

## New Fossil Remains from the Pliocene Koetoi Formation of Northern Japan Provide Insights Into Growth Rates and the Vertebral Evolution of Porpoises

Authors: Murakami, Mizuki, Shimada, Chieko, Hikida, Yoshinori, and Hirano, Hiromichi

Source: Acta Palaeontologica Polonica, 60(1): 97-111

Published By: Institute of Paleobiology, Polish Academy of Sciences

URL: https://doi.org/10.4202/app.2012.0127

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <a href="https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use">www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</a>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# New fossil remains from the Pliocene Koetoi Formation of northern Japan provide insights into growth rates and the vertebral evolution of porpoises

MIZUKI MURAKAMI, CHIEKO SHIMADA, YOSHINORI HIKIDA, and HIROMICHI HIRANO



Murakami, M., Shimada, C., Hikida, Y., and Hirano, H. 2015. New fossil remains from the Pliocene Koetoi Formation of northern Japan provide insights into growth rates and the vertebral evolution of porpoises. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 60 (1): 97–111.

Extant porpoises (Phocoenidae) are odontocetes characterized by their small size, short and wide rostrum, late (or absent) completion of epiphyseal ankylosis in the vertebral column (= physical maturity), and short life cycles, all of which are thought to have resulted from progenetic evolution. We describe a small fossil phocoenid from the lower Pliocene Koetoi Formation of Hokkaido (northern Japan), preserving a small, narrow rostrum, as well as anteroposteriorly elongate thoracic and lumbar vertebral centra with completely fused epiphyses. Physical maturity in this specimen occurred significantly earlier than in extant phocoenids, as shown by dental data indicating that the specimen died at only four years of age. The difference between the present material and extant porpoises may be attributable to different growth rates during ontogeny. The long centra and caudally inclined neural spines of the specimen from Hokkaido are primitive characters among phocoenids. By contrast, the great height of its neural spines is highly derived, even among extant species, and suggestive of a fast swimmer. In terms of its vertebral morphology, the new specimen falls within a morphological continuum defined by the archaic *Numataphocoena yamashitai* and the highly derived vertebral morphology of *Phocoenoides dalli*. Phocoenid vertebral evolution has been complex and frequently convergent, as opposed to stepwise and unidirectional. The different vertebral morphologies of the new specimen and the contemporaneous extinct taxa *Numataphocoena* and *Piscolithax longirostris* indicate that they were adapted to different environments.

Key words: Mammalia, Phocoenidae, age estimation, growth rate, vertebral evolution, Pliocene, Japan.

Mizuki Murakami [mizuki-m@aoni.waseda.jp], Department of Earth Sciences, Faculty of Education and Integrated Arts and Sciences, Waseda University, Nishiwaseda 1-6-1, Shinjuku, Tokyo 169-8050, Japan.

Chieko Shimada [c-shimada@aist.go.jp], Mineral Industry Museum, Akita University, 28-2 Tegata-Osawa, Akita 010-8502, Japan; Geological Survey of Japan, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, 1-1-1 Higashi, Tsukuba 305-8567, Japan.

Yoshinori Hikida [nmhikida@coral.ocn.ne.jp], Nakagawa Museum of Natural History, 28-9, Yasukawa, Nakagawa-cho, Hokkaido 098-2626, Japan.

Hiromichi Hirano, Department of Earth Sciences, Faculty of Education and Integrated Arts and Sciences, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan (passed away on 5 May 2014).

Received 5 November 2012, accepted 9 August 2013, available online 16 August 2013.

Copyright © 2015 M. Murakami et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Introduction

The osteology and functional morphology of the cetacean vertebral column has been studied in some detail (e.g., Long et al. 1997; Buchholtz 1998, 2001; Buchholtz and Schur 2004; Buchholtz et al. 2005). Living cetaceans differ from most other mammals in having a relatively short neck and torso (sensu Buchholtz 2001), flattened vertebral faces, and a relatively large number of vertebrae in the torso. Taken together, these traits reduce the flexibility of the column,

and give rise to a more hydrodynamic overall body shape and enhanced swimming speed (Buchholtz 2001). In addition, some taxa, including delphinids and phocoenids, have evolved a comparatively elongate caudal tail stock. Buchholtz and Schur (2004) concluded that characters concerned with enhanced swimming speed in delphinids evolved stepwise. However, the topology of the tree they used has since been contradicted by most recent molecular phylogenies (e.g., McGowen et al. 2009), suggesting that at least some of these traits likely evolved more than once (vertebral count,

Acta Palaeontol. Pol. 60 (1): 97-111, 2015

http://dx.doi.org/10.4202/app.2012.0127

regional absence of torso metapophyses, and inclination of neural arch and spines in torsos).

Phocoenids offer an informative case study of vertebral evolution, owing to their relatively well-understood phylogeny and rich fossil record, with 16 extinct species from the period spanning the late Miocene–Pliocene. However, there are just three taxa for which the vertebral column has been described in detail: *Piscolithax longirostris* Muizon, 1983, *P. aenigmaticus* (Pilleri and Siber, 1989), and *Numataphocoena yamashitai* Ichishima and Kimura, 2000. Vertebrae of *Semirostrum ceruttii* Racicot, Deméré, Beatty, and Boessenecker, 2014 are briefly described after acceptance of this paper. Here, we describe a small fossil phocoenid (NMV-5) from the lower Pliocene Koetoi Formation of Hokkaido (northern Japan) preserving 7 cervical, 8 thoracic, and 3 lumbar vertebrae (Table 1), and discuss its implications for our understanding of the evolution of the vertebral column in porpoises.

Institutional abbreviations.—LACM, Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History, California, USA; NFL, Numata Fossil Laboratory, Numata, Japan; MNHN, Museúm National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France; NHMUK, Natural History Museum, London; NMV, Nakagawa Museum of Natural History, Nakagawa, Japan; NMNS, National Museum of Nature and Science, Tokyo, Japan; SMNK, Staatliche Museum für Naturkunde, Karlsruhe, Germany; USNM, United States National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC, USA.

Other abbreviations.—CH, dorsoventral height of the anterior articular surface of the centrum; CL, anteroposterior length of the centrum. Our anatomical terminology follows Mead and Fordyce (2009).

## Geological setting

The present material was recovered from the Koetoi Formation, which is the most fossiliferous of a series of Neogene sediments exposed in the Tempoku Basin of Hokkaido, northern Japan (Fig. 1). The latter is the northernmost of a series of north-south running basins distributed throughout central Hokkaido (Hoyanagi et al. 1986; Fukusawa et al. 1992; Sagayama and Hoyanagi 1993), and has yielded a considerable number of marine vertebrate fossils (Tomida and Kohno 1992; Uyeno 1992; Shimada et al. 1998; Kohno et al. 2002; Ichishima et al. 2006; Murakami et al. 2012a, b).

The Koetoi Formation is principally composed of diatomaceous pebbly mudstone resting on basal tuffaceous mudstone (Fukusawa 1985), and is considered to be a shelf deposit (Fukusawa et al. 1992). The Koetoi Formation conformably overlies the Wakkanai Formation, and is in turn conformably overlain by the Yuchi Formation. However, the boundaries between these formations are time-transgressive throughout of the basin. Chronologically, the Koetoi Formation has been estimated based on diatom biochronology, and ranges from




Fig. 1. The locality of a small porpoise NMV-5. **A**. The Japanese islands. **B**. The locality of NMV-5. **C**. Detailed locality of NMV-5 near the Teshionakagawa area.

the *Thalassionema hirosakiensis* s.l. Zone (Fukusawa 1985), corresponding to the *Thalassionema schraderi* Zone (8.5–7.6 Ma) of Yanagisawa and Akiba (1998), to about 2.3 Ma, as indicated by the first appearance of *Neodenticula seminae* and fission-track dating (Yasue et al. 2006).

The precise stratigraphic horizon which yielded NMV-5 is uncertain, since the latter was found in a floating calcareous concretion. However, diatoms collected from the concretion (Shimada et al. 1998) correlate with the *T. oestrupii* Subzone (5.5–3.5/3.9 Ma; Yanagisawa and Akiba 1998) and, together with the absence of *Actinocyclus oculatus* (first occurring at 4 Ma), suggest an early Pliocene (5.5–4.0 Ma) age for the material described here.

## Material and methods

**Age of the individual.**—The individual age of extant (e.g., Perrin and Myrick 1980) and extinct odontocetes (Myrick 1979; Lambert et al. 2008) is frequently assessed by counting annually accumulated growth layer groups apparent in the dentine of the teeth (International Whaling Commission 1969; Gurevich et al. 1980). We used this method to determine the age at which NMV-5 died, based on the decalcification and staining technique (e.g., Pierce and Kajimura 1980; Kasuya 1983). For this purpose, we first coated the tooth with epoxy resin (SpeciFix-40; Struers Inc.), owing to its small size (1.25 mm in width). The tooth was then polished with abrasives until the longitudinal half section (buccal-labial section) was exposed. The latter was then glued to an acryl slide using the instant glue Aron alpha (Toagosei Inc.). Next, we produced a thin section of the tooth using a Minitom precision cut-off machine (Struers Inc.), and polished the section with an abrasive (#6000) under an optical microscope down to a thickness of 50  $\mu$ m. Finally, we polished the thin section with a 1  $\mu$ m-diamond-coated abrasive.

The thin section of the tooth was decalcified in a 5% formic acid bath for 24 hours, following which it was rinsed in running water, and stained with Mayer's hematoxylin (Merck KGaA) for 30 minutes. The thin section was then rinsed again in running water, and mounted with Entellan Neu (Merck KGaA). The growth layers of the dentine were counted under a microscope (Nikon SMZ 645 and Nikon Labophoto2-Pol) using transmitted light at a magnification of 7-90x and 40-1000x. In order to make our age determination as accurate as possible, three people independently counted the number of the dentinal growth layers three times. The mean of those nine counts was then taken as the number of annual rings of the specimen.

Vertebral evolution in Phocoenidae.—Odontocete locomotion is dependent on the vertical displacement and oscillation of the peduncle and fluke (e.g., Slijper 1961; Fish and Hui 1991), and thus the structure of the vertebral column. Vertebral modifications characterising odontocetes include an increase in the rigidity of the pre-peduncle portion of the column, as well as the flexibility of the peduncle and fluke (Long et al. 1997; Buchholtz and Schur 2004). This is achieved through (i) the reduction of the relative centrum length of the cervicals, thoracic, and anterior lumbar vertebrae; (ii) flattened central faces; (iii) increased vertebral counts; and (iv) comparatively elongated centra in the tail stock region (Slijper 1961; Buchholtz 2001; Buchholtz and Schur 2004).

In order to better understand the origins of the vertebral patterns characterising phocoenids, we traced the evolution of 16 characters related to vertebral evolution (Tables 2, 3) on a phylogenetic tree, using the parsimony algorithm implemented in Mesquite Version 2.73 (Maddison and Maddison 2010). The phylogenetic tree was based on a reanalysis of a slightly modified version of the comprehensive data matrix (72 ingroup taxa, 282 characters) of Murakami et al. (2012b), with characters 220, 221, 222, 224, and 227 excluded to avoid circular reasoning (Felsenstein 1985). The cladistic analysis was performed in PAUP 4.0 b10 (Swofford 1998), using Georgiacetus Hulbert, Petkewich, Bishop, Burkry, and Aleshire, 1998 and Zygorhiza True, 1908 as outgroups (the complete character list and data matrix are provided in the Supplementary Online Material, SOM available at http://app.pan.pl/SOM/app60-Murakami etal SOM.pdf). All of the characters were treated as unweighted and unordered, and were analysed using 100 random stepwise-addition replicates and tree bisection reconnection (TBR) branch swapping, saving 30 trees per replicate. We did not include *Piscolithax aenigmaticus*, NMV-5, and *Nu*mataphocoena yamashitai, because specimens were either unavailable or fragmentary, generating too much polytomy to trace the characters. The cladistic analysis resulted in 121 most parsimonious trees of 1676 steps (Fig. 5), giving rise to a strict consensus tree identical to that of Murakami et al. (2012b), except for the branches within Delphinidae. For the purpose of tracing traits related to swimming ability among phocoenids, we used *Delphinapterus leucas* Pallas, 1776 as outgroup. Vertebral counts for extant species were based on Brownell (1975, 1983), Brownell and Praderi (1984), Gaskin et al. (1974), Heyning and Dahlheim (1988), Jefferson (1988), Jefferson and Hung (2004), Perrin (2001), Stacey et al. (1994), Stewart and Stewart (1989), West et al. (2011).

## Systematic palaeontology

Order Cetacea Brisson, 1762 Odontoceti Flower, 1867 Superfamily Delphinoidea Gray, 1821 Family Phocoenidae Gray, 1825 Phocoenidae gen. et sp. indet.

Figs. 2-4.

*Material.*—NMV-5, a partial rostrum and mandible, all cervicals, eight thoracic and three lumbar vertebrae, and several incomplete ribs, from a small, unnamed tributary valley of the Kokuneppu River located in the Sengen district, Teshio, Hokkaido, Japan (44°49′45 N, 141°59′26 E; Fig. 1); Koetoi Formation, early Pliocene (5.5–4.0 Ma).

#### Description

Skull

Rostrum.—The relatively well-preserved rostrum (length: 147 mm; Fig. 2A–D), missing its distalmost 10–20 mm including the anteriormost alveolus (Fig. 2B, C), is all that remains of the skull. The greatest width of the maxillae is approximately 59+ mm (Fig. 2C), thus resembling the small extant phocoenids Neophocaena phocaeniodes and Phocoena phocoena in size.

Premaxilla.—The premaxilla is slender, although it should be noted that some of the medial portion of the proximal end of the premaxilla is missing. Distally, the premaxilla slopes steeply towards the lateral border of the rostrum (Fig. 2D). As in most phocoenids, the mesorostral groove is moderately open, with the medial edges of both premaxillae being largely parallel. The suture uniting the premaxilla and maxilla is distinct along the entire rostrum.

Maxilla.—In dorsal view, the maxilla steeply slopes away laterally towards its anterior end (Fig. 2A, D). The maxillary flange is flat and narrow. As in *Piscolithax longirostris*, the anteriormost dorsal infraorbital foramen is located directly adjacent to the premaxilla. In lateral view, the maxilla is slightly curved ventrally (Fig. 2B). The ventral surface of the maxilla is transversely concave (Fig. 2D), and bears a distinct palatine sulcus and greater palatine foramen in its proximal portion (Fig. 2C). The tooth rows are clearly separated, moderately divergent posteriorly, and shortened (as in other phocoenids),




Fig. 2. The rostrum (A–D) and mandible (E, F) of the porpoise Phocoenidae gen. et sp. indet., NMV-5, early Pliocene of Teshio, Hokkaido, Japan; in dorsal (A, F), lateral (B, E), ventral (C), and anterior (D) views.

with the posteriormost alveolus located 32 mm anterior to the anterior edge of the palatine. 21 alveoli (length of tooth row = 88 mm) are preserved on the left side, and 20 (length of tooth row = 86 mm) on the right, with an average alveolus diameter of 2.5 mm. Six teeth lacking crowns are preserved in situ.

*Vomer*.—In ventral view, the vomer is narrowly exposed between the premaxillae, unlike in all other phocoenids except *Piscolithax longirostris*.

Palatine.—Only the anteriormost portions of the palatines are preserved (Fig. 2C), making accurate description impossible. Mandible.—The left mandible is missing its anteriormost 10–20 mm and the portion posterior to the anterior border of the mandibular foramen. By contrast, only a 40 mm fragment remains of the right mandible (Fig. 2E, F). The mandibular symphysis is at least 15 mm long, and connects both mandibles at an angle of 35°. 20 alveoli are preserved in the left mandible (length of tooth row = 77 mm) and 12 in the right, with an average alveolus diameter of 1.9 mm.


Teeth.—Two small (< 3.5 mm long), isolated teeth with spatulate crowns and circular roots (1 mm in diameter) were preserved near the maxillae (Fig. 3A–D). The crowns measure 1 mm buccolingually and 1.25 mm anteroposteriorly, and are covered by smooth enamel with no accessory cusps or ornamentation.

#### Postcranial skeleton

Cervical vertebrae.—The seven cervical vertebrae are unfused and anteroposteriorly compressed, and resemble other extinct phocoenids in their overall morphology (Fig. 4A–D, Table 1). Weathering has resulted in the loss of most of the neural arches, as well as the erosion of the anterior face of the atlas (Fig. 4C). The ventral transverse process of the atlas is short and moderately robust, and there is no dorsal transverse process. The pedicle of the neural arch of the atlas is attached to the axis. The latter (Fig. 4D) has lost most of its neural arch and transverse processes, but preserves two deep fossae on the dorsal face of the vertebral body, which may represent attachments sites for the dorsal longitudinal ligament.

Table 1. Measurements (in mm) of the vertebrae of the porpoise NMV-5. Abbreviations: e, estimated transverse measurements (half measurement multiplied by 2); +, measured element is incomplete to some extent; CL, length of centrum; CH, height of anterior articular surface of centrum; GW, width of anterior articular surface of centrum; GH, greatest height of vertebra; GW, greatest width of vertebra; CL/CH, length of centrum/height of anterior articular surface of centrum; X, XX, XXX, precise position of vertebrae within the vertebral column unknown.

	CL	СН	CW	GH	GB	CL/CH
Atlas	22.6	36.0+	75.0e	40.0+	101.0+	?
Axis	16.7	27.8	61.0	38.6+	64.2+	0.60
3rd cervical	4.8	25.9	30.4	31.6+	37.0+	0.19
4th cervical	4.5	26.6	29.9	37.0+	34.4+	0.17
5th cervical	4.5	26.7	28.6	37.6	35.2	0.17
6th cervical	5.8	26.2	30.0	46.7	41.0	0.22
7th cervical	6.6	26.8	31.4	43.2+	55.4+	0.25
1st thoracic	11.3	26.0	27.8	41.2+	69.3	0.43
3rd thoracic	17.0	24.1	30.2	48.7+	68.8e	0.71
5th thoracic	19.8	24.7	26.0	75.8+	68.0	0.80
Thoracic X	32.7	27.8	29.2	112.0	88.9	1.18
Thoracic XX	22.3+	26.5	29.9	48.4+	105.2e	?
Thoracic XXX	33.9	28.9	29.2	118.0	139.8e	1.17
Last thoracic-1	35.4	28.8	30.5	?	158.0	1.23
Last thoracic	37.2	31.8	37.5	129.0	137.6+	1.17
1st lumbar	37.6	32.2	38.4	70.4+	159.2e	1.17
2nd lumbar	36.4	29.7	34.6	98.5	122.1+	1.23
3rd lumbar	36.1	31.4	35.9	129.9	163.0	1.15



Thoracic vertebrae.—Eight thoracic vertebrae are preserved, with the posterior five having relatively long centra (Fig. 4A; Table 1). In terms of their relative and absolute centrum lengths, these vertebrae are intermediate between those of *Numataphocoena yamashitai* and extant species. All of the

preserved thoracics have flattened anterior and posterior centrum faces, as well as well-developed metapophyses. Unlike in other phocoenids, except *Phocoena sinus* Norris and McFarland, 1958 and *Phocoenoides dalli* (True, 1885), the neural spines of the posterior thoracics are tall, slender and

Table 2. Vertebral traits analysed in this study. Abbreviations: CL, length of centrum; CH, height of anterior articular surface of centrum; [], character number used in previous studies.

	Character		Source
1	Cervical vertebrae	unfused (0); only atlas and axis fused (1); C1–C3 or C1–C4 fused (2); C1–C6 or C1–C7 fused (3)	Murakami et al. 2012b [220]
2	Number of thoracic vertebrae	9-11 (0); 12–14 (1); ≥ 15 (2)	Murakami et al. 2012b [222]
3	Number of lumbar vertebrae	7 (0); 9–13 (1); 14–19 (2); 24–27 (3)	Murakami et al. 2012b [227]
4	Number of caudal vertebrae	21–26 (0); 27–33 (1); 41–49 (2)	Murakami et al. 2012b [228]
5	Lumbar count > thoracic count	absent (0); present (1)	Buchholtz and Schur 2004
6	Length of cervicals (C1–C7) as percent of height of vertebral body plus neural canal of atlas	long, >150% (0); short, <150% (1)	Murakami et al. 2012b [221]
7	Ratio of CL/CH in thoracic vertebrae	> 1.20 in more than one vertebra (0); > 0.95 in several vertebrae (1); <0.75 in all vertebrae (2)	Buchholtz and Schur 2004 [12]
8	Ratio of CL/CH in lumbar vertebrae	> 1.20 in more than one vertebra (0); > 0.95 in more than one vertebra (1); < 0.95 in all vertebrae (2); < 0.75 in all vertebrae (3)	Buchholtz and Schur 2004 [12]
9	Length of tail stock CL of torso (lumbar + caudal vertebrae anterior to tail stock)	> CL of tail stock (0); secondary rise in tail stock CL (1)	Buchholtz and Schur 2004 [6]
10	All thoracics and lumbars amphicelous	absent (0);present (1)	Buchholtz and Schur 2004
11	Bulbous or triangular transverse process of lumbars	present (0); absent (1)	Murakami et al. 2012b [225]
12	Height of neural spines in posterior thoracics and anterior lumbars (greatest height of vertebrae/CH)	<4.0 (0); between 4.0 and 5.0 (1); >5.0 (2)	
13	Orientations of neural spines in posterior thoracic and lumbar vertebrae	strongly posteriorly inclined (0); posteriorly inclined or vertically standing (1); several neural spines inclined anteriorly = syncliny (2)	Buchholtz and Schur 2004 [5]
14	Regional anterior inclination of neural arches	absent (0); present (1)	Buchholtz and Schur 2004 [1]
15	Metapophyses	torso metapophyses uniformly present (0); regional absence of torso metapophyses (1)	Buchholtz and Schur 2004 [8]
16	Regionally elevated metapophyses	absent (0); present (1)	Buchholtz and Schur 2004 [1]




Fig. 4. Vertebrae (A-E) and ribs (F, G) of the porpoise Phocoenidae gen. et sp. indet., NMV-5, early Pliocene of Teshio, Hokkaido, Japan. Cervical (1–7), thoracic (1, 3, 5, 7, X, XX, XXX, last-1, last), and lumbar vertebrae (1–3) in lateral (A) and dorsal (B) views; atlas (C), axis (D), first lumbar (E), left rib fragment (F), and anterior to central right ribs (G) in anterior view.

strongly recurved anteriorly (Fig. 4A). The neural spines of *Neophocaena phocaenoides* Cuvier, 1829, are also strongly recurved anteriorly, but differ from those of NMV-5 in being distinctly shorter dorsoventrally, and longer anteroposteriorly. The neural spines of at least two of the thoracic vertebrae exhibit exostosis, with their distal tips being moderately expanded anteroposteriorly, and slightly swollen laterally.

Lumbar vertebrae.—Three lumbar vertebrae, likely representing L1–L3 are preserved, and, except for the absence of an articular surface for the rib on their transverse processes, resemble the posterior thoracics in their general morphology.

The centra of the lumbar vertebrae are distinctly elongated, both in relative (as compared to rostral width) and absolute terms. In dorsal view, the anterior and posterior edges of the transverse process of the first lumbar vertebra and an isolated posterior transverse process are parallel, whereas the transverse process of the second lumbar vertebra slightly widens anteroposteriorly (Fig. 4B). In this, NMV-5 resembles *Salumiphocaena stocktoni*, *Piscolithax longirostris* and extant species, and differs from *Numataphocoena yamashitai*, in which the transverse processes are distinctly widened distally. The neural spines on the first and third lumbars show a remarkable degree of exostosis, making their distal tips




Fig. 5. The phylogeny and vertebral morphology of Phocoenidae. The phylogenetic analysis is based on the data matrix of Murakami et al. (2012b), excluding character 220, 221, 222, 224, and 227. Quotations added to taxa which are paraphyly or polyphyly in the present cladistic analysis.

Table 3. Character–taxon matrix for the vertebral traits analysed in this study.

Cephalorhynchus hectori         21[12]0110211         112101           Orcinus orca         3[01]10011100         101101           Pseudorca crassidens         3010010000         100101           Steno bredanensis         112111211         112101           Leucopleurus acutus         112111211         112111           Stenella attenuata         2[12][23][12]111211         112111           Numataphocoena yamashitai †         0000??00?1         0??????           NMV-5 †         0??????00?1         110???           Piscolithax aenigmaticus †         023???????         1?10??           Piscolithax aenigmaticus †         0??????????         ???????           Archaeophocaena nishinoi †         ??????????         ???????           Miophocaena nishinoi †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ???????           Piscolithax boreios †         0??????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ???????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ????????         ???????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0???????         10????           Neophocaena pho	Taxon	10	16
Orcinus orca         3[01]10011100         101101           Pseudorca crassidens         3010010000         100101           Steno bredanensis         1121111211         112101           Leucopleurus acutus         1121111211         112111           Stenella attenuata         2[12][23][12]111211         112111           Numataphocoena yamashitai †         0000??00?1         0??????           NMV-5 †         0??????00?1         110???           Piscolithax aenigmaticus †         023???????         1?10??           Pterophocaena nishinoi †         0??????????         ???????           Archaeophocaena teshioensis †         ??????????         ???????           Miophocaena nishinoi †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ???????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ???????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0????????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0???????         ??????           Phocoena sin	Delphinapterus leucas	0000000000	000000
Pseudorca crassidens         3010010000         100101           Steno bredanensis         1121111211         112101           Leucopleurus acutus         1121111211         112111           Stenella attenuata         2[12][23][12]111211         112111           Numataphocoena yamashitai †         0000??00?1         0?????           NMV-5 †         0??????00?1         110???           Piscolithax aenigmaticus †         023???????         1710??           Pterophocaena nishinoi †         0??????????         ???????           Archaeophocaena teshioensis †         ??????????         ???????           Miophocaena nishinoi †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ???????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Piscolithax intermedia †         ??????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ???????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0???????         10????           Pocolithax longirostris †         0?0???????         100000 <th< td=""><td>Cephalorhynchus hectori</td><td>21[12]0110211</td><td>112101</td></th<>	Cephalorhynchus hectori	21[12]0110211	112101
Steno bredanensis         1121111211         112101           Leucopleurus acutus         1121111211         112111           Stenella attenuata         2[12][23][12]111211         112111           Numataphocoena yamashitai †         0000??00?1         0?????           NMV-5 †         0?????00?1         110???           Piscolithax aenigmaticus †         023???????         1?10??           Pterophocaena nishinoi †         0?????????         ???????           Archaeophocaena teshioensis †         ??????????         ??????           Miophocaena nishinoi †         ??????????         ??????           Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ??????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ???????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ???????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0???????         10????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0???????         100000           Phocoena spinipinnis         211[111101         100010           Phocoe	Orcinus orca	3[01]10011100	101101
Leucopleurus acutus         1121111211         112111           Stenella attenuata         2[12][23][12]111211         112111           Numataphocoena yamashitai †         0000??00?1         0?????           NMV-5 †         0?????00?1         110???           Piscolithax aenigmaticus †         023???????         1?10??           Pterophocaena nishinoi †         0?????????         ???????           Archaeophocaena teshioensis †         ??????????         ??????           Miophocaena nishinoi †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ???????           Septemtriocetus bosselaersi †         ??????????         ???????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ???????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ??????????         ???????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?0???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0???????         ??????           Phocoena sinus         21[12]1111101         100000           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         001000	Pseudorca crassidens	3010010000	100101
Stenella attenuata         2[12][23][12]111211         112111           Numataphocoena yamashitai †         0000??00?1         0??????           NMV-5 †         0?????00?1         110???           Piscolithax aenigmaticus †         023???????         1?10??           Pterophocaena nishinoi †         0????1????         ??????           Archaeophocaena teshioensis †         ??????????         ??????           Miophocaena nishinoi †         ??????????         ??????           Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ??????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ??????           Septemtriocetus bosselaersi †         ??????????         ???????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ???????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ??????????         ???????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0??????1         ??????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000	Steno bredanensis	1121111211	112101
Numataphocoena yamashitai †         0000??00?1         0?????           NMV-5 †         0?????00?1         110???           Piscolithax aenigmaticus †         023???????         1?10??           Pterophocaena nishinoi †         0????1????         ??????           Archaeophocaena teshioensis †         ??????????         ???????           Miophocaena nishinoi †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ??????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ??????           Septemtriocetus bosselaersi †         ??????????         ??????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ?????????         ???????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ?????????         ???????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0???????         10????           Pescolithax longirostris †         0?0???123?1         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]01101         100000           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           <	Leucopleurus acutus	1121111211	112111
NMV-5 †         0?????00?1         110???           Piscolithax aenigmaticus †         023???????         1?10??           Pterophocaena nishinoi †         0????1????         ??????           Archaeophocaena teshioensis †         ??????????         ???????           Miophocaena nishinoi †         ?????????         ???????           Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ???????           Septemtriocetus bosselaersi †         ??????????         ??????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ?????????         ??????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ?????????         ??????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0???????         ??????           Phocoena phocaenoides         211[01]01101         100000           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Stenella attenuata	2[12][23][12]111211	112111
Piscolithax aenigmaticus †         023???????         1?10??           Pterophocaena nishinoi †         0????1????         ???????           Archaeophocaena teshioensis †         ??????????         ???????           Miophocaena nishinoi †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ???????           Septemtriocetus bosselaersi †         ?????????         ??????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ??????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ???????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ?????????         10????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0???????         ??????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0???????         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Numataphocoena yamashitai †	0000??00?1	0?????
Pterophocaena nishinoi †         0????1????         ??????           Archaeophocaena teshioensis †         ??????????         ???????           Miophocaena nishinoi †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ???????           Septemtriocetus bosselaersi †         ??????????         ??????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ??????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ??????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ??????????         ??????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0???????         ??????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0???????         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	NMV-5 †	0?????00?1	110???
Archaeophocaena teshioensis †         ??????????         ???????           Miophocaena nishinoi †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ???????           Septemtriocetus bosselaersi †         ??????????         ???????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ??????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ??????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ?????????         ??????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0??????1         ??????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0???123?1         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena sinus         21[12]1111171         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         001000	Piscolithax aenigmaticus †	023??????	1?10??
Miophocaena nishinoi †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ???????           Septemtriocetus bosselaersi †         ??????????         ???????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ??????           Australithax intermedia †         ?????????         ??????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ?????????         ??????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0??????1         ??????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0???123?1         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena sinus         21[12]1111171         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Pterophocaena nishinoi †	0????1????	??????
Haborophocoena toyoshimai †         ??????????         ???????           Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ???????           Septemtriocetus bosselaersi †         ?????????         ???????           Piscolithax boreios †         0????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ?????????         ??????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ????????         ??????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?0???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0??????1         ??????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0???123?1         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena sinus         21[12]1111171         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Archaeophocaena teshioensis †	?????????	??????
Haborophocoena minutus †         ??????????         ????????           Septemtriocetus bosselaersi †         ??????????         ????????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ???????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ?????????         ??????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0??????1         ??????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0???123?1         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena sinus         21[12]11111?1         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         211111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Miophocaena nishinoi †	?????????	??????
Septemtriocetus bosselaersi †         ??????????         ????????           Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ???????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ?????????         ???????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0???????         ??????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0???123?1         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena sinus         21[12]11111?1         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         211111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Haborophocoena toyoshimai †	?????????	??????
Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ???????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ??????????         ??????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0??????1         ??????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0??123?1         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena sinus         21[12]1111171         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Haborophocoena minutus †	?????????	??????
Piscolithax boreios †         0?????????         ???????           Australithax intermedia †         ??????????         ???????           Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ??????????         ??????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0??????1         ??????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0??123?1         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena sinus         21[12]1111171         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Septemtriocetus bosselaersi †	?????????	??????
Lomacetus ginsburgi †         ??????????         ????????           Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0??????1         ??????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0??123?1         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena sinus         21[12]1111171         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100		0????????	??????
Salumiphocaena stocktoni †         0?2???????         10????           Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0??????1         ??????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0???123?1         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena sinus         21[12]11111?1         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Australithax intermedia †	?????????	??????
Piscolithax tedfordi †         0?0??????1         ??????           Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0??123?1         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena sinus         21[12]11111?1         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         211111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Lomacetus ginsburgi †	?????????	??????
Piscolithax longirostris †         0?0???123?1         10????           Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena sinus         21[12]11111?1         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Salumiphocaena stocktoni †	0?2??????	10????
Neophocaena phocaenoides         211[01]011101         100000           Phocoena sinus         21[12]11111?1         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Piscolithax tedfordi †	0?0??????1	??????
Phocoena sinus         21[12]11111?1         111011           Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Piscolithax longirostris †	0?0??123?1	10????
Phocoena spinipinnis         2111111101         100010           Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Neophocaena phocaenoides	211[01]011101	100000
Phocoena dioptrica         3121111101         001000           Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Phocoena sinus	21[12]11111?1	111011
Phocoena phocoena         3121111101         102100	Phocoena spinipinnis	2111111101	100010
	Phocoena dioptrica	3121111101	001000
Phocoenoides dalli         3232112311         122110	Phocoena phocoena	3121111101	102100
	Phocoenoides dalli	3232112311	122110

appear globular in lateral and dorsal view (Fig. 4E). On the third lumbar, the area affected by exostosis measures 48 mm anteroposteriorly, and 24 mm laterally. The presence of exostosis indicates that this individual suffered from serious disease or injury at some point during its life.

*Rib.*—At least eight ribs are preserved, including five from the anterior or central portion of the right ribcage (Fig. 4F, G).

## Results and discussion

Affinity of the specimen.—The spatulate teeth of NMV-5 are indicative of Phocoenidae, and resemble those of extant taxa, as well as those of the extinct species *Haborophocoena toyoshimai* Ichishima and Kimura, 2005 and *Numataphocoena yamashitai*. Among these taxa, NMV-5 is similar to the relatively basal *Haborophocoena* spp. (Fig. 5; see also Murakami et al. 2012b) in having a narrow rostrum (the rostrum of *N. yamashitai* is currently unknown). NMV-5 shares with *N. yamashitai* the presence of elongated post-cervical vertebrae, but differs from the latter in its detailed morphology (see below). Finally, NMV-5 is much smaller than *H. toyoshimai* or *N. yamashitai*, but similar in size to, *H. minutus* 


Ichishima and Kimura, 2009. However, comparisons with *H. minutus* are currently impossible owing to a lack of comparable material, except for the base of the rostrum. Overall, NMV-5 thus likely represents a basal phocoenid of uncertain generic and specific affinity.

Age estimation.—As was reported for *Phocoenoides dalli* by Kasuya (1978), but in contrast to other delphinoids, the enamel dissolved imperfectly in the formic acid. The decalcified part of the enamel stained black with hematoxylin. In addition to the enamel, the prenatal dentine, and the neonatal line, the cross section of the tooth revealed four growth layer groups (Fig. 3C, D), with each of the latter being considerably thinner than the prenatal dentine and consisting of a thin, dark-stained layer and a thick, light grey one. The presence of four growth layer groups indicates that the animal died at four years of age.

Despite its relatively young age, we consider NMV-5 to be a fully mature individual, based on the complete ankylosis of the epiphyses of all of the preserved thoracics and lumbar vertebrae (e.g., Perrin 1975; Ichishima and Kimura 2000). In achieving maturity within less than four 4 years, NMV-5 clearly differs from extant phocoenids, which reach physical maturity considerably later. Thus, Phocoenoides dalli reaches physical maturity at 7.16 years in males (95% confidence interval [CI] = 5.7-8.6 years) and 7.24 years in females (95%) CI = 6.3–8.1 years) (Ferrero and Walker 1999). In *Phocoena* phocoena, the youngest physically mature individuals observed so far were 6-year-old male and a 7-year-old female, with estimates of the age at which 50% of individuals are physically mature ranging from 8.4 years for males (95% CI = 7.4-9.9 years) to 8.1 years for females (95% CI = 7.3-9.2 years; Galatius and Kinze 2003). Finally, Neophocaena phocaenoides attains physical maturity at 14–23 years (Yoshida et al. 1994). It is furthermore noteworthy that, even when the examined individuals were very old, not all of the vertebral epiphyses were completely fused to the vertebral centra in most of the specimens included in the above studies (Yoshida et al. 1994; Galatius and Kinze 2003).

The markedly early inset of sexual maturity in NMV-5 as compared to extant phocoenids might be the result of different growths rate during ontogenesis. Extant phocoenids are thought to have undergone paedomorphic evolution (Barnes 1985; Galatius and Kinze 2003; Ichishima and Kimura 2005; Galatius et al. 2011). Specifically, the presence of a relatively short and wide rostrum, a rounded cranium, incompletely fused vertebral and flipper epiphyses, and small body size have been interpreted as evidence for progenesis (Barnes 1985; Galatius and Kinze 2003; Galatius 2005; Ichishima and Kimura 2005; Galatius et al. 2006), i.e., the onset of sexual maturity at an

Fig. 6. Comparisons of the vertebral column of living and extinct phocoenids in lateral view. A. *Pterophocaena nishinoi* Murakami, Shimada, Hikida, and Hirano, 2012a, late Miocene of Hokkaido, Japan, NMV-7. B. *Numataphocoena yamashitai* Ichishima and Kimura, 2000, early Pliocene of Hokkaido, Japan, NFL 7. C. *Piscolithax aenigmaticus* Pilleri and Siber, 1989, late Miocene of Aguada de Lomas of Peru, SMNK-PAL 6660. D. *Piscolithax longirostris* Muizon, 1983, late Miocene of Sud-Sacaco, →



Peru, MNHN SAS 934. E. Neophocaena phocaenoides Cuvier, 1829, Holocene of Japan?, NMNS M 24659. F. Phocoena sinus Norris and McFarland, 1958, Holocene of Baja California, Mexico, LACM 28259. G. Phocoena spinipinnis Burmeister, 1865, Holocene of Peru, USNM 550782. H. Phocoena dioptrica Lahille, 1912, Holocene of Tierra del Fuego, Argentina, LACM 86042. I. Phocoena phocoena Linnaeus, 1758, Holocene of Hokkaido, Japan, NMNS M 27393. J. Phocoenoides dalli True, 1885, Holocene of Iwate, Japan, NMNS M 21382. Not to scale.

early ontogenetic stage, resulting in a relatively small adult retaining juvenile morphological features (McNamara 1986). Like the progenetic extant phocoenids, NMV-5 became sexually mature at an early age and retained a small body size even as an adult. However, NMV-5 seems to have been subject to considerably faster growth rates possibly pointing to acceleration (a type of peramorphosis), which is characterized by elevated growth rates during ontogeny leading to an adult form that is no larger, and indeed often smaller, than its ancestor (McNamara 1986). Acceleration may also explain the presence of a relatively long and narrow rostrum (as inferred from the long and narrow rostra of the relatively basal phocoenids *Lomacetus ginsburgi* Muizon, 1986 and *Australithax intermedia* Muizon, 1988), although the latter might also have been the result of feeding adaptations (Werth 2006).

**Vertebral counts and dimensions.**—All extinct phocoenids, including NMV-5 and Numataphocoena yamashitai, have seven unfused cervical vertebrae, as in the outgroup Delphinapterus leucas ([character 1-0], hereafter the character number and its state according to the present analysis are given in square brackets; see Tables 2, 3). By contrast, the first three or four cervical vertebrae are fused [1-2] in Neophocaena phocaenoides (Fig. 6E), Phocoena sinus (Fig. 6F), and P. spinipinnis (Burmeister, 1865) (Fig. 6G), and all, or almost all (C1–C6), of the cervicals are fused in in *P. phocoena*, *P.* dioptrica Lahille, 1912 and Phocoenoides dalli [1-3] (Fig. 6I, H, J). Both N. yamashitai (Fig. 6B) and D. leucas have 11 thoracic vertebrae [2-0]. By contrast, *Phocoenoides dalli* and the extinct Piscolithax aenigmaticus have 15-18 and 15 thoracic vertebrae, respectively [2-2] (Figs. 6C, J, 7A), whereas all other phocoenids have 12–14 thoracic vertebrae [2-1]. Both N. yamashitai (Fig. 6B) and D. leucas have only seven lumbar vertebrae [3-0]. This number increases to 9-13 and 13, respectively, in Neophocaena phocaenoides and P. spinipinnis [3-1] (Fig. 6E, G), and to 13–17 in *P. sinus*, *P. dioptrica*, and P. phocoena [3-1 or 3-2] (Fig. 6F, H, I). Phocoenoides dalli has considerably more lumbar vertebrae (17–24) than other species [3-3] (Figs. 6J, 8). Finally, N. yamashitai and D. leucas have less than 26 caudal vertebrae [4-0]. This contrasts with 26–33 in Neophocaena phocaenoides [4-0 or 1] (Fig. 6E), 27-33 in almost all other extant phocoenids [4-1] (Fig. 6F–I), and 44–49 in *Phocoenoides dalli* [4-2] (Figs. 6J, 8).

The cervical vertebrae of even the most basal phocoenids, such as *Pterophocaena nishinoi* Murakami, Shimada, Hikida, and Hirano, 2012a (Fig. 6A) and NMV-5 (Figs. 4, 8), are considerably shorter anteroposteriorly than those of monodontids or kentriodontids. Thus, the sum of all cervical vertebral lengths as a percentage of the height of the atlas (vertebral body plus the neural canal) is less than 150% in phocoenids [6-1], but greater than 150% in *Delphinapterus leucas* [6-0]. In NMV-5, *Numataphocoena yamashitai* (Figs. 6B, 8A), and *D. leucas*, the ratio of the anteroposterior length of the centrum (CL) to the dorsoventral height of the anterior articular surface of the centrum (CH) is greater than 1.20 in more than one thoracic vertebra [7-0]. In *Neophocaena pho-*

caenoides, Phocoena sinus, P. spinipinnis, and P. phocoena, the same ratio equals 0.95 in several vertebrae [7-1] (Figs. 6E–H, 8B), whereas it is less than 0.75 in Phocoenoides dalli and Piscolithax longirostris [7-2] (Figs. 6I, J, 8A, B).

The CL/CH ratio is greater 1.20 in more than one lumbar vertebra [8-0] in NMV-5, Numataphocoena yamashitai (Figs. 6B, 8A), and Delphinapterus leucas, and greater than 0.95 in more than one lumbar vertebra in Neophocaena phocaenoides, Phocoena sinus, and P. spinipinnis [8-1] (Fig. 6E-H). By contrast, this ratio is less than 0.95 for all lumbar vertebrae in P. phocoena [8-2] (Fig. 6H), and less than 0.75 for all lumbar vertebrae in Phocoenoides dalli and Piscolithax longirostris [8-3] (Figs. 6D, J, 7B, 8A, B). Numataphocoena yamashitai (Fig. 6B) and D. leucas have fewer lumbar vertebrae than thoracic vertebrae [5-0]. By contrast, the number of lumbar vertebrae exceeds the number of thoracic vertebrae in extant phocoenids [5-1] (Fig. 6F-J), except Neophocaena phocaenoides (Fig. 6E). In Neophocaena phocaenoides (Fig. 6E), P. spinipinnis (Fig. 6G), P. phocoena (Fig. 6I), and D. leucas, the CL of the vertebrae forming the torso (lumbar + caudal vertebrae anterior to the tail stock; Buchholtz and Schur 2004) is greater than the CL of the vertebrae forming the tail stock [9-0]. Only *Phocoenoides* (Fig. 6J) displays a secondary increase in the CL of the tail stock vertebrae [9-1].

The vertebral counts in each region of the vertebral column of Numataphocoena yamashitai are nearly identical to those of the outgroup taxon Delphinapterus leucas. By contrast, other phocoenids, as exemplified in particular by *Phocoenoi*des dalli (Fig. 6J), are characterised by increased numbers of thoracic, lumbar, and caudal vertebrae, implying that changes in vertebral counts across different regions of the vertebral column may be correlated. In these animals, the posterior thoracic and anterior caudal vertebrae resemble the lumbars in terms of the height and inclination of their neural processes ("lumbarisation" sensu Buchholtz et al. 2005). Taken together, the increased vertebral counts and lumbarisation result in an expanded mid-body region, thus providing the greater rigidity to the column and skeletal support for epaxial and hypaxial muscles responsible for generating the propulsive force (Buchholtz et al. 2005). Increased vertebral counts also correlate negatively with the relative lengths of the centra, with most phocoenids having considerably shorter vertebrae than monodontids. The absolute displacement of the posterior face of a vertebra from the axis of the vertebra anterior to it decreases with decreasing centrum length, thus resulting in a more rigid vertebral column allowing high-speed swimming (Buchholtz 2001; Buchholtz and Schur 2004). Within Phocoenidae, the relative length of the centra seems to be shortest in Phocoenoides dalli, Piscolithax longirostris and possibly Pterophocaena nishinoi, followed by most of the extant species, NMV-5, and Numataphocoena yamashitai (Fig. 8).

**Centrum faces.**—The thoracic and lumbar vertebrae of *Delphinapterus leucas* and *Numataphocoena yamashitai* have irregular faces, ranging from amphicoelous to slightly procoelous or opisthocoelous [10-0]. By contrast, all other




Fig. 7. Vertebral character trait evolution across phocoenids and related delphinoids. **A**. Character 2, thoracic vertebral counts. **B**. Character 8, ratio of centrum length/centrum height of lumbar vertebrae. **C**. Character 12, height of neural spine. **D**. Character 14, regional anterior inclination of neural arches. See Table 2 for detailed character descriptions.




Fig. 8. Comparison of centrum length/centrum height across Phocoenidae. A. Extinct species: *Numataphocoena yamashitai*, NFL 7, NMV-5; *Pterophocaena nishinoi*, NMV-7; *Piscolithax longirostris*, MNHN SAS 940. B. Extant species: *Neophocaena phocaenoides*, NMNS M 21382; *Phocoena dioptrica*, USNM 571486; *Phocoena spinipinnis*, USNM 550782; *Phocoena sinus*, NHMUK 69678 (from Noble and Fraser 1971).

extinct and extant phocoenids have amphicoelous thoracic and lumbar vertebrae [10-1]. Since flattened or amphicoelus centra increase the rigidity of the vertebral column at the expense of manoeuvrability (Buchholtz and Schur 2004), all phocoenids except *N. yamashitai* can be inferred to have a more rigid vertebral column than monodontids.

Transverse processes of the lumbar vertebrae.—The morphology of the transverse processes may affect the lateral movement of the vertebral column as it determines the anteroposterior length of the intertransverse ligaments adjacent to the vertebrae. The narrow transverse processes likely decrease the length of the ligaments, thus making the column more rigid. *Delphinapterus leucas*, *Numataphocoena yamashitai*, and *Phocoena dioptrica* retain bulbous transverse processes of the lumbar vertebrae, previously shown to be a synapomorphy of Delphinida (Muizon 1984) [11-0]. By contrast, the transverse processes of other phocoenids, including NMV-5, are anteroposteriorly narrow and have nearly parallel anterior and posterior edges [11-1] (Fig. 4).

#### Orientations and lengths of the neural spines and arches.—

The orientation and length of the neural arches and spines correlate with the forces of the longissimus and multifidus muscles (Slijper 1936, 1961; Buchholtz 2001; Buchholtz and Schur 2004), with long neural spines providing larger attachment areas for the locomotor muscles (Buchholtz et al. 2004) and increasing the overall second moment of the cross-sec-

tional area of the intervertebral ligaments (Long et al. 1997). The neural spines of the posterior thoracic and anterior lumbar vertebrae are low in *Piscolithax longirostris*, *Phocoena spinipinnis*, *P. dioptrica*, *P. phocoena*, and especially *Neophocaena phocaenoides* (greatest height of vertebra/centrum height <4.0; Fig. 6D, E, G–I) [12-0]. By contrast, those of NMV-5 and of several vertebrae of *P. sinus* are relatively high (vertebra/centrum height = 4.0–5.0; Figs. 4, 6F, 7C) [12-1], with those of *Phocoenoides dalli* being yet higher (vertebra/centrum height > 5.0; Fig. 6J) [12-2].

The neural spines of the posterior thoracic and anterior lumbar vertebrae are strongly inclined posteriorly in *Delphinapterus leucas*, NMV-5, *Neophocaena phocaenoides*, and *Phocoena spinipinnis* [13-0], and somewhat less so in *Piscolithax aenigmaticus*, *Phocoena sinus*, and *P. dioptrica* [13-1] (Fig. 6D, F, H). By contrast, the neural spines in this region point anteriorly in *P. phocoena* and *Phocoenoides dalli* [13-2] (Fig. 6I, J). In *D. leucas* and most phocoenids, the neural arches of the posterior thoracic and anterior lumbar vertebrae are vertical or oriented posteriorly [14-0], whereas they are oriented anteriorly in *P. phocoena* and *Phocoenoides dalli* [14-1] (Figs. 6I, J, 7D). In the latter taxa, the anterior inclination of the neural spines likely enhances the flexion of the fluke during locomotion, as indicated by Slijper (1961).

**Metapophyses**.—As in *Delphinapterus leucas*, the thoracic and torso vertebrae of most phocoenids bear well-developed

[15-0], low metapophyses [16-0]. By contrast, metapophyses are reduced or absent in the vertebrae forming the torso of *P. sinus* (in which they are also elevated [16-1]), *P. spinipinnis*, and *Phocoenoides dalli* [15-1] (Fig. 6F, G, J). Elevated metapophyses increase the distance between the force application and the axis of rotation, thus enhancing mechanical advantage, but limiting angular rotation (Buchholtz and Schur 2004). Metapophyses also provide insertion sites for the main effectors of column extension (the multifidus and longissimus muscle systems); thus, their absence or reduction in *P. sinus*, *P. spinipinnis*, and *Phocoenoides dalli* likely reflects an increase in columnar rigidity (Buchholtz and Schur 2004).

Character evolution.—The evolution of vertebral traits related to swimming ability in Phocoenidae is not a simple, stepwise process (Fig. 7B, C), with several characters (3, 7, 8, 12, and 16) apparently having emerged convergently in several extant and extinct lineages (Table 3). This pattern would likely turn out to be even more complex if it were possible to determine the phylogenetic relationships of NMV-5, Numataphocoena vamashitai, and Piscolithax aenigmaticus. Phocoenoides dalli stands out for having evolved a suite of highly derived characters (Fig. 7, Table 3) nearly identical to that of Leucopleurus acutus (Fig. 7, Table 3; Buchholtz and Schur 2004; Buchholtz et al. 2005), allowing it to swim at a maximum speed of 55 km/h (Leatherwood and Reeves 1986). Among phocoenids, only Phocoenoides dalli and Phocoena phocoena show neural spine syncliny (reversed spine orientation), which was emphasised by Buchholtz and Schur (2004) as an early and critical step in the evolution of the delphinid column. Apart from Phocoenoides dalli, the vertebral columns of P. phocoena, P. sinus, and Piscolithax longirostris are also highly derived, despite these species belonging to different lineages.

Neophocaena phocaenoides has the most archaic column among extant phocoenids, with several features enhancing columnar flexibility rather than the rigidity needed for highspeed swimming. This morphology of this species may be related to its shallow coastal water or riverine habitats, where the submarine topography is undulating. The vertebral traits of Numataphocoena yamashitai are nearly identical to those of the monodontid *Delphinapterus leucas*, and thus likely the ancestral morphology of phocoenids as a whole. Although the swimming ability and manoeuvrability of N. yamashitai may have been similar to that of *Delphinapterus*, the ecology of these two species is likely not comparable owing to differences in body size, latitudinal distribution, and the morphology of the feeding apparatus. The vertebral morphology of NMV-5 is intermediate between that of *D. leucas* and *N. ya*mashitai on the one hand Neophocaena phocaenoides on the other, although it is more similar to the former. However, the height of the neural spines of NMV-5 exceeds that of all three of these three species, as well as that of most of its extant relatives. This observation suggests that NMV-5 may have been capable of faster swimming speeds than Neophocaena phocaenoides, thus exemplifying the complex evolutionary

history of the vertebral column in phocoenids. In addition, the different vertebral morphologies of the contemporaneous taxa *Numataphocoena yamashitai*, *Piscolithax longirostris*, and NMV-5 (early Pliocene) may indicate their adaptation to different environments.

### Conclusions

NMV-5, a new, small fossil phocoenid from the lower Pliocene Koetoi Formation, Hokkaido, northern Japan, is characterised by a unique combination of characters, including a small and narrow rostrum, long centra of the thoracic and lumbar vertebrae, and high neural spines. Dental growth layer groups indicate that NMV-5 attained physical maturity at a considerably younger age than extant phocoenids, as evidenced by ankylosis of the vertebral epiphyses. This might have resulted from a developmental pattern different from the progenesis observed in extant phocoenids, although more information is required to confirm this.

Numataphocoena yamashitai and Phocoenoides dalli represent the ends of an anatomical continuum in terms of phocoenid vertebral morphology, with the vertebral column of the former being the most archaic and nearly identical to that of the monodontid Delphinapterus leucas. Phocoenid vertebral evolution has been complex and frequently convergent, as opposed to stepwise and unidirectional (fast swimmer vs. maneuverable swimmer), as clearly demonstrated by the combination of primitive and highly derived vertebral characters in NMV-5. Its high neural spines suggest that NMV-5 had a relatively strong propulsive ability. At the same time, different vertebral morphologies observed in other, contemporaneous living and fossil taxa suggest that they were adapted to different environments.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Christine Argot and Christian De Muizon (both MNHN), Lawrence Barnes, James Dine, David Janiger, Samuel MacLeod (all LACM), Giovanni Bianucci and Chiara Sorbini (both Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy), David Bohaska, James Mead, Charles Potter, John Ososky, Nicholas Pyenson (all USNM), Elisabetta Cioppi (Museo di Geologia e Paleontologia dell'Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy), Thomas Deméré and Kesler Randall (both San Diego Natural History Museum, San Diego, USA), Ewan Fordyce (Otago University, New Zealand), Hitoshi Furusawa (Sapporo Museum Activity Center, Sapporo, Japan), Judith Galkin and Eileen Westwig (both American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA), Stephen Godfrey (Calvert Marine Museum, Solomons, USA), Patricia Holroyd (University of California Museum of Paleontology, Berkley, USA), Hiroto Ichishima (Fukui Prefectural Dinosaur Museum, Katsuyama, Japan), Toshiyuki Kimura (Gunma Museum of Natural History, Tomioka, Japan), Yoshiki Koda (Ibaraki Nature Museum, Bando, Japan), Naoki Kohno, Nozomi Kurihara, Manami Makara, Yuko Tajima, Tadasu Yamada, Akiko Yatabe (all NMNS), Olivier Lambert (Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, Brussels, Belgium), Miki Mizushima and Yuhji Soeda (both Historical Museum of Hokkaido, Sapporo, Japan), Daniele Ormezzano (Museo Geopalaeontologico, Università di Torino, Torino, Italy), Gianluca Raineri (Museo Palaeontologico di Salsomaggiore Terme, Salsomaggiore Terme, Italy), Carlo Sarti and Gian Vai (both Museo Geopaleontologico G. Capellini, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy), Satoshi Shinohara (Numata Fossil Museum, Numata, Japan), Gary Takeuchi (George C. Page Museum, Los Angeles, USA), Yasemin Tulu (Holland Museum, Holland, USA), and Roberto Zorzin (Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona, Verona, Italy) for access to the specimens under their care and valuable suggestions. The authors are grateful to Ichiro Iwasaki (Saitama, Japan) for helping to prepare the thin section and Shinya Miyata and Kazuma Seike (both Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan) for counting growth layers of a tooth. The authors are thankful to Donald Hurlbert, Barbara Watanabe (both USNM), the Calvert Marine Museum, and the Clarissa and Lincoln Dryden Endowment for Paleontology at the Calvert Marine Museum for their financial support. The authors acknowledge Anders Galatius (Aarhus University, Roskilde, Denmark), an anonymous reviewer and editors Felix G. Marx (NMNS) and Mark D. Uhen (George Mason University, Virginia, USA) for reviews that greatly enhanced the manuscript.

## References

- Barnes, L.G. 1985. Evolution, taxonomy and antitropical distributions of the porpoises (Phocoenidae, Mammalia). *Marine Mammal Science* 1: 149–165.
- Brisson, M.J. 1762. Le regnum animale in classes IX distributum, sive synopsis methodica sistens generalem animalium distributionem in classes IX, & duarum primarum classium, quadrupedum scilicet & cetaceorum, particularem dibvisionem in ordines, sectiones, genera & species. 296 pp. T. Haak, Paris.
- Brownell, R.L., Jr. 1975. *Phocoena dioptrica. Mammalian Species* 66: 1–3. Brownell, R.L., Jr. 1983. *Phocoena sinus. Mammalian Species* 193: 1–3. Brownell, R.L., Jr. and Praderi, R. 1984. *Phocoena spinipinnis. Mammalian Species* 227: 1–4.
- Buchholtz, E.A. 1998. Implications of vertebral morphology for locomotor evolution in early Cetacea. *In*: J.G.M. Thewissen (ed.), *The Emergence of Whales: Evolutionary Patterns in the Origin of Cetacea*, 325–351. Plenum Press, New York.
- Buchholtz, E.A. 2001. Vertebral osteology and swimming style in living and fossil whales (Order Cetacea). *Journal of Zoology, London* 253: 175–190.
- Buchholtz, E.A. and Schur, S.A. 2004. Vertebral osteology in Delphinidae (Cetacea). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 140: 383–401.
- Buchholtz, E.A., Wolkovich, E.M., and Cleary, R.J. 2005. Vertebral osteology and complexity in *Lagenorhynchus acutus* (Delphinidae) with comparison to other delphinoid genera. *Marine Mammal Science* 21: 411–428.
- Burmeister, H. 1865. Description of a new species of porpoise in the Museum of Buenos Ayres. *Proceedings of the Scientific Meetings of the Zoological Society of London* 1865: 228–231.
- Cuvier, G. 1829. Le règne animal distribué d'après son organsation, pour servir de base a l'histoire naturelle des animaux et d'introduction a l'anatomie comparée. 584 pp. Chez Déterville, Libraire, Paris.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Phylogenies and the comparative method. *American Naturalist* 125: 1–15.
- Ferrero, R. and Walker, W. 1999. Age, growth, and reproductive patterns of Dall's porpoise (*Phocoenoides dalli*) in the central North Pacific Ocean. *Marine Mammal Science* 15: 273–313.
- Fish, F.E. and Hui, C.A. 1991. Dolphin swimming—a review. *Mammal Reviews* 21: 181–195.
- Flower, W.H. 1867. Description of the skeleton of *Inia geoffrensis* and of the skull of *Pontoporia blainvillei* with remarks on the systematic position of these animals in the order Cetacea. *Transactions of the Zoological Society of London* 6: 87–116.

- Fukusawa, H. 1985. Late Neogene formations in the Tempoku-Haboro region, Hokkaido, Japan—stratigraphic reinvestigation of the "Wakkanai" and "Koetoi" Formations [in Japanese, with English abstract]. *Journal of the Geological Society of Japan* 91: 883–849.
- Fukusawa, H., Hoyanagi, K., and Akiyama, M. 1992. Stratigraphic and paleoenvironmental study of the Neogene formations in northern Central Hokkaido, Japan [in Japanese, with English abstract]. *Memoirs of the Geological Society of Japan* 37: 1–10.
- Galatius, A. 2005. Sexually dimorphic proportions of the Harbour Porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) skeleton. *Journal of Anatomy* 206: 141–154.
- Galatius, A. and Kinze, C.C. 2003. Ankylosis patterns in the postcranial skeleton and hyoid bones of the harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) in the Baltic and North Sea. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 81: 1851–1861.
- Galatius, A., Andersen, M.-B.E.R., Haugan, B., Langhoff, H.E., and Jespersen, Å. 2006. Timing of epiphyseal development in the flipper skeleton of the harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) as an indicator of paedomorphosis. *Acta Zoologica* 87: 77–82.
- Galatius, A., Berta, A., Frandsen, M.S., and Goodall, R.N.P. 2011. Interspecific variation of ontogeny and skull shape among porpoises (Phocoenidae). *Journal of Morphology* 272: 136–148.
- Gaskin, D.E., Arnold, P.W., and Blair, P.W. 1974. *Phocoena phocoena*. *Mammalian Species* 42: 1–8.
- Gray, J.E. 1821. On the natural arrangement of vertebrose animals. *London Medical Repository* 15: 296–310.
- Gray, J.E. 1825. An outline of an attempt at the disposition of Mammalia into tribes and families, with a list of the genera apparently appertaining to each tribe. *Philosophical Annals* 26: 337–344.
- Gurevich, V.S., Stewart, B.S., and Cornell, L.H. 1980. The use of tetracycline in age determination of common dolphins, *Delphinus delphis. In*: W.F. Perrin and A.C. Myrick Jr. (eds.), Age Determination of Toothed Whales and Sirenians. *International Whaling Commission* Special Issue 3: 165–169.
- Heyning, J.E. and Dahlheim, M.E. 1988. *Orcinus orca. Mammalian Species* 304: 1–8.
- Hoyanagi, K., Miyasaka, S., Watanabe, Y., Kimura, G., and Matsui, M. 1986. Depositions of turbidites in the Miocene collision zone, central Hokkaido [in Japanese, with English abstract]. The Association for the Geological Collaboration in Japan Monograph 31: 265–284.
- Hulbert, R.C., Jr., Petkewich, R.M., Bishop, G.A., Bukry, D., and Aleshire, D.P. 1998. A new Middle Eocene protocetid whale (Mammalia: Cetacea: Archaeoceti) and associated biota from Georgia. *Journal of Paleontology* 72: 907–927.
- Ichishima, H. and Kimura, M. 2000. A new fossil porpoise (Cetacea; Delphinoidea; Phocoenidae) from the Early Pliocene Horokaoshirarika Formation, Hokkaido, Japan. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 20: 561–576.
- Ichishima, H. and Kimura, M. 2005. *Haborophocoena toyoshimai*, a new fossil porpoise (Cetacea; Phocoenidae) from Hokkaido, Japan. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 25: 655–664.
- Ichishima, H. and Kimura, M. 2009. A new species of *Haborophocoena*, an Early Pliocene phocoenid cetacean from Hokkaido, Japan. *Marine Mammal Science* 25: 855–874.
- Ichishima, H., Sato, E., Sagayama, T., and Kimura, M. 2006. The oldest record of Eschrichtidae (Cetacea: Mysticeti) from the Late Pliocene, Hokkaido, Japan. *Journal of Paleontology* 80: 367–379.
- International Whaling Commission 1969. Report of the meeting on age determination in whales. *Reports of the International Whaling Commission* 19: 131–137.
- Jefferson, T.A. 1988. Phocoenoides dalli. Mammalian Species 319: 1–7.
  Jefferson, T.A. and Hung, S. K. 2004. Neophocaena phocaenoides. Mammalian Species 746: 1–12.
- Kasuya, T. 1978. The life history of Dall's porpoise with special reference to the stock off the Pacific coast of Japan. Scientific Reports of the Whales Research Institute 30: 1–63.
- Kasuya, T. 1983. Cetacean teeth and age determination (II) [in Japanese]. Science and Experiment 1983: 47–53.
- Kohono, N., Hikida, Y., and Shimada, C. 2002. Morphology of the odo-

- benid pinniped (Mammalia: Carnivora) from the Upper Miocene Wakkanai Formation, Nakagawa Town, Hokkaido, Japan [in Japanese, with English abstract]. *Bulletin of the Nakagawa Museum of Natural History* 5: 23–36.
- Lahille, F. 1912. Nota preliminar sobre una nueva especie de marsopa del Río de la Plata *Phocoena dioptrica*. Anales del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural de Buenos Aires 23: 269–278.
- Lambert, O., Schlögl, J., and Kováč, M. 2008. Middle Miocene toothed whale with Platanista-like teeth from the Vienna Basin (Western Carpathians, Slovakia). Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen 250: 157–166.
- Leatherwood, S. and Reeves, R.R. 1986. Porpoises and dolphins. *In*: D. Haley (ed.), *Marine Mammals of the Eastern North Pacific and Arctic Waters*, 110–131. Pacific Search Press, Seattle.
- Linnaeus, C. 1758. Systema naturae per regna tria naturae secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis, Editio decima, reformata. 824 pp. Salvius, Stockholm.
- Long, J.H., Jr., Pabst, D.A., Shepherd, W.R., and McLellan, W.A. 1997. Locomotor design of dolphin vertebral columns: bending mechanics and morphology in *Delphinus delphis*. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 200: 65–81.
- Maddison, W.P. and Maddison, D.R. 2010. Mesquite: a Modular System for Evolutionary Analysis. Version 2.73. Available at http://mesquiteproject.org
- McGowen, M.R., Spaulding, M., and Gatesy, J. 2009. Divergence date estimation and a comprehensive molecular tree of extant cetaceans. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 53: 891–906.
- McNamara, K.J. 1986. A guide to the nomenclature of heterochrony. *Journal of Paleontology* 60: 4–13.
- Mead, J.G. and Fordyce, R.E. 2009. The therian skull: a lexicon with emphasis on the Odontocetes. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 627: 1–248
- Muizon, C. de 1983. Un nouveau Phocoenidae (Cetacea) du Pliocène inférieur du Pérou. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, Série II* 296: 1203–1206.
- Muizon, C. de 1984. Les vertébrés fossiles de la Formation Pisco (Pérou). Deuxième partie: les odontocètes (Cetacea, Mammalia) du Pliocène inférieur de Sud Sacaco. *Institut Français d'Études Andines Mémoire* 50: 1–188.
- Muizon, C. de 1986. Un nouveau Phocoenidae (Odontoceti, Mammalia) du Miocène supérieur de la Formation Pisco (Pérou). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, Série II* 303: 1509–1512.
- Muizon, C. de 1988. Les vertébrés fossiles de la Formation Pisco (Pérou). Troisième partie: les odontocètes (Cetacea, Mammalia) du Miocène. Institut Français D'Études Andines Mémoire 78: 1–244.
- Murakami, M., Shimada, C., Hikida, Y., and Hirano, H. 2012a. A new basal porpoise, *Pterophocaena nishinoi* (Cetacea, Odontoceti, Delphinoidea), from the upper Miocene of Japan and its phylogenetic relationships. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 32: 1157–1171.
- Murakami, M., Shimada, C., Hikida, Y., and Hirano, H. 2012b. Two new basal phocoenids (Cetacea, Odontoceti, Delphinoidea), from the upper Miocene Koetoi Formation of Japan and their phylogenetic significances. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 32: 1172–1185.
- Myrick, A.C., Jr. 1979. Variation, Taphonomy and Adaptation of the Rhabdosteidae (Eurhinodelphidae) (Odontoceti, Mammalia) from the Calvert Formation of Maryland and Virginia. 347 pp. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Noble, B.A. and Fraser, F.C. 1971. Description of a skeleton and supplementary notes on the skull of a rare Porpoise *Phocoena sinus* Norris and McFarland 1958. *Journal of Natural History* 5: 447–464.
- Norris, K.S. and McFarland, W.N. 1958. A new harbor Porpoise of the genus *Phocoena* from the Gulf of California. *Journal of Mammalogy* 39: 22–39
- Pallas, P.S. 1776. Reise durch verschiedene Provinzen des Russischen Reichs, Vol. 2. 796 pp. Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, St. Petersbourg.

- Perrin, W.F. 1975. Variation of spotted and spinner porpoise (genus Stenella) in the Eastern Pacific and Hawaii. Bulletin of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography 21: 1–206.
- Perrin, W.F. 2001. Stenella attenuata. Mammalian Species 683: 1-8.
- Perrin, W.F. and Myrick, A.C., Jr. (eds.) 1980. Age determination of toothed whales and sirenians. *International Whaling Commission* Special Issue 3: 1–229.
- Pierce, K.V. and Kajimura, H. 1980. Acid etching and highlighting for defining growth layers in cetacean teeth. *In*: W.F. Perrin and A.C. Myrick, Jr. (eds.), Age Determination of Toothed Whales and Sirenians. *International Whaling Commission* Special Issue 3: 99–103.
- Pilleri, G. and Siber, H.J. 1989. Piscorhynchus aenigmaticus, ein neuer Miozäner Zahnwal aus der Pisco-Formation Perus. In: G. Pilleri (ed.), Beiträge zur paläontologia der cetacean Perus, 193–218. University of Berne, Hirnanatomisches Institut, Berne.
- Racicot, R.A., Deméré, T.A., Beatty, B.L., and Boessenecker, R.W. 2014. Unique feeding morphology in a new prognathous extinct porpoise from the Pliocene of California. *Current Biology* 24: 774–779.
- Sagayama, T. and Hoyanagi, K. 1993. Neogene diatom biostratigraphy and sea-level fall around 10 Ma in northern Hokkaido, Japan [in Japanese, with English abstract]. *Earth Science* 47: 423–438
- Shimada, C., Hikida, H., Hasegawa, S., and Nishino, T. 1998. Diatom age of calcareous concretions containing marine mammal fossil from Nakagawa-cho, Northern Hokkaido [in Japanese, with English abstract]. Bulletin of the Nakagawa Museum of Natural History 1: 103–112.
- Slijper, E.J. 1936. Die Cetaceen, Vergleichend-Anatomisch and Systematisch. Capita Zoologica 6–7: 1–590.
- Slijper, E.J. 1961. Locomotion and locomotory organs in whale s and dolphins (Cetacea). Symposia of the Zoological Society of London 5: 77–94.
- Stacey, P.J., Leatherwood, S., and Baird, R.W. 1994. False killer whale. Mammalian Species 456: 1–6.
- Stewart, B.E. and Stewart, R.E.A. 1989. *Delphinapterus leucas. Mammalian Species* 336: 1–8.
- Swofford, D.L. 1998. PAUP\*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony and Other Methods. Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.
- Tomida, Y. and Kohno, N. 1992. Fossil marine mammals from the Koetoi Formation (middle Late Miocene to Early Miocene) in Wakkanai City, Northern Hokkaido, Japan [in Japanese, with English abstract]. *Memoirs of the National Science Museum* 25: 49–56.
- True, F.W. 1885. On a new species of porpoise, *Phocaena dalli*, from Alaska. *Bulletin of the United States National Museum* 8: 95–98.
- True, F.W. 1908. The fossil cetacean, *Dorudon serratus* Gibbes. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology* 52: 5–78.
- Uyeno, T. 1992. Fossil Marine Fishes from the Koetoi Formation (Middle Late Miocene to Early Pliocene) in Wakkanai City, Northern Hokkaido, Japan [in Japanese, with English abstract]. *Memoirs of the National Science Museum* 25: 41–48.
- Werth, A.J. 2006. Mandibular and dental variation and the evolution of suction feeding in odontoceti. *Journal of Mammalogy* 87: 579–587.
- West, K.L., Mead, J.G., and White, W. 2011. Steno bredanensis. Mammalian Species 43: 177–189.
- Wilson, L.E. 1973. A delphinid (Mammalia, Cetacea) from the Miocene of Palos Verdes Hills, California. *University of California Publications in Geological Sciences* 103: 1–34.
- Yanagisawa, Y. and Akiba, F. 1998. Refined Neogene diatom biostratigraphy for the northwest Pacific around Japan, with an introduction of code numbers for selected diatom biohorizons. *Journal of the Geological Society of Japan* 104: 395–414.
- Yasue, A., Akiba, F., Ohira, H., and Ishii, E. 2006. The upper Pliocene diatom zone and Fission-track age of the upper Koetoi Formation around the Sarobetsu anchcline, northern Hokkaido [in Japanese, with English abstract]. *Journal of the Geological Society of Japan* 112: 284–293.
- Yoshida, H., Shirakihara, M., Takemura, A., and Shirakihara, K. 1994. Development, sexual dimorphism, and individual variation in the skeleton of the finless porpoise, *Neophocaena phocaenoides*, in the coastal waters of western Kyushu, Japan. *Marine Mammal Science* 10: 266–282.