

Germination of Three Native Lupinus Species in Response to Temperature

Authors: Elliott, Carl W., Fischer, Dylan G., and LeRoy, Carri J.

Source: Northwest Science, 85(2): 403-410

Published By: Northwest Scientific Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.3955/046.085.0223

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Carl W. Elliott, Dylan G. Fischer¹, and **Carri J. LeRoy**, Field and Ecosystem Ecology Lab, The Evergreen State College, 2700 Evergreen Parkway NW, Olympia, Washington 98505-0005

Germination of Three Native Lupinus Species in Response to Temperature

Abstract

Understanding germination requirements of native species is an important component of restoration in south Puget Lowland prairies (Washington, USA). We conducted an experiment to determine the effects of pre-germination treatment and germination temperature conditions on the proportional germination of three species of *Lupinus*. For one species, *Lupinus lepidus*, germination was highest following heat shock treatments to the dormant seed, suggesting adaptation to the high temperatures associated with wildfire. For a more widespread species, *Lupinus polyphyllus*, high heat treatments had no effect, and germination was mildly higher in a temperature sequence simulating winter chilling followed by cool, diurnally alternating temperatures. For a final intermediate species, *Lupinus albicaulis*, responses to germination temperatures were dependent on high-heat treatments. These data suggest interspecific variation in germination cues where these *Lupinus* species are influenced differentially by environmental conditions in both breaking of physical dormancy and the promotion of germination. Our results have the potential to improve the establishment of these species from seed in restoration. Specifically, there may be implications for the timing of manual seed sowing and the efficacy of seed establishment following exposure to fire in south Puget Lowland prairies.

Introduction

Studies that identify environmental limitations to seed germination in ecologically important native plants have the potential to significantly improve efficacy of ecological restoration in disturbed ecosystems (Primack 1996, Baskin and Baskin 1998, Seabloom et al. 2003, Corbin and D'Antonio 2004, MacDougall and Turkington 2006). In grassland ecosystems in particular, seed limitations may be important in controlling native species abundance (Turnbull et al. 2000, Foster and Tilman 2003, Zeiter et al. 2006, Clark et al. 2007, Stanley et al. 2011). Therefore, determining germination response cues for native species may be especially important in developing holistic restoration strategies in prairie ecosystems.

Restoration efforts in south Puget Lowland prairies (WA, USA) have taken a number of steps to re-establish native flora in a landscape heavily invaded by non-native plants (Stanley et al. 2008). However, plans to significantly expand the scale of restoration efforts highlight the need to test seed germination requirements of native plants under simulated natural conditions (Thomas and Carey 1996, Dunwiddie et al. 2006). Useful germination protocols have already been established for some important taxa native to these prairie ecosystems (Drake et al. 1998, Kaye and Kuykendall 2001). However,

species in the genus *Lupinus* (Fabaceae) remain poorly understood in terms of germination cues.

Members of the genus Lupinus are especially important to restoration efforts because they have symbiotic associations with nitrogen-fixing bacteria. This allows them to play unique roles as arbiters of soil nitrogen dynamics in native plant communities following disturbance. For example, Lupinus lepidus Dougl. ex Lindl. represents the most abundant herbaceous plant on new volcanic substrates following the eruption of Mount St. Helens where it plays a major role in shaping plant community structure (del Moral and Rozzell 2005). Species in the genus Lupinus are also known for unique pollinator relationships with arthropods (especially Hymenoptera and Lepidoptera) and they have been vital in the conservation of endangered species in western Oregon and Washington prairies (e.g., Wilson et al. 2003, Schultz et al. 2011).

The conditions inducing germination in hard-seeded native plants in the genus *Lupinus* can be complex. Germination in the family Fabaceae is often a twostage process starting with the breaking of physical dormancy followed by actual germination. Physical dormancy is dormancy provided by hard seed coats that do not allow the seed to absorb water and is especially common in Fabaceae. Van Assche et al. (2003) found that temperature fluctuations involving a chilling to 5 to 10 °C for six weeks followed by alternating day/night temperatures of 15 °C and 7 °C increased germination

> Northwest Science, Vol. 85, No. 2, 2011 403 © 2011 by the Northwest Scientific Association. All rights reserved.

¹Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: fischerd@evergreen.edu

rates for Fabaceae species exhibiting physical dormancy. Other studies have demonstrated high germination for Fabaceae species with exposure to fluctuating spring temperatures (Roberts and Boddrell 1984, Baskin and Baskin 1998). However, other cues might also be involved. The germination of Lupinus sulphureus Douglas ex Hook. ssp. kincaidii (C.P. Sm.) L. Phillips was significantly increased by the interaction of manual scarification and cold stratification (Kaye and Kuykendall 2001). Heat shock by fire and extreme temperatures $(> 80 \ ^{\circ}C)$ have also been identified as environmental cues that may break physical dormancy (Probert 2000, Maret and Wilson 2005). Extreme temperature fluctuations of 30 - 40 °C have been found to be sufficient to promote the germination of Lupinus spp. in Australia where summer temperature extremes are typical prior to fall rains (Quinlivan 1961, 1968, 1971). Seeds of native Californian Fabaceae species were made permeable by a heat shock treatment of 80 - 100 °C, simulating surface-soil temperatures of wildfires (Brochert 1989, Keeley 1991). This variation in germination cues highlights that species from the same genus and family can display a range of germination responses to a variety of treatments (Martin et al. 1975, Herranz et al. 1998, Pérez-Garcia and González-Benito 2006, Bossuyt and Honnay 2008). Such variation may be related to the evolutionary history of species and especially the fire history of ecosystems where they are most prevalent.

Our study examines species-specific variation in germination cues for three native plants in the genus Lupinus: L. polyphyllus Lindl, L. lepidus, and L. albicaulis Dougl. var. albicaulis. These three species are all native to south Puget Lowland prairies. We specifically chose species to represent a range of species distributions and life histories. We chose one relatively small species that occurs primarily in frequently-burned and open habitats (L. lepidus), one larger resprouting species common in moist sites with a wide geographic distribution (L. polyphyllus), and another species with distribution and life history traits intermediate to the other two (L. albicaulis). Since germination is a two stage process where the breaking of physical dormancy and the actual sprouting of the seed may be triggered by different mechanisms, we developed hypotheses regarding both processes, and that the three species would have responses corresponding to their life history traits. We hypothesized: 1) high temperature pretreatments that simulated high summer temperatures or fire events would enhance germination of L. lepidus the most, followed by L. albicaulis, with L. polyphyllus being unaffected by these treatments; and 2) germina-

404 Elliott, Fischer, and LeRoy

tion of all species would be enhanced by treatments that simulated winter chilling followed by fluctuating spring temperatures.

Methods

Study Species

Lupinus lepidus is a small (10 - 35 cm) perennial that only reproduces by seed. It is only found in the Pacific Northwest, especially in prairies and volcanic plains. The plant generally blooms from May - June, although we have seen this species blooming as late as October. It produces numerous small seeds (mean seed weight $4 \text{ mg} \pm 0.01 \text{ mg}$ SD), with set and dispersal in June -August. Lupinus polyphyllus is a large perennial (up to 150 cm tall) that typically occurs in moist habitats. It is much more widespread than L. lepidus, occurring from California to Alaska and east to Newfoundland. It blooms in May, produces moderately sized seed (mean seed weight $18 \text{ mg} \pm 1.3 \text{ mg}$), with dispersal occurring in late June - July. The species readily resprouts from a rhizomatous base. Finally, L. albicaulis is a branching perennial (up to 120 cm tall) found throughout the Northwest primarily in mountain and prairie habitat. This species is fully herbaceous and can resprout from a subterranean crown and a moderately spreading rhizome. The plants bloom in May - June, and the large seed (mean seed weight $31 \text{ mg} \pm 1.9 \text{ mg}$) is dispersed between June - August with full senescence by September.

All Lupinus seeds were collected during the summer of 2007 from The Nature Conservancy's Shotwell's Landing Nursery (Littlerock, WA). All seeds were collected from native plants propagated from south Puget Lowland prairies. For L. lepidus, plants can be sourced to two populations from prairies on the Joint Base Lewis-McChord military base (Fort Lewis, WA). For L. polyphyllus, plants can be sourced to two prairie populations at Lewis and Clark State Park (Lewis County, WA), and one population at West Rocky Prairie Wildlife Area (Thurston County, WA). For L. albicaulis, plants can be sourced to two populations on the Joint Base Lewis-McChord military base, one population at Scatter Creek Wildlife area, one population at West Rocky Prairie Wildlife Area, and one population at Glacial Heritage Prairie Preserve (Thurston County, WA). The entire seed crop was collected weekly over a 4 week period to ensure a representative sample of the seed population for the year. The collected seeds were air-dried and stored in paper bags for three weeks at approximately 15 °C and 45% relative humidity. These

seeds were examined under a dissecting microscope and any seeds showing signs of herbivory by weevils (*Thychius* sp.) or other damage were removed prior to the study. The seed with the greatest predation by weevils was *L. albicaulis* with 22% of the seed damaged prior to the study. Random samples from the remaining seeds were used for the experiment.

Because proportions of seed with physical dormancy can vary, we performed a preliminary test for physical dormancy. Three replicates of 50 seeds of each species were manually scarified by nicking the seed coat at the distal end. Another three replicates of 50 seeds were untreated. All seeds were placed on moistened filter paper at 20 °C under grow lights (40 µmol photons m⁻² s^{-1} PPFD) with a 14- hr photoperiod. The individual seeds were weighed (mg) at 0, 12 and 24 hrs. Lupinus lepidus and L. albicaulis had the highest percentages of seed exhibiting physical dormancy with $70 \pm 6\%$ SD and $71 \pm 9\%$ SD, respectively, while L. polyphyllus had only $19 \pm 7\%$ SD(data not shown). Each species exhibited some amount of physical dormancy, indicating pre-treatments designed to break physical dormancy could be effective.

Pre-Germination and Germination Temperature Regimes

A fully factorial experimental design was used to examine sequential temperature cues for germination among our species. The experiment included all three *Lupinus* species in 15 combinations of pre-treatments and germination temperatures with three replicates of 50 seeds in each combination (n = 3) and a total of 6750 seeds.

The first factor (hereafter pre-treatments) was designed to test responses to cues that might break physical dormancy. The five pre-treatments we tested included two dry high-heat temperature treatments simulating hot summer conditions (37 °C and 47 °C), two heat-shock treatments simulating fire temperature (dry and wet 80 °C), and a constant temperature control treatment (15 °C). The pre-treatments were carried out by subjecting separate batches of seeds to one of the following five procedures: 1) seeds were placed in a drying oven (Yamato DKN600, Yamato Scientific America Inc., Santa Clara, CA) at 37 °C for 8 hr, then placed at 15 °C for 16 hr; 2) seeds were placed in a drying oven at 47 °C for 8 hr followed by exposure to 15 °C for 16 hr; 3) seeds were placed in a drying oven at 80 °C for seven min, then removed to15 °C and allowed to cool; 4) 50 ml of 80 °C water was poured over the seeds, which were then allowed to soak for 4 hr; and 5) seeds were

stored in paper envelopes at 15 °C and 35% relative humidity until the germination study commenced. For each species, the pre-treatments were conducted on the same day the seed was transferred to glass Petri dishes filled with a substrate of silica sand. The seeds were moistened by adding de-ionized water to the saturation point of the substrate.

The second factor (hereafter germination temperatures) was designed to test germination responses to fall and spring temperature variation. These treatments included three germination temperature regimes that would likely be found on typical Puget Lowland prairies consisting of: 1) alternating diurnal temperature of 15 °c/7 °C to simulate fall germination temperatures, 2) chilling at 5 °C for six weeks to simulate winter followed by alternating diurnal temperatures of 15 °C/7 °C approximating spring conditions, and 3) a constant ambient temperature of 20 °C.

All germination temperature regimes were conducted using a 14-hr photoperiod (about 40 µmol m⁻² s^{-1} , 400-700 nm of cool white fluorescent light). The germination temperature regimes were: 1) diurnally alternating temperatures at 15 °C for 14 hr and 7 °C for 10 hr in a growth chamber (Illuminated Incubator 818, GCA Precision Scientific, Chicago, IL); 2) six week cold treatment at 5 °C then alternating temperatures of 15 °C for 14 hr and 7 °C for 10 hr per day in a growth chamber; and 3) a constant temperature of 20 °C. All the replicates were monitored and enumerated every other day. Seeds were counted as germinated on radical elongation > 2 mm. All rotting or obviously fungal-infected seeds were removed and counted. The seeds were kept moist by adding deionized water to the saturation point of the substrate as needed (the water was kept at the same germination temperature as the germination series). At the end of 35 days, all remaining seeds were characterized as: 1) germinated, 2) imbibed/ rotten, or 3) un-imbibed/hard-seeded. The seeds were considered hard-seeded if their final weight was within the range of seed weights for dry seed of that species.

Statistical Analysis

All data are presented as a proportion of all seed that germinated. However, data were arcsine square-root transformed prior to analysis, as is standard with percentage or proportion data. For each species, a two-way ANOVA was used to compare the mean proportion germination among five pre-treatments, three germination temperature regimes, and all possible pre-treatment x germination temperature (PT x GT) interactions. To determine differences among treatment

Species	Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	F-Ratio	Р
Lupinus l	lepidus					
	Pre-Treatment	4	2.01	0.5025	93.77	< 0.001
	Germination Temp	2	0.03	0.015	2.96	0.067
	PT x GT	8	0.07	0.00875	1.73	0.133
	Error	30	0.161	0.005		
	Total	44	2.274			
Lupinus p	oolyphyllus					
	Pre-Treatment (PT)	4	0.03	0.008	1.8	0.155
	Germination Temp (GT)	2	0.09	0.045	12.08	< 0.001
	PT x GT	8	0.02	0.003	0.58	0.784
	Error	30	0.11	0.004		
	Total	44	0.25			
Lupinus d	albicaulis var. albicaulis					
-	Pre-Treatment (PT)	4	1.02	0.255	5.1	0.003
	Germination Temp (GT)	2	1.07	0.535	10.7	< 0.001
	PTxGT	8	1.03	0.129	2.57	0.029
	Error	30	1.51	0.050		
	Total	44	4.64			

 TABLE 1. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the effect of pre-germination treatments, germination temperature, and their interaction (PT x GT) on the proportional germination of three Lupinus species.

levels in significant ANOVA models, post hoc Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD) tests were used. For all tests, statistical significance was determined based on P < 0.05.

Results

Pre-Treatments and Germination Temperatures

Results of two-way ANOVA revealed that the three species were significantly affected by pre-treatment and germination temperature regimes, but the nature of the responses was different among species (Table 1). Moreover, the variation among species spanned the range of possible outcomes. One species (L. lepidus) demonstrated significant response to high pre-treatment temperatures, one species showed responsiveness to germination temperatures only (L. polyphyllus), and another species demonstrated responsiveness to an interaction between pretreatments and germination temperatures (L. albicaulis).

Lupinus lepidus germinated at significantly higher rates only when the pre-treatment included an 80 °C water scarification ($F_{(4,30)} = 93.76$, P < 0.001;

406 Elliott, Fischer, and LeRoy

Figure 1). In treatments other than the wet 80 °C water scarification, germination rates were generally less than 15%, and the wet 80 °C treatment only increased germination to near 50% (Figure 1). Neither the germination temperature nor the PT x GT interactions were significant factors affecting germination of *L. lepidus*.




Figure 1. Germination response to pre-treatments by *Lupinus lepidus*. Vertical bars represent means +/- one standard deviation. Different letters denote significant differences at p < 0.05.

Lupinus polyphyllus demonstrated enhanced germination in response to the germination temperature regime of 5 °C followed by alternating temperatures of 15 °C/7 °C simulating spring conditions ($F_{(2,30)} = 12.08$, P < 0.001; Table 1). Germination in this alternating temperature regime was mildly higher than either the static 20 °C or just the alternating 15 °C/7 °C treatment (Figure 2). However, neither the pre-treatments nor the PT x GT interaction significantly influenced mean germination of *L. polyphyllus*.

For L. albicaulis, a two-way ANOVA revealed a significant pre-treatment effect $(F_{(4,30)} = 5.10, P = 0.003)$, a significant germination temperature effect $(F_{(2,30)})$ = 10.69, P < 0.001), and a significant PT x GT interaction ($F_{(8,30)} = 2.57$, P = 0.029; Table 1; Figure 3). The highest germination rates were found for the pretreatment control subjected to the 5 °C to 15 °C/7 °C germination temperature regime (Figure 3), but this pre-treatment group did not exhibit high germination rates in any other germination temperature regime. In contrast, the combination of a wet 80 °C pre-treatment and high germination temperature of 20 °C resulted in an exceptionally low mean germination rate. However, the dry 80 °C pre-treatment temperature also generally resulted in high germination (Figure 3). In fact, across all germination regimes, the dry 80 °C pre-treatment group was statistically indistinguishable from the highest-germination groups.

Discussion

Our data suggest that *L. lepidus* may respond to heat during a fire with enhanced post-fire germination, a common response in species adapted to recruitment following fire (Gill 1981, Keeley 1991). This

species had low germination rates over-all (generally < 50%; Figure 1), and thus conditions that enhance germination are especially important. Interestingly, physical dormancy in *L. lepidus* was broken by high heat treatments of immersion in 80 °C water but not at 80 °C dry heat. This discrepancy in response to heat may be explained by differences in duration and pos-




Figure 2. Germination response to germination temperatures by *Lupinus polyphyllus*. Vertical bars represent means +/- one standard deviation. Different letters denote significant differences at p < 0.05.




Figure 3. The effects of the interaction of pre-germination treatment and germination temperature (PT x GT) on proportion germination of *Lupinus albicaulis*. Vertical bars represent means +/- one standard deviation. Different letters denote significant differences at p < 0.05.

sibly intensity of the heat treatments which interact to break the physical dormancy in seeds (Auld and O'Connell 1991). In our experiment the 80 °C water likely conducted more heat to the seed than oven-drying at 80 °C for seven minutes. Both methods have been used to break physical dormancy and identify seeds that germinate after the passage of fire (Martin et al. 1975, Keeley 1991). Our results suggest that the wet 80 °C treatment provided enough heat over enough time to break physical dormancy. This could indicate the species is adapted to seed germination following exposure to high temperatures associated with fire. In fact, L. lepidus is most common in either Pacific Northwest prairies with historic high frequency fire, or open montaine habitat (USDA 2011). This obligateseeder may display physical dormancy sensitivity to simulated fire temperatures since its persistence on the landscape depends on seed germination post-fire, rather than resprouting. The species also did not show sensitivity to germination temperature regimes. Although the current practice in Washington prairie restoration is to use a seed drill to sow native seed following controlled burns, our results suggest that greater germination of L. lepidus might be achieved by sowing this species at the soil surface prior to burning.

In contrast, we found a small but significant increase in the germination of L. polyphyllus under the seriesdependent germination temperature regime of 5 °C to 15 °C/7 °C (Figure 2), but the seed did not have any significant response to pre-treatment temperatures. This pattern could be reflective of a widespread species responsive to variable spring temperature regimes, but without a particular adaptation to high summer temperatures or fire. Unlike L. lepidus, L. polyphyllus occurs in moister habitats that may be less fire-prone. Thus, it appears to have developed a reproductive strategy-resprouting-that allows it to tolerate a variety of disturbance regimes, rather than relying on a mechanism solely adapted to fire. Restoration techniques in prairies may see highest success with this species when sowing in fall or winter, but without specific attention to fire or summer temperature effects on germination.

The interaction between pre-treatments and germination temperatures on the germination of L. albicaulis was more complex. Our data for L. albicaulis suggested the 80 °C pre-treatment generally increased germination in this species and the wet 80 °C treatment resulted in high germination rates when followed by alternating simulated spring temperature regimes (5 °C to 15 °C /7 °C regime). Similar high germination rates could also be achieved in L. albicaulis without high pretreatment temperatures if the germination temperature regime included near freezing temperatures followed by alternating 15 °C/7 °C. The effect of the germination temperature regime of 5 °C to 15 °C/7 °C was reduced when the seed was exposed to the summer heat treatments of 37 °C and 47 °C. This species apparently has plasticity for responding to variable spring temperatures

and may also have some plasticity in response to fire and high summer temperatures. This is consistent with other studies on germination cues for temperate Fabaceae species (Roberts and Boddrell 1984, Baskin and Baskin 1998, Van Assche et al. 2003).

Our results for L. albicaulis also suggest other interactions that reduce germination rates. The interaction of wet 80 °C pre-treatment and 20 °C germination temperature caused a significant number of seeds to rot and a significantly lower seed germination rate than the cooler germination temperature regime at 5 °C to 15 °C/7 °C. This interaction may be explained by how the rate of imbibition affects the germination of the seed. In seeds with physical dormancy, both the site of water entry and the rate of water entry are important for the emergence of the radical and cotyledon development. Manning and Van Staden (1987) found that mechanical methods of breaking physical dormancy allowed for water entry in areas other than the strophiole, leading to a decrease in germination and seedling survival (Baskin 2003). In our experiment, the warmer germination temperature of 20 °C may have similarly increased water imbibition leading to higher seed rotting rates than the lower temperatures of 15 °C/7 °C and 5 °C to 15 °C/7 °C. Similar patterns have been suggested for L. sulphureus var. kincaidii where high rot-associated seedling mortality was found in scarified seeds compared to un-scarified seeds (Severns 2003). For L. albicaulis, ecological restoration techniques that augment seed in fall after high summer temperatures have passed may have larger spring seedling recruitment dependent on cool and fluctuating spring conditions. Restoration techniques may also see similarly high germination rates following exposure to fire.

In combination, our data suggest interspecific variability in germination cues among these three Lupinus species. The three species demonstrated patterns that spanned the range of potential responses to high summer temperatures, simulated fire temperatures, and fluctuating cool germination temperature regimes. The species that are more restricted to frequently burned prairies (L. lepidus and L. albicaulis) demonstrated stronger responses to high pre-germination temperature regimes. In contrast, the species with a broader distribution (L. polyphyllus) showed less responsiveness to high pregermination temperatures, but minor responsiveness to fluctuating spring temperature regimes. These findings highlight non-uniformity in germination response cues in the genus Lupinus. A more thorough examination of seed ecophysiology in the genus Lupinus may be useful since the range of germination cues for the genus is not well understood (Keeley 1991). However, managers might successfully predict germination responses to fire concomitant with species life history. Specifically, species that are more prairie-restricted in range might also show stronger germination responses to fire.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by The Nature Conservancy and The Evergreen Field Ecology Lab. We also thank The Evergreen State College, The Evergreen State College Computer Applications Lab, Erik Ordway, and The Evergreen State College Lab Stores for logistical

Literature Cited

- Auld, T. D., and M. A. O'Connell. 1991. Predicting patterns of post-fire germination in 35 eastern Australian Fabaceae. Australian Journal of Ecology 16:53-70.
- Baskin, C. C. 2003. Breaking physical dormancy in seeds: focusing on the lens. New Phytologist 158:229-232.
- Baskin, C. C., and J. M. Baskin. 1998. Seeds: Ecology, Biogeography, and Evolution of Dormancy and Germination. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Bossuyt, B., and O. Honnay. 2008. Can the seed bank be used for ecological restoration? An overview of seed bank characteristics in European communities. Journal of Vegetation Science 19:875-884.
- Brochert, M. 1989. Post fire demography of *Thermopsis macrophylla*H. A. var. *angina* J. T. Howell (Fabaceae) a rare perennial herb in chaparral. American Midland Naturalist 122:120-132.
- Clark, C. J., J. R. Poulsen, D. J. Levey, and C. W. Osenberg. 2007. Are plant populations seed limited? A critique and meta-analysis of seed addition experiments. The American Naturalist 170:128-142.
- Corbin, J. D., and C. M. D'Antonio. 2004. Competition between native perennial and exotic annual grasses: implications for an historical invasion. Ecology 85:1273-1283.
- del Moral, R. and L. R. Rozzell. 2005. Long-term effects of *Lupinus lepidus* on vegetation dynamics at Mount St. Helens. Plant Ecology 181:203-215.
- Drake, D., K. Ewing, and P. Dunn. 1998. Techniques to promote germination of seed from Puget Sound Prairies. Restoration and Management Notes 16:33-40.
- Dunwiddie, P. W., E. Alverson, A. Stanley, R. Gilbert, S. Pearson, D. Hays, J. Arnett, E. Delvin, D. Grosboll, and C. Marschner. 2006. The vascular plant flora of the south Puget Sound prairies, Washington, USA. Davidsonia 14:51-69.
- Foster, B. L., and D. Tilman. 2003. Seed limitation and the regulation of community structure in oak savanna grassland. Journal of Ecology 91:999-1007.
- Gill, A. M. 1981. Adaptive responses of Australian vascular plant species to fire. *In* A. M. Gill, R. H. Groves, and I. R. Noble (editors), Fire and the Australian Biota. Australian Academy of Science, Canberra, Australia. Pp. 243-271.
- Herranz, J. M., P. Ferrandis, and J. J. Martínez-Sánchez. 1998. Influence of heat on seed germination of seven Mediterranean Leguminosae species. Plant Ecology 136:95-103.
- Kaye, T. N., and K. Kuykendall. 2001. Effects of scarification and cold stratification on seed germination of *Lupinus sulphureus* ssp. *kincaidii*. Seed Science and Technology 29:663-668.

support. Kate Halstead, Pat Babbin, Zac Miller, Bret Ivey, Nick Smith, Tom Otto, Erik Rook, Rebecca Seyferth, Justin Kirsch, Alex Kazakova, and Walt Andrews provided valuable comments on early versions of this study design and manuscript. This project was also completed in association with the Evergreen State College undergraduate program Advanced Research in Environmental Studies (2007-2009). Finally, we would like to thank Jeff Duda, Peter Dunwiddie, and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on this manuscript.

- Keeley, J. E. 1991. Seed germination and life history syndromes in the California chaparral. The Botanical Review 57:81-116.
- MacDougall, A. S., and R. Turkington. 2006. Dispersal, competition and shifting patterns of diversity in a degraded oak savanna. Ecology 87:1831-1843.
- Maret, M. P., and M. V. Wilson. 2005. Fire and litter effects on seedling establishment in western Oregon upland prairies. Restoration Ecology 13:562-568.
- Manning, J. C., and J. Van Staden. 1987. The role of the lens in seed imbibition and seedling vigour of *Sesbania punicea* (Cav.) Benth. (Leguminosae: Papilionoideae). Annals of Botany 59:705-713.
- Martin, R. E., R. L. Miller, and C. T. Cushwa. 1975. Germination response of legume seeds subjected to moist and dry heat. Ecology 56:1441-1445.
- Pérez-Garcia, F., and M. E. González-Benito. 2006. Seed germination of five Helianthemum species: effect of temperature and presowing treatments. Journal of Arid Environments 65:688-693.
- Primack, R. B. 1996. Lessons from ecological theory: dispersal, establishment and population structure. *In* D. A. Falk, C. I. Millar and M. Olwell (editors), Restoring Diversity: Strategies for Reintroduction of Endangered Plants. Island Press, Washington, D.C. Pp. 209-234.
- Probert, R. J. 2000. The role of temperature in the regulation of seed dormancy and germination, In M. Fenner (editor), Seeds: The Ecology of Regeneration in Plant Communities. Second edition, CAB International, Wallingford, UK. Pp. 261-292.
- Quinlivan, B. J. 1961. The effect of constant and fluctuating temperatures on the permeability of the hard seeds of some legume species. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 12:1009-1022.
- Quinlivan, B. J. 1968. The softening of hard seeds of san-plain lupin. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 19:507-515.
- Quinlivan, B. J. 1971. Seed coat impermeability in legumes. Journal of the Australian Institute of Agricultural Science 37:283-295.
- Roberts, H. A., and J. E. Boddrell. 1984. Seed survival and seasonal pattern of seedling emergence in some Leguminosae. Annals of Applied Biology 106:125-132.
- Schultz, C. B., E. Henry, A. Carleton, T. Hicks, R. Thomas, A. Potter, M. Collins, M. Linders, C. Fimbel, S. Black, H. E. Anderson, G. Diehl, S. Hamman, R. Gilbert, J. Foster, D. Hays, D. Wilderman, R. Davenport, E. Steel, N. Page, P. L. Lilley, J. Heron, N. Kroeker, C. Webb, and B. Reader. 2011. Conservation of prairie-oak butterflies in Oregon, Washington, and British Columbia. Northwest Science 85:361-388.

Lupinus Seed Ecology 409

- Seabloom, E. W., E. T. Borer, V. L. Boucher, R. S. Burton, K. L. Cottingham, L. Goldwasser, W. K. Gram, B. E. Kendall, and F. Micheli. 2003. Competition, seed limitation, disturbance, and reestablishment of California native annual forbs. Ecological Applications 13:575-592.
- Severns, P. M. 2003. Propagation of a long-lived and threatened prairie plant, *Lupinus sulphureus* ssp. *kincaidii*. Restoration Ecology 11:334-342.
- Stanley, A. G., T. N. Kaye, and P. W. Dunwiddie. 2008. Regional strategies for restoring invaded prairies: observations from a multisite, collaborative research project. Native Plants Journal 9:247-254.
- Stanley, A. G., T. N. Kaye, and P. W. Dunwiddie. 2011. Restoring invaded Pacific Northwest prairies: management recommendations from a region-wide experiment. Northwest Science 85:233-246.
- Thomas, T. B., and A. B. Carey. 1996. Endangered, threatened, and sensitive plants of Fort Lewis, Washington: distribution, mapping and management recommendations for species conservation. Northwest Science 70:148-163.

Received 30 August 2010 Accepted for publication 17 February 2011

- Turnbull, L. A., M. J. Crawley, and M. Rees. 2000. Are plant populations seed limited? A review of seed sowing experiments. Oikos 88:225-238.
- USDA. 2011. PLANTS database; USDA Plants. United States Department of Agriculture. Washington, DC. Available online at http://plants.usda.gov/ (accessed 2-03-2011).
- Van Assche, J. A., K. L. A. Debucquoy, and W. A. F. Rommens. 2003. Seasonal cycles in the germination capacity of buried seeds of some Leguminosae (Fabaceae). New Phytologist 158:315-323.
- Wilson, M. V, T. Erhart, P. C. Hammond, T. N. Kaye, K. Kuykendall, A. Liston, A. F. Robinson, Jr., C. B. Schultz, and P. M. Severns. 2003. Biology of Kincaid's lupine (*Lupinus* sulphureus ssp. kincaidii [Smith] Phillips), a threatened species of western Oregon native prairies. Natural Areas Journal 23:72-83.
- Zeiter, M., A. Stampfli, and D. M. Newbery. 2006. Recruitment limitation constrains local species richness and productivity in dry grassland. Ecology 87:942-951.

410 Elliott, Fischer, and LeRoy