

Evaluation of Fox-chasing Enclosures as Sites of Potential Introduction and Establishment of Echinococcus multilocularis

Authors: Lee, Gregory W., Lee, Kimberly A., and Davidson, William R.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 29(3): 498-501

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-29.3.498

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Evaluation of Fox-chasing Enclosures as Sites of Potential Introduction and Establishment of Echinococcus multilocularis

Gregory W. Lee, 'Kimberly A. Lee,' and William R. Davidson, ¹² 'School of Forest Resources, The University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA; ² Southeastern Cooperative Wildlife Disease Study, Department of Parasitology, College of Veterinary Medicine, The University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA

ABSTRACT: Following detection of Echinococcus multilocularis in red foxes (Vulpes vulpes) illegally imported into South Carolina (USA) for release in fox-chasing enclosures, a survey for E. multilocularis was conducted in four enclosures in Georgia (USA) and six enclosures in South Carolina. Survey methods included examination of potential small mammal intermediate hosts (n = 390) for E. multilocularis larvae, examination of fox and covote (Canis latrans) scats (n = 59) for taeniid eggs, and examination of one possible canine definitive hosts for adult E. multilocularis. All intermediate and definitive hosts examined were negative for E. multilocularis and taeniid eggs were not recovered from fox and coyote fecal samples. Thus, E. multilocularis may not yet be established in fox-chasing enclosures in Georgia and South Carolina. Despite the failure to demonstrate E. multilocularis in the fox-chasing enclosures surveyed, translocation of wild canids from known enzootic regions should be discouraged because E. multilocularis is known to be ecologically adaptable and because contact with potentially infected definitive hosts during translocation is a public health risk.

Key words: Echinococcus multilocularis, fox-chasing enclosures, survey, host translocation.

In recent years fox hunting with hounds has become restricted because of increased losses of suitable hunting areas and decreased public tolerance of trespass by dogs. In addition, increased white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) populations have confounded this sport since hounds often pursue deer. As a result of these constraints, a number of fox-chasing enclosures, areas averaging 250 ha and enclosed with fox-proof fencing, have been constructed throughout the United States; all southeastern states now have fox-chasing enclosures. Animals to stock these enclosures are acquired from different sources, depending on individual state regulations. Several states allow the importation of foxes and coyotes (*Canis latrans*) from other parts of the country; others require that the animals originate from within the state. Additionally, some states prohibit the release of coyotes into fox-chasing enclosures.

One major concern has been the possible introduction of the cestode Echinococcus multilocularis into new regions of the country via translocation and release of infected animals in enclosures (Rausch. 1986; Davidson and Nettles, 1988). In 1989, coyotes and red foxes (Vulpes vulpes) illegally imported into South Carolina (USA) were confiscated by officials with the South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Department and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and necropsied by Southeastern Cooperative Wildlife Disease Study (SCWDS) personnel at The University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia (USA). In addition to other canid parasites, adult E. multilocularis were found in three of 44 red foxes (Davidson et al., 1992). Based on records obtained during the investigation of this case, hundreds of foxes from Echinococcus-enzootic areas had been supplied to fox-chasing enclosures in 25 states; thus infected foxes already may have been released into southeastern foxchasing enclosures. Because of these findings, we initiated a survey of fox-chasing enclosures to determine whether E. multilocularis had become established in the southeastern United States.

From 10 December 1991 to 19 May 1992, four fox-chasing enclosures in Georgia (Lanier, Madison, Mitchell, and Pickens counties) and six fox-chasing enclosures in South Carolina (Florence,

Georgetown, and Horry counties) known to have received or stocked imported foxes from Echinococcus-enzootic areas in the past (Davidson et al., 1992) were surveyed for the presence of E. multilocularis. Small mammal intermediate hosts were trapped with Sherman live traps (H.B. Sherman Traps, Inc., Tallahassee, Florida, USA) baited with sunflower seeds and a peanut butter and peanut oil mixture, and selectively placed near obvious rodent sign such as runways and holes. Captured animals were euthanatized with CO₂, necropsied, and examined macroscopically for the presence of larval E. multilocularis. Tissues with suspect lesions were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, stained using hematoxylin-eosin (HE) or periodic-acid-schiff (PAS), and examined microscopically for evidence of multilocular cysts and larvae (Rausch, 1967; Leiby et al., 1970).

Maximum prevalence of infection was defined as the upper limit of a 95% confidence interval constructed around the number of individuals in the population that were infected with *E. multilocularis*, assuming a binomial distribution (Steel and Torrie, 1980) and using only those species or genera known to serve as intermediate hosts (Table 1) (Leiby, 1965; Leiby et al., 1970; Rausch et al., 1990). We also assumed all rodents were equally susceptible to *E. multilocularis* infection, and a 100% sensitivity in detection of hydatid cysts.

Concurrent with small mammal trapping, the entire road system and fenceline of enclosures were surveyed daily during field operations for the presence of fox and coyote feces. Feces were placed in a plastic bag and frozen at -29 C. Collected feces from each enclosure were examined for taeniid eggs by formalin-ether sedimentation and sodium nitrate fecal flotation (Ash and Orihel, 1987).

Enclosure owners were unwilling to provide live foxes or coyotes for examination. Consequently, any foxes and coyotes found dead in the enclosures were collected and examined for adult *E. multilocularis*. The

TABLE 1. Species composition and number of small mammals collected in fox-chasing enclosures in Georgia and South Carolina.

Species	Georgia	South Carolina	Total
Blarina carolinensis	7	47	54
Microtus pinetorum	0	8	8
Mus musculus ²	6	69	75
Napaeozapus insignis	2	0	2
Neotoma floridanus	5	0	5
Ochrotomys nuttalli	3	3	6
Oryzomys palustris	1	10	11
Peromyscus gossypinus	13	15	28
Peromyscus leucopus	7	0	7
Peromyscus polionotus	8	0	8
Reithrodontomys humulis	5	31	36
Sigmodon hispidus ²	40	106	146
Tamias striatus	4	0	4
Total	101	289	390

^{&#}x27;Species or genera known to serve as intermediate hosts of *E. multilocularis*.

stomach and small intestine were excised, opened longitudinally, scraped, and washed through a 100-mesh screen. The retained intestinal contents were examined microscopically (10 to 40×) for parasites (Davidson et al., 1992).

Collectively, 390 small mammals were caught and examined for larval E. multilocularis; 101 were from Georgia and 289 from South Carolina. Of these, 331 (85%) of 390 animals belonged to species or genera known to serve as intermediate hosts (Table 1). Most animals captured were cotton rats (Sigmodon hispidus) (37%), followed by house mice (Mus musculus) (19%), southern short-tailed shrews (Blarina carolinensis) (14%), white-footed mice (Peromuscus spp.) (11%), and eastern harvest mice (Reithrodontomys humulis (9%) (Table 1). All animals were negative for larval E. multilocularis. However, three cotton rats, a house mouse, and a cotton mouse (P. gossypinus) from Georgia and five cotton rats from South Carolina were infected with Taenia spp. larvae. Not all Taenia cysticerci could be identified to species; however, most were T. crassiceps. Infections of T. mustelae were noted in a cotton mouse and a house mouse from enclosures in Georgia.

Fifty-nine canid fecal samples were collected and examined including 15 samples from three fox-chasing enclosures in Georgia and 44 samples from five fox-chasing enclosures in South Carolina. Taeniid eggs were not recovered from any fecal samples by either formalin-ether sedimentation or sodium nitrate flotation. Hookworm (Ancylostoma sp.) eggs were recovered from canid feces collected in Georgia fox-chasing enclosures. Hookworm, roundworm (Toxocara spp. or Toxascaris leonina), and whipworm (Trichuris spp.) eggs were recovered from fecal samples collected in South Carolina enclosures.

Only one definitive host, a coyote from Georgia, was available for examination. Adult *E. multilocularis* were not found in the stomach or intestinal contents of the animal.

Based on these results, E. multilocularis was not present at a high prevalence, if at all, in either small mammal or definitive host populations in fox-chasing enclosures in Georgia or South Carolina, despite strong circumstantial evidence that infected red foxes probably had been introduced previously (Davidson et al., 1992). Based on the number of small mammals captured in each state and assuming equal susceptibility and exposure among species, which may not be true, the maximum prevalence of the tapeworm in fox-chasing enclosures in Georgia and South Carolina would be 3% and 1%, respectively, with an overall maximum prevalence of 1% (95% confidence limit) (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

This estimate of 1% maximum prevalence in fox-chasing enclosures in Georgia and South Carolina is considerably lower than prevalence estimates among major intermediate hosts collected in enzootic locations in the northcentral United States. Leiby et al. (1970) reported 197 (5.9%) of 3,335 deer mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus*) from North Dakota infected with *E. multilocularis*, and Leiby and Kritsky

(1974) found an average yearly prevalence in deer mice of 4.4%.

The reasons for the apparent absence of E. multilocularis are not known, since E. multilocularis is extremely adaptive and is biologically suited to exist in diverse ecological settings (Rausch, 1986). Until a biological mechanism precluding the establishment of E. multilocularis is demonstrated, the probability of establishment following release of infected animals into this region still should be considered high. Furthermore, importation of infected foxes and coyotes poses a significant public health risk since eggs that are directly infectious to humans may be shed in fox and coyote feces. For these reasons, any future translocation and release of known host species from E. multilocularis enzootic areas should be discouraged.

The authors thank O. E. Baker, South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Department, and P. Swiderek, Georgia Department of Natural Resources for assistance during this study. We also thank the staff of Samworth Game Management Area, SCWMRD, for providing housing and laboratory space during field work in South Carolina. We thank K. Mann, A. Meadows, and B. Snyder for their assistance, and D. E. Stallkneckt for statistical advice. This project was supported by the Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act (50 State 917), and an appropriation from Congress provided through Grant Agreement Numbers 14-16-0004-91-913 and 14-16-0004-92-909, Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, and graduate research assistantship, D. B. Warnell School of Forest Resources. The University of Georgia.

LITERATURE CITED

ASH, L. R., AND T. C. ORIHEL. 1987. Parasites: A guide to laboratory procedures and identification. American Society of Clinical Pathologists Press, Chicago, Illinois, pp. 23–35.

DAVIDSON, W. R., AND V. F. NETTLES. 1988. Field manual of wildlife diseases in the southeastern United States. Southeastern Cooperative Wildlife

- Disease Study, College of Veterinary Medicine, The University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, 309 pp.
- M. J. APPEL, G. L. DOSTER, O. E. BAKER, AND J. R. BROWN. 1992. Diseases and parasites of red foxes, gray foxes, and coyotes from commercial sources selling to fox-chasing enclosures. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 28: 581-589.
- LEIBY, P. D. 1965. Cestode in North Dakota: *Echinococcus* in field mice. Science 150: 763.
- —, AND D. C. KRITSKY. 1974. Studies on sylvatic echinococcosis. IV. Ecology of Echinococcus multilocularis in the intermediate host, Peromyscus maniculatus, in North Dakota, 1965–1972. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 23: 667–675.
- ——, W. P. CARNEY, AND C. E. WOODS. 1970. Studies on sylvatic echinococcosis. III. Host occurrence and geographic distribution of *Echi*nococcus multilocularis in the northcentral United States. The Journal of Parasitology 56: 1141– 1150.

- RAUSCH, R. L. 1967. On the ecology and distribution of *Echinococcus* spp. (Cestoda: Taeniidae), and characteristics of their development in the intermediate host. Annales de Parasitologie Humaine et Comparee 42: 1–63.
- . 1986. Life-cycle patterns and geographic distribution of *Echinococcus* species. *In* The biology of *Echinococcus* and hydatid disease, R. C. A. Thompson (ed.). George Allen and Unwin (Publishers) Ltd., London, England, pp. 44-80.
- The ecology of *Echinococcus multilocularis* (Cestoda: Taeniidae) on St. Lawrence Island, Alaska. II. Helminth populations in the definitive host. Annales de Parasitologie Humaine et Comparee 65: 131-140.
- STEEL, R. G. D., AND J. H. TORRIE. 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics: A biometrical approach. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, New York, 633 pp.

Received for publication 12 October 1992.