

# Safety of the Use of Face Masks in Pediatric Age

Authors: Lubrano, Riccardo, Ventriglia, Flavia, and Bloise, Silvia

Source: Environmental Health Insights, 16(1)

Published By: SAGE Publishing

URL: https://doi.org/10.1177/11786302221137487

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <a href="https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use">www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</a>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

## Safety of the Use of Face Masks in Pediatric Age

## Riccardo Lubrano, Flavia Ventriglia and Silvia Bloise

Università degli Studi di Roma La Sapienza, Rome, Italy

Environmental Health Insights Volume 16: 1–2 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/11786302221137487



To the Editor.

In a recently published article in *Environmental Health Insights* Martellucci et al,<sup>1</sup> state that the use of surgical or FFP2 masks causes an increase in inhaled air CO<sub>2</sub> approached the occupational exposure limit of 5000 ppm in a sample of healthy volunteers aged 10 to 90 years, concluding that the current guidelines on mask-wearing should be reevaluated.

This topic should be treated with caution, considering the important implications it could have on the spread of viruses and public health. We believe that this study has some limitations.

Firstly, the authors do not consider carbon dioxide in the blood, so the alleged link to hypercapnia is not proven. In support of this in the patients enrolled in the study, there were no significant increases in respiratory rate (the average respiratory rate was  $16.8 \pm 3.5$  breaths per minute) and heart rate, which are the main physiological mechanisms of compensation for hypercapnia. In addition, Martellucci et al<sup>1</sup> do not detect episodes of decreased oxygen saturation (SaO<sub>2</sub>) in the study (the average blood oxygen saturation was  $97.4\% \pm 1.0\%$ , with 98.0% of the sample at or above 96% saturation).

Second, the level of carbon dioxide considered as the limit by the authors is 5000 ppm. This is about indoor air quality, while the conditions of the environment created between the face and mask are not comparable to an enclosed environment (the mask retains  $CO_2$  for a short time).

However, as reported on the US CDC website, the maximum concentration of carbon dioxide considered toxic with an exposure of 30 minutes is about 50 000 ppm; at this level appear the first symptoms related to the increase of  $CO_2$ , while a few minutes of exposure at 70 000 and 100 000 ppm causes alterations in the level of consciousness.<sup>2</sup> In this context, the authors report  $CO_2$  levels of  $5087 \pm 1579$  ppm with surgical masks and  $9653 \pm 2874$  ppm with FFP2 masks, which are values well below the threshold for toxicity.

Recently, numerous scientific papers confirm the efficacy and safety of masks in both adult and child populations, using partial pressure of end-tidal carbon dioxide (PETCO<sub>2</sub>), heart rate, respiratory rate, and the occurrence of clinical signs of respiratory distress as assessment parameters. In particular, the evaluation of PETCO<sub>2</sub> is a very accurate method and different studies show that there is a good agreement

between this parameter and blood gases (venous pCO<sub>2</sub> and arterial pCO<sub>2</sub>).

Furthermore, PETCO<sub>2</sub> is an early warning system, a sign of alveolar hypoventilation more than oxygen saturation and its increase is an indication of possible future development of respiratory distress.

In our studies on the safety of the face mask in pediatric age, all participants were monitored every 15 minutes for changes in respiratory parameters for the first 30 minutes while not wearing a face mask, for the next 30 minutes while wearing a face mask and a session consisting of a 12-minutes walking test, along a 40-m long corridor, while wearing the mask.

Our research has shown that the use of surgical masks was not associated with significant changes in SaO<sub>2</sub> or PETCO<sub>2</sub>, both at rest and during exercise in children, while only the use of an N95 mask, particularly during physical activity, could potentially be associated with an increase in PETCO<sub>2</sub>, that remains in the normal range.<sup>4</sup> In both studies, no child showed clinical signs of respiratory distress throughout the duration of the tests. Also, Martellucci et al<sup>1</sup> report average values of PETCO<sub>2</sub> within 33 mmHg, confirming that the use of the mask does not cause a hypercapnia condition.

Therefore, we believe that the message is the increase in inhaled  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  concentration while wearing Face Masks not supported by clinical evidence could be dangerous, causing poor compliance with mask use, especially in a particular population such as pediatric.

On the contrary, it is necessary to educate children on the use of the mask to limit the spread of the virus, since the immune response decays over time<sup>5</sup> and the COVID-19 disease could have a severe course with long-term consequences also in pediatric age.

Furthermore, the use of masks in children represents a non-pharmaceutical intervention measure that reduces not only the spread of SARS-CoV-2 but could help to limit the diffusion of common pediatric diseases with significant benefits on health care spending.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization, S.B, R.L, F.V; writing—original draft preparation, S.B; writing—review and editing, R.L, F.V.; supervision, R.L, F.V; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### **REFERENCES**

- Martellucci CA, Flacco ME, Martellucci M, Violante FS, Manzoli L. Inhaled CO<sub>2</sub> concentration while wearing face masks: a pilot study using capnography. Environ Health Insights. 2022;16:11786302221123573.
- The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). Carbon dioxide. Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations (IDLH). 1994. Accessed September 15, 2022. https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/idlh/124389.html
- Lubrano R, Bloise S, Testa A, et al. Assessment of respiratory function in infants and young children wearing face masks during the COVID-19 pandemic. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4:e210414.
- Lubrano R, Bloise S, Marcellino A, et al. Effects of N95 mask use on pulmonary function in children. J Pediatr. 2021;237:143-147.
- Bloise S, Marcellino A, Testa A, et al. Serum IgG levels in children 6 months after SARS-CoV-2 infection and comparison with adults. Eur J Pediatr. 2021;180:3335-3342.

RECEIVED: September 30, 2022. ACCEPTED: October 20, 2022.

TYPE: Letter to the Editor

FUNDING: The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS:** The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Silvia Bloise, Università degli Studi di Roma La Sapienza, Via alto adige 3, Rome 00185, Italy. Email: silvia.bloise1989@gmail.com