

## **Estimating Lichenometric Ages by Direct and Indirect Measurement of Radial Growth: A Case Study of Rhizocarpon agg. at the Illecillewaet Glacier, British Columbia**

Author: McCarthy, Daniel P.

Source: Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 35(2) : 203-213

Published By: Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR),  
University of Colorado

URL: [https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430\(2003\)035\[0203:ELABDA\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2003)035[0203:ELABDA]2.0.CO;2)

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# Estimating Lichenometric Ages by Direct and Indirect Measurement of Radial Growth: A Case Study of *Rhizocarpon* agg. at the Illecillewaet Glacier, British Columbia

Daniel P. McCarthy

Department of Earth Sciences, Brock University, St. Catharines, Ontario, L2S 3A1, Canada.  
dmccarth@spartan.ac.brocku.ca

## Abstract

Data for *Rhizocarpon* agg. thalli at the Illecillewaet Glacier in British Columbia were used to see whether a single growth curve could provide accurate age estimates on either side of the Continental Divide, to determine whether modern and historical growth rates are similar, and to test a published model that estimates lichen age from short-term radial growth rates. A lichen growth curve was developed for *Rhizocarpon* agg. using thallus-size data from 14 tree-ring and historically dated substrates. Comparison of this curve with one developed on similar materials 250 km north of this location found similar growth rates for the first 150 yr but slightly faster growth over the next 150 yr at the Illecillewaet site. Radial growth was also measured annually at an average of five points at 105 *Rhizocarpon* agg. thalli to see if direct-measurement data could be used to reliably estimate lichenometric ages. Radial growth from 1996–2000 ranged between 0.262 and 0.412 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> and showed large variation within and between thalli. Mathematical analysis found that radial growth was not a positive function of the radius, and linear regression incorrectly predicted that growth rates increase with thallus size. Ages estimated by linear extrapolation of the 4-yr mean growth rate were ca. 10 yr less than those estimated by the indirectly calibrated growth curve on surfaces <200 yr old. Progressively less accurate minimum estimates were obtained using linear extrapolation of radial growth rates for old surfaces and thalli >60-mm diameter. These findings lend support to the assumption that modern growth rates of *Rhizocarpon* lichens averaged over several years can potentially provide close estimates of lichenometric age.

## Introduction

Lichenologists and users of lichenometry tend to use different approaches to estimate the radial growth rates of crustose lichens. Typically, lichenologists use calipers or photogrammetry to measure radial growth rates (e.g., Hooker and Brown, 1977; Benedict, 1990), while users of lichenometry develop lichen growth curves by assuming that thalli on surfaces of known age are representative of radial growth over the long term (e.g., McCarthy and Smith, 1995). Since these two approaches are seldom used together and do not generate growth rates that cover the same time interval, it is unclear whether modern and historical growth rates are significantly different. This uncertainty is of particular concern in situations where archival or tree-ring dating controls are unavailable and linear extrapolation of short-term growth rates is by default used to estimate lichenometric ages (e.g., in arctic tundra: Haworth et al., 1986; Miller, 1973). Because traditional lichenometric approaches depict the long-term growth trends of crustose lichens by a curved line, there is reason to question whether linear extrapolation of short-term growth rates can indeed provide close estimates of substrate age.

Presumably, if direct measurements of lichen growth were undertaken at a site where lichen growth curves have already been calibrated by indirect means, it might be possible to test whether modern and historical growth rates are similar. However, in the western Canadian cordillera, forefields of Holocene mountain glaciers where growth curves have been calibrated are now either severely disturbed by human activity (e.g., Mt. Edith Cavell: Luckman, 1977) or are too remote to be visited annually (e.g., Bennington Glacier: McCarthy,

1985). Accordingly, this paper describes work done at an accessible yet protected site where no previous lichenometric work had occurred.

This study has three components. The first is the development of a growth curve that estimates long-term growth from lichen sizes on historical and tree-ring dated surfaces formed by Holocene glaciation. The second component is an attempt to use direct measurements to determine the annual radial growth rate of lichens. The final section of the paper examines the accuracy of methods that can be used to estimate lichenometric ages from annual growth-rate data.

## Study Site

The Illecillewaet Glacier (51°14'N, 117°26'W) is located in the Columbia Mountains in Glacier National Park, approximately 75 km west of Golden, British Columbia (Fig. 1). Although the glacier terminus has long been a popular destination for hikers, the forefield has been undisturbed by human activity and has an exceptionally good photographic and documentary record dating from 1887 (e.g., Cavell, 1983; Putnam, 1982; Champoux and Ommanney, 1986). Some of the historical data can be used to establish precise deglaciation dates for the conifer, shrub, and lichen communities that have developed on the quartzite bedrock exposed in the forefield. While terminal moraines that were formed here prior to the late 1800s have either been destroyed or reworked by streams and snow avalanches, most of the 18 morainic ridge segments found on the crest and sides of the lateral moraines are well preserved and support both trees and lichens. Accordingly, fieldwork was undertaken during the summers of 1986, 1996, and 2000 to map and morphologically correlate the morainic




FIGURE 1. Map showing location of Illecillewaet Glacier study site.

ridge segments (Fig. 2A) and to collect the thallus-size and tree-age data needed to calibrate a lichen growth curve.

#### CORRELATION OF MORAINIC RIDGES

The forefield is bordered by a pair of large lateral moraines (ca. 30 m tall), and several minor ridges (0.5 to 3 m high) are superimposed on the flanks of these laterals. Each of the morainic ridges was mapped and correlated on the basis of morphology and position relative to the outermost ridge on each side of the forefield.

On the eastern side of the valley the outer ridge (Moraine 1 East) was a 2-m-high mound of moss-, shrub-, and sapling-covered boulders that was traced for about 75 m downvalley from the distal crest of the main lateral moraine (Fig. 2A). It had few mature trees, and crustose lichens were scarce in the small clearings (covering ca. 100 m<sup>2</sup>) on the ridge crest. In contrast, Moraine 1 West was much larger (ca. 5–8 m high by 1.5 km long), was completely shrub and tree covered, and had no lichens. Though Moraines 1 and 2 were easily traced for several hundred meters outside the area shown in Figure 2, those areas had been severely reworked by streams, snow avalanches, and rockslides and did not support useful lichens or trees. However, fragments of younger moraines and lines of boulders that survived stream and avalanche activity were followed across the center of the valley and showed direct correlation of Moraines 6 and 7. Though tree and shrub cover was dense on the valley floor, large sections of Moraines 2 to 8 had no trees and were ideal for lichenometry.

### Method One: Calibration of a Lichen Growth Curve by Indirect Measurement

#### TREE-RING DATING OF MORAINES

Tree-ring dating in the forefield involved collecting increment cores from the base of about 300 living trees, including all mature trees found on or between the morainic ridge segments. In each case the tree species, location, sampling height, and site conditions were noted. When coring, the first core was usually taken at about a 15-cm height on the main stem so as to estimate pith location before collecting a better-aimed sample lower on the tree. Inspection of the cores generally showed there was <1-yr difference between cores taken <10 cm apart. However, in a few cases 1 to 3 yr were added to an earliest ring date to compensate for a missed pith or for years lost owing to sam-

pling above 15 cm on the main stem. This approach recognizes that age errors owing to missed piths can be considerable, but such errors are often minor and can often be accurately estimated by studying ring curvature in several cores from young, rapidly growing, undeformed stems. Repeat sampling at various heights in several trees in this study suggest that apical growth at this site is similar to that reported by McCarthy et al. (1991) at other forefields in the region.

Tree ages on surfaces deglaciated at known times were used to estimate tree ecesis. The results (Table 1) show that the shortest ecesis was <5 yr for a spruce (*Picea engelmannii* Parry ex. Engelm.) growing on bedrock at the 1931 ice-front position, 26 yr for alpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa* [Hook.] Nutt.) on a bouldery moraine, and 32 yr for both spruce and fir on bedrock. While the data illustrate that ecesis can be quite short and is inherently difficult to accurately estimate, the mean ecesis of the five oldest trees on each control site (Table 1) suggests that  $35 \pm 5$  yr is common. Accordingly, an ecesis of 35 yr will be added to the germination ages of the oldest trees on each of the morphologically correlated ridges (Fig. 2B) to more closely estimate the timing of moraine stabilization.

There is little evidence of substrate disturbance at these sites, but two of the units (Moraine 1 East and Moraine 4 West) support living trees that are tilted or have lost branches due to snow avalanches. In addition, a small snag (sample L5-S1), measuring about 2 m tall by 6 cm diameter at its base, was found rooted on the crest of Moraine 2 West. A disc was cut from the base of the snag, and its 88 growth rings were measured and compared with a 307-yr ring-width chronology for mountain hemlock (*Tsuga mertensiana* [Bong.] Carr.) that was developed at a site <1 km from this location (McCarthy and Taylor, 2001). Cross-dating using the program COFECHA indicates that the snag germinated in 1728 and died in 1816. With an adjustment of 35 yr for ecesis, this indicates that Moraine 2 West had formed by 1693.

#### LICHEN SIZES ON THE MORAINES

The lichenometric method used to calibrate the historical growth rate (growth curve) is comparable with that of Luckman (1977). This method involved using a flexible plastic ruler to measure the maximum diameter of the largest thalli on quartzite boulders on each deposit (Fig. 2). Measurements are accurate to the nearest millimeter, and only yellow-green *Rhizocarpon* agg. thalli that had oval to circular outlines were considered. None of the lichens measured was near a stream or on a mossy or deeply shaded site. Though many thalli were measured, maximum diameters of only 5–10 of the largest thalli on each unit were recorded.

Comparison of tree ages and thallus sizes on individual ridges (Table 2) shows that most of the largest thalli were found on the shaded western side of the forefield, while many of the landform ages were developed using trees on the sunnier eastern moraine complex. This difference suggests that lichens and trees responded differently to local environmental conditions and shows that correlation of moraines would have been difficult if it were based solely on tree age or lichen size.

#### GROWTH CURVE CONSTRUCTION AND EVALUATION

Dating controls have been established for 14 locations (Table 2). With the exception of control point 4 (a single boulder measuring ~5 m<sup>3</sup>), each control surface was >50 m<sup>2</sup> and included a wide range of thallus sizes and growing environments. These details are important because the likelihood of finding a larger thallus tends to rise as search-area size and the range of microenvironments sampled increases.

Figure 3 shows a curved line that was drawn to link two historically dated surfaces (i.e., points 1 and 4) and connect points 6, 8, and 14. Point 5 is below the line, indicating that tree ecesis was <35




FIGURE 2. Sketch maps of the Illecillewaet Glacier forefield. Dated ice-front positions and historical details are based on maps and photographs by the Vaux family (Vaux and Vaux, 1907 and photo NG4-894—Archives of the Canadian Rockies, Banff). Dashed lines show ridges that are hidden from view by dense shrub cover or have been removed by erosion since the late 1880s. Morainic ridge segments have been correlated based on their size and position (A). The germination dates of the oldest trees on each ridge segment are also shown (B).

ys at that site, while points that are above the line (7, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13) show that tree establishment took from 50 to 135 yr (points 7 and 12) at those sites. Ecesis variability is not uncommon in glacier forefields (e.g., McCarthy and Luckman, 1993: Table 1) and reflects the

fact that conditions on the moraines were suitable for lichen establishment and growth but unsuitable for tree establishment and survival.

Comparison with the growth curve developed by Luckman (1977) at a site that has similar substrate lithology (Fig. 4) shows that similar

TABLE 1  
Tree ecesis data from the Illecillewaet Glacier forefield

Site	Ice-Free Date	Dating Control	Species	Minimum Ecesis Yr	N	Mean ecesis of 5 oldest
Bedrock above waterfall and cliff, NW side of forefield	5/08/1901	Photo NG4800: Tongue of Illecillewaet Glacier (No. 42), Vaux family	Spruce	32	14	34.8
			Fir	32	16	37.8
			Hemlock	40	3	—
Moraine 10–15 m below crest of east lateral	14/07/1894	Photo NG4-89: Glacier crevasses in Great [Illecillewaet] Glacier (No. 112) Vaux family	Spruce	44	20	50.4
			Fir	30	11	45
Bedrock on west side of forefield	summer 1931	Test picture from rock "W," 1931, Illecillewaet Glacier Illustration No. 7, Wheeler, 1932	Spruce	<5	1	

growth rates exist for the first century (i.e., the great growth period). However, slightly faster growth occurred over the next 200 yr at the Illecillewaet, possibly caused in part by different moisture regimes. Precipitation normals for meteorological stations nearest these sites indicate that the Illecillewaet site (Golden, B.C.) receives about 950 mm of precipitation, while Mt. Edith Cavell (Jasper, Alberta) receives about 394 mm each year ([http://www.msc-smc.ec.gc.ca/climate/climate\\_normals/index\\_e.cfm](http://www.msc-smc.ec.gc.ca/climate/climate_normals/index_e.cfm)). The precipitation differences are clearly reflected in the forest cover, with cedar and hemlock forests near the Illecillewaet Glacier and spruce and pine forests at Mt. Edith Cavell.

### Method Two: Direct Measurement of Radial Growth

A 5-m-wide by 25-m-long section of the crest of Moraine 6 West (Fig. 2A) (at 1468 m elevation, 51°15.114' N, 117°26.228' W) was selected as a direct-measurement study site. This area is free of human disturbance and has no mosses or standing water but has several of the

largest thalli on the moraine and few trees or higher plants. Yellow-green *Rhizocarpon* thalli cover about 10% of exposed surfaces on this moraine.

Direct measurement of growth was done using digital calipers. Consequently, only thalli that were located where calipers could be easily positioned were considered as candidates for measurement. Initially, 112 thalli (619 fixed measurement points) were selected so as to include approximately 10 thalli in each of 12 size classes from the 0- to 4.99-mm size class and rising in increments of 5 to the 45- to 49.99-mm size class. Each thallus had distinct margins and clear peripheral zones and was on a rock that was embedded in the moraine. No attempt was made to restrict sampling to one particular aspect or slope on the rock, but all thalli share the same general microclimate and are on the same quartzite lithology. Consequently, the measured growth rates are assumed to represent radial growth under microenvironmental conditions that are typical of exposed moraines in this and nearby valleys.

The ground-level microclimate can be characterized using data collected by Stow-Away® data loggers that were placed in a wire cage at this site in 1996–1997 (Fig. 5). The data show that the summer

TABLE 2

Summary tree-age and lichen-size data for the Illecillewaet Glacier forefield. Thalli first measured in 2000 are indicated by \*. All other thalli were measured in 1996

Morainic ridge segment	<i>Rhizocarpon</i> agg. 5 largest thalli (mm)	Mean of 5 largest	Oldest tree				Estimated years to missed pith	Sampling height (cm)	Sampling height age correction (yr)	Sample Number	
			Landform date (A.D.)	Germination date (A.D.)	Earliest ring (A.D.)	Pith					
Mor. 1	*East	67 50 48 44 43	Avalanche modified, alder and saplings	1825	1859	1861	Yes	—	40	2	00-WL-23
	West	no lichens									
Mor. 2	*East	70 55 52 49 49	1835	1881	—	Yes	—	25	—	00-EL-19	
	West	79 76 75 66 60	1693	1728	—	Yes	—	0	—	00-WL-5A	
	West					crossdated snag	—	5	—	L5 S1	
Mor. 3	*East	52 47 47 47 45	1820	1855	—	Yes	—	10	—	00-EL-18	
Upper	West	63 63 54 53 53									
Lower	West	65 62 55 52 51		1927	—	Yes	—	<10	—	L4-1	
Mor. 4	*East	54 49 46 45 45	1824	1859	1861	No	2	<15	—	9-36	
	*West	53 47 46 46 46		no trees							
Mor. 5	*East	37 36 36 35 33	1851	1886	—	Yes	—	<25	—	8-43	
	*West	58 58 56 54 50		1960	1963	No	3	<20	—	L3-1	
Mor. 6	*East	45 42 38 37 37	1838	1873	—	Yes	—	<15	—	10-40	
	*West	55 50 50 49 47		1894	—	Yes	—	0	—	WL-1A	
	West	61 60 55 52 52									
Mor. 7	*East	41 41 40 38 37		1909	—	No	1	<20	—	10-44	
	West	67 62 56 46 46	1869	1904	—	Yes	—	<20	—	00-WL-2	
Mor. 8	East	37 36 36 35 35	1866	1901	—	Yes	—	<20	—	10-48	
	West	50 49 44 38 38		1928	1928	Yes	—	<15	—	L1-7	
Mor. 9	East	dense shrub cover, not sampled	1889	1924	—	No	2	<25	—	6-1A	
	West	no lichens	not sampled								




FIGURE 3. Scatterplot showing the control points and growth curve developed in this study. Open circles show the age of each control point surface and the maximum diameter of the largest *Rhizocarpon* agg. thallus on each surface. Error bars show the adjustments made for tree ecesis. Dating controls are summarized in Table 3.

and fall microenvironment has large diurnal shifts in temperature and humidity. However, in the winter and spring a snowpack up to 3 m thick kept the lichens at or very near 0°C and 100% relative humidity. Nonetheless, a late-December thaw showed that even in midwinter there can be both snow-free opportunities for growth and the risk of premature death if thalli are sealed under refrozen meltwater (e.g., Benedict, 1990).

In July of 1996, reference points were placed along the upper and downslope edges of thalli. Dots (ca. 5-mm diameter) were first painted on the rock about 1 cm from the thallus using white, oil-based typewriter correction fluid. Each thallus and its fixed points were numbered, reference crosses were marked using waterproof India ink and a 0000 Rapidograph pen, and the markings were sealed with varnish (Fig. 6). Some of the growth-rate measurements were done to points arbitrarily selected along the thallus margin, but most were done to hyphae that protruded from the general thallus outline. These prominences and points along the thallus margin will, for convenience, be referred to as “lobes”—even though they are not “lobes” in the sense in which the term is normally applied to lichens (S. Clayden, pers. comm. 1999).

Measurements were made annually from the nearest edge of the reference crosses to the edges of dry thalli. Digital calipers, a 4× head-mounted magnifier, and a voice-activated tape recorder were used to collect the measurement data. All measurements were done by the author. Errors in measurement are expected to be close to the manufacturer’s estimates of instrumental error (0.02 mm, Starrett Manufacturing Co.). An estimated maximum error (error in reproducibility) was obtained by comparing 70 repeat measurements (not at the same time) done on 40 randomly selected thalli (Table 4). Actual errors in measurement are expected to be smaller because in practice, each measurement was cross-checked in the field to ensure that it was within acceptable tolerances ( $\pm 0.025$  mm). In a few cases measurements were difficult to reproduce because the caliper blades damaged the prothallus or could not be rested on the crosshairs (e.g., because of minor irregularities on the rock surface). In addition, some of the measurements were rejected because I had to assume an awkward position to reach the thallus or repeat measures could not be made to some damaged reference points or to fragile hyphae. Over the 4-yr period these factors reduced the total number of thalli being monitored from 112 to 105.




FIGURE 4. Comparison of the lichen growth curve developed in this study (solid line) and a growth curve developed on similar substrates at Mount Edith Cavell (Luckman, 1977). Open circles (this study) and triangles (Luckman, 1977) show the age of each control point surface and the maximum diameter of the largest *Rhizocarpon* agg. thallus on each surface. Error bars show the adjustments made for tree ecesis. Dating controls are summarized in Table 3 and in Luckman (1977).

In 1997 the lichenologist K. Glew identified all thalli to section and in some cases species level. Reagents were used sparingly near the downslope margin of the thallus. Excess chemicals were quickly blotted from the thallus, and, when necessary, apothecia were removed to allow microscopic examination of spores. Measurable regrowth is occurring in most of the excised patches (see Armstrong, and Smith, 1987, 1996), and the disturbance is expected to have low or no impact on the radial growth rates. All yellow-green-black *Rhizocarpon* agg. thalli were identified as *Rhizocarpon* section *Rhizocarpon* (most were *Rhizocarpon lecanorinum* Anders), and a thorough search of adjacent moraines failed to find thalli from the *Rhizocarpon* section *Alpicola* group. This finding is consistent with reports from Europe (e.g., Innes, 1988:75) that describe some members of the section *Alpicola* as being late-successional species.

## RESULTS

Summary data for “lobe” growth (Fig. 7, Table 5) show that mean growth rates ranged from 0.26 to 0.41 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>. However, a large range (2.07 to 3.12) and standard deviation (0.28 to 0.34) shows that there was considerable scatter around the mean growth rate, and the mode (0.08 to 0.40) reveals that many locations along the thallus margins did not grow radially every year. Scatterplots and linear regression of maximum thallus diameter and the mean annual growth rates (Fig. 8) show that thallus diameter is a poor predictor of mean annual radial growth rate and in 3 of 4 yr, regression lines with a positive slope incorrectly predict that growth rates increase with thallus diameter. Consequently, linear regression of class means should not be used to identify growth trends or estimate lichenometric ages.

## Method Three: Estimation of Long-Term Growth from Short-Term Data

It is unclear how best to use direct-measurement data as a basis for estimating lichenometric age. Some studies have assumed that a growth

TABLE 3

Historical and dendrochronologic dating controls and Rhizocarpon agg, size (control points) from the Illecillewaet Glacier forefield. Unless otherwise noted, photographs are referred to by call numbers assigned by the Archives of the Canadian Rockies, Banff, Alberta

Point no.	Lichen size		Substrate age (yr before 2000/yr A.D.)	Location	Dating Control		
	Single largest	Mean of largest					
A) Historical Control Points							
1	11	9.4	53/1949 ± 1	bedrock approx. 30 m distal to the western edge of the 1952 terminus	ground control ± 10 m for 1948–1950 ice margin at this site, based on aerial photo enlargements, photos in Webb (1948), and maps in Collier, 1958		
2	25	24.6	75/1925	bedrock in middle of forefield	assumed constant ice retreat between 1910 and 1931 positions dated by: Test picture from rock "W," 1931, Illecillewaet Gl. Illustration No. 7, Wheeler, 1932, and NG4-894, Illecillewaet Gl. (Test Picture) No. 23, Vaux Family, and by Spruce 25-1, pith dated to 1936		
3	32	30.4	99/1901	bedrock on west side of forefield in area exposed between photos	5/08/1901, NG4-800: Tongue of Illecillewaet Gl (No. 42), Vaux family, and NA80-1059 Test picture of the Illecillewaet Gl. in 1902, Vaux family (No. 81)		
4	33	31.6	101/1888	big boulder next to "Rock E"	Vaux and Vaux, 1899; Plate V, Illecillewaet Glacier from test rock 1898, and map in Vaux and Vaux, 1907		
B) Dendrochronologic Control Points							
5	19	17.2	60/1940	bedrock at "R.P. 2" seen in Webb (1948) photo 48-BC-293	Earliest growth ring yr A.D. 1975		
6	22	20.8	50/1950	bedrock on western edge of terminus approx. 100 m downvalley from "R.P. 2" Webb (1948)	Germination date yr A.D. 1950		
7	50	43.8	144/1866	Moraine 8	1901	1866	
8	54	47.8	176/1824	Moraine 4	1861	1859	1824
9	58	55.2	149/1851	Moraine 5	1873	1873	1838
10	61	57	162/1838	Moraine 6	1886	1886	1851
11	65	57	180/1820	Moraine 3	1855	1855	1820
12	67	50.4	175/1825	Moraine 1	1861	1859	1825
13	67	55.4	131/1869	Moraine 7	1904	1904	1869
14	79	74	272/1728	Moraine 2	sample L5 S1 cross-dated with ring-width chronology		1693




FIGURE 5. Graph of air temperature (A) and relative humidity (B) collected in 1996. The data summarize hourly readings by sensors that were placed on a rock in the middle of the study site. The humidity record is incomplete owing to sensor failure linked to the melting of a 2–3-m-deep snowpack.

curve will have a linear form (e.g., Miller, 1973; Haworth et al., 1986), while others have attempted to develop and apply models that are consistent with the known growth characteristics of lichens (e.g., Aplin and Hill, 1979; Proctor, 1983). These two approaches will be attempted in the following sections.

#### LINEAR EXTRAPOLATION OF SHORT-TERM GROWTH RATES

The dotted lines seen in Figure 9 highlight age predictions derived by using the growth curve (Fig. 9A) and ages estimated by linear extrapolation of the annual means and the 4-yr mean growth rate (Fig. 9B). Comparison of the two graphs (Fig. 9A & B) shows that for the first 200 yr, both the mean growth measured in 1997–1998 and the 4-yr mean growth rate predict substrate ages that were within ca. 10 yr of those estimated by the indirectly calibrated growth curve (Fig. 9A). Larger underestimates of age were generated for thallus diameters >60 mm and surfaces >200 yr old, suggesting that the directly measured

growth rates poorly represent growth in thalli that were larger than those being monitored. The findings demonstrate that linear extrapolation of longer-term mean growth rates has the potential to provide conservative estimates of substrate age. Nonetheless, final judgment on the suitability of using linear extrapolation to estimate substrate age must await the findings of more uniformly controlled studies.

#### APLIN AND HILL ANALYSIS

The lichen growth model developed by Aplin and Hill (1979) is based on biological principles and has provided a good fit when used with growth data for several lichen species (e.g., Proctor, 1983). However, Armstrong (1983) used the Aplin and Hill model with growth data from 39 *Rhizocarpon* thalli and found that the model may be appropriate only for a small portion of the *Rhizocarpon* growth cycle (e.g., between ca. 7 and 16 mm diameter). In contrast, Proctor (1983) had some success in using the Aplin and Hill approach to




FIGURE 6. Photograph of a *Rhizocarpon lecanorinum* thallus with fixed points. This photo shows the thallus in July 2000. A white line has been drawn on the image to mark the approximate edge of the thallus in July 1996. Since radial growth tends to be uneven, measurements were often made to five or more fixed points at each thallus. The circles and arrows drawn on this image highlight two of the small bulges (“lobes”) where growth was measured on this thallus. The scale bar measures 10 mm.






FIGURE 7. Scatterplots (A, B, C, D) summarize the annual radial growth rate measured in 105 thalli. Each dot represents the mean growth rate in a 5-mm size class. The dotted line in each graph represents the mean growth rate measured in that year. The histogram (E) shows the number of thalli measured in each 5-mm size class.

construct *Rhizocarpon* growth curves for lichenometric dating. These curves provided an estimate of growth rates starting at a fixed time (i.e., radial growth after an estimated ecesis), and Proctor (1983: 258) reported that they gave “an acceptable account of the field observations on thalli of *R. geographicum* in the Valsorey up to ages of 100 years or so.”

The Aplin and Hill (1979) approach first involves calculation of

the radial growth rate ( $\Delta r$ ) and the increase in the natural logarithm of the radius ( $\Delta \ln r$ ). In this study the thallus radius ( $r$ ) will be the mean of the longest and shortest axis measured at time zero ( $r_0$ ), and  $\Delta r$  is represented by the mean growth of all measured “lobes” of that thallus. Individual years will be treated as separate data sets, and the value used for radius at the end of year one ( $r_1$ ) will be adjusted for growth that occurred in the previous year [i.e.,  $r_1 = r_0 + 0.5 (\Delta r_0)$ ]. The second

TABLE 4

Estimated maximum error (error in reproducibility). Measurements were taken on different days from 70 randomly chosen points to estimate the reproducibility of the data. The differences between the two measurements are reported here as the error in reproducibility

Mean	0.045
Standard Error	0.003
Median	0.047
Mode	0.055
Standard Deviation	0.027
Sample Variance	0.001
Kurtosis	0.706
Skewness	0.168
Range	0.106
Minimum	0
Maximum	0.106
Count	70

step in the Aplin and Hill approach involves the production of a graph that plots radial growth rate ( $\Delta r$ ) against change in the natural logarithm of the radial change

$$\text{Ln}\left(\frac{r1}{r0}\right)$$

that occurred during the measurement period ( $\Delta t$ ). The graph should reveal a linear relationship (Hill, 1981: 276), and a line fitted to these data can then be used to obtain the starting constants  $\alpha$

(dimensions :  $\text{time}^{-1}$ ) and  $s$  (distance) that are needed to develop the growth curve.

Unfortunately, analysis using the Illecillewaet data found that the radial growth rates are positively correlated with the natural logarithm of the change in radius. This finding indicates that radial growth is not a positive function of the radius and that the Aplin and Hill approach is inappropriate for these data. The same finding was reported by Armstrong (1983:620).

### Discussion and Conclusion

Data presented in this paper confirm the lichen growth trends indicated by the first century of growth at Mt. Edith Cavell (Luckman, 1977) and suggest that after ca. 150 yr slightly faster growth occurs at the wetter Illecillewaet study site. The direct-measurement data show that considerable variability in radial growth occurs both within and between thalli and that linear regression or linear extrapolation of mean growth rates can lead to less accurate estimates than can be developed using traditional approaches to lichen growth-curve calibration. Linear extrapolation of the 4-yr mean provided close minimum estimates of substrate age for the first two centuries of growth. This demonstrates that linear extrapolation has considerable potential as a lichenometric technique. My finding that the Aplin and Hill (1979) model was inappropriate for these data once again calls attention to the need for models that can be used to accurately calibrate lichen growth and estimate lichenometric ages. The fact that such a fundamental need remains unfilled nearly 50 yr after

TABLE 5

Summary statistics for the annual radial growth rate measurements.

	1996-1997	1997-1998	1998-1999	1999-2000	1996-2000
Mean	0.412	0.310	0.282	0.262	0.316
Median	0.377	0.267	0.273	0.233	0.289
Mode	0.234	0.079	0.094	0.145	0.404
Standard Deviation	0.342	0.278	0.255	0.290	0.298
Range	3.118	2.233	2.070	2.777	3.118
Minimum	-1.504	-0.866	-0.816	-1.173	-1.504
Maximum	1.614	1.367	1.254	1.604	1.614
Number of measurements	523	521	516	523	2083




FIGURE 8. Scatterplot showing linear regression of thallus size against mean radial growth rates for each thallus size class in all years. Weak correlation coefficients and linear regression lines with a positive slope (years 1996-1997, 1997-1998, and 1998-1999) hint that radial growth may increase slightly as thallus size increases.




FIGURE 9. Scatterplots comparing ages estimated by the Illecillewaet growth curve (A) and the means of directly measured radial growth rates (B). Dotted lines represent thallus size–substrate age relationships of 20-, 40-, 60-, and 70-mm thalli. Comparison of the two approaches shows that in the first two centuries of growth (up to ca. 60-mm thallus diameter), ages estimated using the 4-yr mean growth rate (1996–2000) are 10 yr younger than those estimated by the indirectly calibrated growth curve (graph A). Larger underestimates of age are predicted for surfaces >200 yr old and thalli >60 mm diameter.

lichenometry was pioneered by Beschel (e.g., Beschel, 1957), suggests that the topic either is exceptionally challenging or has yet to attract sufficient interest.

Good agreement between the new and existing growth curves for *Rhizocarpon* agg. suggest that there is no need to use complex and tedious search and measurement strategies (e.g., use calipers, quadrats, and statistical models) in order to develop accurate lichenometric ages in this region. Nonetheless, users of lichenometry on acid rocks in the Canadian cordillera should either develop their own curve or use the *Rhizocarpon* agg. growth curve that most closely matches the moisture regime at their study site. In the absence of meteorological data, forest cover type or position east or west of the Continental Divide can be used to assist in selecting the most appropriate growth curve. In addition, surveys of lichen thalli at this study site indicated that thalli in the *Rhizocarpon* section *Alpicola* were either absent from or very difficult to find in the Illecillewaet forefield. Our inability to find *Rhizocarpon* section *Alpicola* thalli in this forefield lends support to anecdotal reports that species in this group tend to arrive late in the lichen successional sequence (e.g., Bickerton and Matthews, 1992; Innes, 1982, 1983) and suggests that concern about age errors owing to the possible inclusion of *Rhizocarpon* section *Alpicola* thalli in existing growth curves (e.g., Luckman and Osborn, 1979) may be unfounded.

This is the first time that lichenometry has been used in the Columbia Mountains. Its successful use shows that lichenometry has considerable utility even at subalpine sites. While greater opportunities for lichenometric dating occur above timberline in this area, this work has shown that lags in tree ecesis can make it difficult to use tree-ring dating to calibrate lichen growth curves. Despite this, the study showed that even in the rainforests of British Columbia, small snags can remain intact and useful as dating controls for at least two centuries. Thus, there is hope that dendrochronology can be used to establish even older control points for lichen growth curves and develop closer ages for early Little Ice Age deposits in this region.

## Acknowledgments

I wish to thank the many people and agencies who supported this work. Funding was provided by grants from the Royal Canadian Geographical Society (1986), Brock University (1996), and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (1997–2000). The staff of Glacier National Park allowed me to work at this site, trusted me not to cut down trees or paint too many rocks, and gave me a dry place to stay. Dave Seaborn cored trees and helped to map the moraines in the wet summer of 1986. Eva Sadowski, Craig Barr, and Andy Brown served as field assistants in 1998. Mike Lozon of Brock University produced the figures, and John R. Taylor developed a tree-ring chronology, cross-dated the critical snag, and generated graphs. Dr. Katherine Glew identified the lichens in the field and gave me a “crash” course on *Rhizocarpon*s. Parker Calkin and an anonymous reviewer provided helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper.

## References Cited

- Aplin, P. S., and Hill, D. J., 1979: Growth analysis of circular lichen thalli. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 78: 347–363.
- Armstrong, R. A., 1983: Growth curve of the lichen *Rhizocarpon geographicum*. *New Phytologist*, 94: 619–622.
- Armstrong, R. A., and Smith, S. N., 1987: Development and growth of the lichen *Rhizocarpon geographicum*. *Symbiosis*, 3: 287–300.
- Armstrong, R. A., and Smith, S. N., 1996: Experimental studies of hypothallus growth in the lichen *Rhizocarpon geographicum*. *New Phytologist*, 132: 123–126.
- Benedict, J. B., 1990: Experiments on lichen growth. 1. Seasonal patterns and environmental controls. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 22: 244–254.
- Beschel, R., 1957: A project to use lichens as indicators of climate and time. *Arctic*, 10: 60.
- Bickerton, R.W., and Matthews, J. A., 1992: On the accuracy of lichenometric dates: an assessment based on the ‘Little Ice Age’

- moraine sequence of Nigardsbreen, southern Norway. *Holocene*, 2: 227–237.
- Cavell, E., 1983: *Legacy in Ice: The Vaux Family and the Canadian Alps*. Banff: Altitude Publishing. 98 pp.
- Champoux, A., and Ommanney, C. S. L., 1986: Evolution of the Illecillewaet Glacier, Glacier National Park, B.C., using historical data, aerial photography and satellite image analysis. *Annals of Glaciology*, 8: 31–33.
- Collier, E. P., 1958: Glacier variation and trends in run-off in the Canadian Cordillera. *International Association of Scientific Hydrology, Publication No. 46*, General Assembly of Toronto, International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics, 344–357.
- Haworth, L. A., Calkin, P. E., and Ellis, J. M., 1986: Direct measurement of lichen growth in the central Brooks Range, Alaska, U. S. A., and its application to lichenometric dating. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 18: 289–296.
- Hill, D. J., 1981: The growth of lichens with special reference to the modelling of circular thalli. *Lichenologist*, 13: 265–287.
- Hooker, T. N., and Brown, D. H., 1977: A photographic method for accurately measuring the growth of crustose and foliose saxicolous lichens. *Lichenologist*, 9: 65–75.
- Innes, J. L., 1982: Lichenometric use of an aggregated *Rhizocarpon* “species.” *Boreas*, 11: 53–57.
- Innes, J. L., 1983: Use of an aggregated *Rhizocarpon* “species” in lichenometry: an evaluation. *Boreas*, 12: 183–190.
- Innes, J. L., 1988: The use of lichens in dating. In Galun, M. (ed.), *Handbook of Lichenology*. Boca Raton: C. R. C. Press, Inc., 75–92.
- Luckman, B. H., 1977: Lichenometric dating of Holocene moraines at Mount Edith Cavell Jasper, Alberta. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 14: 1809–1822.
- Luckman, B. H., and Osborn, G. D., 1979: Holocene glacier fluctuations in the Middle Canadian Rocky Mountains. *Quaternary Research*, 11: 52–57.
- McCarthy, D. P., 1985. Dating Holocene geomorphic activity of selected landforms in the Geikie Creek Valley, Mount Robson Provincial Park, British Columbia. M. Sc. Thesis, Department of Geography, University of Western Ontario. 304 pp.
- McCarthy, D. P., and Luckman, B. H., 1993: Estimating ecesis for tree-ring dating of moraines: a comparative study from the Canadian cordillera. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 25: 63–68.
- McCarthy, D. P., and Smith, D. J., 1995: Growth curves for calcium-tolerant lichens in the Canadian Rocky Mountains. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 27: 290–297.
- McCarthy, D. P., and Taylor, J. R., 2001: The development of lichen growth curves, tree-ring chronologies and a field guide to the Great Glacier Trail in Glacier National Park. Report to Glacier National Park, July 2001. 75pp.
- McCarthy, D. P., Luckman, B. H., and Kelly, P. E., 1991: Sampling height-age correction for spruce seedlings in glacial forefields, southern Canadian cordillera. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 23: 451–455.
- Miller, G. 1973: Variations in lichen growth from direct measurements: preliminary curves for *Alectoria miniscula* from Eastern Baffin Island, N.W.T., Canada. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 5: 333–340.
- Proctor, M. C. F., 1983: Sizes and growth-rates of thalli of the lichen *Rhizocarpon geographicum* on the moraines of the Glacier de Valsorey, Valais, Switzerland. *Lichenologist*, 15: 249–261.
- Putnam, W. L., 1982: *The Great Glacier and Its House*. New York: American Alpine Club. 223 pp.
- Vaux, G., and Vaux, W. S., 1899: Additional observations on glaciers in British Columbia. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, December*, 501–511.
- Vaux, G., Jr., and Vaux, W. S., 1907: Glacier observations. *Canadian Alpine Journal*, 1: 138–148.
- Webb, C. E., 1948: Investigations of glaciers in British Columbia. *Canadian Alpine Journal*, 31: 207–217.
- Wheeler, A. O., 1932: Retreat of ice in the Canadian cordillera, the 1931 expedition. *Canadian Alpine Journal*, 20: 120–137.

MS submitted July 2001  
Revised ms submitted June 2002