

Birds respond to woodland type, soil and mesic gradients in heterogeneous woodlands at Dryandra

Authors: Fulton, Graham R., and Lawson, John

Source: Australian Journal of Zoology, 68(2) : 55-61

Published By: CSIRO Publishing

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1071/ZO20095>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Birds respond to woodland type, soil and mesic gradients in heterogeneous woodlands at Dryandra

Graham R. Fulton ^{A,B,D} and John Lawson^C

^ACentre for Biodiversity and Conservation Science, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia.

^BEnvironmental and Conservation Sciences, Murdoch University, South Street, Murdoch, WA 6150, Australia.

^CLions Dryandra Woodland Village, PO Box 118, Cuballing, WA 6311, Australia.

^DCorresponding author. Email: grahamf2001@yahoo.com.au

Abstract. The vast clearance of forest and woodland for agriculture with the removal of more than 93% of the native vegetation has decimated the fauna of what is now known as the Western Australian wheatbelt. This clearing has been particularly severe on wandoo woodlands through the wheatbelt. In order to quantify the usefulness of what has been left, three native woodland types were surveyed for avian abundance and diversity, in a large heterogeneous remnant of old-growth woodland, at Dryandra. Birds were counted at 70 points along seven transects, through three woodland types: powderbark wandoo (*Eucalyptus accedens*), wandoo (*E. wandoo*) and a brown mallet (*E. astringens*) plantation. Greater abundance and species richness were detected in *E. wandoo* woodland, although this is thought to be related to the more mesic and productive low-lying contours of the landscape on which it is situated.

Keywords: forest, homogenisation, fragmentation, adaptive management, land-use change, biodiversity, ecology, disturbance.

Received 25 November 2020, accepted 22 March 2021, published online 13 April 2021

Introduction

The worldwide reduction and modification of forest and woodland habitats for agriculture has resulted in the dramatic decline of bird numbers (Bregman *et al.* 2014; Betts *et al.* 2017). Even in large reserves bird declines are forecast to continue through a reduction of food resources under climate change (Mac Nally *et al.* 2009). In Australia, temperate eucalypt woodlands were once widespread in what are now vast agricultural expanses (Prober *et al.* 2002; Bradshaw 2012). This vast removal of the woodland landscape has not occurred uniformly, either spatially or temporally, with woodlands on more productive soils being preferentially cleared first and most frequently (Hobbs and Saunders 1991; Mac Nally *et al.* 2000; Watson 2011). One area that has fared worse than most is the south-west of Western Australia, in which 14 million ha (an area larger than England) was cleared of 93% of its woodland vegetation (Kitchener *et al.* 1982; Hobbs and Hopkins 1990; Saunders and Ingram 1995). Moreover, its vegetation associations on better quality farming soils were cleared preferentially, with an estimated 97% loss of these more productive woodlands (Saunders 1989; Hobbs and Saunders 1991; Hobbs 1993). Within this recurring pattern, most of the surviving remnants were situated on less productive soils considered unsuitable for agriculture

(Kitchener *et al.* 1982; Saunders and Curry 1990; Saunders and Ingram 1995).

In such a diminished environment it is important to understand how the remaining vegetation is used by the birds (e.g. Kitchener *et al.* 1982; Mac Nally *et al.* 2000; Miller and Cale 2000; Antos and Bennett 2005). This use of the environment by birds is particularly important for adaptive management strategies focussed on revegetation and management of the remaining stands, because learning about a system is an integral part of adaptive management (Prober and Smith 2009). A significant problem in understanding how the birds might use the remaining vegetation associations or woodland types is that the surviving remnants are typically small and isolated, and consequently homogeneous in terms of woodland type (Prober and Smith 2009). Such remnants are clearly unrepresentative of the vast expanses of heterogeneous woodlands present before widespread clearing took place. Yet, at Dryandra, landscape heterogeneity has been preserved with various vegetation associations still represented and still holding ecologically functional communities of flora and fauna – including the birds (Friend *et al.* 1995; DEC 2011; Fulton 2013). The juxtaposition of these woodland communities, in large contiguous remnants, allows us to test the null hypothesis that different woodland types harbour the

same avian assemblages, when considered across the year (the alternative being that they do not). To test this assumption, we conducted point-transect surveys through three dominant woodland communities at Dryandra: *Eucalyptus wandoo* woodland, powderbark wandoo (*E. accedens*) woodland and a brown mallet (*E. astringens*) plantation. We aimed to assess how birds might use the woodland types and which ones were most frequented.

Methods

Study site

Dryandra Woodland (Dryandra) is located ~160 km south-east of Perth (32°48'S, 117°0'E) on the western side of the Western Australian wheatbelt (Fig. 1). Dryandra comprises a series of large woodland fragments, which are separated by agricultural land and scattered over an east–west distance of ~35 km (Friend *et al.* 1995; DEC 2011).

Landscape context and management

Dryandra is unlike other temperate woodland remnants in Australia due to several factors, including its overall size (27 000 ha), which embraces large areas of undisturbed old-growth woodland (Friend *et al.* 1995; DEC 2011) and its location on the central western side of the Western Australian wheatbelt where up to 97% of the original native vegetation has been removed (Saunders and Curry 1990; Saunders and Ingram 1995). Dryandra holds an almost intact avifauna with species in ecologically functional numbers, including many

species lost from remnants of the central wheatbelt (Fulton 2013). It also harbours rare marsupials that have become extinct in the surrounding wheatbelt and some that have been reintroduced (DEC 2011; Fulton 2017). The natural presence of fluoroacetate (natural poison) bearing plants, particularly sandplain poison (*Gastrolobium microcarpum*) has had a major influence on allowing native species to persist at Dryandra (Short *et al.* 2005). Since the 1980s the control of the fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) using 1080 (fluoroacetate) baiting has enabled the recovery of marsupials, birds, and reptiles, which were adversely affected by fox predation (Burbidge *et al.* 1996; Friend and Beecham 2004; Possingham *et al.* 2004), although subsequent predation by cats (*Felis catus*) is now known to have reduced the numbers of some of these endemic animals (Marlow *et al.* 2015). Dryandra has been a focal point of research since the 1980s with baseline data accumulated across a wide diversity of biota (Friend *et al.* 1995; DEC 2011). Its ongoing management as a National Park suggests that this will continue.

Vegetation associations and mesic gradient

In general, Dryandra's woodlands are characterised by powderbark wandoo (*E. accedens*), jarrah (*E. marginata*) and brown mallet (*E. astringens*) on the mid and upper slopes, with *E. wandoo*, jam wattle (*Acacia acuminata*), and, less commonly, marri (*E. calophylla*), on the lower slopes and valleys; collectively, these associations are known as wandoo woodlands (Fig. 2a–c). Powderbark wandoo and *E. wandoo* woodland make up ~50% of the total area (McArthur *et al.*




Fig. 1. Dryandra in relation to Perth and south-western Australia.



Fig. 2. The three woodland types surveyed at Dryandra: (a) *E. wandoo* woodland; (b) powderbark wandoo woodland; (c) brown mallet plantation. Note the different tree habits and ground vegetation associated with the varying mesic and productivity qualities of the soils, with *E. wandoo* being the most mesic.

1977; Coates 1993). At Dryandra, the lower levels of the landscape collect the most water and nutrients and thus have the richest and most mesic soils (DEC 2011). The slope or gradient is very gentle, ranging from 1:300 to 1:500 (McArthur *et al.* 1977). The *E. wandoo* woodland sites surveyed in this study were both found on the most productive and mesic soils in association with jam wattle and the Biberkine valley floor soils (DEC 2011). These low-lying contours can be viewed as almost indiscernible drainage lines on gentle gradients, which

are more mesic than the surrounding valley floor and ridges. The vegetation communities follow this gentle gradient upslope, with *E. wandoo* situated in the lowest contours, which then grades up to powderbark wandoo and then brown mallet as the soil changes, becoming more lateric and carrying fewer nutrients. In this study the brown mallet plantation surveyed was situated on more nutrient rich Biberkine soils marginally above the *E. wandoo* woodland on a similar gradient to that of the *E. wandoo* and powderbark wandoo gradient at Marri Road.

Point-transect method

The point-transect method was chosen as a hybrid combining points and targeted transect lines. It was considered the best method to count both quickly and thoroughly; quickly to avoid double counting vagile species and thoroughly to capture the full abundance and species richness. Using equally spaced point counts allowed equal sampling within each woodland type. In total, 23 surveys were performed from 29 September 2003 to 5 December 2004, at two sites within Dryandra. Two surveys were performed each month, at each site, except April when only one survey was conducted. Each of the 23 surveys included seven transects, each having 10 points along its length, giving 70 points in total per survey. Four transects were performed at Marri Road (32°46'35.9"S, 116°55'34.1"E) and three at Gura Road (32°45'27.2"S, 116°57'16.1"E) (approximate centres given). All transects ran through two vegetation types with five point counts positioned in each vegetation type and with both sites having an unsealed management track at the centre separating the vegetation types. Birds using these management tracks as a resource (for example, puddles of water) are not reported here nor was the road area included in this survey. Marri Road separated powderbark wandoo and *E. wandoo* woodland while Gura Road separated the brown mallet plantation and *E. wandoo* woodland. The lowest points of the field sites were found at the edges of the two *E. wandoo* woodland field sites.

Transect lines were spaced 100 m apart and the points along transects were spaced 50 m apart. A circular area of 25 m radius was searched around each point. Point counts were undertaken quickly, lasting ~3–5 min, the duration dependant on how many birds needed to be counted. Quick point counts were used to minimise double-counting birds that were moving through the landscape or moving backwards and forwards between points (following Howe *et al.* 1995). Transects were walked in a castellated pattern, starting in either powderbark woodland or the brown mallet plantation and moving towards the low-lying area. At the completion of a transect the next was walked in the opposite direction. At these times careful attention was given to avoid double-counting birds. Birds were identified by sight and not by their calls, although any bird calling would attract attention and thus be seen and recorded. Birds simply flying over were not recorded. To be recorded a bird needed to be perched, foraging or in some way interacting within the bounds of the point being monitored.

Detectability was greatly enhanced by knowing many individual birds and their territories from other research on these sites and with the sites visited at least every 2–3 days,

during the breeding seasons, for three years, before and during this study (Fulton 2005, 2006a, 2006b, 2006c, 2006d, 2006e, 2010, 2017; Fulton and Rose 2007). Nonetheless, cryptic birds such as bush stone-curlew (*Burhinus grallarius*) and tawny frogmouth (*Podargus strigoides*) in addition to nocturnal hollow roosting owls were not fully detected. This study did not use distance sampling techniques, because these methods were little known at the time.

Statistical analyses

Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient was used to correlate bird abundance and species richness, with the distances from the 10 points along each transect to the lowest landscape contours. One-tailed tests were used because of the expectation that abundance and species richness would both increase as counts moved towards the lowest contours. One-way analysis of variance tests were used to compare the abundances and species richness of the four field sites, encompassing the three woodland types. Both total abundance and species richness, for the 23 bimonthly surveys, were used in this analysis. The Games–Howell procedure was chosen for *post hoc* tests because unequal variances were assumed with the three woodland types hypothesised to have different populations.

Results

Overall 2397 birds, of 52 species, were detected from 23 surveys at Dryandra (Table 1).

Abundance between woodland types

A one-way ANOVA detected a significant difference in abundances between *E. wandoo* woodland at both Marri Road and Gura Road against powderbark wandoo woodland and the brown mallet plantation respectively ($F_{3,88} = 18.73$, $P < 0.01$). *Post hoc* analyses, using the Games–Howell procedure (assuming unequal variances), did not find a significant difference between the two *E. wandoo* woodlands at Marri Road and Gura Road (mean difference = 4.13, s.e. = 5.71, $P = 0.89$); whereas significant differences were found between Marri Road *E. wandoo* and Marri Road powderbark wandoo (mean difference = 26.87, s.e. = 3.65, $P < 0.01$) and between Gura Road *E. wandoo* and Gura Road brown mallet plantation (mean difference = 17.69, s.e. = 5.38, $P < 0.02$). Additionally, a significant difference was found between the brown mallet plantation (at Gura Road) and powderbark wandoo woodland (at Marri Road) (mean difference = 13.30, s.e. = 3.11, $P < 0.01$).

Species richness between woodland types

A one-way ANOVA detected a significant difference in species richness between *E. wandoo* woodland, brown mallet plantation and powderbark wandoo woodland bird populations ($F_{3,88} = 17.815$, $P < 0.01$). *Post hoc* analyses, using the Games–Howell procedure (assuming unequal variances), did not find a significant difference between the two *E. wandoo* woodlands at Marri Road and Gura Road (mean difference = 2.04, s.e. = 1.44, $P = 0.50$); whereas significant differences were found between Marri Road *E. wandoo* and Marri Road

powderbark wandoo (mean difference = 5.26, s.e. = 0.92, $P < 0.001$), and between Gura Road *E. wandoo* and Gura Road brown mallet plantation (mean difference = 5.69, s.e. = 1.12, $P < 0.01$). Contrasting with the significant difference reported for abundance, a significant difference was not found for species richness, between the brown mallet plantation and powderbark wandoo woodland (mean difference = 1.70, s.e. = 0.65, $P = 0.08$).

Association of bird numbers with the lowest contours

Bird numbers increased with proximity to the lowest contours in the landscape (Table 2). Using one-tailed tests, abundance and species richness were very strongly correlated: at Marri Road, through powderbark wandoo and *E. wandoo* woodland: abundance ($r = 0.947$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 10$) and species richness ($r = 0.751$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 10$); and at Gura Road, through the brown mallet plantation and *E. wandoo* woodland they were strongly correlated: abundance ($r = 0.597$, $P < 0.05$, $n = 10$) and species richness ($r = 0.688$, $P < 0.05$, $n = 10$).

Discussion

E. wandoo woodland has the greatest abundance and diversity of avifauna

The null hypothesis that all three woodland types carried the same abundance and diversity of birds is rejected, with *E. wandoo* woodlands having a significantly greater abundance and diversity than the other two woodland types (powderbark wandoo and the brown mallet plantation). This result is more compelling given it was recorded in spatially juxtaposed habitats as opposed to isolated remnants. A statistically significant gradient of avian abundance was also detected related to a mesic and productivity gradient. The notion of greater productivity is supported by invertebrate studies, which have reported greater invertebrate abundance and species richness in *E. wandoo* woodlands (Majer 1985; Majer *et al.* 2003). More precisely, these studies reported that *E. wandoo* woodland had more invertebrates than powderbark wandoo woodland (Majer *et al.* 2003) and that ground and litter invertebrate counts were higher at sites with greater moisture, with soil moisture greatest in the low-lying areas (Majer 1985). Contrasting with the differences detected between woodland types, the two spatially disjunct *E. wandoo* woodland habitats did not exhibit significant differences for either abundance or diversity in their avian populations. The lack of difference between the two *E. wandoo* woodlands supports the greater carrying capacity of these woodlands compared with the other two woodlands investigated.

The mesic gradient evident along the transects

The trends of increasing abundance and diversity along transects were strongly correlated with proximity to the lowest contour, i.e. the numbers of birds and bird species increased as the lowest contours were approached, regardless of woodland type. A mesic, and thus productivity, gradient is known from casual observations and invertebrate studies at the site. Its existence is further supported by the hydrogeological characteristics of the landscape (Salama and Bartle 1995). The region is geologically among the oldest on Earth with low

Table 1. Species abundance and incidence by site and woodland type
E. wan., *Eucalyptus wandoo* woodland; pwdbk, powderbark wandoo woodland; mallet, brown mallet plantation

Species	Marri Road		Gura Road		Total
	<i>E. wan.</i>	pwdbk	<i>E. wan.</i>	mallet	
Australian shelduck (<i>Tadorna tadornoides</i>)			2		2
Common bronzewing (<i>Phaps chalcoptera</i>)	10		5	4	19
Fan-tailed cuckoo (<i>Cacomantis flabelliformis</i>)	6		2	1	9
Painted button-quail (<i>Turnix varius</i>)	23	6	6	1	36
Square-tailed kite (<i>Lophoictinia isura</i>)		2	2		4
Wedge-tailed eagle (<i>Aquila audax</i>)	1		2		3
Brown goshawk (<i>Accipiter fasciatus</i>)			1		1
Southern boobook (<i>Ninox boobook</i>)	1		7		8
Rainbow bee-eater (<i>Merops ornatus</i>)	8		9	7	24
Sacred kingfisher (<i>Todiramphus sanctus</i>)	5		1		6
Laughing kookaburra (<i>Dacelo novaeguineae</i>)		4	1	10	15
Regent parrot (<i>Polytelis anthopeplus</i>)	2				2
Red-capped parrot (<i>Purpureicephalus spurius</i>)	15		5		20
Western rosella (<i>Platycercus icterotis</i>)	18		17	6	41
Australian ringneck (<i>Barnardius zonarius</i>)	111	29	81	31	252
Elegant parrot (<i>Neophema elegans</i>)	16		2		18
Purple-crowned lorikeet (<i>Glossopsitta porphyrocephala</i>)			4	7	11
Rufous treecreeper (<i>Climacteris rufus</i>)	74	32	48	18	172
Blue-breasted fairy-wren (<i>Malurus pulcherrimus</i>)	36	5	31	9	81
Brown honeyeater (<i>Lichmera indistincta</i>)		1			1
White-eared honeyeater (<i>Nesoptilotis leucotis</i>)			1		1
Brown-headed honeyeater (<i>Melithreptus brevirostris</i>)		2	1		3
White-naped honeyeater (<i>Melithreptus lunatus</i>)		2	1	3	6
Tawny-crowned honeyeater (<i>Glyciphila melanops</i>)	3		1		4
Red wattlebird (<i>Anthochaera carunculata</i>)	2		34	80	116
Singing honeyeater (<i>Gavicalis virescens</i>)		1			1
Yellow-plumed honeyeater (<i>Ptilotula ornata</i>)	213	73	216	185	687
Spotted pardalote (<i>Pardalotus punctatus</i>)		1			1
Striated pardalote (<i>Pardalotus striatus</i>)	14	24	23	6	67
Western gerygone (<i>Gerygone fusca</i>)		7		1	8
Weebill (<i>Smicrornis brevirostris</i>)		3			3
White-browed scrubwren (<i>Sericornis frontalis</i>)	3	1	5	1	10
Yellow-rumped thornbill (<i>Acanthiza chrysorrhoa</i>)			5		5
Inland thornbill (<i>Acanthiza apicalis</i>)		2	1		3
Chestnut-rumped thornbill (<i>Acanthiza uropygialis</i>)			2		2
Western thornbill (<i>Acanthiza inornata</i>)		2			2
White-browed babbler (<i>Pomatostomus superciliosus</i>)	19		84	9	112
Black-faced cuckoo-shrike (<i>Coracina novaehollandiae</i>)	11	1	20	6	38
Rufous whistler (<i>Pachycephala rufiventris</i>)	5		23	7	35
Golden whistler (<i>Pachycephala pectoralis</i>)		12	3		15
Grey shrike-thrush (<i>Colluricincla harmonica</i>)	37	10	32	5	84
Crested shrike-tit (<i>Falcunculus frontatus</i>)	4	1	1		6
Grey currawong (<i>Strepera versicolor</i>)		1	1		2
Australian magpie (<i>Gymnorhina tibicen</i>)			13	1	14
Dusky woodswallow (<i>Artamus cyanopterus</i>)	76		97	56	229
Willie wagtail (<i>Rhipidura leucophrys</i>)	26	1	40	3	70
Grey fantail (<i>Rhipidura fuliginosa</i>)		2	1		3
Australian raven (<i>Corvus coronoides</i>)	4	2	11	7	24
Scarlet robin (<i>Petroica multicolor</i>)		1			1
Western yellow robin (<i>Eopsaltria griseogularis</i>)	17	15	8	5	45
Fairy martin (<i>Petrochelidon ariel</i>)		1			1
Tree martin (<i>Petrochelidon nigricans</i>)	33	2	27	12	74
Total	793	246	877	481	2397

hydraulic gradients and an elevated watertable associated with the extensive removal of deep-rooted perennial trees (Hobbs 1993; Hatton *et al.* 2003). At the lowest contours on valley

floors, precipitation supports areas of more mesic vegetation, that are able to switch from utilising rainfall in the wet season to groundwater during the dry season (Hatton *et al.* 2003).

Table 2. Transects and point counts

Bird abundance and species richness summaries are given for the 23 surveys conducted over 12 months for the two sites, Marri Road and Gura Road. Point distances are in metres from 450 m to the lowest landscape contour at each site marked with the zero (0). Point count positions are given by these measurements

Distance	Marri Road		Gura Road	
	Woodland	Abundance	Woodland	Abundance
450	Powderbark	35	Brown mallet	113
400	(<i>E. accedens</i>)	39	(<i>E. astringens</i>)	79
350		34		74
300		67		95
250		71		120
	Means	49	Means	96
200	<i>E. wandoo</i>	113	<i>E. wandoo</i>	255
150		162		124
100		171		134
50		141		134
0		206		230
	Means	159	Means	175

Thus, the presence of a mesic and productivity gradient underlies the vegetation associations and the avifaunal gradients identified in this study.

Mallet versus powderbark wandoo

Surprisingly, the plantation of brown mallet had a greater abundance of birds than the powderbark wandoo woodland, although their diversities were similar. Several *ad hoc* reasons may explain this unexpected similarity: soil quality, an overall greater avian diversity at the Gura Road site, brown mallet being a native tree and its simultaneous flowering during the study. Despite being in plantations, brown mallet is native to Dryandra and, as such, it presents a natural food source to the woodland community (Paton 1988; Fulton 2013) – 8316 ha, or 30% of Dryandra, has been planted out in brown mallet (Friend *et al.* 1995; Fulton 2013). During the study the brown mallet plantation at Gura Road flowered profusely and attracted many red wattlebirds (*Anthochaera carunculata*) and yellow-plumed honeyeaters (*Ptilotula ornata*), which increased the abundance of birds sharply without adding significantly to the species richness. The brown mallet plantation was planted on the more productive Biberkine soil at the Gura Road site whereas brown mallet would typically be found further upslope on poorer soils, at Dryandra. Despite the various explanations it is noteworthy that this planation attracted as many birds as it did.

Conclusion

The heterogeneous woodlands at Dryandra provided an opportunity to test faunal responses not available in smaller more homogeneous remnants. The woodland types were associated with soils and mesic gradients and did not have equal carrying capacities with regard to the avifauna. *E. wandoo* woodlands around the lowest landscape contours carried the greatest abundance and diversity of birds and bird species, highlighting their importance for woodland birds. However, other woodland types were used by birds and we suggest that conservation and management consider not just

retaining heterogeneity in the landscape, but also to put the vegetation associations on the appropriate soils.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Declaration of funding

This research did not receive any specific funding.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Jutta Beher for producing the location map. Thank you to Cheung Yee Wan and Grace Fulton for their continued support throughout the different phases of this study. We acknowledge the Noongar People, the traditional owners of Dryandra.

References

- Antos, M. J., and Bennett, A. F. (2005). How important are different types of temperate woodlands for ground-foraging birds? *Wildlife Research* **32**, 557–572. doi:10.1071/WR04118
- Betts, M. G., Wolf, C., Ripple, W. J., Phalan, B., Millers, K. A., Duarte, A., Butchart, S. H. M., and Levi, T. (2017). Global forest loss disproportionately erodes biodiversity in intact landscapes. *Nature* **547**, 441–444. doi:10.1038/nature23285
- Bradshaw, C. J. A. (2012). Little left to lose: deforestation and forest degradation in Australia since European colonization. *Journal of Plant Ecology* **5**, 109–120. doi:10.1093/jpe/rtr038
- Bregman, T. P., Sekercioglu, C. H., and Tobias, J. A. (2014). Global patterns and predictors of bird species responses to forest fragmentation: implications for ecosystem function and conservation. *Biological Conservation* **169**, 372–383. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2013.11.024
- Burbidge, A. A., Start, A. N., Morris, K. D. M., and Armstrong, R. (1996). Western Shield – recovering our native fauna. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.
- Coates, A. (1993). Vegetation survey of Dryandra Forest. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth.
- Department of Environment and Conservation and the Conservation Commission of Western Australia (DEC) (2011). Dryandra Woodland Management Plan 2011. Department of Environment and Conservation and the Conservation Commission of Western Australia, Perth.

- Friend, J. A., and Beecham, B. (2004). Return to Dryandra: Western Shield review – February 2003. *Conservation Science Western Australia* **5**, 174–193.
- Friend, T., Bowra, T., Gorton, S., Hilder, D., Mitchell, D., Moncrief, D., and Sutton, A. (1995). Dryandra Woodland Management Plan 1995–2005. Management Plan No. 30. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth.
- Fulton, G. R. (2005). Dusky woodswallows collaborate to kleptoparasitise a restless flycatcher. *Corella* **29**, 63–64.
- Fulton, G. R. (2006a). Plural-breeding Australian magpies *Gymnorhina tibicen dorsalis* nesting annually in the same tree. *Australian Field Ornithology* **23**, 198–201.
- Fulton, G. R. (2006b). Direct observations of predation, nest-predation and other disturbance events, at Dryandra, in south-western Australia I: birds as predators. *Australian Field Ornithology* **23**, 144–151.
- Fulton, G. R. (2006c). Identification of nest predators with remote cameras and artificial nests in extensive old-growth woodland of southwestern Australia. *Corella* **30**, 35–39.
- Fulton, G. R. (2006d). Direct observations of predation, nest-predation and other disturbance events, at Dryandra, in south-western Australia II: birds as prey of other animals. *Australian Field Ornithology* **23**, 152–158.
- Fulton, G. R. (2006e). White-winged triller *Lalage sueurii* nests constructed with faecal pellets of procession caterpillars *Ochrogaster lunifer*. *Australian Field Ornithology* **23**, 53–54.
- Fulton, G. R. (2010). Predation of a mardo *Antechinus flavipes leucogaster* by a southern boobook, and mobbing of boobooks by other birds. *Australian Field Ornithology* **27**, 38–41.
- Fulton, G. R. (2013). Woodland birds persisting in a least disturbed environment: birds of Dryandra Woodland 1953–2008. *Pacific Conservation Biology* **19**, 58–75. doi:10.1071/PC130058
- Fulton, G. R. (2017). Native marsupials as egg predators of artificial ground-nests in Australian woodland. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **65**, 196–199. doi:10.1071/ZO17038
- Fulton, G. R., and Rose, A. B. (2007). Food remains in nests of rainbow bee-eaters (*Merops ornatus*) in old-growth woodland of south-western Australia. *Australian Field Ornithology* **24**, 37–43.
- Hatton, T. J., Ruprecht, J., and George, R. J. (2003). Preclearing hydrology of the Western Australia wheatbelt: target for the future. *Plant and Soil* **257**, 341–356. doi:10.1023/A:1027310511299
- Hobbs, R. J. (1993). Effects of landscape fragmentation on ecosystem processes in the Western Australian wheatbelt. *Biological Conservation* **64**, 193–201. doi:10.1016/0006-3207(93)90321-Q
- Hobbs, R. J., and Hopkins, A. J. M. (1990). From frontier to fragments: European impact in Australia's vegetation. *Proceedings of the Ecological Society of Australia* **16**, 93–114.
- Hobbs, R. J., and Saunders, D. A. (1991). Re-integrating fragmented landscapes – a preliminary framework for the Western Australian wheatbelt. *Journal of Environmental Management* **33**, 161–167. doi:10.1016/S0301-4797(05)80092-1
- Howe, R. W., Wolf, A. T., and Rinaldi, T. (1995). Monitoring birds in a regional landscape: lessons from the Nicolet National Forest Bird Survey. In 'USDA Forest Service General Technical Report PSW-GTR'. (Eds J. C. Ralph, S. Droege, and J. R. Sauer.) pp. 83–92.
- Kitchener, D. J., Dell, J., Muir, B. G., and Palmer, M. (1982). Birds in the Western Australian wheatbelt reserves – implications for conservation. *Biological Conservation* **22**, 127–163. doi:10.1016/0006-3207(82)90010-6
- Mac Nally, R., Soderquist, T. R., and Tzaros, C. (2000). The conservation value of mesic gullies in dry forest landscapes: avian assemblages in the box–ironbark ecosystem of southern Australia. *Biological Conservation* **93**, 293–302. doi:10.1016/S0006-3207(99)00154-8
- Mac Nally, R., Bennett, A. F., Thomson, J. R., Radford, J. Q., Unmack, G., Horrocks, G., and Vesk, P. A. (2009). Collapse of an avifauna: climate change appears to exacerbate habitat loss and degradation. *Diversity & Distributions* **15**, 720–730. doi:10.1111/j.1472-4642.2009.00578.x
- Majer, J. D. (1985). Invertebrate studies in disturbed and pristine habitats of Dryandra State Forest. Research Paper No. 80. Forests Department of Western Australia, Perth.
- Majer, J. D., Recher, H. F., Graham, R., and Gupta, R. (2003). Trunk invertebrate faunas of Western Australian forests and woodlands: influence of tree species and season. *Austral Ecology* **28**, 629–641. doi:10.1046/j.1442-9993.2003.01320.x
- Marlow, N. J., Thomas, N. D., Williams, A. A. E., Macmahon, B., Lawson, L., Hitchen, Y., Angus, J., and Berry, O. (2015). Cats (*Felis catus*) are more abundant and are the dominant predator of woylies (*Bettongia penicillata*) after sustained fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) control. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **63**, 18–27. doi:10.1071/ZO14024
- McArthur, W. M., Churchward, H. M., and Hick, P. T. (1977). Landforms and soils of the Murray River catchment area of Western Australia. CSIRO Division of Land Resource Management Series No. 3, pp. 1–23. Division of Land Resource Management, CSIRO, Perth.
- Miller, J. R., and Cale, P. (2000). Behavioral mechanisms and habitat use by birds in a fragmented agricultural landscape *Ecological Applications* **10**, 1732–1748. doi:10.1890/1051-0761(2000)010[1732:BMAHUB]2.0.CO;2
- Paton, V. (1988). The commercial use of brown mallet (*Eucalyptus astringens*). Internal report of Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth.
- Possingham, H., Jarman, P., and Kearns, A. (2004). Independent review of Western Shield – February 2003. *Conservation Science Western Australia* **5**, 2–18.
- Prober, S. M., and Smith, F. P. (2009). Enhancing biodiversity persistence in intensively used agricultural landscapes: a synthesis of 30 years of research in the Western Australian wheatbelt. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* **132**, 173–191. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2009.04.005
- Prober, S. M., Lunt, I. D., and Thiele, K. R. (2002). Determining reference conditions for management and restoration of temperate grassy woodlands: relationships among trees, topsoils and understorey flora in little-grazed remnants. *Australian Journal of Botany* **50**, 687–697. doi:10.1071/BT02043
- Salama, R. B., and Bartle, G. A. (1995). Past, present, and future groundwater level trends in the wheatbelt of Western Australia. CSIRO Technical Memorandum, Division of Water Resources 95 (10).
- Saunders, D. A. (1989). Changes in the avifauna of a region, district and remnant as a result of fragmentation of native vegetation: the wheatbelt of Western Australia. A case study. *Biological Conservation* **50**, 99–135. doi:10.1016/0006-3207(89)90007-4
- Saunders, D. A., and Curry, P. J. (1990). The impact of agricultural and pastoral industries on birds in the southern half of Western Australia: past, present and future. *Proceedings of the Ecological Society of Australia* **16**, 303–321.
- Saunders, D. A., and Ingram, J. A. (1995). 'Birds of Southwestern Australia: An Atlas of Changes in the Distribution and Abundance of the Wheatbelt Avifauna.' (Surrey Beatty & Sons: Chipping Norton.)
- Short, J., Atkins, L., and Turner, B. (2005). Diagnosis of mammal decline in Western Australia, with particular emphasis on the possible role of feral cats and poison peas. CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, Wembley, Western Australia.
- Watson, D. M. (2011). A productivity-based explanation for woodland bird declines: poorer soils yield less food. *Emu* **111**, 10–18. doi:10.1071/MU09109

Handling Editor: Paul Cooper