

The Philosophy of Human Evolution

Author: Wills, Christopher J.

Source: BioScience, 62(9) : 843-844

Published By: American Institute of Biological Sciences

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2012.62.9.11>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

The Evolution of Philosophy

The Philosophy of Human Evolution. Michael Ruse. Cambridge University Press, 2012. 282 pp., illus. \$26.99 (ISBN 9780521133722 paper).

Michael Ruse is a philosopher of science who has spent his career exploring the implications of Darwinian evolution on the many ways in which we relate to each other and on our understanding of the natural world. In his well-written new book, *The Philosophy of Human Evolution*, he continues this exploration. The book is also a clear demonstration that the field of philosophy itself has undergone evolutionary changes.

Scientists tend to dismiss philosophy as irrelevant, and we have plenty of reasons to do so. In my own strictly amateur explorations of the history of science, I have always been bemused by how, for two millennia, the ideas of Aristotle were presented as irrefutable fact by generations of protectors of the status quo. What power his notions had! Consider how, in its infancy, science was smothered by the unquestioning acceptance of spontaneous generation, the Great Chain of Being, the structure of the universe as a series of crystal spheres, and the set of utterly anti-Newtonian laws of motion that Aristotle proposed. The result was a stifling of thought and probably a centuries-long delay in the flowering of the scientific method.

Aristotle, of course, was dust during most of this time. I expect that he would have been deeply embarrassed by how the ideas that he had proposed during the fourth century BCE were latched onto by religious and social reactionaries.


Aristotle lived in a world in which there were only the faintest glimmerings of science and the scientific method. The philosophers of today have learned their lesson. They coexist with a scientific enterprise that permeates every aspect of

their lives, just as it permeates the lives of the rest of us. If philosophers of science are going to discuss the philosophical implications of science, they must know what they are talking about.

Ruse's book follows this requirement. He begins with a discussion of the mechanisms of evolution, using some examples from human evolution (and from the evolution of other organisms as well). He then turns to the nature of scientific enquiry, in the process revisiting the old question that Karl Popper (1976) raised (and later modified) about the perils of using natural selection to explain everything. Of course, as Ruse points out, no evolutionary biologist thinks that natural selection is the entire story. But what I missed in his discussion was any survey of the powerful new evidence for a central role of natural selection in Darwinian evolution. As this evidence has accumulated, especially over the last 20 years, it has provided many examples of direct connections between changes in the DNA and changes in adaptive characters. How have these new discoveries changed the way we should look at the science of evolutionary biology? Such a forthright exploration is needed in the battles between science and the current generation of reactionaries.

The central part of the book includes a series of chapters about the ways in which Darwinian evolution has affected our ideas of progress, morality, and the nature of knowledge itself, wherein Ruse focuses on how these aspects of our culture are influenced by evolutionary discoveries. Among other topics, he examines the role of evolution in the rise of altruistic behaviors and in how those behaviors are currently maintained. He does not, however, delve deeply into the current controversy over the role of group selection in the evolution of altruism. As a stout believer in the primacy of individual selection,

I would have found it most useful to have his thoughts about whether there are any aspects of altruism that can best be explained by selection acting on groups.



Ruse uses many pages of his book in explaining how evolution may have shaped the range of sexual identities that our species exhibits. He also addresses possible adaptive roles of sexual behaviors that do not lead to reproduction. In view of the rapid evolution of our current thinking on these matters, it would again have been useful if he had explored how cultural factors in the past and in the present might have contributed to the current range of sexual behaviors. Indeed, I missed *any* in-depth discussion of how cultural factors have influenced our evolution. He dismisses Dawkins's memes (Dawkins 1976) and concentrates primarily on the possibility that "bad" cultural ideas can spread. But Ruse skips over the possibility, which dates back to Darwin's *Descent of Man*, that feedback loops involving culture, brains, bodies, and genes have played a unique role in our evolution.

The Philosophy of Human Evolution explores a range of topics that help to illuminate how we might integrate advances in evolutionary biology into our view of the world, but it does not go far enough. For example, what happens

doi:10.1525/bio.2012.62.9.11

when (as will happen soon enough) we identify alleles of the genes that influence sexual orientation, intelligence, or the tendency toward violence? How will we adjust to this knowledge? How, in view of our own ever-changing understanding of morality, *should* we react to it? A book that tackles these questions is a book that needs to be written.

The philosophy of science has indeed evolved away from Aristotle. It may be presumptuous of me to suggest it, but perhaps it has evolved to the point at which it should take up a new role. Perhaps it ought to serve as an evaluator of the impact of scientific advances on our societies and on how we view ourselves and the rest of the world. There would, of course, be disagreements among the philosophers who embark on such evidence-based evaluations. But, just as the school of existentialism transformed philosophy from a dry exercise in abstract ideas into a human-oriented endeavor, science-based philosophy may be poised to make a similar leap. *The Philosophy of Human Evolution* is, I think, a hesitant step in this direction.

References cited

- Dawkins R. 1976. *The Selfish Gene*. Oxford University Press.
 Popper KR. 1976. *Unended Quest: An Intellectual Autobiography*. Open Court.

CHRISTOPHER J. WILLS

Christopher J. Wills (cwills@ucsd.edu)
 is a professor emeritus in the Division of Biological Sciences at the University of California, San Diego.

SPECIES EXTINCTIONS IN THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY

Saving a Million Species: Extinction Risk from Climate Change. Lee Hannah, ed. Island Press, 2011. 432 pp., illus. \$35.00 (ISBN 9781597265706 paper).

Will changes in climate cause a million species to go extinct by the end of this century? No one knows

with certainty, but there is sufficient evidence to suggest that such an outcome is entirely possible. *Saving a Million Species: Extinction Risk from Climate Change* examines the strength, limitations, and complexities of the evidence for this threat. In this way, the book provides a single compendium for the many disparate considerations needed to inform an understanding of this issue.

Saving a Million Species is edited by Lee Hannah, a leading authority on extinction risk from climate change. He is a senior fellow at Conservation International and holds an adjunct faculty position at the University of California, Santa Barbara. The book's 20 chapters are written by more than 30 scientists. These individuals are based primarily in the United States and the United Kingdom, but some are from countries such as Australia, South Africa, and Ireland. Collectively, these authors provide the world-class expertise that is needed to frame different dimensions of this threat.

The book begins by revisiting the now-seminal contribution by Thomas and his colleagues (2004) that first brought widespread attention to the magnitude of risk that climate change poses for species extinction. The retrospective by Thomas is followed by a chapter that considers the impacts of this publication on public policy. I found these pages to be some of the most enjoyable chapters in the volume, since they provide the backstory for understanding how and why the work was developed, describe some of the challenges that Thomas and his colleagues faced, and explain how the work was received by both scientists and policymakers. As someone who regularly teaches the topic of extinction risk as a result of climate change, I felt satisfied to be given access to the broader context of this seminal work. Two later chapters address how the models that estimate risk can be refined, complementing the macro-view discussion presented in Thomas's chapter with details of how to improve model accuracy, as well as suggestions on how we can better conceptualize this problem.

Multiple chapters examine the evidence and controversy surrounding the contention that a handful of species have already been driven to extinction by contemporary changes in climate. I found this section to be thorough and quite useful. For example, I have been aware of the controversy over whether the golden toad (*Bufo periglenes*) was a victim of climate change, but I have not previously seen the evidence (and counterevidence) that is clearly presented and discussed here.



Four chapters delve into the evidence linking extinctions in the fossil record to changes in climate. These chapters are particularly well done and collectively provide a depth of insight into the current climate crisis. For instance, paleontological evidence suggests that our concerns over globally conserving species should not be allayed if we see increases in regional diversity following changes in climate, because these increases often foretell pending extinction events that take some time to manifest. Indeed, differences in the time it takes for immigration and extinction to manifest following changes in climate could lead to short-term increases or decreases in regional diversity, which might belie outcomes that play out over longer time periods (Jackson and Sax 2010).

Six chapters explore future risks to particular groups (insects and freshwater faunas), particular systems (tropical forests, coral reefs and other

doi:10.1525/bio.2012.62.9.12