

# Distinct Transcriptional Mechanisms Direct Expression of the Rat Dmrt1 Promoter in Sertoli Cells and Germ Cells of Transgenic Mice 1

Authors: Lei, Ning, Karpova, Tatiana, Hornbaker, Kaori I., Rice, Daren A., and Heckert, Leslie L.

Source: Biology of Reproduction, 81(1): 118-125

Published By: Society for the Study of Reproduction

URL: https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.108.072314

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <a href="https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use">www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</a>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

## Distinct Transcriptional Mechanisms Direct Expression of the Rat *Dmrt1* Promoter in Sertoli Cells and Germ Cells of Transgenic Mice<sup>1</sup>

Ning Lei,<sup>3</sup> Tatiana Karpova, Kaori I. Hornbaker, Daren A. Rice, and Leslie L. Heckert<sup>2</sup>

Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas

#### **ABSTRACT**

DMRT1 is a transcription factor expressed only in Sertoli cells and undifferentiated spermatogonia of the postnatal testis, where it is required for proper cellular differentiation and fertility. To elucidate the transcriptional regulatory regions that provide DMRT1's cell-specific expression, transgenic mice containing a LacZ reporter gene driven by variable amounts of rat Dmrt1 5' flanking sequence, 9 kb and smaller, were evaluated. Examination of transgene expression by RT-PCR indicated that multiple promoter regions direct Dmrt1 to the testis and that sequences upstream of 2.8 kb are needed for both Sertoli cell expression and limiting transcriptional influence imposed by surrounding chromatin. Thus, whereas many of the transgenes were expressed in the testis, the ones with smaller promoters were significantly more prone to expression at ectopic sites or to complete silencing. Transgene expression in Sertoli cells and germ cells was assessed by immunohistochemistry and RT-PCR following busulfan treatment to remove germ cells. Both evaluations indicated expression of the 9- and 3.2-kb promoters in Sertoli cells and germ cells, whereas activity of smaller promoters was largely restricted to germ cells. In all, the present study provides in vivo evidence that distinct promoter sequences participate in Dmrt1 regulation in somatic cells and germ cells, with the -3.2kb/-2.8 kb region directing expression in Sertoli cells and downstream sequences ( $\leq$ 1.3 kb) directing it in germ cells. Further exploration of the mechanisms restricting Dmrt1 expression to the testis revealed that FOXL2, a transcription factor required for differentiation of the ovary, repressed Dmrt1 promoter through the -3.2 kb/-2.8 kb regulatory region, offering a potential mechanism for Dmrt1 transcriptional silencing in granulosa cells.

Dmrt1, FOXL2, testis, testis development, transcription, transcription regulation, transgenic mice, transgenic mice spermatogenesis

Received: 30 July 2008. First decision: 25 August 2008. Accepted: 26 February 2009.

© 2009 by the Society for the Study of Reproduction, Inc. This is an Open Access article, freely available through *Biology of* 

Reproduction's Authors' Choice option. elSSN: 1259-7268 http://www.biolreprod.org

ISSN: 0006-3363

#### **INTRODUCTION**

DMRT1 (doublesex and mab-3 related transcription factor-1) is an evolutionarily conserved transcription factor that contains a unique, cysteine-rich, DNA-binding motif, known as the DM domain, that was first identified in DOUBLESEX and MAB-3, which are transcription factors that regulate sexual differentiation in flies and worms, respectively [1]. As the putative mammalian ortholog of DOUBLSEX and MAB-3, DMRT1 has been implicated in mammalian sex determination and differentiation. This link to sexual differentiation is further supported by DMRT1's expression profile, which is limited to the developing gonads and postnatal testis [2-10]. Studies in mice have best exposed DMRT1's expression pattern and showed that during embryonic development, it is localized to somatic cells and germ cells of XX and XY gonads and, subsequently, is restricted to the testis after sex determination [2, 6, 10–13]. Male-specific expression continued through embryonic and postnatal development, with DMRT1 evident in Sertoli cells at all ages, in gonocytes up to Embryonic Day 15.5, and in undifferentiated spermatogonia after birth [13].

In mammals, evidence from both humans and mice clearly support DMRT1's importance in testis differentiation but contrast with respect to the time that its activity impinges on testis development [14-17]. Thus, DMRT1 is implicated in embryonic testis development and sex determination in humans, whereas in mice, it is needed for postnatal testis differentiation. Evidence in humans for the participation of DMRT1 in sex determination and gonad development stems largely from its chromosomal location (9p24.3), which links it to known breakpoints responsible for human 46,XY sex reversal and testis dysgenesis [14]. In mice, functional deletion of Dmrt1 resulted in male- and testis-specific defects that were discernible only after birth [15]. Characterization of the mice showed that Sertoli cells were poorly differentiated and that germ cells failed to survive beyond Postnatal Day 14 [16]. Subsequently, conditional deletion of Dmrt1 indicated autonomous requirements in both Sertoli cells and germ cells and nonautonomous activity in Sertoli cells for support of the germ line [17]. Thus, studies in mice showed that DMRT1 is required within Sertoli cells for self-directed postnatal differentiation and germ line maintenance, whereas in germ cells, it acts independently to orchestrate migration to the tubule periphery, mitotic reactivation, and cell survival [17].

The expression pattern and function of DMRT1 suggest that its regulatory position is at a key point in the genetic network leading testis differentiation; thus, unraveling transcriptional components controlling *Dmrt1* expression likely will contribute substantially to our understanding of this pathway. The rat *Dmrt1* promoter has been characterized in primary cultures of Sertoli cells, which identified several important regulatory elements within the first 5 kb of 5' flanking sequence [18, 19]. Deletion analysis of the promoter identified two major regions that contributed to its transcriptional activity. These regions were located between -3.2 kb and -2.8 kb (the distal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Supported by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (HD041056 to L.L.H.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Correspondence: Leslie L. Heckert, Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Kansas Medical Center, 3901 Rainbow Blvd., Kansas City, KS 66160. FAX: 913 588 7430; e-mail: lheckert@kumc.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Current address: Cancer Institute of New Jersey, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, 195 Little Albany St., New Brunswick, NJ 08903.

regulatory region) and downstream of -150 bp (the proximal promoter), relative to the major transcriptional start site. Mutagenesis and DNA/protein-binding studies subsequently uncovered important elements and proteins required for activity of the proximal and distal regions. In the proximal promoter, several elements were identified in maintaining basal promoter activity that bound members of the ubiquitous specificity protein (SP) and early growth response (EGR) transcription factor families. Unlike the proximal promoter, the distal region was active only in Sertoli cells through direction of several critical regulatory elements, three of which bound the transcription factor GATA4, a protein previously identified as important for mammalian sex determination and testis differentiation [18, 20]. Furthermore, in mice, loss of GATA4's requisite partner, ZFPM2 (previously known as FOG2), reduced Dmrt1 mRNA levels in gonads from XY embryos but not from XX embryos, providing additional support for GATA4 in Dmrt1 regulation [18].

The current study used transgenic analysis to examine in vivo activity of the *Dmrt1* promoter to validate the importance of the identified regulatory regions in testis and Sertoli cellspecific expression. Various amounts of rat Dmrt1 5' flanking sequence, ranging from 9 kb to 150 bp, were used to direct expression of a LacZ reporter gene in transgenic mice. Multiple tissues from the mice were then examined for transgene expression, which supported a role for the distal regulatory region (-3.2 kb to -2.8 kb) in Sertoli cell-specific expression of Dmrt1 and implicated sequences between -1.3 kb and -400bp in germ cell expression of *Dmrt1*. In addition, transfection studies showed that the transcription factor FOXL2 specifically repressed *Dmrt1* promoter activity, in part, through the distal regulatory region, suggesting that FOXL2 participates in silencing *Dmrt1* in granulosa cells. In all, the data suggest distinct mechanisms for direct transcription of Dmrt1 in somatic and germ cells of the gonads and that the upstream regulatory region is required for gene activation in Sertoli cells and gene silencing in granulosa cells.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plasmid Generation

Cloning of rat Dmrt1 promoter-reporter constructs Dmrt1(-3280/+75)Luc and Dmrt1(-2800/+75)Luc has been described elsewhere [18, 19]. The pGL3-control, pGL3-basic, and pRL-TK (internal control containing Renilla luciferase driven by the thymidine kinase promoter) vectors were purchased from Promega Corp.

The Foxl2 cDNA (~1155 bp) was synthesized using Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), 0.5 μg of oligo-dT15, and 2 μg of total ovarian RNA from adult Swiss Webster mice (isolated with TRIZOL reagent; Life Technologies, Inc.) and then amplified by PCR using Foxl2-specific primers containing recognition sequences for HindIII and XbaI (5' primer Foxl2 HindIII, 5'-ATATAAGCTTGCGGAGAGCCGGCTTTTGTC-3'; 3' primer Foxl2 XbaI, 5'-GAAGTCTAGATTGGCACTCAGAGATCCAGACGC-3'). The amplified Foxl2 cDNA was digested with HindIII and XbaI and cloned into the corresponding sites of pcDNA3 to generate pcDNA3-Foxl2.

#### Cell Preparation and Transfection Analysis

Preparation and transient transfection of Day 15 primary rat Sertoli cells were as described elsewhere [19]. For transfection, cells were incubated with 0.1 μg of the reporter vector [either Dmrt1(-3280/+75)Luc, Dmrt1(-2800/+75)Luc, or pGL3-control] and increasing concentrations of pcDNA3-Foxl2 combined with an empty expression vector (pcDNA3) to make a total of 0.2 μg of cotransfected DNA together with 1.5 μl of lipofectamine and 2 μl of plus reagents (Life Technologies, Inc.). In addition, 20 ng of pRL-TK were included to control for transfection efficiency. At 48 h after transfection, cells were collected, lysed, and assayed for both firefly and Renilla luciferase activities using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega Corp.) as described elsewhere [19, 21]. Data are represented as the firefly/Renilla luciferase activity of Dmrt1-luciferase constructs relative to the firefly/Renilla

luciferase activity of SV40-promoter control vector pGL3-control. All plasmid DNAs were prepared from overnight bacterial cultures using QIAwell 8 plasmid kit (Qiagen) according to the supplier's recommendations. All buffers used for plasmid DNA preparation were endotoxin-free.

#### Generation of Dmrt1-LacZ Transgenes

Approximately 5200 bp of LacZ/Hprtl DNA (generously provided by Dr. Mario Capecchi), containing most of the LacZ coding region and Hprtl exons 8 and 9, intervening sequences, and polyadenylation (see Fig. 1),was cloned into the BamHI and Xbal sites of pBKS (Stratagene). A 150-bp fragment with the 5' end of LacZ with a nuclear localization signal was digested with BamHI and inserted upstream of the 5200 bp at the BamHI site to generate pBKS-LacZ/Hprtl. The rat Dmrtl gene from -12 bp to +75 bp relative to the main transcriptional start site was inserted 5' to LacZ to generate pBKS-LacZ(+). In addition, 9 kb of rat Dmrtl 5' flanking sequence was digested from lambda clone 56.1611 [19] using KpnI and XhoI and cloned between KpnI and XhoI sites in pBKS-LacZ(+). The resulting plasmid is referred to as pBKS-Dmrtl 9kb-LacZ. The pBKS-Dmrtl 2.8kb-LacZ and pBKS-Dmrtl 150bp-LacZ were generated by isolation of the 2.8-kb and 150-bp Dmrtl promoters, respectively, after digestion of Dmrtl(-2800/+75)Luc and Dmrtl(-150/+75)Luc with KpnI and XhoI and ligation of them with pBKS-LacZ(+) [18, 19].

#### Generation and Genotype of Transgenic Mice

For production of transgenic mice, transgene fragments of Dmrt1 9kb-LacZ, Dmrt1 3.2kb-LacZ, Dmrt1 1.3kb-LacZ, and Dmrt1 400bp-LacZ were isolated from vector sequences following digestion of pBKS-Dmrt1 9kb-LacZ with the appropriate restriction enzymes (e.g., Dmrt1 9kb-LacZ: KpnI and NotI; Dmrt1 3.2kb-LacZ: SpeI and NotI; Dmrt1 1.3kb-LacZ: Xbal; and Dmrt1 400bp-LacZ: SalI and XbaI). Transgene fragments of Dmrt1 2.8kb-LacZ and Dmrt1 150bp-LacZ were isolated by digestion of pBKS-Dmrt1 2.8kb-LacZ and pBKS-Dmrt1 150bp-LacZ, respectively, with KpnI and NotI. The DNA was purified using agarose gel DNA purification kit (UltraClean GelSpin; Mo Bio Laboratories, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions and eluted in TE buffer (10 mM Tris and 0.25 mM EDTA, pH 7.5). Transgenic founders were produced by pronuclear injection into C57BL/6  $\times$  SJL  $F_1$  zygotes through the Transgenic and Gene-Targeting Institutional Facility at the University of Kansas Medical Center. Founders were crossed into a C57BL/6 background for production of transgenic offspring. All animal studies were conducted in accordance with the principles and procedures outlined in Guideline for Care and Use of Experimental Animals.

The genotypes of all founders and their offspring were determined by PCR amplification of mouse tail DNA. Tail snips were digested in 500 µl of lysis buffer (100 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris [pH 8.0], and 1% SDS) in the presence of 400 μl/ml of proteinase K (Invitrogen) at 55°C overnight. Next, 250 μl of saturated NaCl (concentration, >6 mol/l) were added, and the digestion was mixed by inversion (400×), followed by incubation on ice for 10 min. The sample was then centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 min, and 500 µl of supernatant were precipitated by adding 1 ml of 100% ethanol and mixing the sample by inversion (10-20×). The precipitated DNA was spooled and rinsed by dipping in a solution of 70% ethanol. The DNA was resuspended in 150 µl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris and 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Transgene amplification (PCR; 28 cycles for 30 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 59°C, and 30 sec at 72°C) was performed using 5' primers, Dmrt1-DM2b (5'-AGCCTGCACTCAGAAGAAGCG-3') for Dmrt1 9kb-LacZ, 3.2kb-LacZ, 2.8kb-LacZ, 1.3kb-LacZ, and 400bp-LacZ transgenic lines and Dmrt1-BS#15-sense (5'-AGGCAGAAAAAAAAAGG TACA-3') for Dmrt1 150bp-LacZ transgenic mouse lines, and 3' primer, LacZ4 (5'-GGAGGAGTAGAATGTTGAGAGTC-3'). Amplified products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis, and positive animals were identified by the presence of a 376-bp band (for Dmrt1 9kb-LacZ, 3.2kb-LacZ, 2.8kb-LacZ, 1.3kb-LacZ, and 400bp-LacZ transgenic lines) or a 233-bp band (for Dmrt1 150bp-LacZ transgenic line).

### RT-PCR Analysis of Transgene Expression in Transgenic Mice

Tissue-specific expression of all transgenes was evaluated using RT-PCR as described elsewhere [22]. Prepubertal transgenic mice (15 days old) were killed and cDNA prepared from various tissues as described above. The cDNA synthesis was confirmed by testing 1 μl of each reaction as template in a PCR reaction with primers for the ribosomal protein L7 (primer *Rpl7.1.*, 5′-GGGGGAAGCTTCGAAAGGCAAGGAGGAAGCT-3′; primer *Rpl7.2.*, 5′-GGGGGGTCGACTCCTCCATGCAGATGATGCC-3′). For analysis of *LacZ* expression, PCR parameters were kept constant across all transgene constructs, and if required, the amount of added cDNA template was adjusted to equalize

120 LEI ET AL.




FIG. 1. Schematic representation of *Dmrt1-LacZ* transgenes. *Dmrt1-LacZ* transgenes contain the indicated *LacZ/Hprt1* reporter cassette driven by various lengths of rat *Dmrt1* 5' flanking sequence, denoted on the figure as –150bp, –400bp, –1.3kb, –2.8kb, –3.2kb, and –9kb, that ends 74 bp (+74) 3' to the transcriptional start site (bent arrow). Hybridization positions and amplification directions for the RT-PCR primers *LacZ3* and *Hprt1* are indicated by arrows below the depicted transgene.

the template based on the amplified cDNA signal from the internal Rpl7 control. Typically, 1 ng of cDNA template was employed together with 5' primer LacZ3 (5'-GCCGCTACAGTCAACAG-3') and 3' primer Hprt1 (5'-GGCCTATAGGCTCATAGTGCAA-3'). These primers hybridized to sequences located in the LacZ gene and exon 9 of Hprt1 and span the intron within the Hprt1 sequence (Fig. 1). This allowed differentiation between contaminating genomic DNA and processed mRNA. Amplified products were examined by agarose gel electrophoresis, which revealed a 757-bp product for properly processed transcripts and a 1412-bp product for that arising from genomic DNA contamination. Expression analysis of all transgenes was performed using a minimum of three different animals for each line associated with a specific transgene, and the prepared RNA was assayed at least twice for each of these. For example, data representing the 9-kb transgene (four independent lines) was generated from a minimum of 24 independent RT-PCR reactions, which employed RNA prepared from 12 animals (three animals from each of the four lines). Expression of endogenous mouse Dmrt1 mRNA was determined by RT-PCR using 5' primer Dmrt1.10 (5'-GGCGAAGCTTGCTCGGCAATCAGGCTGC-3') and 3' primer Dmrt1.36 (5'-CGGCAAGCTTACAGGTCCAGCTGCGGTG-3'), with an expected amplified product of 418 bp.

#### Generation and Morphological Analyses of Germ Cell-Free Transgenic Mice by Fetal Busulfan Treatment

The C57BL/6 female mice were mated to transgenic males and subsequently treated with busulfan (25-40 mg/kg body wt; dosage was determined experimentally for different transgenic lines) by intraperitoneal injection at 12.5 days postcoitus (dpc), as described previously [23]. On Day 15 after birth, one testis from transgenic animals treated in utero with busulfan was isolated for RNA extraction and RT-PCR and the other testis for histological examination to confirm germ cell depletion [13]. For immunohistochemical evaluation, at least two animals for a same genotype were assayed. Isolated gonads were fixed at 4°C overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS buffer (0.137 M NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8.0 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO4, and 2.0 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO4; pH 7.4) and embedded in paraffin. Samples were cut into sections (thickness, 5  $\mu m)$  and analyzed for either DMRT1 or  $\beta$ -galactosidase. The DMRT1 immunohistochemical analyses were conducted as described elsewhere [13]. For  $\beta$ -galactosidase staining, sections were cleared in xylene, washed in absolute ethanol, and then incubated in methanol with 0.3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 1 min. After being rehydrated through graded alcohol solutions, sections were incubated in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at 100°C for 10 min for antigen retrieval. Slides were cooled, 10% normal goat serum blocking solution (Zymed) plus 1% BSA was added, and samples were incubated at room temperature for 60 min. Primary antibody incubations were carried out overnight at 4°C in blocking solution using a 1:750 dilution of rabbit polyclonal antibody generated to β-galactosidase (catalog no. ab616; Abcam, Inc.). Samples were next washed twice in PBST (PBS buffer with 0.1% Triton X-100) for 10 min, followed by another 20 min in blocking solution. Samples

were then incubated for 60 min with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Zymed) and washed twice (10 min each wash) with PBST. Next, histostain plus streptavidin peroxidase (Zymed) solution was added for 10 min, and the slides were again washed twice (10 min each wash) in PBST. Aminoethyl carbazole substrate solution (Zymed) was applied to the sections for 5–10 min, and the reaction was stopped by rinsing in PBS. With two or three drops of GVA mounting solution (Zymed), sections were mounted on glass slides. All the steps after primary antibody incubations were preformed at room temperature.

#### **RESULTS**

Generation and Analysis of Dmrt1-LacZ Transgenic Mice

To determine the transcriptional requirements for correct temporal and spatial expression of Dmrt1, the activity of its promoter was evaluated in vivo by analyzing mice carrying transgenes with varying amounts of Dmrtl 5' flanking sequence that direct  $\beta$ -galactosidase (LacZ) expression (Fig. 1). Transgenes were generated by placing sequences residing upstream of *Dmrt1*'s transcriptional start site, ranging in size from 150 bp to 9.0 kb, 5' to a LacZ reporter cassette, which also included *Hprt1* genomic sequence corresponding to part of exon 8, exon 9, and the intervening sequence (Fig. 1). Transgenic mice were produced from six different LacZ reporter constructs, distinguished by the amount of promoter sequence, and for each construct, tissue expression was evaluated in a minimum of three independent lines by RT-PCR of LacZ mRNA (Table 1 and Fig. 1, primers LacZ3 and *Hprt1* denoted by directional arrows).

## The 3.2-kb Dmrt1 Promoter Directs Testis-Specific Expression

Tissue expression profiles from the different mouse lines revealed transgene expression patterns that varied between mice carrying different constructs and, in some instances, between mice harboring the same construct but representing distinct founder animals (i.e., different integration sites) (Fig. 2, representative expression profiles for each transgene, including multiple profiles for those with integration site differences). The latter is exemplified by lines 77 and 29, both of which carried the 2.8-kb transgene but showed two distinct expression patterns: testis restricted (line 77) and unrestricted (line 29). All

TABLE 1. Tissue expression of *Dmrt1-LacZ* transgenes.

Construct	Male (n) <sup>a</sup>	Testis	Brain	Stomach	Heart	Kidney	Spleen	Lung	Liver	No expression	Testis specific	Female (n) <sup>a</sup>	Ovary
9.0 kb	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0
3.2 kb	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
2.8 kb	5	5	5	4	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	6	1
1.3 kb	7	6	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	3	5	1
400 bp	9	4	3	0	1	2	1	2	1	5	1	9	2
150 bp	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	2	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> n = Number of individual lines evaluated for the indicated transgene construct.

transgenic data were summarized graphically by plotting, for each transgenic reporter, the percentage of mouse lines that express in a given tissue (Table 1). Notably, of the seven lines representing both 9- and 3.2-kb constructs, only two lines exhibited ectopic expression, and each in only a single tissue other than testis. In addition, 9- and 3.2-kb transgene expression levels were significantly lower in the ectopic tissues than in the testis (data not shown). However, deleting the promoter to 2.8 kb or below greatly expanded the number of lines expressing the transgene in nontesticular tissues. Thus, whereas many of the promoters directed expression to the testis, the smaller ones were significantly more prone to expression at ectopic sites or to complete silencing. The data suggest that different promoter regions participate in testisspecific expression of *Dmrt1*, with distinct sequences indicated for directing testis expression and for preventing incorrect expression induced via influences imposed by the site of integration. With the exception of a single nonexpressing line for the 1.3-kb transgene, the 1.3- and 2.8-kb transgenes expressed LacZ in the testis, but the percentage of mice demonstrating testis-specific expression was lower than that of the larger constructs (43% for 1.3 kb and 0% for 2.8 kb, compared to 75% and 67% for 9 and 3.2 kb, respectively). The present study also revealed that transgenes containing promoters smaller than 1.3 kb were even more prone to silencing and ectopic expression, with only one of the combined thirteen 400- and 150-bp lines having testis-specific expression (Table 1). Thus, with deletion below 1.3 kb, transgene expression showed no preference for the testis and was significantly more susceptible to silencing and ectopic expression, indicating transgene expression was directed predominantly by sequences near the site of integration, as opposed to those within the Dmrt1 promoter.

## The Distal Regulatory Region Is Required for Testis Somatic Cell Expression

Because neither enzymatic or immunological detection of βgalactosidase provided reliable results that could distinguish testicular cells expressing the transgene (i.e., Sertoli versus germ cells), cell-specific promoter activity was evaluated by RT-PCR in testes isolated from mice born to mothers treated, during pregnancy, with the alkylating agent busulfan, which eliminates germ cells from male progeny [23]. Following treatment with sublethal doses of busulfan (25-40 mg/kg body wt; dosage was determined experimentally for different transgenic lines), pregnant females delivered litters of normal size compared to those of untreated controls, but male progeny were infertile (data not shown). Thus, similar to previous reports, busulfan treatment of 12.5-dpc pregnant females effectively prevented spermatogenesis of male progeny [23]. Immunohistological analyses for DMRT1 in testes isolated from 15-day-old mice born to either treated or untreated mothers revealed that more than 90% of the germ cells were depleted from the testis of males born to treated mothers, with only a few remaining spermatogonia observed to be attached to the basal membrane of the seminiferous epithelium (compare Fig. 3, A with B). Five different lines, representing three constructs (e.g., Dmrt1 2.8kb-LacZ, Dmrt1 3.2kb-LacZ, and Dmrt1 9kb-LacZ), were examined histologically to confirm germ cell depletion, followed by evaluation of transgene expression in the contralateral testis by RT-PCR. Notably, transgenes with promoter lengths of 3.2 or 9.0 kb were expressed in testes of mice born from either treated or untreated mothers, whereas those with the 2.8-kb promoter were expressed only in samples derived from untreated mothers (Fig. 3C and data not shown). The results indicated that the 2.8-





FIG. 2. Observed profiles for Dmrt1-LacZ transgenes. RNA extracted from tissues derived from 15-day-old transgenic mice was evaluated for transgene expression by RT-PCR. Complementary DNA synthesis was confirmed by evaluating cDNA amplification of the ribosomal protein L7, and if required, templates for LacZ amplification were adjusted to equalize template levels based on that of Rpl7. RT-PCR was performed in the presence (left lane) and absence (right lane) of added reverse transcriptase, and amplified products were examined by agarose gel electrophoresis (product of LacZ mRNA is 757 bp; genomic DNA is 1412 bp). Representative expression profiles are shown for each transgenic construct, with the promoter length denoted on the right together with the evaluated transgenic line number in parenthesis. For transgenic constructs that exhibited more than one expression pattern, representative profiles of each distinct pattern are shown. B, brain; L, liver; h, heart; Ln, lung; S, spleen; K, kidney; St, stomach; T, testis; G, genomic DNA from positive transgenic animal; +, positive control (cDNA generated from testis of Dmrt1 9kb-LacZ transgenic mice). Results for all transgenic lines are summarized in Table 1, which represents data derived from two or more independent PCRs from a minimum of three animals for each line of a specific transgene.

kb promoter and, presumably, smaller constructs express only in germ cells, whereas those containing sequences between -2.8 kb and -3.2 kb express in both germ cells and somatic cells, presumably Sertoli cells.

Immunohistochemistry also was employed to evaluate  $\beta$ -galactosidase expression in testes from treated and untreated animals with select transgene constructs (Fig. 3D).  $\beta$ -Galactosidase protein expression was similar to that of endogenous DMRT1 in both untreated (Fig. 3D, left) and treated (Fig. 3D, right) mice carrying either the 9- or 3.2-kb

122 LEI ET AL.

FIG. 3. Cell-specificity of Dmrt1-LacZ transgenes. Transgene expression in specific testis cell populations was evaluated in testes from 15-day-old transgenic mice born to mothers treated with busulfan (+Busulfan) or without busulfan (-Busulfan) to eliminate germ cells. Efficacy of the busulfan treatment was evaluated by DMRT1 immunohistochemistry (red) to assess the DMRT1-expressing germ cell population (A and B). DMRT1-positive (arrow) and -negative (arrowhead) germ cells are indicated (B, inset). Note the paucity of germ cells in the busulfan-treated group (A). Transgene expression was evaluated in testes from both treatment groups by both RT-PCR (C) and immunohistochemistry for  $\beta$ -galactosidase (**D**). RT-PCR analysis (+RT, -RT) was used to evaluate testis expression of transgenic (T+) and wild type (T-) littermates that represent a total of five different transgenic lines (Dmrt1-2.8kb-LacZ, lines 49 and 59; Dmrt1-3.2kb-LacZ, lines 31 and 20 and data not shown; Dmrt1 9kb-LacZ, line 35). β-Galactosidase expression in testes from males with 9- and 3.2-kb transgenes (denoted to left) and born to mothers of both treatment groups (+Busulfan, right; -Busulfan, left) was evaluated by immunohistochemistry (**D**). β-Galactosidase expression in a wild-type testis from the treated group (+Busulfan) and in a 1.3-kb transgenic testis from the untreated group (-Busulfan) also are shown. Original magnification ×4 (A and **B**),  $\times 20$  (insets in **A** and **B**), and  $\times 40$  (**D**).



promoter constructs (compared Fig. 3D with Fig. 3, A and B). Thus, transgene expression was detected in Sertoli cells and spermatogonia of untreated animals and only in Sertoli cells of the treated animals, confirming that the 3.2-kb promoter contains sequences required for Sertoli cell expression. Staining in testes from untreated mice carrying the 1.3kb-LacZ transgene showed expression predominantly within germ cells,

with less certain expression in Sertoli cells. For mice containing promoters of 2.8 kb and smaller, demonstrating Sertoli cell expression by staining testes from busulfan-treated mothers was ineffective, because a lack of staining could be attributed to either the transgene's inability to express in Sertoli cells or technical problems associated with the tissue preparation.

FIG. 4. FOXL2 represses *Dmrt1* promoter activity via the distal regulatory region.

Depicted is the promoter sequence en-

compassing the distal regulatory region

sensus binding site for FOXL2, and their

direction indicates the putative element's orientation [28-30]. Transient transfection

to evaluate activities of the 3.2-kb [black bars, Dmrt1(-3280/+75)Luc] and 2.8-kb [gray bars, Dmrt1(-2800/+75)Luc] rat

Dmrt1 promoters in the presence of in-

creasing amounts of FOXL2 expression

vector (**B**). Graphed is the ratio of firefly

the same ratio (firefly/Renilla) for pGL3-

minimum of three times, and error bars

luciferase to Renilla luciferase (RL-TK con-

trol) activity, for the indicated promoter and dose of transfected Foxl2 vector, relative to

control transfected with the same amount of Foxl2 vector. Transfections were done a


represent the SEM (\*P = 0.0605, by Student

t-test: two samples assuming unequal vari-

analysis of primary rat Sertoli cells was used

from -3.2 kb to -2.8 kb of rat *Dmrt1* (**A**). Arrows mark sequences matching the con-





FOXL2 Represses Dmrt1 Promoter Activity

Expression studies indicate that *Dmrt1* undergoes a femalespecific silencing in somatic cells of the ovary (pregranulosa) and a sexually independent germ cell silencing that accompanies their exit from the cell cycle during embryogenesis [13]. DMRT1 reappears only in male germ cells and coincides with reactivation of the cell cycle after birth. Interestingly, female transgenic mice carrying the same constructs evaluated in males showed little or no LacZ expression in the ovaries, with the exception of lines having ectopic expression (Table 1). This suggested that sequences needed for Dmrt1 silencing are located within the evaluated promoter constructs, that sequences needed for ovarian activation are outside the tested promoter regions, or both. The existence of resident silencing elements initially was assessed by in silico sequence analysis to identify potential binding sites for transcriptional repressors within the

Dmrt1 promoter. This revealed four candidate regulatory elements, between -3.2 kb and -2.8 kb, for FOXL2 [binding motif (G/A)(T/C)(C/A)AA(C/T)A], a member of the forkhead transcription factor family implicated in Dmrt1 silencing by 124 LEI ET AL.

lost on removal of sequences containing the identified candidate binding sites (i.e., between -3.2 kb and -2.8 kb), as attested by the unmodified activity of the 2.8-kb promoter with increased FOXL2 expression (Fig. 4B, gray bars). Thus, promoter silencing depended on the amount of expressed FOXL2 and *Dmrt1* promoter sequences between -3.2 kb and -2.8 kb.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Expression profiles for *Dmrt1* have documented its strict specificity for germ cells and somatic cells of embryonic gonads and its male-specific expression in postnatal testes [2, 3, 10, 13, 15–17]. Before gonad differentiation and sex determination, DMRT1 is expressed at similar levels in the somatic and germ cell populations of male and female gonads, but on differentiation, cell-specific, sexually distinct DMRT1 profiles emerge, each at a different stage of development [13]. In males, DMRT1 is maintained in the somatic (Sertoli) cells throughout development and postnatal life, whereas in females, it is lost from somatic (pregranulosa) cells at the time of sex determination [2, 3, 10, 13, 15–17, 31–33]. In addition, DMRT1 is expressed in germ cells of developing male and female gonads but subsequently extinguishes at times corresponding to either meiotic entry (XX germ cells) or mitotic arrest (XY germ cells) [13]. After birth, germ cell expression only returns in males and is coincident with their resumption of mitosis [13, 15–17]. Overall, the data suggest that differences in Dmrt1 expression result from distinct transcriptional mechanisms in germ cells and Sertoli cells that depend on both specificity and developmental status of the expressing cells [13, 16, 17]. In somatic cells, differential expression results from male-specific maintenance of Dmrt1 initiated just after sex determination, whereas in germ cells, differences result from male-specific activation of *Dmrt1* instituted at birth with resumption of mitosis [13, 16, 17].

By assessing in vivo the transcriptional role of *Dmrt1*'s 5' flanking sequence, the current study identified promoter regions that support different expression patterns, suggesting they are responsible for the differential transcriptional control implicated by DMRT1's expression profile and cell-specific functions. The results indicated distinct regulatory regions, located between -3.2 kb and -2.8 kb and between -1.3 kb and -400 bp, in directing *Dmrt1* transcription to Sertoli cells and germ cells, respectively. Thus, histochemical analysis confirmed expression of the 9- and 3.2-kb transgenes in Sertoli cells and germ cells, and RT-PCR analysis showed Dmrt1 flanking sequences between -3.2 kb and -2.8 kb helped to restrict expression to the testis and supported somatic cell expression, because without this region, transgene expression was dependent on the presence of viable germ cells [2, 11, 13, 15-19, 34]. Interestingly, transient transfection analysis previously showed that sequences within this region were required for Sertoli cell-specific expression of Dmrt1 and identified several critical response elements, as well as their associated transcription factors, that regulated Dmrt1 promoter activity in primary Sertoli cells [18]. Two transcription regulatory proteins previously identified for their role in male sex determination, GATA4 and its coregulator, ZFPM2, also were implicated in Dmrt1 regulation by studies that examined its expression in Zfpm2 mutant mice [18, 20].

FOXL2 is a winged-helix/forkhead transcription factor that exhibits female-specific gonad expression and reproductive function [27, 35]. Notably, its expression profile and in vivo function strongly support the predicted role in *Dmrt1* silencing. Thus, during development, FOXL2 is absent from indifferent

gonads and, on differentiation, is induced only in somatic cells of the ovary. Here, FOXL2 levels rise sharply between 11.5 and 13.5 dpc, while at the same time, DMRT1 levels decline, nearly reaching extinction by 13.5 dpc [13, 26, 27, 35, 36]. FOXL2 is maintained in the ovary and functions to establish and maintain the somatic cell lineage. With FOXL2 deficiency, female-to-male sex reversal is observed and marked by the continued presence of fetal sex cords, loss of female somatic cell markers, and induced expression of male-specific genes, including *Dmrt1* [24, 25, 37]. In *Foxl2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice containing a second mutation in *Wnt4*, which also functions to establish female identity in ovarian somatic cells, the sex reversal phenotype is more pronounced and shows earlier induction of the male-specific genes [25].

In addition to revealing a somatic regulatory region (i.e., distal regulatory region), the current study also implicated a region (-1.3 kb and -400 bp) in directing *Dmrt1* to testicular germ cells. Thus, except for one nonexpressing 1.3-kb line, all transgenes containing sequences between -2.8 kb and -400 bp (11 of the 12 lines) were expressed in the testis. Notably, a total of seven lines carrying either the 2.8 kb (three lines) or 1.3 kb (four lines) showed testis-specific (three lines) or nearly testisspecific expression (four lines; modest brain expression in three 2.8-kb and one 1.3-kb lines, data not shown), whereas the remaining lines showed expression in testes plus other tissues. In contrast, when the -1.3 kb/-400 bp region was removed by further deletion, transgene expression was determined largely by the site of integration, not by the *Dmrt1* promoter, because expression was either silent or without preference for the testis over other tissues. The data strongly implicate *Dmrt1* promoter elements located between -1.3 kb and -400 bp in directing expression to the testis. Furthermore, cellular expression revealed the region as one directing germ cell expression, because testis activity of both the 2.8- and 1.3-kb promoters was restricted largely to the germ cells (Fig. 3). Importantly, germ cell specificity likely results from the interplay between this region and those upstream (i.e., >2.8 kb) that help to prevent erroneous transcription induced by the surrounding chromatin.

Findings from the current study also are supported, in part, by a previous report on *Dmrt1* promoter activity in transgenic mice, which showed testis-specific expression directed by porcine DMRT1 5' flanking sequence [38]. In that study, a 2.6kb promoter was used to direct transgenes with either green fluorescent protein or Cre recombinase and demonstrated expression in embryonic gonads of both sexes and adult testes. In the testis, transgene activity was found in both somatic cells and germ cells [38]. The study only characterized a single promoter, but it is consistent with results from the present study that delineated important, testis-specific regulatory sequences in the 5' proximal region of Dmrt1. However, the two studies conflict with respect to somatic cell regulation. The present study, which used the rat promoter, indicated that such sequences reside upstream of 2.8kb, whereas the earlier studies indicated that such sequences are located within 2.6 kb of the pig promoter. However, comparison of Dmrt1 promoter sequences between various species revealed insertions and deletions that altered the relative location of several conserved sequences [38]. Likewise, sequence alignment of the rat and pig promoters identified similarities between the rat somatic regulatory region and sequences within the 2.6-kb pig promoter (data not shown). Thus, the apparent difference in promoter function may be explained by positional changes in elements required for somatic cell expression that placed them nearer the transcriptional start site in porcine DMRT1.

In summary, the current study identified two distinct regulatory regions in the *Dmrt1* promoter that help to direct

it to somatic cells and germ cells of the testis. The somatic regulatory region was localized to sequences between -3.2 kb and -2.8 kb and a germ cell regulatory region to sequences between -1.3 kb and -400 bp. It also revealed a role for FOXL2 in silencing *Dmrt1* transcription through the somatic regulatory region. From these studies, as well as a previous one identifying GATA4 and ZFPM2 in Dmrt1 activation via the somatic regulatory region, the following model emerges: Both GATA4 and ZFPM2 activate Dmrt1 in XX and XY somatic cells of indifferent gonads via GATA4 elements in the somatic regulatory region. On differentiation, FOXL2 is induced in the ovary, where it silences GATA4 activity (and, consequently, Dmrt1 transcription) when bound to sequences near the GATA4 elements. In the testis, FOXL2 is absent, and DMRT1 persists. However, substantiation of the model requires demonstration that FOXL2 binds and silences GATA4 activity of the promoter, which forms the basis of future studies on Dmrt1 transcription, together with those to unravel the elements and transcription factors that act through the germ cell regulatory region.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Raymond CS, Shamu CE, Shen MM, Seifert KJ, Hirsch B, Hodgkin J, Zarkower D. Evidence for evolutionary conservation of sex-determining genes. Nature 1998; 391:691–695.
- Raymond CS, Kettlewell JR, Hirsch B, Bardwell VJ, Zarkower D. Expression of Dmrt1 in the genital ridge of mouse and chicken embryos suggests a role in vertebrate sexual development. Dev Biol 1999; 215: 208–220.
- 3. Smith CA, McClive PJ, Western PS, Reed KJ, Sinclair AH. Conservation of a sex-determining gene. Nature 1999; 402:601–602.
- Kettlewell JR, Raymond CS, Zarkower D. Temperature-dependent expression of turtle Dmrt1 prior to sexual differentiation. Genesis 2000; 26:174–178.
- Guan G, Kobayashi T, Nagahama Y. Sexually dimorphic expression of two types of DM (Doublesex/Mab-3)-domain genes in a teleost fish, the Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2000; 272:662–666.
- Moniot B, Berta P, Scherer G, Sudbeck P, Poulat F. Male specific expression suggests role of DMRT1 in human sex determination. Mech Dev 2000; 91:323–325.
- Marchand O, Govoroun M, D'Cotta H, McMeel O, Lareyre J, Bernot A, Laudet V, Guiguen Y. DMRT1 expression during gonadal differentiation and spermatogenesis in the rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Biochim Biophys Acta 2000; 1493:180–187.
- Matsuda M, Nagahama Y, Shinomiya A, Sato T, Matsuda C, Kobayashi T, Morrey CE, Shibata N, Asakawa S, Shimizu N, Hori H, Hamaguchi S, Sakaizumi M. DMY is a Y-specific DM-domain gene required for male development in the medaka fish. Nature 2002; 417:559–563.
- Nanda I, Kondo M, Homung U, Asakawa S, Winkler C, Shimizu A, Shan Z, Haaf T, Shimizu N, Shima A, Schmid M, Schartl M. A duplicated copy of DMRT1 in the sex-determining region of the Y chromosome of the medaka, *Oryzias latipes*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002; 99:11778–11783.
- De Grandi A, Calvari V, Bertini V, Bulfone A, Peverali G, Camerino G, Borsani G, Guioli S. The expression pattern of a mouse doublesex-related gene is consistent with a role in gonadal differentiation. Mech Dev 2000; 90:323–326.
- Kim S, Kettlewell JR, Anderson RC, Bardwell VJ, Zarkower D. Sexually dimorphic expression of multiple doublesex-related genes in the embryonic mouse gonad. Gene Expr Patterns 2003; 3:77–82.
- Oreal E, Mazaud S, Picard JY, Magre S, Carre-Eusebe D. Different patterns of anti-Mullerian hormone expression, as related to DMRT1, SF-1, WT1, GATA-4, Wnt-4, and Lhx9 expression, in the chick differentiating gonads. Dev Dyn 2002; 225:221–232.
- Lei N, Hornbaker KI, Rice DA, Karpova T, Agbor VA, Heckert LL. Sexspecific Differences in mouse DMRT1 expression are both cell type- and stage-dependent during gonad development. Biol Reprod 2007; 77:466– 475
- 14. Raymond CS, Parker ED, Kettlewell JR, Brown LG, Page DC, Kusz K, Jaruzelska J, Reinberg Y, Flejter WL, Bardwell VJ, Hirsch B, Zarkower D. A region of human chromosome 9p required for testis development

- contains two genes related to known sexual regulators. Hum Mol Genet 1999: 8-989-996
- Raymond CS, Murphy MW, O'Sullivan MG, Bardwell VJ, Zarkower D. Dmrt1, a gene related to worm and fly sexual regulators, is required for mammalian testis differentiation. Genes Dev 2000; 14:2587–2595.
- Fahrioglu U, Murphy MW, Zarkower D, Bardwell VJ. mRNA expression analysis and the molecular basis of neonatal testis defects in Dmrt1 mutant mice. Sex Dev 2007; 1:42–58.
- Kim S, Bardwell VJ, Zarkower D. Cell type-autonomous and nonautonomous requirements for Dmrt1 in postnatal testis differentiation. Dev Biol 2007; 307:314–327.
- Lei N, Heckert LL. Gata4 regulates testis expression of Dmrt1. Mol Cell Biol 2004; 24:377–388.
- Lei N, Heckert LL. Sp1 and Egr1 regulate transcription of the Dmrt1 gene in Sertoli cells. Biol Reprod 2002; 66:675–684.
- Tevosian SG, Albrecht KH, Crispino JD, Fujiwara Y, Eicher EM, Orkin SH. Gonadal differentiation, sex determination and normal Sry expression in mice require direct interaction between transcription partners GATA4 and FOG2. Development 2002; 129:4627–4634.
- Daggett MA, Rice DA, Heckert LL. Expression of steroidogenic factor 1 in the testis requires an E box and CCAAT box in its promoter proximal region. Biol Reprod 2000; 62:670–679.
- Heckert LL, Sawadogo M, Daggett MA, Chen JK. The USF proteins regulate transcription of the follicle-stimulating hormone receptor but are insufficient for cell-specific expression. Mol Endocrinol 2000; 14:1836– 1848.
- Brinster CJ, Ryu BY, Avarbock MR, Karagenc L, Brinster RL, Orwig KE. Restoration of fertility by germ cell transplantation requires effective recipient preparation. Biol Reprod 2003; 69:412

  –420.
- Ottolenghi C, Omari S, Garcia-Ortiz JE, Uda M, Crisponi L, Forabosco A, Pilia G, Schlessinger D. Foxl2 is required for commitment to ovary differentiation. Hum Mol Genet 2005; 14:2053–2062.
- Ottolenghi C, Pelosi E, Tran J, Colombino M, Douglass E, Nedorezov T, Cao A, Forabosco A, Schlessinger D. Loss of Wnt4 and Foxl2 leads to female-to-male sex reversal extending to germ cells. Hum Mol Genet 2007; 16:2795–2804.
- Loffler KA, Zarkower D, Koopman P. Etiology of ovarian failure in blepharophimosis ptosis epicanthus inversus syndrome: FOXL2 is a conserved, early-acting gene in vertebrate ovarian development. Endocrinology 2003; 144:3237–3243.
- Schmidt D, Ovitt CE, Anlag K, Fehsenfeld S, Gredsted L, Treier AC, Treier M. The murine winged-helix transcription factor Foxl2 is required for granulosa cell differentiation and ovary maintenance. Development 2004; 131:933–942.
- Roux J, Pictet R, Grange T. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 3 determines the amplitude of the glucocorticoid response of the rat tyrosine aminotransferase gene. DNA Cell Biol 1995; 14:385–396.
- Kaufmann E, Knochel W. Five years on the wings of fork head. Mech Dev 1996; 57:3–20.
- Kaufmann E, Muller D, Knochel W. DNA recognition site analysis of Xenopus winged helix proteins. J Mol Biol 1995; 248:239–254.
- Griswold MD. Interactions between germ cells and Sertoli cells in the testis. Biol Reprod 1995; 52:211–216.
- Franke FE, Pauls K, Rey R, Marks A, Bergmann M, Steger K. Differentiation markers of Sertoli cells and germ cells in fetal and early postnatal human testis. Anat Embryol (Berl) 2004; 209:169–177.
- Sharpe RM, McKinnell C, Kivlin C, Fisher JS. Proliferation and functional maturation of Sertoli cells, and their relevance to disorders of testis function in adulthood. Reproduction 2003; 125:769–784.
- Chen JK, Heckert LL. Dmrt1 expression is regulated by folliclestimulating hormone and phorbol esters in postnatal Sertoli cells. Endocrinology 2001; 142:1167–1178.
- Ottolenghi C, Uda M, Crisponi L, Omari S, Cao A, Forabosco A, Schlessinger D. Determination and stability of sex. Bioessays 2007; 29: 15–25.
- Nef S, Schaad O, Stallings NR, Cederroth CR, Pitetti JL, Schaer G, Malki S, Dubois-Dauphin M, Boizet-Bonhoure B, Descombes P, Parker KL, Vassalli JD. Gene expression during sex determination reveals a robust female genetic program at the onset of ovarian development. Dev Biol 2005; 287:361–377.
- Uda M, Ottolenghi C, Crisponi L, Garcia JE, Deiana M, Kimber W, Forabosco A, Cao A, Schlessinger D, Pilia G. Foxl2 disruption causes mouse ovarian failure by pervasive blockage of follicle development. Hum Mol Genet 2004; 13:1171–1181.
- Boyer A, Dornan S, Daneau I, Lussier J, Silversides DW. Conservation of the function of DMRT1 regulatory sequences in mammalian sex differentiation. Genesis 2002; 34:236–243.