



SEROEPIDEMIOLOGY OF LEPTOSPIROSIS IN MINNESOTA WOLVES

Authors: Khan, Muhammad A., Goyal, Sagar M., Diesch, Stanley L., Mech, L. David, and Fritts, Steven H.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 27(2) : 248-253

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: <https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-27.2.248>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

SEROEPIDEMIOLOGY OF LEPTOSPIROSIS IN MINNESOTA WOLVES

Muhammad A. Khan,¹ Sagar M. Goyal,¹ Stanley L. Diesch,¹ L. David Mech,² and Steven H. Fritts²

¹ Department of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108, USA

² U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, Laurel, Maryland 20708, USA

(Mailing address: North Central Forest Experiment Station, 1992 Folwell Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108, USA)

ABSTRACT: Serum samples ($n = 457$) from wolves (*Canis lupus*) in northern Minnesota were collected from 1972 through 1986 and were tested for antibodies against *Leptospira interrogans* using a microtiter agglutination test. Twelve serovars included in the study were: *australis*, *autumnalis*, *ballum*, *bataviae*, *bratislava*, *canicola*, *copenhageni*, *grippotyphosa*, *hardjo*, *pomona*, *pyrogenes*, and *tarassovi*. Fifty-two (11%) sera had antibody titers of $\geq 1:50$ against one or more serovars of *L. interrogans*. The seroprevalence of different serovars in decreasing order was: *grippotyphosa*, *bratislava*, *autumnalis*, *canicola*, *pomona*, *ballum*, *pyrogenes*, *hardjo*, and *copenhageni*. No antibodies were found against *australis*, *bataviae*, and *tarassovi*. These results indicate that *L. interrogans* infection may occur in wolves of Minnesota.

Key words: Leptospirosis, *Leptospira interrogans*, serovars, wolves, *Canis lupus*, seroepidemiology, zoonosis, survey.

INTRODUCTION

Leptospirosis is a widely distributed zoonosis which affects most mammals throughout the world and is caused by a pathogenic spirochete of the genus *Leptospira* (Thiermann, 1984). All pathogenic leptospires are classified under one species, *L. interrogans*, which contains more than 170 serovars organized into 19 serogroups (Nielsen et al., 1989). *Leptospira interrogans* usually occurs in the kidneys of the infected host and is excreted into the environment through their urine (Diesch and McCulloch, 1966). Susceptible hosts can become infected directly by contact with urine or indirectly by contact with urine-contaminated water, moist soil, stagnant ponds and slow-moving streams where *L. interrogans* can survive for weeks (Roth, 1970; Hathaway et al., 1983a). The disease caused by *L. interrogans* is characterized by abortion and stillbirth in cattle and pigs; periodic ophthalmia (moon blindness) in horses; and acute febrile illness with myalgia, headache, meningitis and occasional kidney failure in humans (Hanson and Tripathy, 1981; Faine, 1986). In humans, leptospirosis was first reported in 1886 by Adolf Weil in Germany (Khan and Diesch, 1987).

Many wild species can act as reservoirs

of *L. interrogans* for other wild or domestic animals and even for humans (Hathaway et al., 1981; Hathaway and Blackmore, 1981). Leptospirosis has been reported in foxes (*Vulpes sp.*) (Clark et al., 1961), coyotes (*Canis latrans*) (Cirone et al., 1978; Drewek et al., 1981), and jackals (*Canis sp.*) (van der Hoeden, 1955). Serological evidence of leptospirosis has recently been reported in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) of Minnesota (Ingebrigtsen et al., 1986). The objective of the present study was to determine the seroprevalence of 12 selected serovars of *L. interrogans* in wolves (*Canis lupus*) from Minnesota (USA).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four hundred fifty seven serum samples were collected from wolves in northern Minnesota that were live-trapped and anesthetized. Blood was collected in glass tubes by saphenous or cephalic venipuncture. Serum was separated by centrifugation and stored at -20 C until tested. Most samples were collected during June through October 1972 to 1986 and were tested in 1987. These sera have been used in previous surveys for canine pathogens (Mech et al., 1986; Goyal et al., 1986).

The following *L. interrogans* serovars were included in the study: *australis*, *autumnalis*, *ballum*, *bataviae*, *bratislava*, *canicola*, *copenhageni*, *grippotyphosa*, *hardjo*, *pomona*, *pyrogenes*, and *tarassovi*. These serovars have been incriminated as a cause of disease in different

TABLE 1. Titers of *Leptospira interrogans* antibodies in sera of wolves in Minnesota.

Serovar ^a	Number positive (%) at $\geq 1:50$	Number of sera showing titer ^b of					
		50	100	200	400	800	>1,600
Autumnalis	15 (3.3)	5	1	4	1	2	2 ^c
Ballum	3 (0.7)	2	1	0	0	0	0
Bratislava	18 (3.9)	14	1	2	1	0	0
Canicola	13 (2.8)	5	3	3	2	0	0
Copenhageni	3 (0.7)	3	0	0	0	0	0
Grippotyphosa	24 (5.3)	9	4	6	3	1	1 ^d
Hardjo	2 (0.4)	1	1	0	0	0	0
Pomona	7 (1.5)	2	1	3	1	0	0
Pyrogenes	7 (1.5)	1	1	3	1	1	0
	92 (20) ^e	42	13	21	9	4	3

^a No serum was positive for serovars *australis*, *bataviae*, and *tarassovi*.

^b Reciprocal of highest serum dilution showing 50% agglutination.

^c One serum was positive at 1:1600 and the other at 1:6400.

^d This serum had a titer of 1:1600.

^e Of 457 sera examined, 52 were positive at $\geq 1:50$. Because some sera had antibodies to more than one serovar, the number of positive sera appears to be 92.

species of hosts in various parts of the world (Faine, 1982). Stock cultures of these bacteria were obtained in semi-solid media from the National Veterinary Services Laboratory (United States Department of Agriculture, Ames, Iowa 50010, USA). These organisms were sub-cultured in both semi-solid and liquid (bovine albumin-polysorbate 80, Intergen Company, Purchase, New York 10577, USA) media in our laboratory. The stock cultures were maintained in semi-solid media and liquid cultures were used as sources of antigen for the microagglutination test.

The microscopic agglutination test (Cole et al., 1979) was performed in 96-well, flat-bottom microtiter plates (Linbro; Flow Laboratories, McLean, Virginia 22102, USA). Briefly, a 1:25 dilution of test serum was made in phosphate buffered saline, pH 7.2. To 50 μ l of this diluted serum was added 50 μ l of live antigen at 25 nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) making a final serum dilution of 1:50. The plates were incubated at 29 C for 120 \pm 30 min and examined for agglutination under a dark-field microscope. The samples found positive on screening at a 1:50 dilution were further titrated using serial two-fold dilutions of up to 1:6,400. We considered microagglutination titers of $\geq 1:50$ as positive.

Data were analyzed via a Chi square test with Statistix software (N.H. Analytical Software, St. Paul, Minnesota 55104, USA, 1985) and an IBM personal computer (IBM, PC, International Business Machines, Rochester, Minnesota 55901, USA).

RESULTS

Of 457 sera examined, 52 (11.4%) were positive at titers of $\geq 1:50$, and 31 (6.8%) were positive at titers of $\geq 1:100$ for antibodies against one or more serovar of *L. interrogans*. Because some sera were positive for more than one serovar, the number of positive samples is shown to be 92 in Table 1. Of the 52 positive sera, 25 were positive to more than one serovar. Twelve, 11, and 2 serum samples contained antibodies against two, three, and four serovars, respectively. At titers of $\geq 1:50$, the prevalence of antibodies to serovars in decreasing order was: *grippotyphosa* (5.3%), *bratislava* (3.9%), *autumnalis* (3.3%), *canicola* (2.8%), *pomona* (1.5%), *pyrogenes* (1.5%), *ballum* (0.7%), *copenhageni* (0.7%), and *hardjo* (0.4%). None of the samples was positive for serovars *australis*, *bataviae*, and *tarassovi*. The range in titers of antibodies to different serovars was: $\geq 1:50$ –6,400 for *autumnalis*; $\geq 1:50$ –1,600 for *grippotyphosa*; $\geq 1:50$ –800 for *pyrogenes*; $\geq 1:50$ –400 for *bratislava*, *canicola* and *pomona*; and $\geq 1:50$ –100 for *ballum* and *hardjo*. Antibody titers against serovar *copenhageni* were positive at 1:50 only.

Of wolves whose sex was known, 212

TABLE 2. Distribution of *Leptospira interrogans* antibodies in wolves from Minnesota according to counties.

County	Number tested	Number positive (%)	Number showing a titer of >1:50 against*							
			Autumnalis	Bal-lum	Brati-slava	Canic-ola	Gripp-o	Hardjo	Pomo-na	Pyro-genes
Lake	181	15 (8.3)	3	0	4	8	2	0	0	1
Beltrami	9	1 (11.1)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Koochiching	22	5 (22.7)	1	0	2	1	4	0	1	1
St. Louis	20	7 (35.0)	1	2	4	0	7	0	1	0
Kittson	62	3 (4.8)	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0
Itasca	11	3 (27.3)	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	0
Roseau	2	0 (0.0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	307 ^b	34 (11.2)	8	2	11	10	17	1	4	2

* Some of the animals showed titers to two or more serovars.

^b Excludes samples for which county of origin was unknown.

were males and 198 were females. The rate of seropositivity for males was 11.6% and for females it was 12.3%. There was no statistically significant difference ($P > 0.05$) in the rates of seropositivity between males and females.

Wolves were collected from seven counties in northern Minnesota. Prevalence of antibody positive wolves from these counties is shown in Table 2. The prevalence of seropositive wolves near farming areas (20.1%) was 2.6 times greater than that of wolves living in wilderness away from farms (7.7%) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The 11.4% seroprevalence in wolves in our study is lower than that observed by Everard et al. (1983) in 31 species of wild animals from Trinidad and Grenada. They examined 894 animals and found 198 (22.1%) positive at titers of $\geq 1:100$. Our results, however, are in sharp contrast to those of Zarnke and Ballard (1987) who

TABLE 3. Relative prevalence of antibodies against *Leptospira interrogans* in wolves depending upon their proximity to dairy and beef cattle farms.

Wolf population	Sero-positive at $\geq 1:50$	Sero-negative at 1:50	Total	Prevalence (%)
Farm related	27	107	134	20.1
Non-farm related	25	298	323	7.7
Total	52	405	457	

found only 1 of 80 wolf samples from Alaska positive for *L. interrogans* antibodies. Presence of antibodies in wolves may indicate previous or current infection which may have resulted either from direct contact with urine of other wolves or from eating infected prey. Reilly et al. (1970) have indicated that oral infection of *Candida* and other carnivores with *L. interrogans* is possible. They observed that *L. interrogans* may be protected from gastric acidity because the gulped bolus passes partially digested into the alkaline duodenum. Once infected, wolves may act as maintenance hosts for *L. interrogans* on account of their highly social behavior.

Leptospirosis is endemic in bovine, porcine and equine populations of Minnesota. Of 17,014 animal sera examined from 1984 to 1986 at the Minnesota Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (St. Paul, Minnesota 55108, USA), 3,040 (17.9%) had antibodies against one or more serovars of *L. interrogans* at titers of $\geq 1:50$. The prevalence of antibodies against different serovars in decreasing order was: *icterohaemorrhagiae* (24.9%), *hardjo* (21.4%), *canicola* (20.1%), *pomona* (18.5%), and *grippotyphosa* (15.1%). It is possible for a particular serovar to be shared by many maintenance hosts or to be specific to a single host (Hathaway et al., 1983b). In our study, the highest seroprevalence (5.3%) in wolves was for serovar *grippotyphosa*. In a previous study of moose in Minnesota, Diesch et al.

(1972) found that the prevalence of serovar *grippotyphosa* was the highest, 89 of 328 (27.1%), while antibodies against serovar *pomona* were the least prevalent (18 of 328) (5.5%).

Serovar *grippotyphosa* is prevalent in many countries including the U.S.S.R. and China (Tonkonozhenko et al., 1965; Armitsu et al., 1987) and has been isolated from dog, cattle, swine, muskrat (*Ondatra zibethicus*), squirrels (*Sciurus niger*, *S. carolinensis*), bobcat (*Lynx rufus*), cottontail rabbit (*Sylvilagus floridanus*), swamp rabbit (*S. aquaticus*), raccoon (*Procyon lotor*), striped skunk (*Mephitis mephitis*), red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*), gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*), hare (*Lepus americanus*) and opossum (*Didelphis virginianus*) (Hanson, 1982; Shotts et al., 1971). Many species of foxes may be infected with *L. interrogans* organisms (Shotts, 1981). Amundson and Yuill (1981) examined the prevalence of antibodies to five different serovars of *L. interrogans* in red and gray foxes (*U. cinereoargenteus*) of southwestern Wisconsin. Antibodies against serovar *grippotyphosa* were the most prevalent with 25 of 53 (47%) red foxes and 11 of 36 (31%) gray foxes having titers. Juvenile foxes had significantly higher geometric mean titers than adults.

Two animals were positive to serovar *hardjo* albeit at low titers e.g., 1:50 and 1:100. Collins et al. (1981) have reported the presence of antibodies against *L. hardjo* in pronghorns (*Antilocapra americana*) of Colorado. Antibodies against serovar *pomona*, which is a common serovar in domestic animals, were also low in our study. The low antibody titers in wolves may indicate that the wolves were infected sometime earlier and that their titers have subsequently decreased. This is common in *L. interrogans* infections where high titers may mean active infection or vaccination and a fall in titer may indicate residual infection. Titers to serovar *pomona* can persist in deer for 3 mo after infection or longer (Ferris et al. 1960). The existence of antibodies to multiple sero-

types of *L. interrogans*, especially with low titers, may indicate cross-reactivity among various serovars.

The prevalence of antibodies in wolves inhabiting farming areas was 20.1% compared to 7.7% prevalence in wilderness. This is not surprising because *L. interrogans* (especially serovar *pomona*) has been isolated from the environment such as in recreational waters, ponds, and farm waters (Diesch and McCulloch, 1966) where it has been found to survive for a long time. For example, leptospires survived for 61 days in experimentally contaminated oxidation ditch manure which led Diesch (1971) to believe that manure in the open farm area was a potential public health problem and could also be a source of infection to wild animals. It was suggested that disinfection of livestock wastes may help break the chain of infection from farms to wild animals, domestic animals, and rodent populations (Will and Diesch, 1972). Contrary to this opinion, it has been postulated that wild animals may act as sources of *L. interrogans* infection for deer and cattle. However, conclusive proof in this area of *L. interrogans* epidemiology is not available indicating the need for more detailed studies to determine the actual role of wolves and other wildlife in spreading and maintaining leptospirosis in domestic animals in Minnesota and elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mary Thurn for secretarial assistance, W. K. Gohl, M. E. Nelson, W. J. Paul, and many volunteer technicians for assisting with blood-sampling, and U.S. Seal for serum storage. This study was funded by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the U.S.D.A. North Central Forest Experiment Station.

LITERATURE CITED

- AMUNDSON, T. C., AND T. M. YUILL. 1981. Natural La Crosse virus infection in the red fox (*Vulpes fulva*), gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*), raccoon (*Procyon lotor*), and opossum (*Didelphis virginiana*). *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 30: 706-714.
- ARMITSU, Y., T. MATUHASI, S. KOBAYASHI, T. SATO,

- AND J. J. CUI. 1987. Serodiagnosis of leptospirosis in China by the one-point MCA method. *Epidemiology and Infection* 99: 393-398.
- CIRONE, S. M., H. P. RIEMANN, R. RUPPANNER, D. E. BEHYMER, AND C. E. FRANTI. 1978. Evaluation of the hemagglutination test for epidemiologic studies of leptospiral antibodies in wild mammals. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*. 14: 193-202.
- CLARK, L. G., J. L. KRESSE, R. R. MARSHAK, AND C. J. HOLLISTER. 1961. Natural occurrence of *Leptospira icterohaemorrhagiae* in the eastern gray fox and the eastern raccoon. *Nature* 192: 1312-1313.
- COLE, J. R., H. C. ELLINGHAUSEN, AND H. L. RUBIN. 1979. Laboratory diagnosis of leptospirosis of domestic animals. *Proceedings of U.S. Animal Health Association* 83: 189-195.
- COLLINS, M. T., T. A. GALLEGOS, J. S. REIF, AND W. T. ADRIAN. 1981. Seroepidemiology of *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *hardjo* in Colorado antelope and cattle. *Journal of American Veterinary Medical Association*. 179: 1136-1139.
- DIESCH, S. L. 1971. Survival of leptospires in cattle manure. *Journal of American Veterinary Medical Association* 159: 1513-1517.
- , HASZ, D. E., AND P. D. KARNS. 1972. Survey of Minnesota moose for leptospirosis and brucellosis. *Proceedings of U.S. Animal Health Association* 76: 645-657.
- , AND W. F. MCCULLOCH. 1966. Isolation of pathogenic leptospires from waters used for recreation. *Public Health Reports* 81: 299-303.
- DREWEK, J., T. NOON, R. J. TAUTMAN, AND E. J. BICKNELL. 1981. Serologic evidence of leptospirosis in a southern Arizona coyote population. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 17: 33-37.
- EVERARD, C. O. R., G. M. FRASER-CHANPONG, L. J. BHAGWANDIN, M. W. RACE, AND A. C. JAMES. 1983. Leptospires in wildlife from Trinidad and Grenada. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 19: 192-199.
- FAINE, S. (editor). 1982. Guidelines for the control of leptospirosis. World Health Organization Offset Publ. No. 67, 171 pp.
- . 1986. Leptospirosis—Still here. *Medical Journal of Australia*. 144: 561.
- FERRIS, D. H., L. E. HANSON, A. B. HOERLEIN, AND P. D. BEAMER. 1960. Experimental infection of white-tailed deer with *Leptospira pomona*. *Cornell Veterinarian* 50: 236-250.
- GOYAL, S. M., L. D. MECH, R. A. RADEMACHER, M. A. KHAN, AND U. S. SEAL. 1986. Antibodies against canine parvovirus in wolves of Minnesota: A serologic study from 1975 through 1985. *Journal of American Veterinary Medical Association* 189: 1092-1094.
- HANSON, L. E. 1982. Leptospirosis in domestic animals. The public health perspective. *Journal of American Veterinary Medical Association* 181: 1505-1509.
- , AND D. N. TRIPATHY. 1981. Research for resolution of leptospiral field problems. *Proceedings of U.S. Animal Health Association* 85: 192-202.
- HATHAWAY, S. C., AND D. K. BLACKMORE. 1981. Ecological aspects of the epidemiology of infection with leptospires of the *Ballum* serogroup in the black rat (*Rattus rattus*) and the brown rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) in New Zealand. *Journal of Hygiene* 87: 427-436.
- , ———, AND R. B. MARSHAL. 1981. Leptospirosis in free living species in New Zealand. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 17: 489-496.
- , ———, AND ———. 1983a. Leptospirosis and the maintenance host: A laboratory mouse model. *Research in Veterinary Science* 34: 82-89.
- , T. W. LITTLE, S. A. HEADLAM, AND A. E. STEVENS. 1983b. Infection of free-living carnivores with leptospires of the Australis serogroup. *Veterinary Record* 113: 233-235.
- INGEBRIGTSEN, D. K., J. R. LUDWIG, AND A. W. MCCLURKIN. 1986. Occurrence of antibodies to the etiologic agents of infectious bovine rhinotracheitis, parainfluenza-3, leptospirosis and brucellosis in white-tailed deer in Minnesota. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 22: 83-86.
- KHAN, M. A., AND S. L. DIESCH. 1987. History: 100 years of Weil's disease. *Veterinary Record* 120: 27.
- MECH, L. D., S. M. GOYAL, C. N. BOTA, AND U. S. SEAL. 1986. Canine parvovirus infection in wolves (*Canis lupus*) from Minnesota. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 22: 104-106.
- NIELSEN, J. N., C. H. ARMSTRONG, AND N. C. NIELSEN. 1989. Relationship among selected *Leptospira interrogans* serogroups as determined by nucleic acid hybridization. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 27: 2724-2729.
- REILLY, J. R., L. E. HANSON, AND D. H. FERRIS. 1970. Experimental induced predator-food chain transmission of *Leptospira grippotyphosa* from rodents to wild marsupialia and carnivora. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* 31: 1443-1448.
- ROTH, E. E. 1970. Leptospirosis. In *Infectious diseases of wild mammals*, J. W. Davis, L. H. Karstad, and D. O. Trainer (eds.). Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, pp. 293-303.
- SHOTTS, E. B., JR. 1981. Leptospirosis. In *Infectious diseases of wild mammals*, J. W. Davis, L. H. Karstad, and D. O. Trainer (eds.). Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, pp. 323-331.
- , C. L. ANDREWS, C. SULZER, AND E. GREENE. 1971. Leptospirosis in cottontail and swamp rabbits of the Mississippi delta. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 7: 115-117.
- THIERMANN, A. B. 1984. Leptospirosis: Current de-

- velopments and trends. *Journal of American Veterinary Medical Association* 184: 722-725.
- TONKONozHENKO, A. P., E. I. GURBANOVA, AND M. K. H. AGUZAROVA. 1965. Role of game animals in the formation of natural leptospiral foci in north Osetian A.S.S.R. *Zeitschrift für Mikrobiologie und Epidemiologic Immunobiologie* 42: 48-49.
- VAN DER HOEDEN, J. 1955. Epizootiology of leptospirosis (*Canicola*) in the bovine and other species in Israel. *Journal of American Veterinary Medical Association*. 126: 207-210.
- WILL, L. A., AND S. L. DIESCH. 1972. Leptospire in animal waste treatment-animal health problem? *Proceedings of U.S. Animal Health Association* 76: 138-149.
- ZARNKE, R. L., AND W. B. BALLARD. 1987. Serological survey for selected microbial pathogens of wolves in Alaska, 1975-1982. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 23: 77-85.

Received for publication 15 May 1990.