

## **A SEROLOGIC SURVEY OF THE ISLAND FOX (UROCYON LITTORALIS) ON THE CHANNEL ISLANDS, CALIFORNIA**

Authors: Garcelon, David K., Wayne, Robert K., and Gonzales, Ben J.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 28(2) : 223-229

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: <https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-28.2.223>

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

## A SEROLOGIC SURVEY OF THE ISLAND FOX (*UROCYON LITTORALIS*) ON THE CHANNEL ISLANDS, CALIFORNIA

David K. Garcelon,<sup>1</sup> Robert K. Wayne,<sup>2</sup> and Ben J. Gonzales<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Wildlife Studies, P.O. Box 127, Arcata, California 95521, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024, USA

<sup>3</sup> Los Angeles Zoo, 5333 Zoo Drive, Los Angeles, California 90027, USA

**ABSTRACT:** The island fox is listed as a threatened species in California. A serologic survey of 194 island foxes (*Urocyon littoralis*) was conducted over the entire range of the species on the Channel Islands (California, USA). Antibody prevalence against canine adenovirus and canine parvovirus reached 97% and 59%, respectively, in some populations sampled. Antibody prevalence of canine herpesvirus, canine coronavirus, leptospirosis and toxoplasmosis were low. Antibodies against canine distemper virus were not detected.

**Key words:** Island fox, *Urocyon littoralis*, canine distemper virus, canine herpesvirus, canine adenovirus, canine coronavirus, canine parvovirus, leptospirosis, toxoplasmosis.

### INTRODUCTION

The island fox (*Urocyon littoralis*) is a diminutive relative of the gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*) and is restricted in its range to the six largest of the eight California Channel Islands (USA). The island fox has been classified as threatened by the California Department of Fish and Game (California Department of Fish and Game, 1987) due to its isolated distribution, habitat degradation by introduced feral animals, and possible competition from feral cats (*Felis catus*). Other than spotted skunks (*Spilogale gracilis*), which occur on Santa Cruz and Santa Rosa Islands, the island fox is the only native terrestrial carnivore present on the Channel Islands.

The general life history (Laughrin, 1977) and diel movements (Fausett, 1982) of the island fox have been studied on Santa Cruz Island. The origin, morphological differentiation and genetic relationships between island populations have also been investigated (Collins, 1982; Gilbert et al., 1990). The prevalence of antibodies against calicivirus in island foxes has been investigated (Prato et al., 1977).

Because the island fox is distributed on small islands it is more subject to the effects of environmental perturbations than species occurring on the mainland. Introduction of canine infectious diseases could pose a significant threat to an island fox pop-

ulation which has no acquired immunity. Domestic dogs (*Canis familiaris*) and cats are currently or have historically occurred on most of the Channel Islands and could be a vehicle for introduction of disease into fox populations.

The purpose of this serologic survey was to determine which common canine disease agents occurred in each of the island fox populations. As a result of this serologic survey, potential disease threats to the conservation of this species could be evaluated.

### STUDY AREA

The California Channel Islands are located off the coast of Southern California between Point Conception and San Diego (34°00'N, 119°40'W). The six islands supporting fox populations range in their distance to the mainland from 30 km to 98 km, and range in area from 37 km<sup>2</sup> to 249 km<sup>2</sup> (Philbrick, 1967). Major habitat types on the islands include coastal sage scrub, grassland, woodlands and sand dune communities (Johnson, 1980; Minnich, 1980).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Each of the six Channel Islands supporting island fox populations were visited between February and July 1988. Foxes were live captured in box traps (Tomahawk Live Trap Co., Tomahawk, Wisconsin 54487, USA) and 3 ml of blood was drawn from the femoral vein of

unanesthetized individuals. Kits were not sampled and no distinction was made between age classes of adults. Serum was separated from the cellular fraction by centrifugation, removed, and then frozen. Sera were tested for antibodies against canine distemper virus (CDV), canine parvovirus (CPV), canine adenovirus (CAV), canine coronavirus (CCV), canine herpesvirus (CHV), *Toxoplasma gondii* (TOX), *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *canicola* (LVC) and serovar *icterohaemorrhagiae* (LVI). Serologic tests were conducted at the Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory (Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99164, USA). Antibodies to parvovirus and coronavirus were detected by the indirect fluorescent antibody method described by Helfer-Baker et al. (1980) with titers of  $\geq 1:15$  considered indicative of previous exposure. Viral neutralization (Appel and Robson, 1973) was used to determine antibody titers against canine distemper virus, herpesvirus, and adenovirus. Antibody titers of  $\geq 1:5$  were considered to provide evidence of prior exposure for these agents. Antibodies to *T. gondii* were determined with indirect hemagglutination (Wample Labs, Cranbury, New Jersey 08512, USA) with titers  $\geq 1:64$  considered indicative of previous infection. Antibodies to the 2 serovars of *L. interrogans* were detected with a modification of the technique described by Cole et al. (1973), and titers  $\geq 1:100$  were considered indicative of previous infection. Specimens which met or exceeded levels will be referred to as "positive."

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Antibody prevalence of foxes varied among islands (Table 1). Foxes on San Miguel Island, the smallest of the islands, had serologic evidence of only two infectious agents; those on Santa Cruz Island, the largest and closest to the mainland, had evidence of five infectious agents, the most of any of the fox populations surveyed.

### Canine distemper virus (CDV)

The absence of antibodies to CDV in any of the fox populations suggests either CDV has never been introduced onto the islands, or the species is highly susceptible to infection and few animals survive. In an epizootic of canine distemper in Alaskan sled dogs with no immunity, 50% died or were rendered helpless by neuropathic sequellae (Reinhard et al., 1955). Antibod-

ies against CDV have been found in other free-ranging canids (Guo et al., 1986; Stephenson et al., 1982). Gray foxes are highly susceptible to canine distemper (Parker et al., 1961; Hoff et al., 1974; Halbrooks et al., 1981; Nicholson and Hill, 1984), with mortality rates as high as 36% (Hoff et al., 1974; Nicholson and Hill, 1984). While island foxes are genetically distinct from gray foxes (Gilbert et al., 1990), they are similar enough that we suspect island foxes also would be very susceptible to infection.

### Canine parvovirus (CPV)

Antibodies against CPV were found in foxes on all of the islands (Table 1). Canine parvovirus antibodies have been found in other free-ranging canids including wolves (Goyal et al., 1986; Mech et al., 1986), red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) (Barker et al., 1983), kit foxes (*Vulpes macrotis*) (McCue and O'Farrell, 1988), and in South American canids (Mann et al., 1980). The disease is likely spread to wild canids from domestic dogs (Montali et al., 1987). In captive canids CPV appears to be most common in juveniles. Ten of 12 captive wolf (*Canis lupus*) pups died after developing clinical signs of CPV infection in one study (Mech et al., 1986), and a 43% mortality rate (19 of 44) among captive coyote (*Canis latrans*) pups occurred from CPV or a combination of CPV and CCV (Evermann et al., 1980). Antibody prevalence in free-ranging coyotes was  $>70\%$  (Thomas et al., 1984). While the antibody prevalence in island foxes was lower than found in coyotes, the value may be significant if it reflects a high kit mortality. Assessing kit mortality in a denning species like the island fox would prove difficult since kits may die before venturing from the den.

### Canine adenovirus (CAV)

Antibodies against CAV in foxes occurred on all islands except Santa Cruz and Santa Catalina (Table 1). Canine adenovirus, like CPV, appears to have its greatest effect on pups. Mortality rates in individuals  $<6$  months old have been reported to

TABLE 1. Prevalence of serum antibodies in island fox (*Urocyon littoralis*) of the Channel Islands, California 1988.

| Island         | N   | Pathogen*      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|----------------|-----|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|                |     | CDV            | CHV | CAV | CCV | CPV | LVC | LVI | TOX |
| San Miguel     | 23  | 0 <sup>b</sup> | 0   | 96  | 0   | 30  | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| Santa Rosa     | 34  | 0              | 12  | 97  | 0   | 35  | 0   | 0   | 12  |
| Santa Cruz     | 29  | 0              | 10  | 0   | 7   | 59  | 0   | 14  | 3   |
| San Nicolas    | 46  | 0              | 0   | 72  | 0   | 7   | 0   | 0   | 7   |
| San Clemente   | 42  | 0              | 2   | 88  | 0   | 50  | 0   | 0   | 26  |
| Santa Catalina | 20  | 0              | 0   | 0   | 60  | 5   | 0   | 0   | 10  |
| Total          | 194 |                |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

\* CDV, canine distemper virus; CHV, canine herpesvirus; CAV, canine adenovirus; CCV, canine coronavirus; CPV, canine parvovirus; LVC, *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *canicola*; LVI, *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *interohaemorrhagiae*; TOX, *Toxoplasma gondii*.

<sup>b</sup> Prevalence = (Number of positive samples/total number of samples tested) × 100.

be as high as 80% in fox farm epizootics (Cabasso, 1981). Among free-ranging wolves the prevalence of CAV antibodies were 95% and 13%, in Alaska and Canada, respectively (Stephenson et al., 1982; Choquette and Kuyt, 1974). Kit foxes collected in California had a prevalence of 16% (McCue and O'Farrell, 1988).

Domestic dogs have not been resident on San Clemente, San Nicolas, or San Miguel Islands for several years. Therefore, the high serologic prevalence of CAV in foxes suggests that either CAV is enzootic or there is another source of the virus in those populations.

#### Canine coronavirus (CCV)

Antibodies against CCV were found on only two of the islands (Table 1). Antibodies against CCV have been found in both free-ranging (5% prevalence) and captive (30% prevalence) coyotes (Green et al., 1984; Foreyt and Evermann, 1985). Green et al. (1984) discussed dual infection of CCV and CPV; five of 66 pups died after exhibiting bloody diarrhea, emaciation and dehydration. Disease associated with CCV alone has not been reported in wild carnivores (Bartz and Montali, 1987). Green et al. (1984) believed that the virus is probably not an important cause of mortality in adult coyotes; however, Foreyt and Evermann (1985) suggested that the

virus may be an important cause of enteric disease in coyote pups, either directly or in conjunction with other intestinal pathogens.

The highest prevalence of antibodies against CCV was on Santa Catalina Island (60%) which has a dense but relatively confined domestic dog population. Domestic dogs may have been the original source of infection and may serve as a reservoir for the virus; however, the virus may now be endemic in the fox population. With little information on the effects of CCV on wild carnivores it is difficult to evaluate the threat of this disease to the fox population.

#### Canine herpesvirus (CHV)

Antibodies for CHV were found on three of the six islands (Table 1). Jamison et al. (1973) found no evidence of CHV exposure in skunks (*Mephitis mephitis*), raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), opossums (*Didelphis marsupialis*), or woodchucks (*Marmota monax*) in Maryland. Canine herpesvirus has caused high mortality in captive coyote pups (Evermann et al., 1984) and domestic dogs (Appel, 1987).

#### Toxoplasmosis

Antibodies against *Toxoplasma gondii* were found in all but the San Miguel Island fox population (Table 1). Toxoplasmosis is a common disease in many species (Dubey

and Beattie, 1988). *Toxoplasma* antibodies were found in 6% of kit foxes sampled in California (McCue and O'Farrell, 1988). In red foxes, infections are generally sub-clinical (Dubey, 1983), but can become severe (Dubey et al., 1990). Antibodies to this agent have been found in coyotes and gray foxes (Marchiondo et al., 1976; Riemann et al., 1978). Toxoplasmosis requires a member of the Felidae to form the infectious oocysts. Unless cysts are being transported to the islands in the bodies of migrating birds or marine mammals, only foxes on those islands with feral cats should have evidence of exposure. Because Santa Cruz Island is not known to have a population of feral cats, the small number of foxes found to be positive may represent false positive laboratory results.

#### Leptospirosis

Serologic evidence for the infection was found only in the Santa Cruz Island fox population. The 14% prevalence was similar to rates reported for coyotes in Kansas (10%) (Marler et al., 1979) and red foxes in Ontario (12%) (Kingscote, 1986). Leptospirosis has a wide distribution among mammalian taxa with at least 184 serovars identified (Shotts, 1981). No antibodies to the *canicola* serovar were found in this survey. Among canids, evidence of exposure has been found in gray foxes (Amundson and Yuill, 1981), red foxes (Amundson and Yuill, 1981; Kingscote, 1986), coyotes (Trainer and Knowlton, 1968; Marler et al., 1979; Drewek et al., 1981) and wolves (Zarnke and Ballard, 1987). Antibodies were not found among 23 kit foxes sampled from California (McCue and O'Farrell, 1988).

Leptospirosis typically is considered self-limiting in wildlife. Most animals recover from infection and may then remain carriers (Shotts, 1981). Because of the variety of serovars that infect gray and red foxes (Shotts, 1981) future serologic studies on island foxes should include a wider spectrum of these serovars.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Positive serologic test results do not indicate the effect of a disease on a population. Such results only suggest previous exposure to an infectious agent or parental acquired immunity. Likewise, negative test results do not necessarily indicate lack of previous exposure to infection, because individuals may not maintain detectable levels of antibodies for long periods after infection (Scott, 1988). Occurrence of a positive result for an infection in only a few members of a population may be an artifact of laboratory testing rather than a reflection of low prevalence. Additional sampling may be required to better determine the occurrence of antibodies for certain infections in the fox populations.

Apparently healthy island fox populations on San Clemente and Santa Catalina Islands seem to recruit relatively few kits into the adult population (D. K. Garcelon, unpubl. data). While this may be explained by density dependent factors, such as competition for food or den sites, the influence of CPV, CAV and CCV on fox population dynamics should be further investigated.

The probable high susceptibility of island foxes, coupled with lack of evidence of acquired immunity in these populations, suggests a high risk for an epizootic if CDV were introduced onto these islands. This highly contagious disease caused a catastrophic decline in a population of the endangered black-footed ferret (*Mustela nigripes*) (Thorne and Williams, 1988). Two of the islands have resident domestic dogs, and recreational boaters that visit all of the islands may bring pets onshore. These domestic dogs could be a potential source for the transmission of CDV and other canine diseases to island fox populations. Because island fox population sizes are small and immigration among populations unlikely, effects of an epizootic such as CDV may not leave sufficient animals to allow for population recovery. We recommend

that islands on which pets or working dogs are allowed should adopt strict pet vaccination policies to help prevent the transmission of disease to island foxes.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the following organizations and agencies for logistical support and permission to trap foxes: The Nature Conservancy, Santa Cruz Island Preserve; Santa Catalina Island Conservancy; United States Department of the Navy, Pt. Mugu and North Island Naval Air Stations; and the United States Park Service, Channel Islands Regional Office. Funding for this investigation was provided by The Nature Conservancy, the Institute for Wildlife Studies, the Greater Los Angeles Zoo Association, and the Genetic Resources and Conservation Program of the University of California. Permits to conduct this work were granted by the California Department of Fish and Game. We thank the following individuals for providing assistance in trapping foxes and obtaining blood samples: L. Block, P. Collins, S. George, D. Girman, D. Haney, F. Hirtel, S. Kovach, J. Malcolm, T. Martin, M. Murry, G. Roemer, L. Sadler, and B. Van Valkenburgh. Helpful comments on the manuscript were provided by R. Botzler, S. Timm, G. Roemer, and two anonymous reviewers.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- AMUNDSON, T. E., AND T. M. YUILL. 1981. Prevalence of selected pathogenic microbial agents in the red fox (*Vulpes fulva*) and gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*) of southwestern Wisconsin. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 17: 17–22.
- APPEL, M. J. 1987. Canine herpesvirus. In *Virus infections of carnivores*, M. J. Appel (ed.). Elsevier Science Publishers, New York, New York, pp. 5–15.
- , AND D. S. ROBSON. 1973. A microneutralization test for canine distemper virus. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* 34: 1459–1463.
- BARKER, I. K., R. C. ROVEY, AND D. R. VOIGHT. 1983. Response of mink, skunk, red fox, and raccoon to inoculation with mink virus enteritis, feline panleukopenia and canine parvovirus and prevalence of antibody to parvovirus in wild carnivores in Ontario. *Canadian Journal of Comparative Medicine* 47: 188–197.
- BARTZ, C. R., AND R. J. MONTALI. 1987. Canine Coronavirus. In *Virus infections of carnivores*, M. J. Appel (ed.). Elsevier Science Publishers, New York, New York, pp. 429–430.
- CABASSO, V. J. 1981. Infectious canine hepatitis virus. In *Infectious diseases of wild mammals*, J. W. Davis, L. H. Karstad, and D. O. Trainer (eds.). Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, pp. 191–195.
- CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME. 1987. Five-year status report on the island fox (*Urocyon littoralis*). Unpublished report, California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California, 7 pp.
- CHOQUETTE, L. P. E., AND E. KUYT. 1974. Serological indication of canine distemper and of infectious canine hepatitis in wolves (*Canis lupus L.*) in northern Canada. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 10: 321–324.
- COLE, J. R., C. R. SULZER, AND A. R. PURSELL. 1973. Improved microtechnique for leptospiral microscopic agglutination test. *Applied Microbiology* 25: 976–986.
- COLLINS, P. W. 1982. Origin and differentiation of the island fox: A study of evolution in insular populations. M.S. Thesis. University of California, Santa Barbara, California, 303 pp.
- DREWEK, JR., J., T. H. NOON, R. J. TRAUTMAN, AND E. J. BICKNELL. 1981. Serologic evidence of leptospirosis in a southern Arizona coyote population. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 17: 33–37.
- DUBEY, J. P. 1983. Experimental infections of *Sarcocystis cruzi*, *Sarcocystis tenella*, *Sarcocystis capracanis*, and *Toxoplasma gondii* in red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*). *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 19: 200–203.
- , AND C. P. BEATTIE. 1988. *Toxoplasmosis of animals and man*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 220 pp.
- , A. N. HAMIR, AND C. E. RUPPRECHT. 1990. Acute disseminated toxoplasmosis in a red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*). *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 26: 286–290.
- EVERMANN, J. F., W. FOREYT, L. MAAG-MILLER, C. W. LEATHERS, A. J. MCKEIRNAN, AND B. LEAMASTER. 1980. Acute hemorrhagic enteritis associated with canine coronavirus and parvovirus infections in a captive coyote population. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 177: 784–786.
- , B. R. LEAMASTER, T. F. MCELWAIN, K. A. POTTER, A. J. MCKEIRNAN, AND J. S. GREEN. 1984. Natural infection of captive coyote pups with a herpesvirus antigenically related to canine herpesvirus. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 185: 1288–1290.
- FAUSETT, L. L. 1982. Activity and movement patterns of the island fox, *Urocyon littoralis*, Baird 1857 (Carnivora: Canidae). Ph.D. Thesis. University of California, Los Angeles, California, 132 pp.
- FOREYT, J. W., AND J. F. EVERMANN. 1985. Serologic survey of canine coronavirus in wild coyotes

- in the western United States, 1972–1982. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 21: 428–430.
- GILBERT, D. A., N. LEHMAN, S. J. O'BRIEN, AND R. K. WAYNE. 1990. Genetic fingerprinting reflects population differentiation in the California channel island fox. *Nature* 344: 764–767.
- GOYAL, S. M., L. D. MECH, R. A. RADEMACHER, M. A. KHAN, AND U. S. SEAL. 1986. Antibodies against canine parvovirus in wolves of Minnesota: A serologic study from 1975 through 1985. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 189: 1092–1094.
- GREEN, J. S., M. L. BRUSS, J. F. EVERMANN, AND P. K. BERGSTROM. 1984. Serologic response of captive coyotes (*Canis latrans say*) to canine parvovirus and accompanying profiles of canine coronavirus titers. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 20: 6–11.
- GUO, W., J. F. EVERMANN, W. J. FOREYT, F. F. KNOWLTON, AND L. A. WINDBERG. 1986. Canine distemper virus in coyotes: A serologic survey. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 189: 1099–1100.
- HALBROOKS, R. D., L. J. SWANGO, P. R. SCHNURRENBERGER, F. E. MITCHELL, AND E. P. MILL. 1981. Response of gray foxes to modified live-virus canine distemper vaccine. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 179: 1170–1174.
- HELPER-BAKER, C. J., J. F. EVERMANN, A. J. MC-KEIRNAN, R. L. SLACK, C. W. MILLER, AND W. B. MORRISON. 1980. Serological studies on the incidence of canine enteritis viruses. *Canine Practice* 7: 37–42.
- HOFF, G. L., W. R. BIGLER, S. J. PROCTOR, AND L. P. STALLINGS. 1974. Epizootic of canine distemper virus infection among urban raccoons and gray foxes. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 10: 423–428.
- JAMISON, R. K., E. C. LAZAR, L. N. BINN, AND A. D. ALEXANDER. 1973. Survey for antibodies to canine viruses in selected wild mammals. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 9: 2–3.
- JOHNSON, D. L. 1980. Episodic vegetation striping, soil erosion, and landscape modification in prehistoric and recent historic time, San Miguel Island, California. In *The California Islands: Proceedings of a multidisciplinary symposium*, D. M. Power (ed.). Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, Santa Barbara, California, pp. 103–121.
- KINGSCOTE, B. F. 1986. Leptospirosis in red foxes in Ontario. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 22: 475–478.
- LAUGHRIN, L. L. 1977. The island fox: A field study of its behavior and ecology. Ph.D. Thesis. University of California, Santa Barbara, California, 83 pp.
- MANN, P. C., M. BUSH, M. J. G. APPEL, B. A. BEEHLER, AND R. J. MONTALI. 1980. Canine parvovirus infection in South American canids. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 177: 779–783.
- MARCHIONDO, A. A., D. W. DUSZYNSKI, AND G. O. MAUPIN. 1976. Prevalence of antibodies to *Toxoplasma gondii* in wild and domestic animals in New Mexico, Arizona, and Colorado. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 12: 226–232.
- MARLER, R. J., J. E. COOK, A. I. KEER, AND S. M. KRUCKENBERG. 1979. Serologic survey for leptospirosis in coyotes in northcentral Kansas. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 175: 906–908.
- MCCUE, P. M., AND T. P. O'FARRELL. 1988. Serological survey for selected disease in the endangered San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*). *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 24: 274–281.
- MECH, L. D., S. M. GOYAL, C. N. BOTA, AND U. S. SEAL. 1986. Canine parvovirus infection in wolves (*Canis lupus*) from Minnesota. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 22: 104–106.
- MINNICH, R. A. 1980. Vegetation of Santa Cruz and Santa Catalina Islands. In *The California Islands: Proceedings of a multidisciplinary symposium*, D. M. Power (ed.). Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, Santa Barbara, California, pp. 123–137.
- MONTALI, R. J., C. R. BARTZ, AND M. BUSH. 1987. Parvovirus. In *Virus infections of carnivores*, M. J. Appel (ed.). Elsevier Science Publishers, New York, New York, pp. 419–428.
- NICHOLSON, W. S., AND E. P. HILL. 1984. Mortality in gray foxes from east-central Alabama. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 48: 1429–1432.
- PARKER, R. L., V. J. CABASSO, D. J. DEAN, AND E. L. CHEATUM. 1961. Serologic evidence of certain virus infections in wild animals. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 138: 437–440.
- PHILBRICK, R. N. 1967. Introduction. In *Proceedings of the symposium on the biology of the California islands*. Santa Barbara Botanic Garden, Santa Barbara, California, pp. 3–8.
- PRATO, C. M., T. G. AKERS, AND A. W. SMITH. 1977. Calicivirus antibodies in wild fox populations. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 13: 448–450.
- REINHARD, K. R., R. L. RAUSCH, AND R. L. GRAY. 1955. Field investigations of prophylaxis against epizootic distemper in arctic sled dogs. In *Proceedings of the annual meeting, American Veterinary Medical Association*, pp. 223–227.
- REIMANN, H. P., R. A. THOMPSEN, D. E. BEHYMER, R. RUPPANNER, AND C. E. FRANTI. 1978. Toxoplasmosis and Q fever antibodies among wild carnivores in California. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 42: 198–202.
- SCOTT, M. E. 1988. The impact of infection and

- disease on animal populations: Implications for conservation biology. *Conservation Biology* 2: 40–56.
- SHOTTS, E. B. 1981. Leptospirosis. *In* Infectious diseases of wild mammals, 2nd ed., J. W. Davis, L. H. Karstad, and D. O. Trainer (eds.). Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, pp. 323–331.
- STEPHENSON, R. O., D. G. RITTER, AND C. A. NIELSEN. 1982. Serologic survey for canine distemper and infectious canine hepatitis in wolves in Alaska. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 18: 419–424.
- THOMAS, N. J., W. J. FOREYT, J. F. EVERMANN, L. A. WINDBERG, AND F. F. KNOWLTON. 1984. Seroprevalence of canine parvovirus in wild coyotes from Texas, Utah, and Idaho (1972 to 1983). *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 185: 1283–1287.
- THORNE, E. T., AND E. S. WILLIAMS. 1988. Disease and endangered species: The black-footed ferret as a recent example. *Conservation Biology* 2: 66–74.
- TRAINER, D. O., AND F. F. KNOWLTON. 1968. Serologic evidence of disease in Texas coyotes. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 32: 981–983.
- ZARNKE, R. L., AND W. B. BALLARD. 1987. Serologic survey for selected microbial pathogens of wolves in Alaska 1975–1982. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 23: 77–85.

*Received for publication 5 October 1990.*