

Moult and Breeding of Captive Northern Hawk Owls *Surnia ulula*

Authors: Cieślak, Marian, and Kwieciński, Zbigniew

Source: *Ardea*, 97(4) : 571-579

Published By: Netherlands Ornithologists' Union

URL: <https://doi.org/10.5253/078.097.0424>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Moult and breeding of captive Northern Hawk Owls *Surnia ulula*

Marian Cieślak^{1,*} & Zbigniew Kwieciński^{2,3}



Cieślak M. & Kwieciński Z. 2009. Moult and breeding of captive Northern Hawk Owls *Surnia ulula*. In: Johnson D.H., Van Nieuwenhuyse D. & Duncan J.R. (eds) Proc. Fourth World Owl Conf. Oct–Nov 2007, Groningen, The Netherlands. Ardea 97(4): 571–579.

During 2003–07, the moult of four captive Northern Hawk Owls *Surnia ulula* was studied in Poznań Zoological Garden (Poland). The aviary was under daily observation and all breeding events were recorded. It was recognized that captive conditions differ from that in the wild (food availability, expended energy – especially by males, and stress) but the study of captive owls allowed a detailed study of moult in relation to the breeding cycle of Northern Hawk Owls. Identification of dated moulted feathers enabled us to reconstruct the moult process of primaries, secondaries and rectrices. During this study the four birds had different breeding status: single, paired without breeding, paired with unsuccessful or successful breeding. In nine cases, the moult corresponded to patterns described in literature. In every case all primaries and rectrices were renewed each season. Males started moulting 3–11 days earlier than females and shed all secondaries every year while females replaced from 50–100% of secondaries annually. Rate of moult was not related to ambient temperature. During a successful breeding season, the moult of both sexes was two-phased: a short first phase with low moult intensity lasting until young owlets left the nest box followed by a longer, more intense second phase. Breeding females (egg laying and incubation) started to moult a few weeks earlier than non-breeding females but completed moult at approximately the same date. In contrast, breeding and non-breeding males had similar patterns of moulting intensity.

Key words: Northern Hawk Owl, *Surnia ulula*, moult, captive breeding, energy trade-off, ecological constraints

¹Ecofund Foundation, Bracka str. 4, 00–502 Warszawa, Poland; ²Zoological Garden, Browarna str. 25, 61–063 Poznań, Poland; ³Dept. Zoology, Institute of Zoology, Poznań University of Life Sciences, Wojska Polskiego 71 C, 60-625 Poznań, Poland (zookwiatek@interia.pl);

*corresponding author (mcieslak@ekofundusz.org.pl or mc05@op.pl)

INTRODUCTION

In many birds, moulting, breeding and migration usually do not overlap within the annual cycle (Payne 1972, Hahn *et al.* 1992, Kjellen 1994). All these activities are nutrient and energy demanding so their temporal separation provides ecological benefits. According to Payne (1972), daily energy demands of moulting birds are 5–30% higher than within the rest of the annual cycle. That increase is the result of higher protein demands for new feather growth and reduced thermoregulatory ability. According to Payne (1972),

overlap of moulting and breeding may occur in two situations: when food is abundant all year, or when food is only seasonally abundant during the breeding season and there is a shortage of food during the rest of the year. Energetic constraints influence the timing of moulting and breeding in owls, which metabolise much of the body fat reserves during the breeding and moulting season (Hardy *et al.* 1982). Most European owl species postpone moult until after or close to the end of the breeding season. As a consequence, reproducing birds moult later than non-breeders (Pietiainen *et al.* 1984, Hirons *et al.* 1984, Petty 1994).

The Northern Hawk Owl *Surnia ulula* seems to have a less common strategy with a relatively early moult period which overlaps the breeding period (Forsman 1980, Cieřlak & Kwieciński 2005). Rather than waiting to moult after young have fledged, this species start an energy consuming moult while in the progress of feeding its young. However little is known about the exact timing of shedding feathers and about energy costs of replacing feathers in this species. Only Forsman (1980) provided initial data on the moult pattern in Northern Hawk Owls, based on museum material and captured wild owls. Some data based on museum specimens of North American subspecies *Surnia u. caparoch* are available in Pyle’s (1997) monograph. We decided therefore to study moult patterns in captive Northern Hawk Owl (Cieřlak & Kwieciński 2005). The aim of this paper was to investigate when birds moult in relation to the breeding cycle. We did this by daily records of moult progress in captive owls and relating these to the stage of breeding.

Relatively little information is available on European owl’s moult in relation to their breeding ecology. Studies of owls living in captivity (zoos, bird rehabilitation centres) could provide information on aspects of ecology more easily and in more detail than similar studies in the wild (Pyle 1997). Obviously, differences in ecological conditions in captivity and the wild should be taken into consideration when results are interpreted.

METHODS

The study was conducted in the Poznań Zoological Garden (western Poland) in 2003–07, on two pairs of Northern Hawk Owls (Table 1). The first pair (F1 and M1) was born in 2002 and successfully bred in 2003. In

2004 the first clutch was destroyed by an unknown predator (2 chicks were killed and the incubated eggs were abandoned by the female). After 12 days, the female started to lay another clutch of six eggs, but before these eggs hatched she stopped incubation. A detailed description of the moult pattern in this year was given in Cieřlak & Kwieciński (2005). In 2005 the pair incubated successfully but soon after hatching the male and four of the chicks died from aspergillosis. In 2006 and 2007 female F1 was single. The second pair (F2 and M2) was born in 2005 and bred for the first time in 2007 when incubating 9 eggs and raising 5 young. The owls were housed in standard aviaries (25 m²) with nest boxes (35 × 35 × 45 cm) and were exposed daily to zoo visitors. Food (mice) and water were provided daily *ad libitum*. Owls cached surplus of mice in small holes in the walls.

Daily food consumption was recorded annually for female F1, for male M1 only in 2004 and for female and male F2 and M2, respectively, only in 2006. The mean daily food intake was calculated on the basis of the difference between the weight (g) of the food provided and that left uneaten. Ambient temperature data during the moulting period were recorded by the Poznań Institute of Meteorology.

From April to August, aviaries and nest boxes were inspected every day to collect moulted feathers (primaries, secondaries and rectrices). Two innermost secondaries (s16 and s17) were ignored in this study due to their small size. Feathers were identified by shape and length. To help identify the position of secondaries in the wing (their ordinal numbers) these feathers were individually marked the previous autumn (Fig. 1). See Appendix 1 for an example of the records collected per individual. Three indices were used to describe and measure moult.

Table 1. Number of captive Northern Hawk Owls investigated and their breeding status.

Year	Breeding status Pair 1 ^a	Breeding status Pair 2 ^b	Number of birds
2003	7 eggs / 2 young raised	-	2 ^c
2004	9 eggs / 2 young lost 6 eggs lost	-	2
2005	9 eggs / 2 young raised ^d	-	1
2006	Single female	No breeding	3
2007	Single female	9 eggs / 5 young raised	3

^aMale M1 and female F1, born in 2002.

^bMale M2 and female F2, born in 2005.

^cNo daily moult scores.

^dAnother four young and the male died at the beginning of June after mycotic infection (aspergillosis).



Figure 1. Photograph of open Northern Hawk Owl wing showing method of marking (indicated by the arrows) the position of secondaries in the wing during autumn moult verification.

Intensity of shedding index (ISI) describes how often and how long feathers are shed in a given period or over the whole moulting season. It is the ratio of total length of shed feathers to number of days and is expressed in mm/d. The length of shed feathers were derived from an owl feather database where average length of primaries, secondaries and rectrices was calculated on the basis of 24 feather sets (MC, unpubl. data). This index was used to investigate the effect of ambient temperature on feather shedding patterns.

Number of feathers growing simultaneously was another parameter describing the intensity of growing new feathers. It was based on our 2004–05 measured feather growth rate of 5 mm/d. We assumed that all feathers grow at the same rate from start to end of their growth period as supported by photographs of Northern Hawk Owl open wings. Based on moult summary data (Appendix 1) the number of feathers growing simultaneously each day was calculated for the period starting when the first feather was shed and until the last shed feather had finished growing.

Annual secondaries exchange index (AISE) was used to evaluate the extent of feather replacement during the moulting season. This index was applied only to secondaries since all the primaries and rectrices were replaced annually. The AISE value was calculated as a ratio of the sum of length of replaced secondaries to the sum of length of all secondaries (s1 to s15) multiplied by 100 (expressed as a percentage). For example, female F1 in 2003 did not replace four secondaries in her left wing (s4, s7, s8, s9) and three secondaries in her right wing (s4, s7, s8) giving an AISE value of 75.1%. The length of feathers used to calculate the index was derived from an owl feather database (MC, unpubl. data). Throughout the year, the timing of all elements of the breeding cycle (eggs laying, incubation, fledging) were recorded.

The number of feathers growing simultaneously was analysed by a mixed model (SPSS 14.0), which accounts for repeated measurements. Individual birds were entered as subject in the analysis with year, sex and breeding status (breeding or not) as fixed factors. Means are reported \pm SD.

RESULTS

Moult pattern

Each individual replaced all primaries and rectrices annually. In all four male cases, all secondaries were replaced regardless of breeding status (Table 2). In seven female cases, all secondaries were replaced (ASEI = 100%) only once by non-breeding female F2 in 2006. Female F1's ASEI came close to 100% in 2004 when her breeding attempts failed. The ASEI for the remaining five female cases ranged from 50% to 79%.

Food consumption and ambient temperature

Mean daily food intake during the moulting period ranged from 130.6 ± 32.1 g to 163.9 ± 15.8 g for female F1 (recorded annually), and was 138.0 ± 21.7 g for female F2 in 2006. Mean daily food intake was 131.4 ± 31.6 g for male M1 in 2004 and 130.0 ± 31.6 g for male M2 in 2006. Mean ambient temperature during moulting seasons was: 15.7 ± 4.18°C in 2004, 15.4 ± 5.0°C in 2005, 17.9 ± 5.0°C in 2006 and 15.8 ± 5.0°C in 2007. Ambient temperature and rate of moult were in most cases not correlated (Table 3).

Table 2. Annual secondaries exchange indices (ASEI) of captive Northern Hawk Owls. Breeding years are bold.

Year	Annual secondaries exchange index (%)			
	Pair 1		Pair 2	
	F1	M1	F2	M2
2003	75.1	100	-	-
2004	92.8	100	-	-
2005	50.3	-	-	-
2006	64.2	-	100	100
2007	64.3	-	79.0	100

Moult vs. breeding

On average, the number of simultaneously growing feathers was 14.1 ± 8.85 (Fig. 2). The number of growing feathers was significantly lower in breeding individuals than in non-breeding individuals ($F_{1,1103} = 14.98, P < 0.005$). There were no differences among years although the year effect approached significance ($F_{3,1102} = 2.54, P = 0.055$). Males and females did not differ in the number of feathers moulting simultaneously ($F_{1,1102} = 0.07, P = 0.79$). The apparently less intensive moult in breeding birds compared to non-breeders concurred with a longer time period needed to complete moult (131 days and 112 days, respectively) but sample sizes were too small to draw firm conclusions ($n_{total} = 9, F_{1,7} = 2.30, P = 0.17$). Breeders started on average 15 days earlier in time than non-breeders but completed moult at the same time (difference 0.5 day).

Figure 2 compiles all observations on numbers of simultaneously growing feathers for each individual throughout each breeding season. Non-breeding females replaced feathers in a clear uni-modal pattern. In contrast, breeding females exhibited two distinct phases of moult; first a short and less intensive phase, followed by a second phase that lasted for a longer time and during which more feathers were replaced simultaneously. Breeding birds started to shed feathers while still incubating the eggs. Before the last chick hatched, both males and females shed the four or five innermost (and shortest) primaries and males shed four very short inner secondaries (for moult order see Appendix 1). Just after the last chick left the nest box the second phase of intensive moult began. Through about the first 10 days of this phase, the number of growing feathers increased slowly, but later rapidly increased due to moult of 12 long rectrices in a relatively short period (4 to 11 days by breeding females, and 8 to 19 days by breeding males). A long period of many simultaneously growing feathers during the sec-

Table 3. Spearman rank correlation of the rate of moult and daily ambient temperature in four captive Northern Hawk Owls.

Bird	2004	2005	2006	2007
F1	$r_s = 0.217, n = 106, P = 0.025$	$r_s = -0.052, n = 94, P = 0.619$	$r_s = -0.050, n = 73, P = 0.677$	$r_s = 0.011, n = 56, P = 0.935$
F2	-	-	$r_s = 0.181, n = 102, P = 0.071$	$r_s = 0.304, n = 104, P = 0.002$
M1	$r_s = 0.176, n = 104, P = 0.075$	-	-	-
M2	-	-	$r_s = 0.177, n = 126, P = 0.053$	$r_s = 0.158, n = 112, P = 0.098$




Figure 2. Daily numbers of feathers growing simultaneously for each of the individual captive Northern Hawk Owls in successive years. Average values for each individual are given. For breeding pairs the different stages of breeding are indicated. In 2004, chicks and eggs of the first clutch of pair 1 were lost, and the second clutch was abandoned after almost four weeks of incubation. In 2005, four of the six chicks and the male died due to aspergillosis.

ond phase was the result of growing long rectrices, long outer primaries and many outer and middle secondaries (Fig. 2, Appendix 1). Males followed roughly the same pattern as females but tended to replace more feathers during the early moult period.

DISCUSSION

Our results generally support earlier observations that the Northern Hawk Owl exhibits a considerable overlap in moult and reproduction (Forsman 1980, Cieślak &

Kwieciński 2005). In breeding females the moult progressed in two distinct phases. During the first approximately 15% of the feathers were replaced. A second phase began when the young left the nest and in the period that the young were fed by the parents a further 28% of the feathers were replaced (Fig. 2), which underlines the considerable amount of overlap between moult and reproduction.

Possible costs of moult were reduced by moulting fewer feathers at the same time than non-breeders did. Moreover, beginning the moult early with relatively short feathers allowed the owls to reduce the number

of simultaneously growing new feathers during the energetically demanding period when young owls are growing fastest (3–4 weeks old). The moult order at the beginning of the moult cycle exhibited by the Northern Hawk Owl and many other bird species seems to be evolutionarily optimised to use time-limited energy resources for both moulting and reproducing.

The strategy of an early moult suggests a trade-off to optimally use the high prey availability during the brief spring and summer periods of the Nordic landscape for both breeding and moulting. The pattern observed can be explained by the food situation that the species encounters. Because small mammal prey availability vary considerably in location and over time (rodent cycles), Northern Hawk Owls are forced to disperse nomadically after breeding and moulting to search for food resources to survive the forthcoming winter. The need to overlap moult and breeding periods has selected for breeding strategies that optimize the use of available resources for reproduction and new feather growth simultaneously. One element of such trade-off mechanism in the Northern Hawk Owl is a variable clutch size (3–13 eggs) which is dependent on food resources in a given year (Mikkola 1983). Reduction of clutch size in poor food years accommodates the energetic demands of moulting.

Another element of this strategy is the early start of the female's moult just after the energy demanding egg-laying stage (Fig. 2). The male's contribution to reproduction at that stage of the breeding cycle (foraging for its mate and himself) is less energetically demanding, so males can moult earlier (3, 10 and 11 days in our birds). But the intensity of the first moult phase in both sexes during the first stages of breeding was relatively low compared to the second phase of moulting (Fig. 2).

To cope with the short time periods when food is abundant many owls collect a surplus of food and store (cache) it for later use in more demanding periods. The captive owls we studied also cached mice during the first phase of moult despite being provided with food *ad libitum* suggesting that caching behaviour is an innate strategy that has evolved under natural selection. Cached food resources are crucial for the Northern Hawk Owl's strategy of moulting during the breeding season (Huhtula *et al.* 1987). In the wild, 4–5 week old owlets are not independent and must be fed by their parents with lowered flight and hunting ability. Cached food probably helps the flight-challenged moulting parents feed young owlets while they learn to hunt.

Specific features of the Northern Hawk Owl's moult strategy is that breeding females accelerate their moult

by about 15 days earlier than non-breeding females (Fig. 2) including a relatively early and almost simultaneous moult of rectrices, the growth of which is supported by cached food. By late summer, when owlets are independent, parents with new plumage are ready for long nomadic flights to find scarce prey during winter. In contrast, Forsman (1981) found that in some years when a captive Spotted Owl *Strix occidentalis* laid and incubated infertile eggs they moulted nearly a month later than in years when it did not nest.

The Northern Hawk Owl has an advanced annual moult, replacing up to 100% of all primaries, secondaries and rectrices. A dynamic flyer, it is diurnal with a rather large hunting territory. It also preys on flying birds especially during the non-breeding period. After one season of use, feathers of wild Northern Hawk Owls sometimes look badly worn. It is thus very important for this species to maximize the extent of feather moult annually to maintain optimal flying ability for hunting during the critical winter period. Also, other diurnally active owl species that hunt birds in flight (Little Owl *Athene noctua*, Eurasian Pygmy Owl *Glaucidium passerinum*), thus suffering a relatively quick wear of the feathers, show a more advanced annual rate of feathers exchange (Schönn *et al.* 1991, Cieślak & Kwieciński, unpubl. data). In contrast, nocturnal owls that hunt by ear and pounce on terrestrial prey (Tengmalm's Owl *Aegolius funerus*, Barn Owl) have less extensive annual moult (Piechocki 1961, 1974, Lenton 1984, Hornfeldt *et al.*, 1988, Cieślak & Kwieciński 2006).

The comparison of the moult intensity of breeding and non-breeding females (Fig. 2) helps understand the complex physiological relationship between breeding and moulting (Payne 1972). We speculate that the hormonal reactions of female Northern Hawk Owls during the initial phase of the breeding cycle could also stimulate an earlier start of moult. Such stimulation may also affect intensity of moult. This conclusion is supported by a high value of the annual secondaries exchange index (ASEI) by female F1 in 2004 when she laid two clutches (total 15 eggs; Table 2).

Female F1's moult in 2005 (low ASEI value of 50%) may have been influenced by the aspergillosis infection which killed her mate M1 and their four chicks, even she did not show any visible symptoms of illness. The lower ASEI values for female F1 during her 'widow' years (2006 and 2007) support our thesis on the significance of the role of breeding hormones in the stimulation of feather moult intensity, but annual moult rates or intensity may also decrease with age as reported for others owls (Eurasian Eagle Owl *Bubo bubo*, Mebs in

Glutz von Blotzheim & Bauer 1980). To address this question we plan to continue our study by providing a new mate for female F1.

The brood loss observed in 2004 coincided with a different moult pattern of both owls (F1 and M1) that differed than that observed when breeding was successful. Female F1 displayed a prolonged first phase of moult (up to about 60 days) that included the laying and incubation of a second clutch. The delayed start of female F1's second phase of intensive moult illustrated the relationship of moulting and breeding. The Male M1's moult in relation to the observed brood loss is difficult to explain. The rapid increase of moult intensity just after this event could either be result of a lack of young to feed or associated with the stress associated with breeding failure.

Effect of captive conditions

The main differences between wild and captive Northern Hawk Owls that might influence the observed relationship between moult and breeding include food availability and diversity, energetic (flight) demands for hunting and nest defence, feathers abrasion rates (collisions with aviary walls and ceiling), disturbance (human visitors), photoperiod and zoogeographical/climatic factors (the owls we studied were observed far away from their natural breeding range). These differences may have influenced the results we observed and must be considered in extrapolating our conclusions to wild birds. But a species' evolved strategies and mechanisms do not necessarily disappear in captivity. Payne (1972) concluded that the phenology of moult in captive birds was consistent with that of wild birds. Also, we documented that owl food caching behaviour persisted in captivity even when food was provided *ad libitum* suggesting that this is an innate behaviour.

According to Forsman (1980) and Cramp (1988), Northern Hawk Owls in the wild seldom replace all secondaries each season. We documented that captive owls moulted these feathers more intensively – with four of 11 cases in which birds replaced all their secondaries. This was likely the result of increased food availability and lower energy demands. The lower annual rate of secondaries replaced by breeding females supports this thesis. Comparisons with the reported moult patterns of wild Northern Hawk Owl (Forsman 1980, Pyle 1997) we conclude that captive conditions do not significantly modify the moult (sequence and order of moulted feathers) and phenology relative to the breeding cycle. Better food availability and lower energy expenditures probably increased the rate of annual feather exchange, confirming the conclusions of other authors (Nero &

Copland 1997, Hornfeld *et al.* 1988). The lower energy expenditure (reduced flight for hunting) by captive males could result in increased annual rate of feather exchange (ASEI) comparing to captive breeding females and wild males.

In the wild, breeding success is influenced by food availability and weather (Cramp *et al.* 1988, Newton 1998, Taylor *et al.* 1992). Our results show that in captivity moult rate is not related to ambient temperature, perhaps because in captivity temperature (and other elements of weather) does not influence the availability of food. Others have concluded that the rate of moult and weather-influenced food availability are related (Cramp 1988, Newton 1998, Korpimäki 1992, Sondell 2000). We conclude that while captive conditions may have influenced our research results we nevertheless were able to document in detail an interesting relationship between breeding and moult in the Northern Hawk Owls that would be almost impossible to achieve with birds in the wild.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Directorate of the Zoological Garden in Poznań for a kind attitude to our investigation and together with EcoFound Foundation in Warszawa for financial support that allowed our participation in the 2007 World Owl Conference in Groningen. We are grateful to Prof. Piotr Tryjanowski for comments and discussion of ideas and to Jim Duncan and Bob Nero for reviewing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Cieślak M. & Kwiecieński Z. 2005. Moult of Hawk Owl in the Poznań Zoological Garden. *Notatki Ornitologiczne* 46: 143–157. (In Polish)
- Cieślak M. & Kwiecieński Z. 2006. Moulting of Tengmalm's Owls in the Poznań Zoological Garden. *Notatki Ornitologiczne* 47: 241–251. (In Polish)
- Cramp S. 1988. *The birds of the Western Palearctic*. Vol 4. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford.
- Forsman D. 1980. Ageing and moult in western palearctic Hawk Owls *Surnia u. ulula* L. *Ornis Fenn.* 57: 173–175.
- Forsman E.D. 1981. Molt of the Spotted Owl. *Auk* 98: 735–742.
- Glutz von Blotzheim U.N. & Bauer K.M. 1980. *Handbuch der Vögel Mitteleuropas*. Aula Verlag, Wiesbaden.
- Hahn T.P., Swingle J., Wingfield J.C. & Ramenofsky M. 1992. Adjustments of the prebasic moult schedule in birds. *Ornis Scand.* 23: 314–321.
- Hardy A.R., Hiron G.J.M. & Stanley P.I. 1982. The relation of body weight, fat deposit and moult to the reproductive cycle in wild Tawny owls and Barn Owls. In: Cooper J.E. & Greenwood A. (eds) *Recent Advances in the study of raptor diseases*. Chiron Publications, Keighley, West Yorkshire, pp. 159–163.

- Hirons G.J.M., Hardy A.R. & Stanley P.I. 1984. Body weight, gonad development and moult in the Tawny owl (*Strix aluco*). *J. Zool.* 2002: 145–164.
- Hornfeldt B., Carlsson B-G. & Nordstrom A. 1988. Molt of primaries and age determination on Tengmalm's Owls (*Aegolius funerus*). *Auk* 105: 783–789.
- Huhtula K., Korpimäki E. & Pulliainen E. 1987. Foraging activity and growth of nestling in the hawk owl: Adaptive strategies under northern conditions. In: Nero R.W., Clark R.J., Knapton R.J. & Hamre R.H. (eds) *Biology and conservation of northern forest owls*. U.S. Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-142, pp.152–156.
- Kjellen N. 1994. Molt in relation to migration in birds—a review. *Ornis Svecica* 4: 1–24.
- Korpimäki E. 1992. Population dynamics of Fennoscandian owls in relation to wintering conditions and between-year fluctuations of food. In: Galbraith C.F., Taylor I.R. & Percival S. (eds) *The ecology and conservation of European Owls*. JNCC, Peterborough, pp. 1–10.
- Lenton I. 1984. Molt of Malayan common barn owl. *Ibis* 126: 188–197.
- Mikkola H. 1983. *Owls of Europe*. T. & A.D. Poyser, Calton.
- Nero R.W. & Copland H.W.R. 1997. Sex and age composition of great gray owls (*Strix nebulosa*), winter 1995/1996. In: Duncan J.R., Johnson D.H. & Nicholls T.H. (eds) *Biology and conservation of owls of the Northern Hemisphere*. U.S. Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-190, pp. 587–590.
- Newton I. 1998. *Population limitation in birds*. Academic Press, London.
- Payne R.B. 1972. Mechanisms and control of molts. In: Farner D.S., King J.R. (eds) *Avian Biology*, Volume 2. Academic Press, New York, pp. 103–155.
- Petty S.J. 1994. Molt in Tawny owls *Strix aluco* in relation to food supply and reproduction success. In: Meyburg B.U., Chancellor R.D. (eds) *Raptor conservation today*. WWGBP / Pica Press, London, pp. 521–530.
- Piechocki R. 1961. Über die Grossgefiedermauser von Schleiereule und Waldkauz. *J. Ornithol.* 102: 220–225.
- Piechocki R. 1974. Über die Grossgefieder-Mauser eines gekäfigten Paares der Schleiereule (*Tyto alba*). *J. Ornithol.* 115: 436–444.
- Pietiäinen H., Saurola P. & Kolonen H. 1984. The reproductive constraints on moult in the Ural Owls *Strix uralensis*. *Ann. Zool. Fenn.* 21: 277–281.
- Pyle P. 1997. Flight-feather molt patterns and age in North American Owls. *Monogr. Field Ornithol.*, no. 2.
- Sondell J. 2000. Wing moult duration for the Reed Bunting *Emberiza schoeniclus* at Kvismaren, central Sweden, with regard to data representativeness and weather influence. *Ornis Svecica* 10: 13–23.
- Schönn S., Scherzinger W., Exo K.-M. & Ille R. 1991. Der Steinkauz. Die Neue Brehm-Bucherei, Ziemsen Verlag, Wittenberg Lutherstadt.
- Taylor I.R., Dowell A. & Shaw G. 1992. The population ecology and conservation of Barn Owls *Tyto alba* in coniferous plantations. In: Galbraith C.F., Taylor I.R. & Percival S. (eds) *The ecology and conservation of European Owls*. JNCC, Peterborough, pp. 16–21.

SAMENVATTING

In de jaren 2003–07 werd het ruipatroon van vier Sperweruilen *Surnia ulula* onderzocht in de dieren tuin van Poznań, Polen. De vogels werden dagelijks geobserveerd en de broedcyclus werd in detail vastgelegd. Waarnemingen aan gekooide vogels zijn niet zonder meer toepasbaar voor vogels in het wild, maar daar staat tegenover dat gedetailleerde gegevens moeilijk zijn te verkrijgen in veldsituaties. Alle geruide veren werden verzameld, zodat de rui van handpennen, armpennen en staartveren kon worden onderzocht. De vier vogels verschilden gedurende de onderzoeksperiode in broedstatus: ze waren ongepaard, gepaard zonder te broeden, gepaard en broedend maar zonder succes, of gepaard en succesvol broedend. In negen gevallen kwam het ruipatroon overeen met beschrijvingen in de literatuur. De hand- en staartpennen werden ieder jaar vernieuwd. Mannetjes begonnen 3–11 dagen eerder dan vrouwtjes te ruien en ze vervangen ieder jaar alle armpennen. Bij vrouwtjes was meer spreiding in het ruipatroon, waarbij soms alle armpennen werden vervangen en soms maar de helft. De snelheid van de veergroei was gecorreleerd met de voedselopname, maar niet met de omgevingstemperatuur. Wanneer de vogels succesvol een broedsel grootbrachten, verliep de rui van beide seksen in twee fases. Eerst een korte fase, waarbij weinig veren tegelijkertijd werden geruid, die duurde tot de jonge uilen het nest verlieten. Daarna een tweede fase die langer duurde en waarbij meer veren tegelijkertijd werden vervangen. Vrouwtjes met nest en eieren ruiden enkele weken eerder dan niet-broedende vrouwtjes. Er bestond bij mannetje echter geen verschil tussen broedvogels en niet-broedvogels.

Appendix 1. Moulting pattern of non-breeding female Northern Hawk Owl F2 during season 2006. Numbers illustrate simultaneous shedding of given feathers on both sides within 1–2 days, letters L (left) and R (right) illustrate 3 days and longer shedding asymmetry. Bold numbers illustrate shading dated on the basis of one-side feather found (one feather omitted and not collected, but verified as replaced).

	Primaries										Secondaries															Tail-feathers					
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	1	2	3	4	5	6
2 May										L																					
10									R																						
15								L																							
23								3																							
30							R																								
3 June							L																								
6–7					5																										
9																								L							
10																							L								
12																							R								
15																						R									
16					6																				15						
18																													L	L	
20																											3	4	R	R	
22																					12										
26				R																						R					
27																										L					
28				L																											
30																										1					
2 July											L			5						L											
3											R																				
4			8																	R											
7																															
12		R																													
13		L																		L											
16																				R											
17																L															
20	10														R																
23											R	R																			
24											L																				
25												L																			
26																				R											
1 Aug																			L												
2																	R														
5																	L														
7																		8													
9															L																
11														R																	

ARDEA

TIJDSCHRIFT DER NEDERLANDSE ORNITHOLOGISCHE UNIE (NOU)

ARDEA is the scientific journal of the Netherlands Ornithologists' Union (NOU), published bi-annually in spring and autumn. Next to the regular issues, special issues are produced frequently. The NOU was founded in 1901 as a non-profit ornithological society, composed of persons interested in field ornithology, ecology and biology of birds. All members of the NOU receive ARDEA and LIMOSA and are invited to attend scientific meetings held two or three times per year.

NETHERLANDS ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION (NOU)

Chairman – J.M. Tinbergen, Animal Ecology Group, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 14, 9750 AA Haren, The Netherlands

Secretary – P.J. van den Hout, Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ), P.O. Box 59, 1790 AB Den Burg, Texel, The Netherlands (hout@nioz.nl)

Treasurer – E.C. Smith, Ir. van Stuivenbergweg 4, 6644 AB Ewijk, The Netherlands (ekko.diny@planet.nl)

Further board members – E. Boerma, G.J. Gerritsen, J. Komdeur, J. Ouweland, G.L. Ouweneel, J.J. de Vries

Membership NOU – The 2010 membership fee for persons with a postal address in The Netherlands is €42 (or €25 for persons <25 years old at the end of the year). Family members (€9 per year) do not receive journals. Foreign membership amounts to €54 (Europe), or €65 (rest of the world). Payments to ING-bank account 285522 in the name of Nederlandse Ornithologische Unie, Sloetmarke 41, 8016 CJ Zwolle, The Netherlands (BIC: INGBNL2A and IBAN: NL36INGB0000285522). Payment by creditcard is possible. Correspondence concerning membership, payment alternatives and change of address should be sent to: Erwin de Visser, Sloetmarke 41, 8016 CJ Zwolle, The Netherlands (nou ledenadmin@gmail.com).

Research grants – The NOU supports ornithological research and scientific publications through its Huib Kluijver Fund and the 'Stichting Vogeltrekstation'. Applications for grants can be addressed to the NOU Secretary. Donations to either fund are welcomed by the NOU treasurer.

Internet – www.nou.nu

ARDEA

Editors of ARDEA – Rob G. Bijlsma, Wapse (Editor in chief); Christiaan Both, Groningen; Niels J. Dingemanse, Groningen; Dik Heg, Bern; Ken Kraaijeveld, Leiden; Kees van Oers, Heteren; Jouke Prop, Ezinge (Technical editor); Julia Stahl, Oldenburg; B. Irene Tieleman, Groningen; Yvonne I. Verkuil, Groningen

Dissertation reviews – Popko Wiersma, Groningen

Editorial address – Jouke Prop, Allersmaweg 56, 9891 TD Ezinge, The Netherlands (ardea.nou@planet.nl)

Internet – www.ardeajournal.nl. The website offers free downloads of all papers published in Ardea and forerunners from 1904 onwards. The most recent publications are available only to subscribers to Ardea and members of the NOU.

Subscription ARDEA – Separate subscription to ARDEA is possible. The 2010 subscription rates are €36 (The Netherlands), €42 (Europe), and €50 (rest of the world). Institutional subscription rates are €53, €69, and €78, respectively). Papers that were published more than five years ago can be freely downloaded as pdf by anyone through ARDEA's website. More recent papers are available only to members of the NOU and subscribers of ARDEA-online. Receiving a hard-copy with additional access to ARDEA-online costs €55 (The Netherlands and Europe), €70 (rest of the world), or €110 (institutions). Subscriptions to ARDEA-online (without receiving a hard copy) cost €40 (individuals worldwide), or €85 (institutions). Payments to ING-bank account 125347, in the name of Nederlandse Ornithologische Unie, Ir. van Stuivenbergweg 4, 6644 AB Ewijk, The Netherlands (BIC: INGBNL2A and IBAN: NL16INGB0000125347). Correspondence concerning subscription, change of address, and orders for back volumes to: Ekko Smith, Ir. van Stuivenbergweg 4, 6644 AB Ewijk, The Netherlands (ekko.diny@planet.nl).

World Owl Conference Special

Editors – David H. Johnson, Dries Van Nieuwenhuyse and James R. Duncan, in cooperation with Jouke Prop and Rob G. Bijlsma

Technical editor – Jouke Prop

Dutch summaries – Arie L. Spaans, Dries Van Nieuwenhuyse, Jouke Prop, Rob G. Bijlsma, or authors

Graphs and layout – Dick Visser

Drawings – Jos Zwarts

Cover photos – Serge Sorbi

front – Snowy Owl

back – Snowy Owl, Great Grey Owl and young Tengmalm's Owl

Production – Hein Bloem, Johan de Jong and Arnold van den Burg

© Nederlandse Ornithologische Unie (NOU), 2009

Printed by Van Denderen, Groningen, The Netherlands, December 2009