

SUSCEPTIBILITY OF MINK TO CERTAIN VIRAL ANIMAL DISEASES FOREIGN TO THE UNITED STATES

Authors: SAHU, S. P., and DARDIRI, A. H.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 15(3): 489-494

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-15.3.489

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

SUSCEPTIBILITY OF MINK TO CERTAIN VIRAL ANIMAL DISEASES FOREIGN TO THE UNITED STATES

S. P. SAHU and A. H. DARDIRI, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Science and Education Administration, Plum Island Animal Disease Center, Greenport, Long Island, New York 11944 🗆 USA.

Abstract: Mink (Mustela vison) were inoculated with viruses: African horse sickness (AHS), African swine fever (ASF), bovine herpes virus II (BHV2), foot-and-mouth disease (FMD), goat pox (GP), hog cholera (HC), peste des petits ruminants (PPR), rinderpest (RP), swine vesicular disease (SVD), vesicular exanthema of swine (VES) and vesicular stomatitis (VS). Their susceptibility was measured by development of clinical signs, virus isolation and detection of precipitin and/or virus neutralizing antibodies. SVD virus produced a lesion in one mink. Virus was isolated from mink inoculated with SVD, FMD and BHV2. Neutralizing and/or precipitin antibodies were detected in mink inoculated with ASF, FMD, GP, RP, SVD and VS viruses. Mink were not susceptible to AHS, HC, PPR and VES viruses.

INTRODUCTION

Experimental infection of certain wild animals with some viruses of domestic livestock foreign to the United States are known to produce acute infection with lesions. 3,5,6,14,15 White-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) 5,6 and whitecollared peccaries (Tyassu tajucu)3 are susceptible to rinderpest (RP) virus. White-tailed deer were also susceptible to foot-and-mouth (FMD) disease virus.9 On the other hand, one-toed pig (Sus Scrofa) were extremely susceptible to viruses of vesicular exanthema of swine (VES), vesicular stomatitis (VS), African swine fever (ASF), swine vesicular disease (SVD), hog cholera (HC) and FMD but not to RP virus.15 White-collared peccaries developed mild clinical signs with FMD, VS, VES and HC viruses but were resistant to African swine fever (ASF) virus.3 Armadillos (Dasypus novemcinctus) were susceptible to FMD but not to ASF, HC, RP, VES and VS viruses.14 Indian squirrels (Funambulus pennanti) were recently shown to be extremely susceptible to FMD by intramuscular or intradermal route but failed to cause infection by oral route or by contact.¹³

There are large populations of mink in the wild and in captivity in the United States. The role of mink as a carrier of the viral diseases foreign to the United States is not known. The purpose of this experiment was to establish the susceptibility of mink to AHS, ASF, BHV2 also known as bovine herpes mammilitis or allerton, FMD, goat pox (GP), HC, peste des petits ruminants (PPR), RP, SVD, VES and VS viruses (Table 1).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Test Animals

The test group consisted of 15 mink. The mink were divided into four groups. Three groups, each consisting of four mink, were inoculated with viruses. The mink were anesthesized by intramuscular inoculation with a mixture of xylazine 0.3 mg \blacksquare and ketamine

Mention of a trademark or proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products that may also be suitable.

Rompun, Haver-Lockhart Lab., Shawnee, Kansas 66201, USA.

TABL	E 1. Ext	erimental de	sign for testin	g susceptibility of	mink to certai	n animal diseat	TABLE 1. Experimental design for testing susceptibility of mink to certain animal diseases foreign to the United States.	States.
Group No.	Inoc Virus ^a Day	Inoculation Day	Virus Type	Virus Type Virus Doses ^b	Site of Inoculation	Type of Serological Test Used ^c	Biological System Used for Virus Isolation and VN Testd	References
-	FMD	0	0,	1×10 ⁷ 9 MLD ₅₀	Foot Pad &	VN and CF	Mice 3-5 day old	3, 9
	RP HC	14 28	Kabete "O" Ames	$5\times10^3 \text{ TCID}_{50}$ $1\times10^4 \text{ PLD}_{50}$	l ongue Muscular Muscular	VN FA plaque	Vero cell line PK ¹⁵ cell line	5 Text
	SVD	42	UK	$5\times10^5~\mathrm{TCID}_{50}$	Foot Pad	VN and CF	MVPK cell line	14
73	AHS GP VS	0 14 28	9 Held, Turkey NJ	9 5×10^{7} 1 TCID ₅₀ Held, Turkey 1×10^4 5 TCID ₅₀ NJ $\times10^6$ 5 EID ₅₀	Muscular Muscular Foot Pad	VN and CF VN and AGD	Vero cell line Primary lamb testis 7 day old fertile	14, 15 1 14
က	ASF	0	Tengani	$1\times10^5~\mathrm{PLD_{50}}$	Muscular	Immunoelec- troosmopho-	chicken egg Swine buffy coat	7, 14, 15
	BHV2 VES PPR	14 28 42	Allerton A ₄₈	2×10 ⁶ ⁶ TCID ₅₀ 5×10 ⁷ ⁸ TCID ₅₀ 5×10 ⁸ ³ TCID ₅₀	Intravenous Foot Pad Muscular	resis (IEOP) VN VN VN	Primary bovine kidney Vero cell line Vero cell line	14 6
4	None	ı	1	1	ı	1	ı	I
z,	FMD		A_5	1×10^7 5 MDL ₅₀	Foot Pad &	VN and CF	Mice 3-5 day old	3, 9, 14

 $^{b}MLD = mouse lethal dose; TCID = tissue culture infective dose; <math>PLD = pig lethal dose; EID = egg infective dose$ cVN = virus neutralization; FA = fluorescent antibody; CF = complement fixation; AGD = agar gel diffusion BHV2 = bovine herpes virus II; VES = vesicular exanthema of swine; PPR = peste des petits ruminants a FMD = foot-and-mouth disease; RP = rinderpest; HC = hog cholera; SVD = swine vesicular disease AHS = African horse sickness; GP = goat pox; VS = vesicular stomatitis; ASF = african swine fever; $^{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{Vero} = \mathrm{green}$ mondey kidney; PK15 and MVPK = pig kidney hydrochloride 1.8 mg. \Box Three uninoculated mink of the 4th group served as controls. All mink of groups 1, 2, 3 and 4 were inoculated with FMD type A_5 virus and called group 5. All inoculated mink were observed for 14 days postinoculation (DPI) for clinical signs of infection. Blood samples for virus isolations were taken at 2, 3 and 7 DPI. The blood samples were diluted 1:10 and 1:100 in Hank's BSS before inoculating into tissue culture and animals, respectively. Sera for serological tests were taken at 14 DPI from the jugular vein.

Cell Cultures and Media

Vero (green monkey kidney) cell line, pig kidney cell lines (PK 15 and MVPK), primary lamb testis, primary bovine kidney and swine buffy coat were used.

MEM (Eagle) with Hank's salt, L-glutamine, 2.2g NaHCO $_3$ /L, penicillin, (100 IU/ml), streptomycin (50 μ g/ml) and kanamycin (10 μ g/ml) were used throughout the experiment. Ten percent bovine fetal calf serum was added to medium used for growing tissue culture and 5% serum for maintenance of the cultures.

Viruses Inoculation, Isolation And Serology

Table 1 describes the experimental design.

Fluorescent Antibody Plaque Inhibition (FAPI) Test

FAPI test was used for hog cholera (HC). Sera taken at 14 DPI were diluted from 1:4 to 1:64 in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4. A 0.2 ml of each dilution of serum was mixed with 0.2 ml of viruses in PBS pH 7.4 containing 100 plaque forming unit (PFU) of HC virus. The virus-serum mixture was incubated in a 37 C water bath for 30 min. PK 15 cell line on cover slips (50 mm \times 10.6 mm) in Leighton tubes were inoculated with 0.2 ml of virus-serum mixture. The virus was adsorbed for 1 h and washed 3 times with 2 ml of PBS, after which 2 ml of MEM (Eagle) with 5% fetal calf serum was added to each tube. At 18 h after infection, all coverslips were fixed in cold acetone for 10 min. Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) conjugated antihog cholera serum was used as described.8 A dilution of serum that inhibited 90% of the fluorescent plaques was considered the titer of the serum.

RESULTS

Virus Isolation and Clinical Signs

Three viruses were isolated from mink out of the 12 viruses used in the experiment. BHV II (Allerton), FMD type A_5 and SVD viruses were isolated from blood samples (Table 2). SVD and BHV2

TABLE 2. Virus isolation from blood of mink inoculated with animal disease viruses foreign to the United States.

Virus isolated	No. isolated/ No. inoculated	Days postinoculation
BHV2	2/4	2,3
$FMD(A_5)$	1/15	ĺ
SVD	1/4 a	2,3

^aMink showed a vesicle on right side of upper lip and on pad of left hind foot at 2 DPI

³ Ketaject, Bristol, Lab., Syracuse, New York 13201, USA.

viruses were isolated at 2 and 3 DPI from one and two mink respectively. FMD type A_5 was isolated from 1 of 15 mink at 1 DPI only.

Other than one mink inoculated with SVD virus, no clinical signs were observed in mink inoculated with all other viruses used in the experiment. Small vesicles appeared on right side of the upper lip and left hind foot of that mink at 2 DPI. They were healed by 5 DPI. Virus was isolated from blood of this mink. No attempt was made to isolate virus from the vesicles.

Serologic Reactions

At 14 DPI in mink sera, antibodies were detected to ASF, FMD types 0_1 , and A_5 , GP, RP, SDV and VS viruses (Table 3). Antibody was detected in 1 out of 4 mink to FMD type O, and ASF viruses. High virus neutralizing antibody titers were observed to RP (1:16 to > 1:64), SDV (1:16 to 1:64), VS (>1:64) and FMD type A_5 (1:4 to >1:64) viruses. Precipitin antibody to GP virus was observed in all four mink and 4 of 15 mink had a complement fixing titer of 1:16 to FMD type A_5 virus. Serum antibody was not

TABLE 3. Serologic response of mink to infection with various exotic animal disease viruses.

	Serological Tests	
No. with antibody	No. inoculated	
A*	B**	
1/4	1/4	
ND	3/4	
ND	0/4	
2/4	4/4	
0/4	0/4	
4/4	1/4	
ND	4/4	
1/4	ND	
0/4	0/4	
0/4	0/4	
ND	0/4	
0/3	0/3	
4/15	9/15	
	A* 1/4 ND ND 2/4 0/4 4/4 ND 1/4 0/4 0/4 ND 0/3	

^aFMD = foot-and-mouth disease; RP = rinderpest; HC = hog cholera;

SVD = swine vesicular disease; AHS = african horse sickness; GP = goat pox;

VS = vesicular stomatitis; ASF = african swine fever;

BHV2 = bovine herpes virus II; VES = vesicular exanthema of swine;

PPR = peste des petits ruminants

A = IEOP or AGD or CF tests;

B = virus neutralization tests;

ND = not done

^{* =} Complement fixation (CF) titer for preinfected sera was <1:8, the titer of positive sera for homologous virus varied from 1:8 to 1:32.

^{** =} Virus neutralization titer of preinfected sera was <1:4, the titer of positive sera for homologous virus varied from 1:4 to >1:64.

observed to HC, AHS, BHV2, VES and PPR viruses.

DISCUSSION

The most frequent method of transmission of FMD in enzootic areas is by direct contact among susceptible animals.4 Mink were found to be partially susceptible to FMD. FMD type A₅ virus was isolated in 1 of 15 mink but type O virus was not isolated. Serologic studies also indicated a partial susceptibility because antibody was induced in 9 of 15 mink inoculated with type A₅ and 1 of 4 mink with type O₁. These limited studies do indicate that mink could carry and become infected with FMD virus, especially type A₅, and could contaminate feed by their excrement. However, the ability to transfer FMD to susceptible domestic animals would be minimal. Mink are shy and do not routinely mix with domesticated animals.

BHV2 virus produced a detectable viremia in 2 of 4 mink at 2 and 3 DPI. Therefore, mink must be considered susceptible and capable of transmitting BHV2 virus even though no lesions or

morbidity were detected. The possibility that a carrier state could develop an mink with BHV2 virus must also be considered because detectable antibodies fail to develop within 14 days.

SVD is a newly isolated virus that has been responsible for extensive lesions in swine in Europe and Asia. 2,10,11 The virus has a limited host spectrum. Cattle, rabbit, chicken, hamster, sheep and guinea pig are all resistant to SVD virus. 2,10 However, mink are susceptible. All mink had high antibody level to SVD virus and one of four inoculated mink that was viremic at 2 and 3 DPI had a vesicule on the right side of the upper lip. Based on clinical signs, mink must be considered in the epizootiology of SVD outbreaks on farms.

Mink inoculated with RP, GP and VES viruses developed antibody; however, virus was not isolated. The susceptibility of mink to these viruses cannot be determined, because antibody could be either a response to the virus inoculum or to virus replication. Mink are resistant to HC, AHS, VES and PPR viruses because neither virus nor antibody was detected.

LITERATURE CITED

- AL-BANA, A.S. 1978. Purification, physical and chemical analysis of sheep pox virus and the response of sheep and goats to infection with sheep and goat pox viruses. Ph.D. thesis, Cornell Univ., Ithaca, New York.
- BURROWS, R., J.A. MANN, D. GOODRIDGE and W.G. CHAPMAN. 1974.
 Swine vesicular disease: Attempts to transmit infection to cattle and sheep.
 J. Hyg. (CAMB) 73: 101-107.
- DARDIRI, A.H., R.J. YEDLOUTSCHNIG and W.D. TAYLOR. 1969. Clinical and serological response of American white-collared peccaries to African swine fever, foot-and-mouth disease, vesicular exanthema of swine, hog cholera and rinderpest viruses. Proc. 73rd Ann. Meet. U.S. Anim. Health Ass. 437-452.
- FEDERER, K.E. 1969. Susceptibility of the agouti (Dasyprocte aguli) to foot-andmouth disease virus. Zbl. Vet. Med. Reihe B 16 (9): 847-854.
- HAMDY, F.M., A.H. DARDIRI, D.H. FERRIS and S.S. BREESE, JR. 1975.
 Experimental infection of white-tailed deer with rinderpest virus. J. Wildl. Dis. 11: 508-515.
- and ——. 1976. Response of white-tailed deer to infection with peste des petits ruminants virus. J. Wildl. Dis. 12: 516-522.

- HESS, W.R. and D.E. DeTRAY. 1960. The use of leukocyte culture for diagnosis of African swine fever (ASF). Bull. Epizoot. Dis. Africa. 8: 317-320.
- 8. KAWAMURA, A. JR. 1969. Fluorescent Antibody Techniques and Their Applications. Univ. Park Press, Baltimore, Maryland.
- McVICAR, J.W., P. SUTMOLLER, D.H. FERRIS and C.H. CAMPBELL. 1974.
 Foot-and-mouth disease in white-tailed deer: Clinical signs and transmission in the laboratory. Proc. 78th Ann. Meet. U.S. Anim. Health Ass. 169-180.
- NARDELLI, L., E. LODETTI, G.L. GUALANDI, R. BURROWS, D. GOODRIDGE, F. BROWN and B. CARTWRIGHT. 1968. A foot-and-mouth syndrome in pigs caused by an enterovirus. Nature 219: 1275-1276.
- OHASHI, Y. 1974. The first case of swine vesicular disease in Japan. Bull. Off. Int. Epizoot. 81 (9-10): 839-843.
- PAN, I.C., C.J. DeBOER, and W.R. HESS. 1972. African swine fever: Application of immunoelectroosmophoresis for the detection of antibody. Can. J. Comp. Med. 36: 309-314.
- TEWARI, S.C., I.P. SINGH, V.K. SHARMA, and D. PRASAD. 1976. Susceptibility of the Indian squirrel to foot-and-mouth disease virus. Vet. Rec. 99 (July 24): 71-72.
- 14. WILDER, F.W., A.H. DARDIRI and R.J. YEDLOUTSCHNIG. 1974. Clinical and serological response of the nine banded armadillo (Dasypus novemcinctus) to virus of African swine fever, hog cholera, rinderpest, vesicular exanthema of swine, vesicular stomatitis and foot-and-mouth disease Proc. 78th Ann. Meet. U.S. Anim. Health Ass. 188-194.
- , —, J.G. GAY, H.C. BEASLEY, A.A. HEFLIN and J.A. ACREE. 1974.
 Susceptibility of one-toed pig to certain diseases exotic to the United States.
 Proc. 78th Ann. Meet. U.S. Anim. Health Ass. 195-199.

Received for publication 29 November 1978