

# Mites of the genus Summersiella Gonzalez (Acari: Stigmaeidae)

Authors: Fan, Qing-Hai, and Zhang, Zhi-Qiang

Source: Systematic and Applied Acarology, 7(1): 149-158

Published By: Systematic and Applied Acarology Society

URL: https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.7.1.16

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <a href="https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use">www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</a>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# Mites of the genus Summersiella Gonzalez (Acari: Stigmaeidae)

# QING-HAI FAN 1 & ZHI-QIANG ZHANG 2

#### **Abstract**

The systematic status of the genus *Summersiella* Gonzalez is reviewed and a revised definition is proposed. A new species, *Summersiella camphorae*, from the leaves of camphor tree (*Cinnamomum camphora*) in Fujian Province of China, is described and illustrated. This new species is distinguished from the only known species, *Summersiella coprosmae* (Wood), by having an apparently sclerotized hexagonal central hysterosomal shield with five pairs of setae; by having much shorter dorsal setae (ve not exceeding base of sci); by the following ratios: vi/vi-vi=0.7, ve/ve-sci=1.0; and by the length of solenidion  $\omega$  on tarsus I (19-21  $\mu$ m).

Key words: Acari, Stigmaeidae, Summersiella, revision, new species.

## Introduction

The little known stigmaeid genus *Summersiella* was erected by Gonzalez (1967), based on *Summersiella ancydactyla* Gonzalez, 1967 from New Zealand. Two years later Meyer (1969) considered *Summersiella* a synonym of *Pseudostigmaeus* because the characters used by Gonzalez (1967) for separating *Summersiella* from related genera (i.e. palptarsus bending mesad at its basal third and the basis of capitulum being broad) were not of generic value, and because the genus was monotypic. However, Wood (1971) confirmed its generic status after detailed studies on the palptarsus, dorsal shields, setation of coxae and leg empodia of *S. coprosmae*, which he first placed in *Stigmaeus*, but later considered to be a senior synonym of *Summersiella ancydactyla* Gonzalez, 1967. So far, this is the only known species of *Summersiella* and it is distributed only in New Zealand. A new species, *Summersiella camphorae*, from the leaves of camphor tree [(*Cinnamomum camphora* (L.)] in Fujian Province of China, is described and illustrated in this paper. Due to the discovery of this second species, a revised definition of the genus is provided and the taxonomic position of *Summersiella* is discussed.

In the description of species, the terminology of palp and leg chaetotaxy follows that of Grandjean (1944, 1946) and the terminology of idiosomal chaetotaxy follows Kethley (1990).

# Genus Summersiella Gonzalez

Summersiella Gonzalez, 1967: 236. Type species: Stigmaeus coprosmae Wood, 1967 (= Summersiella ancydactyla Gonzalez 1967); by monotypy.

Pseudostigmaeus, Meyer, 1969: 245; in part.

© 2002 Systematic & Applied Acarology Society

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Protection, Fujian Agricultural and Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, China; E-mail: qhfan@pub2.fz.fj.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Landcare Research, P.B. 92170, Auckland, New Zealand; e-mail: ZhangZ@landcareresearch.co.nz

### Description (Figs. 1-26)

Female. Idiosoma oval in dorsoventral view. Chelicerae separate. Palpi relatively robust; tibial claw subequal in length to palptarsus; accessory claw developed, spiniform. Palptarsus basally angled; basal seta bp well developed, spinelike; terminal eupathidia basally fused and halfway separated to three long prongs. Fundamental setation from palptrochanter to palptarsus on adult: 0, 3, 2, 2 +1 claw+1 accessary claw, 3 + 1 spinelike seta +  $1\omega$  + 1 subterminal spinelike eupathidium + 3 basally fused eupathidia. Subcapitulum with two pairs of setae (m and n), both posterolaterad of pharynx. Propodosoma covered with a triangular shield, which bears one pair of eyes, one pair of feebly developed postocular bodies and four pairs of setae (vi, ve, sci, sce); sci posteromediad of sce. Hysterosomal shield weakly or well sclerotised. Humeral shields vestigial, each with one seta  $(c_2)$ . Intercalary shield plateletlike, each with one seta  $(f_I)$ . Suranal shield (H) integral, bearing two pairs 3a and 4a), and three pairs of aggenital setae  $(ag_1, ag_2 \text{ and } ag_3)$ . Genital and anal openings contiguous, with one pair of genital setae  $(g_1)$  and three pairs of pseudanal setae  $(ps_3, ps_2)$  and  $ps_1)$ on adult female. Leg tarsal claw well developed and basally enclosed with a membranous arolium. Empodium rodlike, arising from arolium and bearing three Y-shaped tenent hairs. Fundamental setation of legs I-IV on adult female: coxae 2, 2, 2, 2; trochanters 1, 1, 2, 1; femora 6, 4, 3, 2; genua  $3 + 1\kappa$ , 2, 0, 1; tibiae  $5 + 1\rho\rho$ ,  $5 + 1\rho\rho$ ,  $5 + 1\rho\rho$ ,  $5 + 1\rho\rho$ ; tarsi  $13 + 1\omega$ ,  $9 + 1\omega$ ,  $7 + 1\omega$ ,  $7 + 1\omega$ . Male. Unknown.

# Taxonomic position

The basally angled palptarsus with the well developed spinelike bp of Summersiella is quite unusual and different from other genera in the family Stigmaeidae. The close relationship between Summersiella and Stigmaeus is indicated by such characters as the palpgenu bearing two setae, terminal eupathidia on palptarsus basally fused and halfway separated to three long prongs, widths between n-n and m-m subequal, setae sce present, and coxa II as well as trochanter III with two setae. However, Summersiella also shares some characters with Agistemus, such as the absence of endopodal shields, the presence of a tarsal arolium (smaller in Agistemus), the minute solenidion  $\kappa$  on genu I and the absence of  $\kappa$  on genu II.

## Remarks


Adult females of *Summersiella* are readily distinguished by having basally angled palptarsi with the spine-like *bp*. Other useful characters for identifying the genus are the leg tarsal claws basally enclosed with a membranous arolium, two setae on coxa II.

### Key to adult females of Summersiella

# Summersiella coprosmae (Wood) (Figs. 1-14)

Stigmaeus coprosmae Wood, 1967: 101. Summersiella ancydactyla Gonzalez, 1967: 237; synonymy by Wood, 1971: 407.

150 SYSTEMATIC & APPLIED ACAROLOGY VOL. 7



**FIGURES 1-2.** *Summersiella coprosmae* (Wood). (adult female). 1, dorsal view of gnathosoma and idiosoma; 2, ventral view gnathosoma and idiosoma.


## Type specimens

Holotype female, ex leaf cavities of *Coprosma australis*, Riwaka River, Nelson, New Zealand, 15.i.1965, E. Collyer. Nine paratype females, same data as holotype. Deposited in the New Zealand Arthropod Collection, Landcare Research, Mt Albert, Auckland, NEW ZEALAND. Paratype females, same data as holotype.

## Other material examined

One female, ex leave of Coprosma, Waitakeres Range near AK, NEW ZEALAND, 22.ii.1959, E. Collyer (labeled by R.H. Gonzalez as paratype of *S. ancydactyla* Gonzalez). Seven females, ex leaf cavities of *Coprosma australis*, Whangamoa Saddle, Nelson, NEW ZEALAND, 2.iii.1965, E. Collyer; one female, ex *Coprosma australis*, Manahau, north-west Nelson, NEW ZEALAND,

23.ix.1965; several females, ex *Coprosma australis*, Eve's Bush, NN, NEW ZEALAND, E. Collyer 3.xi.1965, 3.ix1.1968. All specimens in the New Zealand Arthropod Collection, Landcare Research, Mt Albert, Auckland, NEW ZEALAND.



**FIGURES 3-8**. *Summersiella coprosmae* (Wood). (adult female). 3, chelicerae; 4, ventral view of subcapitulum; 5, left palp; 6, left palptarsus; 7, central hysterosomal shield; 8, ventral setae; 9, genitoanal area.

VOL. 7


SYSTEMATIC & APPLIED ACAROLOGY

## Diagnosis

Females of *S. coprosmae* are recognized by having the central hysterosomal shield faintly sclerotized; by having longer dorsal setae, *ve* exceeds base of *sci*; by setae ratio: vi/vi-vi > 1.0, ve/ve-sci > 1.3; and by the length of solenidion  $\omega$  on tarsus I (26-27  $\mu$ m).

## Description

Gonzalez (1967) and Wood (1967) gave good descriptions of adult females. We herein provide new illustrations (Figs 1-14) giving more details, with particular reference to the chaetotaxy according to Grandjean (1944, 1946) and Kethley (1990).



**FIGURES 10-14.** *Summersiella coprosmae* (Wood). (adult female). 10, left leg I; 11, left leg II; 12, left leg III; 13, left leg IV; 14, right pretarsus I.

#### Habitat and distribution

Leaves or leaf cavities (acarodomatia) on *Coprosma australis* in Riwaka River, Pelorus River, Manahau, and Whangamoa Saddle, NN, NEW ZEALAND (Wood 1967; this study); Palmer's Bush, Waimea Plain and Totaranui, Golden Bay, NN, NEW ZEALAND (Wood 1971).

Leaf cavities of *Coprosma* sp. in the Waitakeres and Hunua, Auckland, (Gonzalez 1967) and in Te Morepu Bush near Orere, Firth of Thames, AK, NEW ZEALAND (Wood 1971).

Leaves of *Metrosideros* sp. in Astrolabe, Abel Tasman National Park, NN, NEW ZEALAND (Wood 1971).

Leaves of *Phyllocladus trichomanoides* in Chateau Tongariro, Tongariro National Park, TK, NEW ZEALAND (Wood 1971).

Coprosma australis, Eve's Bush, NN, NEW ZEALAND (this study).

#### Remarks

Lengths of dorsal body setae, vi, sci,  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  in Gonzalez's description (1965), and vi, ve, sce,  $d_2$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  in Wood's description (1967) seem longer than those in the specimens examined (Figs. 1-12). Gonzalez (1967) miscounted the number of setae on tarsus II as 9 [it is 9+1 $\omega$  (=10)]. Wood (1967) overlooked the single seta on genu IV.

#### Summersiella camphorae sp. nov. (Figs. 15-26)

#### Material examined

*Holotype*. Female, ex *Cinnamomum camphora* (L.), Dehua, Fujian Province, 20.xi.1996, J.Z. Lin.

Paratypes. Three females, same data as holotype.

## Diagnosis

Summersiella camphorae sp. nov. is readily distinguished from the only known species, S. coprosmae (Wood) by having an apparently sclerotized hexagonal central hysterosomal shield with five pairs of setae; by having much shorter dorsal setae (ve not reaching base of sci); by the following ratios: vi/vi-vi=0.7, ve/ve-sci=1.0; and by the length of solenidion  $\omega$  on tarsus I (19-21  $\mu$ m).

#### Description

#### FEMALE (n = 4)


*Gnathosoma*. Chelicerae conical (91-103 μm); movable digits (36-38 μm) slightly longer than one-third length of chelicerae. Palp robust (89-95 μm); tibial claw extending beyond tip of palptarsus; accessory claw slender, about half length of palpal seta bp. Seta bp well developed, about half length of tibial claw. Counts of setae, solenidia and claws on palp (from trochanter to tarsus): 0, 3, 2, 2 + 1 spinlike accessory claw + 1 claw, 3 + 1 basal claw + 1 ω + 1 subterminal eupathidium + 3 basally fused eupathidia. Subcapitulum plain, without clear punctation; subcapitular setae m (25-26 μm) longer than n (20-21 μm); distance between m-m equals n-n (28-30 μm) and about twice distance between m-n (15-16 μm).

*Idiosoma*. Oval in shape (267-286 μm long, 173-212 μm wide). All dorsal body setae with minute barbs but not set on clear tubercles. Propodosomal setae vi (22-26 μm) no more than two thirds distance between vi-vi (34-38 μm); ve (29-34 μm) subequal distance between ve-sci (32-34 μm); sci (34-40 μm) reaching anterior edge of central hysterosomal shield. Postocular body hardly observable. Hysterosoma with a developed central shield, which bears five pairs of setae,  $c_1$  (25-26 μm),  $d_1$  (19-26 μm),  $d_2$  (29-31 μm),  $e_1$  (32-34 μm) and  $e_2$  (29-30 μm);  $e_1$  passes base of  $f_1$ ; ratio  $c_1$ /

4 SYSTEMATIC & APPLIED ACAROLOGY

VOL. 7


 $c_1$ - $c_1$  = 0.4,  $c_1$ - $c_1$ / $e_1$ - $e_1$  = 1.8 (1.7-1.8); distances between setae,  $c_1$ - $c_1$  = 69 (65-72 µm),  $c_1$ - $d_1$  = 46 (43-50 µm),  $d_1$ - $d_1$  = 89 (84-96 µm),  $d_1$ - $d_2$  = 25 (19-31 µm),  $d_1$ - $e_1$  = 35 (25-50 µm),  $e_1$ - $e_1$  = 38 (36-41 µm),  $e_1$ - $e_2$  = 30 (28-32 µm). Humeral setae  $c_2$  (20-24 µm) situated on membrane. Intercalary setae  $f_1$  (14-16 µm) on small platelets, ratio  $f_1$ - $f_1$ / $e_1$ - $e_1$  = 1.4 (1.3-1.4). Suranal shield integral, with two pairs of setae ( $h_1$  and  $h_2$ ), subequal in length (32-34 µm) and approximately 1.3 times length of dorsal seta (d) on femur I. Distances between setae,  $e_1$ - $f_1$  = 24 (19-28 µm),  $f_1$ - $f_1$  = 52 (49-57 µm),  $h_1$ - $h_1$  = 19 (18-19 µm),  $h_1$ - $h_2$  = 18 (16-19 µm). Ventral setae three pairs ( $I_1$  and  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  and  $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are significant other two pairs (11-13 µm). Three pairs of aggenital setae ( $I_1$  and  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  arise from individual platelets;  $I_3$  (20-21 µm) longer than  $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of  $I_4$  are pair of pseudanal setae ( $I_4$  and  $I_4$  are pair of I



**FIGURES 15-16.** *Summersiella camphorae* **sp. nov.** (adult female). 15, dorsal view of gnathosoma and idiosoma; 16, ventral view gnathosoma and idiosoma.

*Legs.* Length (from base of trochanter to tip of tarsus): leg I = 159 (149-169  $\mu$ m), leg II = 136 (129-142  $\mu$ m), leg III = 132 (130-133  $\mu$ m), leg IV = 144 (137-150  $\mu$ m). Setae *d* on femora I-IV, on genua I, II and IV all bearing minute teeth and similar to dorsal body setae. Tarsi I-IV each bearing

one solenidion ( $\omega$ ). Dorsal seta (d) on femur I (25-26  $\mu$ m) longer than two-thirds of dorsal seta  $h_I$ . Length of solenidia on tarsi, I $\omega$  = 20 (19-21  $\mu$ m), II $\omega$  = 18 (17-20  $\mu$ m), III $\omega$  = 4  $\mu$ m, IV $\omega$  = 3  $\mu$ m. Counts of setae and solenidia on legs I-IV: coxae 2, 2, 2, 2; trochanters 1, 2, 1, 1; femora 6, 4, 3, 2; genua 3 + 1 $\kappa$ , 2, 0, 1; tibiae 5 + 1 $\phi$ p, 5 + 1 $\phi$ p, 5 + 1 $\phi$ p; tarsi 13 + 1 $\omega$ , 9 + 1 $\omega$ , 7 + 1 $\omega$ . MALE. Unknown.



**FIGURES 17-22.** *Summersiella camphorae* **sp. nov.** (adult female). 17, chelicerae; 18, left palp; 19, ventral view of subcapitulum; 20, detailed view of propodosomal shield; 21, detailed view of hysterosoma; 22, genitoanal area.

SYSTEMATIC & APPLIED ACAROLOGY


VOL. 7

# Location of holotype

Department of Plant Protection, Fujian Agricultural and Forestry University, Fuzhou, Fujian, CHINA.

# Etymology

The specific name, camphorae, is from its host plant, Cinnamomum camphora.



 $\textbf{FIGURES 23-26}. \ \textit{Summersiella camphorae} \ \textbf{sp. nov.} \ (\textit{adult female}). \ 23, \ \textit{left leg II}; \ 24, \ \textit{left leg II}; \ 25, \ \textit{left leg III}; \ 26, \ \textit{left leg IV}.$ 

## Remarks

It seems that the most important characters for distinguishing species of *Summersiella* are lengths of dorsal body setae and tarsal solenidia. The character of the central hysterosomal shield is only somewhat useful because it is not always clearly sclerotized in all species.

The ornamentation and arrangements of dorsal body shields are considered to be the key characters for separating genera of the Stigmaeidae. Though *Summersiella camphorae* sp. nov. is different from *S. coprosmae* (Wood) in the forms of dorsal shields, we think that it is better to place the new species in *Summersiella* in order to avoid erecting another monobasic genus.

## Acknowledgement

The work was supported by grants to the senior author by the China Scholarship Council and the National Foundation of Natural Science of China. We thank Dr Sabina Swift (University of Hawaii, USA) and Prof Uri Gerson (Hebrew University, Israel) for the critical reviews of this manuscript. We would also like to thank Mr. J.-Z. Lin (Fujian Academy Agricultural Sciences, Fuzhou, China) for kindly providing the specimens described here as *Summersiella camphorae*. The senior author is also indebted to Dr. David E. Walter and to the Department of Zoology and Entomology, The University of Queensland for hosting and providing space and facility when this paper is finalized. The junior author's research on New Zealand mites was funded in part by Contract C09X0002 from the Foundation for Research, Science, and Technology, New Zealand.

#### References

- Gonzalez, R.H. (1967) *Summersiella*, a new stigmaeid mite from New Zealand (Acarina: Prostigmata). *The Pan-Pacific Entomologist*, 43(3), 236-239.
- Grandjean, F. (1944) Observations sur les acariens de la famille des Stigmaeidae. *Archives des Sciences Physiques et Naturelles*, 26, 103-131.
- Grandjean, F. (1946) Au sujet de l'organe de Claparede, des eupathides multiples et des taenidies mandibulaires chez les Acariens actinochitineux. *Archives des Sciences Physiques et Naturelles*, 28, 63-87.
- Kethley, J. (1990) Acarina: Prostigmata (Actinedida). In: Dindal, D. L. (ed.) Soil Biology Guide. John Wiley & Sons, New York. pp. 667-756.
- Meyer, M.K.P. (1969) Some stigmaeid mites from South Africa (Acari: Trombidiformes). *Acarologia*, 11(2), 227-271.
- Wood, T.G. (1967) New Zealand mites of the family Stigmaeidae (Acari, Prostigmata). *Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand*, 9(9), 93-139.
- Wood, T.G. (1971) New species and records of Stigmaeidae (Acari: Prostigmata) from New Zealand. II. The genera *Apostigmaeus* Grandjean, *Summersiella* Gonzalez, *Pseudostigmaeus* Wood and *Eryngiopus* Summers. *New Zealand Journal of Science*, 14, 406-418.

Accepted: 15 May 2002