

Development and Characterization of 15 Microsatellite Markers for Cephalotaxus fortunei (Cephalotaxaceae)

Authors: Wang, Chunbo, Guo, Zhiyou, Huang, Xilian, and Huang, Lu

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 4(5)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1500129

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



PRIMER NOTE

Development and characterization of 15 microsatellite markers for *Cephalotaxus fortunei* (Cephalotaxaceae)¹

Chunbo Wang^{2,4}, Zhiyou Guo², Xilian Huang², and Lu Huang³

²Department of Life Sciences, Qiannan Normal College for Nationalities, Duyun 558000, People's Republic of China; and ³State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol, School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China

- *Premise of the study:* To survey population variation and the adaptive evolution of *Cephalotaxus fortunei* (Cephalotaxaceae), an endemic and endangered conifer in China, microsatellite markers were developed and characterized for this species.
- *Methods and Results:* Based on the Fast Isolation by AFLP of Sequences COntaining repeats (FIASCO) protocol, 15 microsatellite markers were developed for *C. fortunei*, 13 of which were polymorphic within a sample of 75 individuals representing five natural populations. The number of alleles per locus ranged from one to seven. The expected and observed heterozygosities were 0.108–0.738 and 0.000–1.000, respectively. Ten polymorphic loci were also successfully amplified in *C. oliveri*.
- *Conclusions:* These polymorphic loci provide a valuable tool for population genetic analysis of *C. fortunei*, which will contribute to its management and conservation.

Key words: Cephalotaxaceae; Cephalotaxus fortunei; cross-amplification; FIASCO; genetic analysis; microsatellite primers.

Cephalotaxus fortunei Hook. is a perennial, coniferous shrub or small tree belonging to the family Cephalotaxaceae. Endemic to China, *C. fortunei* is mainly distributed from the subtropical regions up to the northernmost Qinling Mountains and Huai River in central China, occurring in locations with an elevation between 200 and 3700 m (Zhou et al., 1997). Because it contains the anticancer alkaloid harringtonine, *C. fortunei* is important for medicinal use in treating leucocythemia (Shi et al., 2010). Its natural populations in China face threats of deforestation, other human-induced disturbances, and overexploitation. At present, *C. fortunei* is listed as a Category V threatened plant by the international Conifer Specialist Group (He et al., 1996). Thus, a deeper understanding of genetic variation and population structure of this species using polymorphic DNA markers will provide valuable information for developing conservation strategies.

In this study, we developed 15 microsatellite loci for *C. fortunei* using the Fast Isolation by AFLP of Sequences COntaining repeats (FIASCO) approach (Zane et al., 2002), and we also examined their ability to be cross-amplified in *C. oliveri* Mast.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Seventy-five individuals of *C. fortunei* from five populations were collected in its natural distribution area from 2014 to 2015, and voucher specimens were

¹Manuscript received 13 November 2015; revision accepted 30 January 2016.

This work was supported by the Science and Technology Foundation of Guizhou Province of China (20152137) and the Natural Science Foundation of Guizhou Province of China (2015380).

⁴Author for correspondence: wchunb@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

doi:10.3732/apps.1500129

deposited at the herbarium of Qiannan Normal College for Nationalities (Appendix 1). Young and healthy leaves were preserved in silica gel. All samples were stored at -20° C until processed. Total genomic DNA was extracted using a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol with -20° C propanone pretreatment to eliminate polysaccharides (Su et al., 1998).

The FIASCO method was used to develop microsatellite loci using one individual of C. fortunei from the Guizhou population (voucher: CB Wang 201406, JP3 [QNCN]). Approximately 3 µg of DNA was digested with MseI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Massachusetts, USA). The DNA digestion fragments were linked to an MseI adapter pair (F: 5'-TACTCAGGACT-CAT-3', R: 5'-GACGATGAGTCCTGAG-3') with T4 ligase at 4°C overnight (Pan et al., 2011). A diluted digestion-ligation mixture (1:10) was directly amplified using the following program: 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 20 min, and 72°C for 1.5 min for 23 cycles with MseI-N primers (5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAN-3'). Then, we used 5'-biotinylated (AC)₁₅ and streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) to hybridize and capture the PCR product (Miao et al., 2012). Enriched fragments were recovered with PCR amplification as described above, using MseI-N as the primers. Purifying with a multifunctional DNA Extraction Kit (OMEGA Bio-Tek, Norcross, Georgia, USA), the PCR products were then ligated into pTA2 vector (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) and transformed into E. coli DH5 a competent cells. A total of 80 clones were selected by blue-white screening and tested by PCR using M13+/M13- as primers. Seventy-six positive clones were chosen to be sequenced on an ABI Prism 3730 automated DNA sequencer (Invitrogen, Guangzhou, China). Out of the 76 clones, 27 clones contained simple sequence repeats, of which 12 were discarded because they were unsuitable for designing primers. Primers for the remaining 15 sequences were designed using Primer Premier 5.0 (Clark and Gorley, 2001).

We used 75 individuals from five populations to test the polymorphism of the newly developed primer pairs (Table 1). The PCR amplifications were performed in a 20- μ L reaction containing 1 μ L of genomic DNA, 2 μ L of PCR buffer, 0.5 μ L 10 mM each primer, 1 μ L 10 mM dNTP mixture, and 1 unit *Taq* DNA polymerase (TaKaRa Biotechnology Co., Dalian, China). PCR profiles were as follows: an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min; followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 45 s, annealing temperature for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 1 min; and final extension at 72°C for 10 min (Table 1). PCR products were electrophoresed on 6% polyacrylamide denaturing gels by silver staining using a 50-bp ladder. Thirteen of the 15 loci were found to be polymorphic. The sizes of all amplification products matched the expected lengths.

Applications in Plant Sciences 2016 4(5): 1500129; http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps © 2016 Wang et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA).

| Table 1. | Characteristics | of 15 micro | satellite loci for | r Cephalotaxus f | ortunei. |
|----------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|----------|
|----------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|----------|

| Locus | | Primer sequences $(5'-3')$ | Repeat motif | Allele size (bp) | $T_{\rm a}(^{\circ}{ m C})$ | GenBank accession no. |
|-------|----|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| CF1 | F: | GCCCTAAACGCTTCTCAA | (AC) ₁₇ | 129 | 54 | KT832555 |
| | R: | CGGTACGGGATAGCAAGA | | | | |
| CF2 | F: | ACGATTCCCGAGATTCAT | (TG) ₁₂ | 139 | 57 | KT832556 |
| | R: | ACGGTCAGAGTTGTAGCG | | | | |
| CF3 | F: | CGGGTATTCCAGGGCTAA | $(AC)_{13}TGC(TC)_{18}$ | 207 | 54 | KT832557 |
| | R: | TCCGCGTTACGTCAGGTT | | | | |
| CF4 | F: | CCGCGTGGGACATTCTAG | (GT) ₁₅ | 122 | 53.5 | KT832558 |
| | R: | CCATGGACTTGGGCAACA | | | | |
| CF5 | F: | GTAGAAAACTTCACAGGGAC | $(CA)_{19}$ | 114 | 56 | KT832559 |
| | R: | ACACGCGATGTGCTAAAC | | | | |
| CF6 | F: | CTCAGGCACTGGGCAATC | (TG) ₂₆ | 204 | 54.5 | KT832560 |
| | R: | CGCTGTAGGCGTCGATTT | | | | |
| CF7 | F: | ATTCCCGAACTTCCCAGG | $(AC)_{25}$ | 122 | 57 | KT832561 |
| | R: | CTCACAGTAAACGGCGTC | | | | |
| CF8 | F: | GGCAATCCCTTGGGTTAG | $(AC)_{29}$ | 105 | 53 | KT832562 |
| | R: | CTAAAGCCTCTGGGACGC | | | | |
| CF9 | F: | CTAAGCACGACTGGACAAAG | $(CA)_{11}$ | 102 | 55 | KT832563 |
| | R: | GGCGCTGAATCCGACACT | | | | |
| CF10 | F: | AGCGCCCATTTGAAAGTA | $(TC)_9AA(CA)_{12}$ | 258 | 58 | KT832564 |
| | R: | TGCCGATTAGTGGAAGTGTA | | | | |
| CF11 | F: | CGTAGGCAACCCGCTTTC | (TG) ₂₃ | 124 | 55 | KT832565 |
| | R: | GGCGATCCGATTGACACC | | | | |
| CF12 | F: | CCCGTAAGTGACTGTCCG | $(AC)_{21}$ | 106 | 56 | KT832566 |
| | R: | TTAGCCGTTGAAATGTGC | | | | |
| CF13 | F: | ATCCGATTTCGCCGTGTT | (GT) ₁₇ | 125 | 57 | KT832567 |
| | R: | CTTGACGGTGCCATTGTG | | | | |
| CF14* | F: | CTTACCCAGGCAAATGTG | $(GT)_8CTA(CA)_7$ | 103 | 54 | KT832568 |
| | R: | GTATCGGCCCTTTGGTAG | | | | |
| CF15* | F: | TACCTCGGGAGACATCAT | (TG) ₁₆ | 141 | 56 | KT832569 |
| | R: | CTCGTTAGTAGCCCGTTGG | | | | |

Note: T_a = annealing temperature.

* Monomorphic loci.

The effective number of alleles (A_e), observed heterozygosity (H_o), expected heterozygosity (H_e), and departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were estimated by GenAlEx version 6.4 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). Linkage disequilibrium (LD) across all populations was tested using GENEPOP version 4.0.10 (Rousset, 2008). The occurrence of null alleles was investigated using MICRO-CHECKER version 2.2.3 (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004). The number of alleles per locus varied from one to seven, with a total of 247 alleles scored across the 75 individuals. H_e and H_o ranged from 0.108 to 0.738 and from 0.000 to 1.000, respectively. All loci were found to be in HWE. No null alleles were detected, and no significant LD (P > 0.05) was detected (Table 2). Furthermore,

all 15 loci were successfully amplified in 75 individuals of *C. oliveri* from five populations (Appendix 1). Of these, 10 loci (CF1–CF10) were polymorphic (Table 3).

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we developed 15 microsatellite loci for *C. fortunei*, 13 of which were polymorphic. The genetic information based

TABLE 2. Genetic diversity of 13 polymorphic microsatellite loci in Cephalotaxus fortunei populations.^a

| | Enshi population $(N = 15)$ | | | | Suining (/ | g populatio √ = 15) | on | | Jinping (N | Jinping populationJinggangshan population $(N = 15)$ $(N = 15)$ | | | lation | Shiping population $(N = 15)$ | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|---|-------------|----------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|---|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Locus | A | A _e | $H_{\rm o}$ | H _e | A | A _e | $H_{\rm o}$ | H _e | A | A _e | $H_{\rm o}$ | H _e | A | A _e | $H_{\rm o}$ | H _e | A | A _e | $H_{\rm o}$ | $H_{\rm e}$ |
| CF1 | 4 | 3.437 | 0.323 | 0.235 | 5 | 4.274 | 0.6020 | 0.474 | 4 | 3.7540 | 0.383 | 0.277 | 2 | 1.372 | 0.247 | 0.209 | 2 | 1.842 | 0.488 | 0.421 |
| CF2 | 5 | 3.573 | 0.466 | 0.401 | 4 | 3.527 | 0.386 | 0.305 | 2 | 1.463 | 0.707 | 0.635 | 3 | 2.889 | 0.600 | 0.478 | 6 | 4.791 | 0.873 | 0.598 |
| CF3 | 2 | 1.331 | 0.197 | 0.114 | 2 | 1.510 | 0.208 | 0.173 | 3 | 2.582 | 0.538 | 0.281 | 5 | 3.996 | 0.584 | 0.501 | 4 | 3.588 | 0.731 | 0.627 |
| CF4 | 7 | 5.716 | 1.000 | 0.738 | 6 | 5.0282 | 0.877 | 0.539 | 3 | 2.375 | 0.436 | 0.374 | 3 | 2.037 | 0.373 | 0.286 | 3 | 2.736 | 0.217 | 0.190 |
| CF5 | 3 | 2.554 | 0.252 | 0.243 | 2 | 1.742 | 0.273 | 0.209 | 3 | 2.486 | 0.211 | 0.207 | 2 | 1.814 | 0.137 | 0.126 | 3 | 1.477 | 0.562 | 0.392 |
| CF6 | 3 | 2.764 | 0.485 | 0.386 | 5 | 4.337 | 0.409 | 0.317 | 3 | 2.371 | 0.319 | 0.188 | 2 | 1.753 | 0.281 | 0.194 | 4 | 3.522 | 0.613 | 0.485 |
| CF7 | 2 | 1.724 | 0.536 | 0.524 | 3 | 2.646 | 0.434 | 0.282 | 5 | 4.877 | 0.485 | 0.429 | 3 | 1.344 | 0.813 | 0.528 | 5 | 3.985 | 0.741 | 0.677 |
| CF8 | 4 | 3.015 | 0.632 | 0.206 | 3 | 2.127 | 0.211 | 0.193 | 6 | 4.835 | 0.947 | 0.487 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.108 | 2 | 1.371 | 0.318 | 0.251 |
| CF9 | 3 | 2.544 | 0.530 | 0.381 | 4 | 3.486 | 0.785 | 0.274 | 4 | 2.742 | 0.374 | 0.218 | 3 | 2.378 | 0.713 | 0.454 | 2 | 1.319 | 0.301 | 0.217 |
| CF10 | 3 | 2.322 | 0.274 | 0.197 | 3 | 2.712 | 0.277 | 0.176 | 3 | 2.615 | 0.598 | 0.472 | 3 | 2.086 | 0.251 | 0.136 | 2 | 1.436 | 0.462 | 0.366 |
| CF11 | 4 | 3.706 | 0.522 | 0.209 | 3 | 2.854 | 0.306 | 0.298 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.108 | 4 | 2.792 | 0.815 | 0.630 | 4 | 3.091 | 0.750 | 0.519 |
| CF12 | 4 | 3.418 | 0.387 | 0.328 | 3 | 2.371 | 0.299 | 0.187 | 2 | 1.784 | 0.193 | 0.180 | 3 | 2.514 | 0.277 | 0.217 | 4 | 3.802 | 0.800 | 0.718 |
| CF13 | 4 | 3.273 | 0.623 | 0.544 | 3 | 2.668 | 0.275 | 0.204 | 5 | 4.613 | 0.828 | 0.382 | 5 | 4.281 | 0.626 | 0.464 | 4 | 3.517 | 0.732 | 0.635 |

Note: $A = \text{actual number of alleles}; A_e = \text{effective number of alleles}; H_e = \text{expected heterozygosity}; H_o = \text{observed heterozygosity}; N = \text{sample size for each population}.$

^aLocality and voucher information is available in Appendix 1.

| TABLE 3. | Genetic diversit | y in five Ce | ephalotaxus oli | veri po | pulations using | 10 pc | lymorph | ic microsatellite | e loci original | y develo | ped in C. | fortunei. |
|----------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-------|---------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | 1 | | | | ~ | | 0 | 2 | | ./ |

| | Changyang population $(N = 15)$ | | | ation | Hupingshan population $(N = 15)$ | | | Fanjingshan population $(N = 15)$ | | | Anfu population $(N = 15)$ | | | | Daweishan population $(N = 15)$ | | | | | |
|-------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------------------|-------------|---|------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---|----------------|-------------|------------------|
| Locus | A | $A_{\rm e}$ | $H_{\rm o}$ | H _e | A | A _e | $H_{\rm o}$ | $H_{\rm e}$ | A | A _e | $H_{\rm o}$ | $H_{\rm e}$ | A | A_{e} | $H_{\rm o}$ | $H_{\rm e}$ | A | A _e | $H_{\rm o}$ | H_{e} |
| CF1 | 3 | 2.766 | 0.439 | 0.382 | 5 | 3.638 | 0.2040 | 0.126 | 3 | 2.5270 | 0.205 | 0.193 | 2 | 1.771 | 0.385 | 0.218 | 2 | 1.426 | 0.536 | 0.412 |
| CF2 | 5 | 3.432 | 0.628 | 0.571 | 3 | 2.757 | 0.527 | 0.483 | 2 | 1.343 | 0.284 | 0.205 | 6 | 4.648 | 1.000 | 0.831 | 3 | 2.825 | 0.429 | 0.375 |
| CF3 | 4 | 2.488 | 0.482 | 0.276 | 2 | 1.436 | 0.266 | 0.214 | 5 | 3.719 | 0.799 | 0.510 | 3 | 2.731 | 0.426 | 0.304 | 4 | 3.463 | 0.671 | 0.482 |
| CF4 | 5 | 3.653 | 0.927 | 0.803 | 4 | 2.7882 | 0.726 | 0.548 | 3 | 2.380 | 0.372 | 0.274 | 5 | 3.547 | 0.418 | 0.373 | 3 | 2.319 | 0.317 | 0.202 |
| CF5 | 3 | 2.072 | 0.372 | 0.210 | 3 | 2.382 | 0.353 | 0.213 | 2 | 1.826 | 0.179 | 0.137 | 2 | 1.380 | 0.173 | 0.137 | 4 | 2.331 | 0.828 | 0.746 |
| CF6 | 3 | 1.364 | 0.518 | 0.454 | 4 | 3.738 | 0.536 | 0.437 | 3 | 2.737 | 0.257 | 0.208 | 4 | 3.283 | 0.218 | 0.148 | 3 | 2.2523 | 0.602 | 0.560 |
| CF7 | 4 | 3.632 | 0.7398 | 0.571 | 3 | 2.442 | 0.267 | 0.189 | 5 | 4.566 | 0.703 | 0.542 | 2 | 1.739 | 0.852 | 0.727 | 4 | 3.092 | 0.718 | 0.592 |
| CF8 | 4 | 3.281 | 0.835 | 0.706 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.116 | 5 | 4.201 | 0.808 | 0.746 | 2 | 1.514 | 0.177 | 0.119 | 4 | 3.304 | 0.892 | 0.539 |
| CF9 | 3 | 2.737 | 0.243 | 0.217 | 5 | 4.237 | 0.845 | 0.677 | 4 | 3.782 | 0.527 | 0.327 | 3 | 2.747 | 0.727 | 0.542 | 2 | 1.109 | 0.283 | 0.203 |
| CF10 | 3 | 2.455 | 0.306 | 0.258 | 2 | 1.536 | 0.252 | 0.165 | 4 | 3.091 | 0.361 | 0.296 | 3 | 2.806 | 0.317 | 0.231 | 3 | 2.377 | 0.737 | 0.586 |

Note: $A = \text{actual number of alleles}; A_e = \text{effective number of alleles}; H_e = \text{expected heterozygosity}; H_o = \text{observed heterozygosity}; N = \text{sample size for each population}.$

^aLocality and voucher information is available in Appendix 1.

on these newly developed microsatellite loci will contribute to the management and conservation of *C. fortunei*. In addition, the successful cross-species amplification of the loci in *C. oliveri* implies that they will provide an opportunity to further investigate the adaptive evolution of *Cephalotaxus* species.

LITERATURE CITED

- CLARK, K. R., AND R. N. GORLEY. 2001. Primer v5: User manual/tutorial. Primer-E, Plymouth, United Kingdom.
- HE, X. Q., J. X. LIN, Y. S. HU, X. P. WANG, AND F. Z. LI. 1996. Comparison among threatened categories of conifers from China. *Chinese Biodi*versity 4: 45–51.
- MIAO, Y. C., X. D. LANG, S. F. LI, J. R. SU, AND Y. H. WANG. 2012. Characterization of 15 polymorphic microsatellite loci for *Cephalotaxus oliveri* (Cephalotaxaceae), a conifer of medicinal importance. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 13: 11165–11172.
- PAN, H. W., Y. R. GUO, Y. J. SU, AND T. WANG. 2011. Development of microsatellite loci for *Cephalotaxus oliveri* (Cephalotaxaceae) and cross-amplification in *Cephalotaxus. American Journal of Botany* 98: e229–e232.

- PEAKALL, R., AND P. E. SMOUSE. 2006. GenAlEx 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 288–295.
- ROUSSET, F. 2008. GENEPOP'007: A complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8: 103–106.
- SHI, G. L., Z. Y. ZHOU, AND Z. M. XIE. 2010. A new Cephalotaxus and associated epiphyllous fungi from the Oligocene of Guangxi, South China. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 161: 179–195.
- SU, Y. J., T. WANG, W. D. YANG, C. HUANG, AND G. K. FAN. 1998. DNA extraction and RAPD analysis of *Podocarpus. Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Sunyatseni* 37: 13–18.
- VAN OOSTERHOUT, C., W. F. HUTCHINSON, D. P. M. WILLS, AND P. SHIPLEY. 2004. MICRO-CHECKER: Software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4: 535–538.
- ZANE, L., L. BARGELLONI, AND T. PATARNELLO. 2002. Strategies for microsatellite isolation: A review. *Molecular Ecology* 11: 1–16.
- ZHOU, X. J., Z. B. HU, AND L. D. HUANG. 1997. Studies on Cephalotaxus plants resources over China. Journal of Hubei Agricultural College 17: 100–103.

APPENDIX 1. Geographic location and voucher information of each population for *Cephalotaxus fortunei* and *C. oliveri* in this study. All voucher specimens were deposited at the herbarium of Qiannan Normal College for Nationalities (QNCN).

| Species | Population | Geographic coordinates | Voucher specimens |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Cephalotaxus fortunei | Enshi, Hubei Province | 30°17'N, 109°23'E | CB Wang 201406, ES1 |
| 1 5 | Suining, Hunan Province | 26°30'N, 109°30'E | CB Wang 201406, SN2 |
| | Jinping, Guizhou Province | 26°41'N, 109°11'E | CB Wang 201406, JP3 |
| | Jinggangshan, Jiangxi Province | 26°35'N, 114°08'E | CB Wang 201406, JGS4 |
| | Shiping, Yunnan Province | 23°43′N, 102°25′E | CB Wang 201406, SP5 |
| Cephalotaxus oliveri | Changyang, Hubei Province | 30°17'N, 109°23'E | ZY Guo 201311, CY1 |
| • | Hupingshan, Hunan Province | 26°30'N, 109°30'E | ZY Guo 201311, HPS2 |
| | Fanjingshan, Guizhou Province | 26°41'N, 109°11'E | ZY Guo 201311, FJS3 |
| | Anfu, Jiangxi Province | 26°35'N, 114°08'E | ZY Guo 201311, AF4 |
| | Daweishan, Yunnan Province | 23°43′N, 102°25 ′E | ZY Guo 201311, DWS5 |