



Comparison of 2,4-D, dicamba and halauxifen-methyl alone or in combination with glyphosate for preplant weed control

Authors: Askew, M. Carter, Cahoon, Charles W., York, Alan C., Flessner, Michael L., Langston, David B., et al.

Source: Weed Technology, 35(1) : 93-98

Published By: Weed Science Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2020.83>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Research Article

Cite this article: Askew MC, Cahoon CW Jr., York AC, Flessner ML, Langston DB Jr., Ferebee JH IV (2021) Comparison of 2,4-D, dicamba and halauxifen-methyl alone or in combination with glyphosate for preplant weed control. *Weed Technol.* **35**: 93–98. doi: [10.1017/wet.2020.83](https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2020.83)

Received: 16 April 2020

Revised: 11 June 2020

Accepted: 23 July 2020

First published online: 18 August 2020

Associate Editor:

Vipin Kumar, Kansas State University

Nomenclature:

2,4-D; dicamba; glyphosate; halauxifen-methyl; horseweed; *Conyza canadensis* L.; common chickweed, *Stellaria media* L. Vill.; curly dock, *Rumex crispus* L.; cutleaf evening primrose, *Oenothera laciniata* Hill; henbit, *Lamium amplexicaule* L.; purple cudweed, *Gamochaeta purpurea* L. Cabrera; purple deadnettle, *Lamium purpureum* L.

Keywords:

Burndown; glyphosate-resistant weeds; preplant

Author for correspondence:

Charles W. Cahoon Jr., Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, North Carolina State University, Campus Box 7620, Raleigh, NC 27695. (Email: cwcahoon@ncsu.edu)

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Weed Science Society of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Comparison of 2,4-D, dicamba and halauxifen-methyl alone or in combination with glyphosate for preplant weed control

M. Carter Askew¹, Charles W. Cahoon Jr.² , Alan C. York³, Michael L. Flessner⁴, David B. Langston Jr.⁵ and J. Harrison Ferebee IV¹

¹Graduate Research Assistant, School of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Virginia Tech-Eastern Shore AREC, Painter, VA, USA; ²Assistant Professor and Extension Weed Specialist, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, USA; ³William Neal Reynolds Professor Emeritus, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA; ⁴Assistant Professor and Extension Weed Specialist, School of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA and ⁵Professor and Director of Tidewater AREC, School of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Virginia Tech-Tidewater AREC, Suffolk, VA, USA

Abstract

A field study was conducted in 2017 and 2018 to determine foliar efficacy of halauxifen-methyl, 2,4-D, or dicamba applied alone and in combination with glyphosate at preplant burndown timing. Experiments were conducted near Painter, VA; Rocky Mount, NC; Jackson, NC; and Gates, NC. Control of horseweed, henbit, purple deadnettle, cutleaf evening primrose, curly dock, purple cudweed, and common chickweed were evaluated. Halauxifen-methyl applied at 5 g ae ha⁻¹ controlled small and large horseweed 89% and 79%, respectively, and was similar to control by dicamba applied at 280 g ae ha⁻¹. Both rates of 2,4-D—533 g ae ha⁻¹ (low rate [LR]) or 1,066 g ae ha⁻¹ (high rate [HR])—were less effective than halauxifen-methyl and dicamba for controlling horseweed. Halauxifen-methyl was the only auxin herbicide to control henbit (90%) and purple deadnettle (99%). Cutleaf evening primrose was controlled 74% to 85%, 51%, and 4% by 2,4-D, dicamba, and halauxifen-methyl, respectively. Dicamba and 2,4-D controlled curly dock 59% to 70% and were more effective than halauxifen-methyl (5%). Auxin herbicides applied alone controlled purple cudweed and common chickweed 21% or less. With the exception of cutleaf evening primrose (35%) and curly dock (37%), glyphosate alone provided 95% or greater control of all weeds evaluated. These experiments demonstrate halauxifen-methyl effectively ($\geq 79\%$) controls horseweed, henbit, and purple deadnettle, whereas common chickweed, curly dock, cutleaf evening primrose, and purple cudweed control by the herbicide is inadequate ($\leq 7\%$).

Introduction

Horseweed is a broadleaf weed that can act as winter or summer annual (Weaver 2001). Horseweed can produce up to 200,000 seeds plant⁻¹ (Bhowmik and Bekech 1993) and is problematic in reduced- or no-tillage systems (Uva et al. 1997). Competition from horseweed has been reported to reduce soybean (*Glycine max* L.) yield up to 83% and cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) lint yield by as much as 46% (Bruce and Kells 1990; Steckel and Gwathmey 2009). Traditionally, glyphosate applied preplant burndown has been used to control horseweed prior to crop planting (Bruce and Kells 1990). However, glyphosate-resistant (GR) horseweed was first confirmed in Delaware in 2000 and has since spread to many other states (Eubank et al. 2008; Heap 2018; Koger et al. 2004; Main et al. 2004; Steckel and Gwathmey 2009; VanGessel 2001). Along with glyphosate, horseweed biotypes have also evolved resistance to paraquat (Smisek et al. 1998; VanGessel et al. 2006) and acetolactate synthase (ALS)-inhibiting herbicides (Heap 2018; Zheng et al. 2011). Furthermore, biotypes of the weed have developed multiple resistance to glyphosate and paraquat (Eubank et al. 2012) as well as glyphosate and ALS inhibitors (Heap 2018; Kruger et al. 2009; Trainer et al. 2005).

Current recommendations for managing horseweed include an auxin herbicide in combination with glyphosate, applied as a burndown prior to crop planting. This mixture offers broad-spectrum weed control as well as control of glyphosate- and ALS-resistant horseweed; these herbicides are particularly effective if applied while horseweed is small (Bruce and Kells 1990; Byker 2013; Eubank et al. 2008; Loux et al. 2006). Bruce and Kells (1990) reported 97% to 100% control of horseweed with 2,4-D when applied at 0.56 kg ae ha⁻¹ and 100% at 1.12 kg ae ha⁻¹. Dicamba, another auxin herbicide, effectively controlled glyphosate- and ALS-resistant horseweed (Byker et al. 2013; Eubank et al. 2008; Loux et al. 2006). Byker et al. (2013) observed similar levels of GR-horseweed control after applications of dicamba plus

Table 1. Locations, soil descriptions, and herbicide application dates.^a

Location	Year	Soil series	pH	Humic matter ^b	Application date
Painter, VA, field 1	2017	Bojac ^c	6.4	0.5	March 20
Painter, VA, field 2	2017	Bojac	6.4	0.5	April 20
Painter, VA, field 3	2017	Bojac	6.4	0.5	March 3
Rocky Mount, NC	2017	Aycock ^d	5.9	0.36	March 23
Jackson, NC	2017	Craven ^e	5.7	0.13	March 23
Painter, VA, field 1	2018	Bojac	6.4	0.5	March 31
Painter, VA, field 2	2018	Bojac	6.4	0.5	April 6
Jackson, NC, field 1	2018	Craven	6.5	0.32	March 28
Jackson, NC, field 2	2018	Craven	6.5	0.32	April 3
Gates, NC, field 1	2018	Noboco ^f	7.1	0.46	March 28
Gates, NC, field 2	2018	Goldsboro ^g	6.0	0.56	March 28
Rocky Mount, NC	2018	Aycock	6.4	0.32	April 18

^aSoil texture at all sites was sandy loam.

^bHumic matter determined according to Mehlich (1984).

^cCoarse-loamy, mixed, semiactive, thermic Typic Hapludults.

^dFine-silty, siliceous, subactive, thermic Typic Paleudults.

^eFine, mixed, subactive, thermic Aquic Hapludults.

^fFine-loamy, siliceous, subactive, thermic Oxyaquic Paleudults.

^gFine-loamy, siliceous, subactive, thermic Aquic Paleudults.

glyphosate compared with 2,4-D applied in combination with glyphosate. Horseweed control by auxin herbicides is influenced by size of the weed (Budd et al. 2017; Kruger et al. 2010; McCauley and Young 2016; Zimmer et al. 2018a; 2018b). Kruger et al. (2010) reported dicamba alone controlled horseweed 30 cm or less in height 97% to 99% and was similar to control by 2,4-D alone; dicamba alone was more effective than 2,4-D in controlling horseweed taller than 30 cm. Despite effectiveness, varying sensitivity of horseweed biotypes to 2,4-D have been observed, which raises concern about horseweed evolving resistance to auxin herbicides (Eubank et al. 2008; Kruger et al. 2007).

Halauxifen-methyl is a new Group 4 synthetic auxin herbicide and a member of the pyridine-2-carboxylate (or arylpicolinate) herbicide chemical family (Epp et al. 2016; WSSA 2018). Other members of the pyridine-2-carboxylate family include picloram, clopyralid, and aminopyralid (Epp et al. 2016). Halauxifen-methyl effectively controls horseweed at varying sizes (McCauley and Young 2016; Zimmer et al. 2018a, Zimmer et al. 2018b). Zimmer et al. (2018a, 2018b) reported halauxifen-methyl applied alone at 5 g ae ha⁻¹ controlled GR horseweed 90%, and halauxifen-methyl in combinations with 2,4-D, dicamba, and/or glyphosate controlled GR horseweed 87% to 97%. In another study, dicamba and halauxifen-methyl applied alone provided 80% control of 30-cm horseweed, whereas 2,4-D applied at 560 g ae ha⁻¹ controlled the weed less than 50% (McCauley and Young 2016).

Although halauxifen-methyl effectively controls horseweed, research is limited on its efficacy on many other weeds. Cutleaf evening primrose and curly dock are common weeds in reduced- and no-till systems that are not adequately controlled by glyphosate (Bish and Bradley 2015; Clewis et al. 2007; Culpepper et al. 2005; Scott et al. 1998; Steckel 2008; Vidrine et al. 2007; York and Collins 2016). Because cutleaf evening primrose control by glyphosate and paraquat is inadequate, 2,4-D is normally recommended with the aforementioned herbicides to improve control of cutleaf evening primrose and other weeds (Culpepper et al. 2005). Culpepper et al. (2005) reported glyphosate plus 2,4-D and 2,4-D plus paraquat controlled cutleaf evening primrose 86% and 94%, respectively. Other researchers found that 2,4-D controlled cutleaf evening primrose at rates as low as 134 g ae ha⁻¹ (York and Culpepper 2005). Similar results were observed by Clewis et al. (2007), who reported glyphosate plus 2,4-D controlled cutleaf

evening primrose 97% to 99%, whereas glyphosate alone provided 83% and 84% control. Bish and Bradley (2015) observed 60% to 80% curly dock control by 2,4-D and dicamba, whereas a combination of the two herbicides controlled the weed 80% to 100%. Furthermore, research is limited on preplant burndown control of henbit, purple deadnettle, purple cudweed, and common chickweed by halauxifen-methyl.

The objective of this study was to further investigate halauxifen-methyl for horseweed control and efficacy against many prevailing weeds frequently encountered preplant burndown.

Materials and Methods

Experiments were conducted at the Eastern Shore Agriculture Research and Extension Center near Painter, VA (37.58°N, 75.78°W), at the Upper Coastal Plain Research Station near Rocky Mount, NC (35.9382°N, 77.7905°W), and in a producer's field near Jackson, NC (36.3896°N, 77.4214°W) during 2017 and 2018 seasons, as well as in two producers' fields near Gates, NC (36.4202°N, 76.6875°W) during the 2018 season. Adjacent areas of the same fields were used for multiple locations at Painter, Rocky Mount, and Jackson (Table 1). The experimental design was a randomized complete block with treatments replicated three or four times, depending on location. Plot sizes ranged from 2.8 to 3.7 m in width and 6 m to 12 m in length depending on locations. Experiments were conducted in the absence of a crop.

Halauxifen-methyl, dicamba, and 2,4-D were applied alone or in combination with glyphosate, along with glyphosate applied alone, in mid-March to mid-April (Tables 1 and 2). Methylated seed oil at 1% vol/vol was included with halauxifen-methyl and glyphosate plus halauxifen-methyl, and nonionic surfactant at 0.25% vol/vol was included with 2,4-D and dicamba; no adjuvants were included with combinations of glyphosate and 2,4-D or dicamba (Table 2). Herbicides were applied using a CO₂-pressurized backpack sprayer equipped with flat-fan nozzles (TTI 110015 Turbo TeeJet® Induction flat spray tip; TeeJet Technologies, Wheaton, IL) delivering 140 L ha⁻¹ at 207 kPa. Weed species varied across locations; average weed size, density in nontreated checks, and number of locations with each species present are listed in Table 3.

Visual estimates of weed control were collected 2 and 4 wk after application using a 0% (no weed control) to 100% scale (complete

Table 2. Herbicides and adjuvants used in experiments.^a

Herbicides and adjuvants	Trade name	Formulation concentration	Application rate	Manufacturer
		g ae L ⁻¹	g ae ha ⁻¹	
2,4-D	Weedar 64	456	533 (low rate) or 1,066 (high rate)	Nufarm Inc.
Dicamba	Clarity	480	280	BASF
Halauxifen-methyl	Elevore	69	5	Corteva Agriscience
Glyphosate	Roundup PowerMAX	540	1,260	Monsanto Co.
Methylated seed oil	MSO Concentrate	100%	1% (vol/vol)	Loveland Products, Inc.
Nonionic surfactant	Induce	90%	0.25% (vol/vol)	Helena Chemical Co.

^aSpecimen labels for each product and mailing and web site addresses of each manufacturer can be found at www.cdms.net.

Table 3. Average weed size, density, and number of locations with each species present.

Weed species	Height ^a	Diameter	Density	No. of locations
	cm	cm	plants m ⁻²	
Common chickweed	13	NA	11	6
Curly dock	NA ^b	53	8	3
Cutleaf evening-primrose	NA	16	18	7
Henbit	13	NA	14	4
Horseweed (small)	5	NA	6	6
Horseweed (large)	15	NA	5	3
Purple cudweed	NA	10	2	7
Purple deadnettle	15	NA	16	2

^aAbbreviation: NA, not applicable.

^bSome weeds are measured by height, some by diameter.

necrosis). Weed density data were collected 4 wk after application by counting the number of weeds plot⁻¹; three 0.25-m² subsamples were used when weeds were present at higher densities. Plant response to auxin herbicides is relatively slow (Ross and Childs 1996); therefore, results were focused on visible weed control and weed density 4 wk after treatment (WAT).

Statistical Analyses

Data were subjected to ANOVA using the PROC GLIMMIX procedure in SAS, version 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Herbicide treatment was considered a fixed factor, whereas locations and replications were treated as random factors. The two-way interactions of location by herbicide treatment were significant for all weed species. However, with the exception of horseweed, the *F* values associated with the main effect of herbicide treatment were approximately 20 to 1,650 times greater than *F* values associated with the interaction; hence, data for these weed species were pooled across locations. Horseweed size influences control by auxin herbicides (Budd et al. 2017; McCauley and Young 2016; Zimmer et al. 2018a; 2018b). Because the two-way interaction of location by herbicide treatment was significant and *F* values would not allow data for horseweed to be pooled across all nine locations, a secondary analysis was conducted for small or large horseweed separately. Weed heights were collected for each species prior to herbicide applications; horseweed that averaged 5 cm tall were considered small and those averaging 15 cm tall were considered large. For these analyses, the two-way interactions of location by herbicide treatment were not significant. Therefore, data for small and large horseweed are reported separately pooled over six and three locations, respectively. Means were separated using Fisher protected LSD at $\alpha = 0.05$. Data for nontreated checks were excluded from analysis

except in a separate analysis for which the Dunnett procedure (Dunnett 1955) was used to compare weed density in the nontreated checks to all other treatments.

Results and Discussion

Large horseweed (average height, 15 cm) was more difficult to control than small horseweed (Table 4), which agrees with previous research (Budd et al. 2017; McCauley and Young 2016; Zimmer et al. 2018a; 2018b). Halauxifen-methyl controlled small and large horseweed 89% and 79%, respectively, and was similar to dicamba control, which controlled small horseweed 91% and large horseweed 77%. The LR (50%–72%) and HR (64%–80%) of 2,4-D were less effective than halauxifen-methyl and dicamba for control of small and large horseweed. In general, horseweed density followed similar trends as visual control data (Tables 4 and 5). Small and large horseweed density in nontreated checks averaged 6 and 5 plants m⁻², respectively (Table 3). All auxin herbicides applied alone reduced small and large horseweed density compared with the nontreated check (data not shown). Similar to visual estimates of horseweed control, halauxifen-methyl and dicamba reduced small horseweed density greater than did both rates of 2,4-D (Table 5). When horseweed plants were larger (average height, 15 cm), halauxifen-methyl and dicamba remained more effective than 2,4-D LR but provided equivalent reductions in density to 2,4-D HR.

Henbit and purple deadnettle are members of the Lamiaceae and responded similarly to herbicide treatments (Tables 4 and 5). Halauxifen-methyl controlled henbit 90% and purple deadnettle 99%, similar to previous research (Steckel 2018). Of the auxin herbicides applied alone, 2,4-D and dicamba were less effective at controlling henbit and purple deadnettle than was halauxifen-methyl. Glyphosate alone and glyphosate combinations controlled henbit 100% and purple deadnettle 99%.

Halauxifen-methyl efficacy for control of cutleaf evening primrose has not been documented previously, to our knowledge, although control is claimed on the label (Anonymous 2018a). Halauxifen-methyl (4%) and dicamba (51%) were less effective than 2,4-D (74% to 85%) for control of cutleaf evening primrose. Cutleaf evening primrose density in nontreated check plots averaged 18 plants m⁻² (Table 3); all herbicide treatments, except halauxifen-methyl alone, reduced cutleaf evening primrose density compared with the nontreated (data not shown). Similar to visible control data of the auxin herbicides applied alone, 2,4-D reduced cutleaf evening primrose density the most compared with the nontreated check and was more effective than halauxifen-methyl and dicamba (Table 5).

Like cutleaf evening primrose, curly dock control by glyphosate can be difficult; adequate control may require an additional mode

of action (Bish and Bradley 2015; Scott et al. 1998). Dicamba and 2,4-D controlled curly dock 59% to 70%, respectively, and were more effective than halauxifen-methyl (5%). Likewise, 2,4-D and dicamba reduced curly dock density compared with the nontreated check, whereas halauxifen-methyl did not (data not shown).

Common chickweed and purple cudweed are also encountered burndown before planting cotton and other crops and can be difficult to control with auxin herbicides (Monning and Bradley 2007; York and Collins 2016). None of the auxin herbicides effectively controlled purple cudweed (control range, 7% to 21%) or common chickweed (control range, 6% to 12%). Compared with the nontreated check, 2,4-D, dicamba, and halauxifen-methyl did not reduce density of common chickweed or purple cudweed (data not shown).

Despite a history of GR horseweed in North Carolina and Virginia, GR biotypes only made up a small portion of the horseweed populations used for this experiment, as demonstrated by excellent horseweed control by glyphosate alone (Table 4). Furthermore, glyphosate applied alone controlled all weeds 95% or greater with the exception of cutleaf evening primrose (35%) and curly dock (37%). Compared with glyphosate alone, adding 2,4-D, dicamba, or halauxifen-methyl to glyphosate did little to improve control of horseweed (96% to 99%), henbit (100%), purple deadnettle (99%), purple cudweed (100%), and common chickweed (100%). In contrast, poor control of cutleaf evening primrose and curly dock by glyphosate was improved 30% to 58% and 35% to 41% with the addition of 2,4-D or dicamba, respectively. Culpepper et al. (2005) documented the addition of 2,4-D to glyphosate improved cutleaf evening primrose 37% at 4 WAT compared with glyphosate alone. Combining halauxifen-methyl with glyphosate did little to improve cutleaf evening primrose (46%) or curly dock (38%) control compared with glyphosate alone. Weed density data on glyphosate alone and glyphosate combinations reaffirm visual estimates of weed control (Table 5). This research confirms 2,4-D continues to be recommended for control of cutleaf evening primrose, whereas control by halauxifen-methyl is inadequate.

These data from North Carolina and Virginia, in addition to research from Indiana (Zimmer et al. 2018a, 2018b), indicate halauxifen-methyl effectively controls horseweed. In this experiment, halauxifen-methyl and dicamba controlled horseweed averaging 5 or 15 cm in height more effectively than did 2,4-D. Besides horseweed, information is limited on efficacy of halauxifen-methyl for control many other weed species. Steckel (2018) observed halauxifen-methyl plus florasulam (Anonymous 2018b) controlled henbit. However, it was not distinguished which active ingredient or if both were responsible for henbit control. In this experiment, halauxifen-methyl controlled henbit 90% and purple deadnettle 99%, whereas 2,4-D and dicamba controlled these weeds not greater than 8%. Despite effectiveness against horseweed, henbit, and purple deadnettle, halauxifen-methyl was less effective against other weeds in this experiment. Like 2,4-D and dicamba, purple cudweed and common chickweed control by halauxifen-methyl was inadequate ($\leq 7\%$). Halauxifen-methyl controlled cutleaf evening primrose and curly dock less than dicamba and 2,4-D did. This is of particular concern because cutleaf evening primrose and curly dock are commonly encountered preplant burndown (York and Collins 2016) and glyphosate does not effectively control these species (Culpepper et al. 2005; Scott et al. 1998); 2,4-D or dicamba is often relied upon in combination with glyphosate to control these weeds. Replacing 2,4-D with halauxifen-methyl in preplant burndown applications may result in inadequate control of cutleaf evening primrose and curly dock.

In conclusion, halauxifen-methyl is a useful tool for horseweed, henbit, and purple deadnettle management. However, future research should address combinations of halauxifen-methyl with glyphosate and various rates of 2,4-D for broader-spectrum weed control where preplant intervals allow, especially where cutleaf evening primrose and curly dock are commonplace.

Acknowledgments. Partial funding for this research was provided by the cotton producers of North Carolina and Virginia through the Cotton Incorporated State Support Program and by the Virginia Cotton Board. The authors also acknowledge support from the Hatch Program of the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture. No conflicts of interest have been declared.

References

- Anonymous (2018a) Elevore™ herbicide product label. Dow AgroSciences. Indianapolis, IN: <http://www.cdms.net/ldat/ldEIJ001.pdf>. Accessed March 2, 2018.
- Anonymous (2018b) Quelex™ herbicide product label. Dow AgroSciences. Indianapolis, IN: <http://www.cdms.net/ldat/ldDBL000.pdf>. Accessed: March 2, 2018.
- Bhowmik PC, Bekech MM (1993) Horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) seed production, emergence, and distribution in no-tillage and conventional-tillage corn (*Zea mays*). *Agron Trends Agric Sci* 1:67–71
- Bish MD, Bradley K (2015) Weed of the month: curly dock. <https://ipm.missouri.edu/ipcm/2015/4/Weed-of-the-Month-Curly-Dock/>. Accessed: March 25, 2019
- Bruce JA, Kells JT (1990) Horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) control in no-tillage soybeans (*Glycine max*) with preplant and preemergence herbicides. *Weed Technol* 4:642–647
- Budd CM, Soltani N, Robinson DE, Hooker DC, Mill RT, Sikkema P (2017) Efficacy of saflufenacil for control of glyphosate-resistant horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) as affected by height, density, and time of day. *Weed Sci* 65:275–284
- Byker HP, Soltani N, Robinson DE, Tardif FJ, Lawton MK, Sikkema PH (2013) Control of glyphosate-resistant horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) with dicamba applied preplant and postemergence in dicamba-resistant soybean. *Weed Technol* 27:492–496
- Clewiss SB, Jordan DL, Spears JF, Wilcut JW (2007) Influence of environmental factors on cutleaf eveningprimrose (*Oenothera laciniata*) germination, emergence, development, vegetative growth, and control. *Weed Sci* 55:264–272
- Culpepper AS, Carlson DS, York AC (2005) Pre-plant control of cutleaf eveningprimrose (*Oenothera laciniata* Hill) and wild radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum* L.) in conservation tillage cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *J Cotton Sci* 9:223–228
- Dunnett CW (1955) A multicomparisons procedure for comparing several treatments with a control. *J Am Stat Assoc* 50:1096–1121
- Epp JB, Alexander AL, Balko TW, Buysse AM, Brewster WK, Bryan K, Daeuble JF, Fields SC, Gast RE, Green RA, Irvine NM, Lo WC, Lowe CR, Renga JM, Richburg JS, Ruiz JM, Satchivi NM, Schmitzer PR, Siddall TL, Webster JD, Wimer MR, Whiteker GT, Yerkes CN (2016) The discovery of Arylex™ active and Rinskor™ active: two novel auxin herbicides. *Bioorgan Med Chem* 24:362–371
- Eubank TW, Nandula VK, Poston DH, Shaw DR (2012) Multiple resistance of horseweed to glyphosate and paraquat and its control with paraquat and metribuzin combinations. *Agron J* 2012:385–370
- Eubank TW, Poston DH, Nandula VK, Koger CH, Shaw DR, Reynolds DB (2008) Glyphosate-resistant horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) control using glyphosate, paraquat, and glufosinate-based herbicide programs. *Weed Technol* 22:16–21
- Heap I (2018) The international survey of herbicide resistant weeds. <http://www.weedscience.org/Summary/Species.aspx?WeedID=61>. Accessed: February 28, 2018
- Koger CH, Poston, DH, Hayes RM, Montgomery RF (2004) Glyphosate-resistant horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) in Mississippi. *Weed Technol* 18:820–825

- Kruger GR, Davis VM, Weller SC, Johnson WG (2007) Investigating Indiana horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) populations for response to 2,4-D. Page 101 in Proceedings of the 62nd Annual North Central Weed Science Society. Champaign, IL. Las Cruces, NM: North Central Weed Science Society
- Kruger GR, Davis VM, Weller SC, Johnson WG (2010) Control of horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) with growth regulator herbicides. *Weed Technol* 24:425–429
- Kruger GR, Davis VM, Weller SC, Stachler JM, Loux MM, Johnson WG (2009) Frequency, distribution, and characterization of horseweed biotypes with resistance to glyphosate and ALS-inhibiting herbicides. *Weed Sci* 57:652–659
- Loux M, Stachler J, Johnson B, Nice G, Davis V, Nordby D (2006) Biology and management of horseweed. Publication GWC-9. West Lafayette, IN: Purdue Extension
- Main CL, Mueller TC, Hayes RM, Wilkerson JB (2004) Response of selected horseweed (*Conyza canadensis* (L.) Cronq.) populations to glyphosate. *J Agric Food Chem* 52:879–883
- McCauley CL, Young B (2016) Control of glyphosate-resistant horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) with halauxifen-methyl versus dicamba and 2,4-D. Page 37 in Proceedings of the 71st Annual North Central Weed Science Society, Des Moines, IA. Las Cruces, NM: North Central Weed Science Society
- Mehlich A (1984) Photometric determination of humic matter in soils, a proposed method. *Commun Soil Sci Plan* 15:1417–1422
- Monning N, Bradley KW (2007) Influence of fall and early spring herbicide applications on winter and summer annual weed population in no-till soybean. *Weed Technol* 21:724–731
- Ross MA, Childs DJ (1996) Herbicide mode-of-action summary. <https://www.extension.purdue.edu/extmedia/ws/ws-23-w.html>. Accessed: November 18, 2018
- Scott R, Shaw DR, Barrentine WL (1998) Glyphosate tank mixtures with SAN 582 for burndown or postemergence applications in glyphosate-tolerant soybean (*Glycine max*). *Weed Technol* 12:23–26
- Smisek A, Doucet C, Jones M, Weaver SE (1998) Paraquat resistance in horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) and Virginia pepperweed (*Lepidium virginicum*) from Essex County, Ontario. *Weed Sci* 46:200–204
- Steckel LE, Gwathmey CO (2009) Glyphosate-resistant horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) growth, seed production, and interference in cotton. *Weed Sci* 57:346–350
- Steckel L (2008) Cutleaf evening primrose (*Oenothera laciniata* Hill). <https://extension.tennessee.edu/publications/Documents/W209.pdf>. Accessed: November 2, 2018
- Steckel L (2018) New broadleaf herbicide options available in wheat. <https://news.utrcrops.com/2018/02/new-herbicide-options-broadleaf-weed-control-wheat/>. Accessed: August 17, 2020
- Trainer GD, Loux MM, Harrison SK, Regnier E (2005) Response of horseweed biotypes to foliar applications of cloransulam-methyl and glyphosate. *Weed Technol* 19:231–236
- Uva RH, Neal JC, DiTomaso JM (1997) *Weeds of the Northeast*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press
- VanGessel MJ (2001) Glyphosate-resistant horseweed from Delaware. *Weed Science* 49:703–705
- VanGessel MJ, Scott BA, Johnson QR (2006) Paraquat-resistant horseweed identified in the mid-Atlantic states. *Crop Manag* 5:1–5
- Vidrine PR, Miller DK, Sanders DE, Scroggs DM, Stewart AM (2007) Controlling weeds in cotton. <https://www.lsuagcenter.com/~media/system/e/6/6/4/e664015324796f18eea141420974bc2c/pub2746weedsincotton2007final.pdf>. Accessed: November 3, 2018
- Weaver SE (2001) The biology of Canadian weeds. 115. *Conyza canadensis*. *Can J Plant Sci* 81:867–875
- [WSSA] Weed Science Society of America (2018) Summary of herbicide mechanism of action according to WSSA. <http://wssa.net/wp-content/uploads/WSSA-Mechanism-of-Action.pdf>. Accessed: March 2, 2018
- York AC, Collins G (2016) March is burndown time (York & Collins). <https://cotton.ces.ncsu.edu/2016/03/march-is-burndown-time-york-collins/>. Accessed: November 18, 2018
- York, AC, Culpepper AS (2005) Control of cutleaf eveningprimrose in conservation tillage cotton. Pages 2848–2849. in Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference, Nashville, TN. Memphis, TN: National Cotton Council
- Zheng D, Kruger GR, Singh S, Davis VM, Tranel PJ, Weller SC, Johnson WG (2011) Cross resistance of horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) populations with three different ALS mutations. *Pest Manag Sci* 67:1486–1492
- Zimmer M, Young BG, Johnson WG (2018a) Herbicide programs utilizing halauxifen-methyl for glyphosate-resistant horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) control in soybean. *Weed Technol* 32:659–664
- Zimmer M, Young BG, Johnson WG (2018b) Weed control with halauxifen-methyl applied alone and in mixtures with 2,4-D, dicamba, and glyphosate. *Weed Technol* 32:597–602