

## Evidence for Biodiversity Action

Author: Beardsley, Timothy M.

Source: BioScience, 62(7) : 619

Published By: American Institute of Biological Sciences

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2012.62.7.1>

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](http://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](http://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

**PUBLISHER**  
Richard T. O'Grady

**EDITOR IN CHIEF**  
Timothy M. Beardsley

**MANAGING EDITOR**  
James M. Verdier

**BOOK REVIEW EDITOR**  
**PEER REVIEW / PRODUCTION COORDINATION**  
Jennifer A. Williams

**MANUSCRIPT EDITOR**  
Nathan N. True

**Editors:** Eye on Education: Beth Baker (educationoffice@aibs.org); Feature articles: Beth Baker (features@aibs.org); Washington Watch: Robert E. Gropp (publicpolicy@aibs.org).

**Editorial Board:** Gordon Brown, Richard M. Burian, Catherine E. Carr, Joseph Cloud, Scott Collins, Rita R. Colwell, Charlene D'Avanzo, Kathleen Donohue, David L. Evans, Eric A. Fischer, Kirk Fitzhugh, Nick Haddad, Geoffrey M. Henebry, David Hillis, Cynthia S. Jones, Linda A. Joyce, Edna S. Kaneshiro, David M. Leslie Jr., Harvey B. Lillywhite, Alan C. Love, Paula Mabee, Marshall A. Martin, Janice Moore, Ben Pierce, J. Michael Scott, Daniel Simberloff, Martin Tracey, Monica Turner, Randy Wayne, Judith S. Weis, David Wilcove, Jean Wyld.

**BioScience** (ISSN 0006-3568; e-ISSN 1525-3244) is published 12 times a year by the American Institute of Biological Sciences, 1900 Campus Commons Dr., Suite 200, Reston, VA 20191, in collaboration with the University of California Press. Periodicals postage paid at Berkeley, CA, and additional mailing offices. **POSTMASTER:** Send address changes to *BioScience*, University of California Press, Journals and Digital Publishing, 2000 Center Street, Suite 303, Berkeley, CA 94704-1223, or e-mail customerservice@ucpressjournals.com.

**Membership and subscription:** Individual members, go to [www.aibs.org/aibs-membership/index.html](http://www.aibs.org/aibs-membership/index.html) for benefits and services, membership rates, and back issue claims. Subscription renewal month is shown in the four-digit year-month code in the upper right corner of the mailing label.

Institutional subscribers, go to [www.ucpressjournals.com](http://www.ucpressjournals.com) or e-mail customerservice@ucpressjournals.com. Out-of-print issues and volumes are available from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635; telephone: 518-537-4700; fax: 518-537-5899; Web site: [www.periodicals.com](http://www.periodicals.com).

**Advertising:** For information about display and online advertisements and deadlines, e-mail [adsales@ucpressjournals.com](mailto:adsales@ucpressjournals.com). For information about classified placements and deadlines, contact Jennifer A. Williams, AIBS ([jwilliams@aibs.org](mailto:jwilliams@aibs.org)).

**Copying and permissions notice:** Authorization to copy article content beyond fair use (as specified in sections 107 and 108 of the US Copyright Law) for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by the Regents of the University of California on behalf of AIBS for libraries and other users, provided that they are registered with and pay the specified fee through the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com). To reach the CCC's Customer Service Department, call 978-750-8400 or e-mail [info@copyright.com](mailto:info@copyright.com). For permission to distribute electronically, republish, resell, or repurpose material, use the CCC's Rightslink service on JSTOR at <http://www.jstor.org/r/ucal/bio>. Submit all other permissions and licensing inquiries through the University of California Press's Rights and Permissions Web site, [www.ucpressjournals.com/reprintInfo.asp](http://www.ucpressjournals.com/reprintInfo.asp), or e-mail [journalspermissions@ucpress.edu](mailto:journalspermissions@ucpress.edu).

**Abstracting and indexing:** For complete abstracting and indexing information, please visit [www.ucpressjournals.com](http://www.ucpressjournals.com).

© 2012 American Institute of Biological Sciences.

All rights reserved. Printed at Allen Press, Inc.

# BioScience®

**A Forum for Integrating the Life Sciences**  
American Institute of Biological Sciences

## Evidence for Biodiversity Action

The imperative to protect species from extinction is one that to most readers of *BioScience* will hardly seem to need justification. Yet in the broader battle of ideas over how government should interact with citizens and deploy its resources, robust and up-to-date arguments about the importance of protecting the biosphere are essential. The value of individual species is not apparent to everyone.

In recent decades, the notion of ecosystem services has done much to bring home the importance of natural capital to a broader public. And despite the objections of thinkers who maintain that putting dollar values on such services misrepresents humans' relationship with nature, the potential importance of this idea for justifying conservation in policymaking seems beyond dispute.

A recent study published in *Nature* provides important quantitative support for the general importance of biodiversity in key ecosystem processes that, in turn, govern the provision of ecosystem services. David U. Hooper and his coauthors (doi:10.1038/nature11118) combined previous meta-analyses, a new assessment of 192 studies of the effects of species richness on ecosystem processes, and 16 published experimental studies in which plant species richness and an environmental variable were factorially manipulated. The specific measures that Hooper and colleagues examined were primary productivity and decomposition, which profoundly affect ecosystem function almost everywhere. They then compared the magnitude of the effects of species richness with those of other environmental influences.

The results, in a nutshell, showed that both productivity and decomposition—particularly productivity—will be strongly affected by credible levels of biodiversity loss in the coming decades. Depending on the proportion of species lost, the effects on these measures were comparable in magnitude to acidification, increased ultraviolet light, warming, excessive nutrients, and increased carbon dioxide. Moreover, Peter B. Reich and his colleagues (doi:10.1126/science.1217909) demonstrate that in two long-term studies, the effects of biodiversity on productivity became more linear—and therefore important—over time.

These studies are significant steps toward understanding the importance of biodiversity for ecosystem functioning in quantitative terms, although much remains to be done. Ecosystems vary, for one thing. Understanding thoroughly how their functions typically translate into services valuable to humans will be more work still. However, the new work solidifies the case for effective government action as a default mode to conserve species.

In principle, such action is already mandated in the United States, chiefly by the Endangered Species Act, but the complexities and weaknesses of implementation of the act are legion. Some of them are illustrated by Maile C. Neel and her colleagues in their study of delisting decisions in this issue of *BioScience* (p. 646). The same might be said of the examination of specific conservation actions by D. Noah Greenwald and his coauthors (p. 686); peer review by scientists is, it seems, often given short shrift in actual decisions. Such scrutiny of the effectiveness of government action in conserving biodiversity in particular cases is as vital as big-picture evaluations of biodiversity's relevance. Combining the two approaches will be essential to winning in the battle of ideas.

TIMOTHY M. BEARDSLEY  
Editor in Chief

doi:10.1525/bio.2012.62.7.1