889	0.2518
C. narentana	0.0003	0.1478	0.2624	0.2199	0.0002	0.0000	0.0002	0.0057
C. illyrica	0.0002	0.0660	0.0095	0.0710	0.0007	0.0571	0.0741	0.1969
C. herzegoviniensis	0.4200	0.9798	0.3100	0.1018	0.0012	0.0971	0.4143	0.9635

 Table 7. Pearson's correlation coefficient of each morphometric character in relation to standard length for females from investigated species. Bold values represent significant correlation.

	с	Pan	aA	Van	aV	aP	aD	lpc	lD	lA	lC
C. bilineata	0.94	0.98	0.98	0.84	0.98	0.81	0.94	0.81	0.84	0.82	0.85
C. dalmatina	0.95	0.99	0.99	0.97	0.98	0.94	0.98	0.86	0.48	0.74	0.70
C. narentana	0.93	0.97	0.97	0.76	0.97	0.86	0.97	0.69	0.75	0.65	0.85
C. illyrica	0.95	0.99	0.99	0.93	0.96	0.89	0.98	0.67	0.84	0.81	0.83
C. herzegoviniensis	0.92	0.98	0.97	0.42	0.98	0.89	0.97	0.18	0.78	0.70	0.55
	1P	lV	hc	Н	h	laco	lac	io	0	prO	poO
C. bilineata	0.74	0.88	0.86	0.82	0.80	0.76	0.77	0.48	0.54	0.78	0.81
C. dalmatina	0.84	0.80	0.94	0.96	0.97	0.75	0.94	0.96	0.44	0.76	0.99
C. narentana	0.79	0.82	0.87	0.71	0.83	0.73	0.60	0.48	0.60	0.90	0.86
C. illyrica	0.84	0.87	0.87	0.76	0.81	0.77	0.77	0.36	0.75	0.92	0.92
C. herzegoviniensis	0.77	0.86	0.88	0.66	0.55	0.75	0.65	0.18	0.15	0.77	0.89

(Table 2). In males from all investigated species they considerably exceed half of the distance between bases of pectoral and pelvic fins (59-91 %). On the other hand, pectoral fins of females reach maximally to the half of the distance between pectoral and pelvic fins bases, or, very rarely, only slightly pass that distance (up to 56 %). This can be noticed for all species, even though the absolute and relative lengths of pectoral fins differ among species. Accordingly, the length of the pectoral fins in relation to SL was significantly different between females and males of all species (t-test, p < 0.05; Table 6). It is noteworthy that several differences in this feature were recorded among

species (Table 2). In *Cobitis dalmatina* males the ratio between the pectoral fin length and the distance between pectoral and pelvic fin bases attains larger values then in the remaining species. On the other hand, this ratio was the smallest for *Cobitis bilineata*. Since all the Adriatic *Cobitis* species are quite similar in their external morphology (Buj et al. 2014), this difference could be useful in species determination. Position of the pectoral fins also differs between females and males – in females the bases of pectoral fins are located more anteriorly on their bodies (Table 3). This was confirmed by t-test that found statistically significant differences in the prepectoral

	c	Pan	aA	Van	aV	aP	aD	lpc	lD	lA	lC
C. bilineata	0.82	0.96	0.97	0.78	0.95	0.74	0.64	0.40	0.85	0.49	0.87
C. dalmatina	0.75	0.97	0.96	0.82	0.96	0.80	0.89	0.76	0.22	0.43	0.21
C. narentana	0.82	0.96	0.97	0.65	0.93	0.76	0.97	0.45	0.77	0.36	0.73
C. illyrica	0.71	0.96	0.98	0.75	0.93	0.77	0.95	0.73	0.20	0.28	-0.16
C. herzegoviniensis	0.92	0.98	0.99	0.93	0.97	0.74	0.92	0.39	0.78	0.86	0.87
	1P	lV	hc	Н	h	laco	lac	io	0	prO	poO
C. bilineata	0.93	0.84	0.61	0.45	0.51	0.17	0.53	0.34	0.28	0.60	0.24
C. dalmatina	0.34	0.39	0.57	0.85	0.19	0.58	0.53	0.35	0.31	0.83	0.79
C. narentana	0.77	0.74	0.85	0.78	0.78	0.52	0.81	0.77	0.54	0.91	0.81
C. illyrica	0.12	0.18	0.76	0.68	0.34	0.82	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.74	0.70
C. herzegoviniensis	0.87	0.70	0.70	0.78	0.77	0.84	0.78	0.65	0.33	0.93	0.86

 Table 8. Pearson's correlation coefficient of each morphometric character in relation to standard length for males from investigated species.

 Bold values represent significant correlation.

distance in relation to SL in all investigated species (Table 6). Due to different position, the impression of greater size of pectoral fins in males is even more pronounced. Prepectoral distance in females ranges between 18.7 and 25.3 % of SL, whereas in males it is 22.1-27.5 % of SL. This feature is quite uniform among species.

Between females and males of all species statistically significant differences in the length of their pelvic fins were also determined, although not so pronounced (t-test, p < 0.05; Table 6). The situation with the pelvic fins is the same as with the pectoral ones – in relation to SL they are longer in males then in females, although the difference is smaller. In females pelvic fins were 9.8-14.4 % of SL, in males their length was 10.8-17.9 % of SL (Table 4). However, inside the majority of species investigated there is almost none or only small overlap in this feature between females and males. Therefore, this character should also be considered as sexual dimorphism.

Besides mentioned features, also the head length in relation to SL turned out to be statistically significantly different between females and males of all species, whereas statistically significant differences in the caudal fin length in relation to SL were found in four species (with the exception of *C. bilineata*). Both characters were also larger in males, as were pectoral and pelvic fins, but with much smaller differences (Table 5). Differences in several other morphometric characters between sexes were also noticed for some species, but not consistently for all species and much less pronounced.

Other features of external morphology were the same among females and males inside certain population. Even though significant amount of intraspecific variability regarding body colouration and the scale coverage has been recorded in several *Cobitis* species from the investigated area (Buj et al. 2014), none of those differences represent sexual diversity. Likewise, we did not find any difference among females and males in the appearance of scales on the body surface, the length and development of barbels, nor in the appearance of the urogenital papilla.

The results of the correlation analysis of each morphometric character with SL are presented in Tables 7 (for females) and Table 8 (for males). With the exception of *C. herzegoviniensis*, for all species more morphometric characters are significantly correlated with SL in females than in males.

# Discussion

Based on the obtained results we can conclude that sexual dimorphism of spined loaches is visible in several morphometric features and the presence of the Canestrini scale in males. Besides differences in the body and pectoral fin lengths, this study confirms that sexual dimorphism of the species investigated also includes the position of the pectoral fins, as well as the length of the pelvic fins. Difference in the length of pelvic fins has already been noticed in the investigation of Jeleń et al. (2008) on C. taenia. Taking into account our results, it is likely that this sexual dimorphism pattern is also more widely represented inside the genus Cobitis. Jeleń et al. (2008) found statistically significant differences of linear correlation coefficients between females and males of C. taenia also in interorbiral and predorsal distances, body depths, as well as fin lengths. We have found statistically significant difference between females and males in the length of pectoral and pelvic fins in all investigated species, in anal and caudal fins in some species, whereas dorsal fin length did not differ among sexes. We have not found differences in the interorbital distance, but in the eye diameter and preorbital distance, so it is likely that some sexual dimorphism characters are species specific.

Mentioned differences in the length and position of the pectoral and pelvic fins are most probably connected with the sexual behaviour of Cobitis species. Although their exact function cannot be explained without thorough investigation of the reproductive behaviour of spined loaches, it can be suspected that they serve for stronger pressure of male on the female's body during mating, similarly as Bohlen (2008) proposed for the Canestrini scale. Namely, Bohlen noticed that during mating of several Cobitis species male wraps his body around female directly posterior to female's dorsal fin (Bohlen 2000). On the females of the majority of the species observed, after spawning he noticed whitish areas, so called spawning marks, presumably appearing due to male scratching female's body most probably with its Canestrini scale (Bohlen 2008). However, it is interesting that spawning marks were most visible on C. taenia Linnaeus, 1758 specimens; not so obvious, but also present in C. elongatoides Băcescu & Maier, 1969 and C. melanoleuca Nichols, 1925; whereas in the remaining species (including C. bilineata) spawning marks were not visible, although the spawning has occurred (Bohlen 2008). It is also noteworthy that, in the cases where spawning marks were present, they were located on both sides of the female's body, although male's head as well as his pectoral fin were reclined against one side of the female's body. Therefore, it is possible that the Canestrini scale is not responsible for spawning marks, but that male with his pectoral and pelvic fins presses and grazes female's body on both sides, inducing such marks in the species where fins are harder and longer. Our conclusion is in accordance with findings of Halačka et al. (2010) who rejected hypothesis that spawning marks are caused by abrasion and connected their appearance with nondestructive mechanical stimulation of the female's epidermis by the male during mating. Halačka et al. (2010) suggested mechanism of the formation of the spawning marks, but did not identify structures responsible for it and we find pectoral and pelvic fins of males as possible candidates. Considering that a possible role of such pressure and grazing is for male to signalize and stimulate female to release eggs (with the aim to synchronize release of gametes in both partners, Bohlen 2008), we can suspect that larger surface of pectoral and pelvic fins in males can serve for stronger pressure and stronger signalization.

The correlation analysis revealed that a higher number of characters is significantly correlated with SL in females (Table 7), then in males (Table 8). Since the total and standard lengths are greatly influenced by the habitat conditions, especially food availability, all other body measures that are significantly correlated with SL are also greatly influenced by those conditions. Thereafter, based on the correlation analysis it is possible that ecological features have greater impact on the body dimensions of females, probably because they are more efficient in exploitation of the available resources. This hypothesis is in accordance with previous findings that morphological differences between sexes are ultimate consequences of differences in their reproductive roles, so that females are under selection to acquire, process and store more energy than males for production of offspring (Casselman & Schulte-Hostedde 2004). Henderson et al. (2003) explained higher growth rates of female Sander vitreus as consequences of greater food consumption and higher growth efficiency. Morita et al. (2015) found sex-dependent differences in the effect of the food availability on somatic growth of Pleurogrammus azonus. In light of the mentioned investigations and our results, it is possible that spined loaches are yet another example of different impact of environmental conditions on body sizes of females and males – a phenomenon that requires further attention.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all colleagues who helped us during field works, especially to Siniša Vajdić, prof. Ivan Bogut and Dario Marić. IB, MĆ, ZM and MM thank the Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Sport for financial support (Project nos. 119-1782739-1233 and 119-0000000-3184). RŠ was financially supported by the Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic (DKRVO 2014/14, National Museum, 00023272). This investigation was conducted entirely in accordance with ethical standards and Croatian legislation and was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb.

#### Literature

Bohlen J. 2000: Similarities and differences in the reproductive biology of loaches (*Cobitis* and *Sabanejewia*) under laboratory conditions. *Folia Zool.* 49: 179–186.

Bohlen J. 2008: Spawning marks in spined loaches (Cobitis taenia, Cobitidae, Teleostei). Folia Zool. 57: 168–171.

Bohlen J., Freyhof J. & Nolte A. 2008: Sex ratio and body size in *Cobitis elongatoides* and *Sabanejewia balcanica* (Cypriniformes; Cobitidae) from a thermal spring. *Folia Zool.* 57: 191–197.

- Boroń A. & Pimpicka E. 2000: Fecundity of spined loach, *Cobitis taenia* from the Zegrzynski Reservoir, Poland (Osteichthyes, Cobitidae). *Folia Zool.* 49: 135–140.
- Boroń A., Jeleń I., Juchno D., Przybylski M. & Borzuchowska E. 2008: Age and growth of the karyologically identified spined loach *Cobitis taenia* Linnaeus, 1758 (Teleostei, Cobitidae) from a diploid population. *Folia Zool.* 57: 155–161.
- Buj I., Šanda R., Marčić Z., Ćaleta M. & Mrakovčić M. 2014: Combining morphology and genetics in resolving taxonomy a systematic revision of spined loaches (genus *Cobitis*; Cypriniformes, Actinopterygii) in the Adriatic watershed. *PLoS ONE 9 (6): e99833*.
- Casselman S.J. & Schulte-Hostedde A.I. 2004: Reproductive roles predict sexual dimorphism in internal and external morphology of lake whitefish, *Coregonus clupeaformis. Ecol. Freshw. Fish* 13: 217–222.
- Erős T. 2000: Population biology of Cobitis elongatoides in a lowland stream of the Middle Danube (Hungary). Folia Zool. 49: 151–157.
- Erős T. 2003: The reproductive characteristics of a spined loach population (Osteichthyes, Cobitidae) based on gonad analysis. *Biologia* 58: 245–252.
- Freyhof J. & Serov D.V. 2000: Cobitis laoensis from Vietnam with notes on the southern distribution limits of Indochinese Cobitis (Teleostei: Cobitidae). Folia Zool. 49: 205–214.
- Freyhof J. & Stelbrink B. 2007: Cobitis illyrica, a new species of loach from Croatia (Teleostei: Cobitidae). Ichthyol. Explor: Fres. 18: 269–275.
- Halačka K., Vetešník L., Mendel J. & Papoušek I. 2010: Can spawning marks on females of the spined loaches (*Cobitis* sp., Teleostei) be caused by scratches from males during mating? *Folia Zool.* 59: 329–331.
- Henderson B.A., Collins N., Morgan G.E. & Vaillancourt A. 2003: Sexual size dimorphism of walleye (*Stizostedion vitreum vitreum*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 60: 1345–1352.
- Jeleń I., Boroń A., Szlachciak J. & Juchno D. 2008: Morphology of the karyologically identified spined loach *Cobitis taenia* (Teleostei, Cobitidae) from a diploid population. *Folia Zool.* 57: 131–138.
- Kottelat M. 2012: Conspectus Cobitidium: an inventory of the loaches of the world (Teleostei: Cypriniformes: Cobitoidei). *Raffles Bull. Zool. Suppl. 26: 1–199.*
- Kottelat M. & Freyhof J. 2007: Handbook of European freshwater fishes. Publications Kottelat, Cornol.
- Kotusz J. 2000: Intra- and interpopulation morphological variability in diploid and varied-ploidy *Cobitis* from Poland. *Folia Zool. 49: 219–226.*
- Morita S.H., Morita K., Hamatsu T., Chimura M., Yamashita Y., Sasaki K. & Sato T. 2015: Differential effects of the environment on the growth of arabesque greenling (*Pleurogrammus azonus*): does rising temperature benefit young but not old fish? *Environ. Biol. Fishes 98: 801–809.*
- Mustafić P., Marčić Z., Duplić A., Mrakovčić M., Ćaleta M., Zanella D., Buj I., Podnar M. & Dolenec Z. 2008: A new loach species of the genus *Cobitis* in Croatia. *Folia Zool. 57: 4–9.*
- Nalbant T.T., Ráb P., Bohlen J. & Saitoh K. 2001: Evolutionary success of the loaches of the genus *Cobitis* (Pisces: Ostariophysi: Cobitidae). *Travaux du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle "Grigore Antipa" 43: 277–289.*
- Perdices A. & Doadrio I. 2001: The molecular systematics and biogeography of the European cobitids based on mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 19: 468–478.
- Przybylski M. & Valladolid M. 2000: Age and growth of Cobitis paludica in the Lozoya River (Central Spain). Folia Zool. 49: 129-134.
- Robotham P.W.J. 1981: Age, growth and reproduction of a population of spined loach, Cobitis taenia (L.). Hydrobiologia 85: 129-136.
- Schneider D., Mrakovčić M., Mustafić P. & Kerovec M. 2000a: Morphological differences in some *Cobitis* populations from Croatia. *Folia Zool.* 49: 227–234.
- Schneider D., Mustafić P., Mrakovčić M. & Mihaljević Z. 2000b: Some aspects of the biology of the Neretvan spined loach. *Folia Zool.* 49: 159–165.
- Valladolid M. & Przybylski M. 2008: Life history traits of the endangered Iberian loach *Cobitis calderoni* Băcescu, 1962 in the River Lozoya, Central Spain. *Folia Zool.* 57: 147–154.