

## **Vertical mobility and cotton tolerance to trifludimoxazin, a new protoporphyrinogen oxidase-inhibiting herbicide, in three West Texas soils**

Authors: Asher, B. Scott, Dotray, Peter A., Liebl, Rex A., Keeling, J. Wayne, Ritchie, Glen D., et al.

Source: Weed Technology, 35(1) : 144-148

Published By: Weed Science Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2020.95>

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

## Research Article

**Cite this article:** Asher BS, Dotray PA, Liebl RA, Keeling JW, Ritchie GD, Udeigwe TK, Reed JD, Keller KE, Bowe SJ, Aldridge RB, Simon A (2021) Vertical mobility and cotton tolerance to trifludimoxazin, a new protoporphyrinogen oxidase-inhibiting herbicide, in three West Texas soils. *Weed Technol.* **35**: 144–148. doi: [10.1017/wet.2020.95](https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2020.95)

Received: 9 June 2020

Revised: 4 August 2020

Accepted: 19 August 2020

First published online: 25 August 2020

**Associate Editor:**

David Johnson, Corteva Agriscience

**Keywords:**

Cotton tolerance; Palmer amaranth; preemergence; preplant; protoporphyrinogen oxidase; vertical mobility; West Texas soils



**Nomenclature:**

flumioxazin; saflufenacil; trifludimoxazin; cotton; *Gossypium hirsutum* L

**Author for correspondence:**

B. Scott Asher, Global Herbicide Marketing, Product Development, BASF Corporation, Research Triangle Park, NC 27882. (Email: [scott.asher@basf.com](mailto:scott.asher@basf.com))

# Vertical mobility and cotton tolerance to trifludimoxazin, a new protoporphyrinogen oxidase-inhibiting herbicide, in three West Texas soils

B. Scott Asher<sup>1</sup> , Peter A. Dotray<sup>2</sup> , Rex A. Liebl<sup>1</sup>, J. Wayne Keeling<sup>3</sup>, Glen D. Ritchie<sup>4</sup>, Theophilus K. Udeigwe<sup>5</sup>, Jacob D. Reed<sup>6</sup>, Kyle E. Keller<sup>7</sup>, Steve J. Bowe<sup>7</sup>, Ryan B. Aldridge<sup>7</sup> and Anja Simon<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Global Herbicide Marketing, Product Development, BASF Corporation, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA; <sup>2</sup>Rockwell Professor and Extension Weed Specialist, Department of Plant and Soils Science, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA; <sup>3</sup>Professor, Texas A&M AgriLife Research and Extension Center, Lubbock, TX, USA; <sup>4</sup>Associate Professor of Crop Physiology, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA; <sup>5</sup>Assistant Professor of Soil Chemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA; <sup>6</sup>Field Biology Representative, BASF Corporation, Lubbock, TX, USA; <sup>7</sup>Biology Project Manager, Herbicides; Biology Group Leader, Herbicides; Biology Laboratory Technician; BASF Corporation, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA and <sup>8</sup>Global Biology Development, Herbicides, BASF Corporation, Limburgerhof, Germany

**Abstract**

Trifludimoxazin, a new protoporphyrinogen oxidase-inhibiting herbicide, is being evaluated for possible use as a soil-residual active herbicide treatment in cotton for control of small-seeded annual broadleaf weeds. Laboratory and greenhouse studies were conducted to compare vertical mobility and cotton tolerance of trifludimoxazin to flumioxazin and saflufenacil, which are two currently registered protoporphyrinogen oxidase-inhibiting herbicides for use in cotton, in three West Texas soils. Vertical soil mobility of trifludimoxazin was similar to flumioxazin in Acuff loam and Olton loam soils, but was more mobile than flumioxazin in the Amarillo loamy sand soil. The depth of trifludimoxazin movement after a 2.5-cm irrigation event ranged from 2.5 to 5.0 cm in all soils, which would not allow for crop selectivity based on herbicide placement, because ideal cotton seeding depth is from 0.6 to 2.54 cm deep. Greenhouse studies indicated that PRE treatments were more injurious than the 14 d preplant treatment when summarized across soils for the three herbicides (43% and 14% injury, respectively). No differences in visual cotton response or dry weight was observed after trifludimoxazin preplant as compared with the nontreated control within each of the three West Texas soils and was similar to the flumioxazin preplant across soils. On the basis of these results, a use pattern for trifludimoxazin in cotton may be established with the use of a more than 14-d preplant restriction before cotton planting.

**Introduction**

The integration of soil-residual herbicides in glyphosate-resistant crops is a common recommendation to improve consistency of weed management systems (Bond et al. 2011; Norsworthy et al. 2012). Research has shown that programs containing a soil-residual herbicide in glyphosate-resistant cotton maximizes weed control and lint yield (Burke et al. 2005; Clewis et al. 2008; Culpepper 2006; Grichar et al. 2004; Price et al. 2008; Scroggs et al. 2007). Everman et al. (2009) and Scroggs et al. (2007) reported increased weed control with the addition of PRE soil-residual herbicides in weed control programs for glufosinate- and glyphosate-resistant cotton. Using soil-residual herbicides not only can eliminate or reduce early-season competition from weeds to help secure maximum crop yield, using them also allows grower flexibility in timing of POST applications if needed (Ellis and Griffin 2002). Soil-residual herbicides are commonly used now to control glyphosate-resistant weeds in various crops.

In susceptible plants, inhibition of protoporphyrinogen oxidase (PPO), an enzyme in the chlorophyll biosynthesis pathway, causes accumulation of porphyrins and increases peroxidation of membrane lipids, which leads to irreversible damage of the membrane function and structure (Duke et al. 1991; Grossman et al. 2010, 2011). Increasing infestations of glyphosate- and acetolactate synthase (ALS)-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* S. Watson) in cotton has forced producers to use herbicides with alternative modes of action in their management systems (Sosnoskie and Culpepper 2014). Of particular interest is the increased use of PPO herbicides and glufosinate for the management of glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth. Palmer amaranth can be controlled in systems using glufosinate and PPO herbicides such as

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Weed Science Society of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



flumioxazin and fomesafen (Everman et al. 2009; Gardner et al. 2006; Whitaker et al. 2011a, 2011b). Of the PPO herbicides typically applied PRE or preplant (PP), both fomesafen and flumioxazin have been two of the most effective, providing 74% to 100% Palmer amaranth control 20 d after planting (DAP) (Whitaker et al. 2011b). The use of PPO-inhibiting herbicides across all crops has increased 25% (by value) globally since 2009 (Phillips McDougall 2014). The increase in use has been in response to the occurrence of glyphosate-resistant weeds in the United States, especially *Amaranthus* species.

Trifludimoxazin [1,5-dimethyl-6-sulfanylidene-3-(2,2,7-trifluoro-3-oxo-4-prop-2-ynyl-1,4-benzoxazin-6-yl)-1,3,5-triazinane-2,4-dione] is the first PPO-inhibiting herbicide containing a triazinone heterocycle (Armell et al. 2017), has a water solubility of 1.78 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, is a nonionic molecule, and has a soil adsorption coefficient (Koc) of 315–692 mL g<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1) (APVMA 2020). Trifludimoxazin is active when applied PRE or POST on broadleaf weeds, including known PPO target-based resistant *Amaranthus* biotypes possessing the  $\delta$  glycine deletion or R128 substitution mutation, which are not controlled by currently registered PPO inhibitors (Armell et al. 2017).

Flumioxazin is a dicarboxamide herbicide developed by Valent (Senseman 2007), has a water solubility of 1.79 mg L<sup>-1</sup> with no apparent pH effect on water solubility, is a nonionic molecule, and has a Koc of 557 mL g<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1) (Mueller et al. 2014). Flumioxazin absorption to soil was highly correlated with organic matter, although it can become readily available in soil solution with an increase in soil water content (Ferrell et al. 2005). Flumioxazin is used in a wide range of crops, including soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr] and cotton (Anonymous 2016).

Saflufenacil is a pyrimidinedione herbicide developed by BASF (Grossman et al. 2010). It has a water solubility of 210 mg L<sup>-1</sup> at pH 7, which is directly related to pH, is an ionic molecule, and has a Koc of 27 mL/g. Observed low sorption to soil and rapid dissipation suggest that saflufenacil would be readily available for degradation or plant uptake in the plant root zone (Table 1) (Mueller et al. 2014). The primary use of saflufenacil is PP burn-down () in several crops including corn (*Zea mays* L.), soybean, and cotton (Anonymous 2017).

Soils differ across cropping regions and within fields in the United States, often resulting in herbicide-rate adjustment to obtain desired efficacy and crop safety. Many soil-residual herbicides have use restrictions or limitations related to soil properties that affect their behavior in the soil. The use of fomesafen, another PPO herbicide used in cotton, is limited to coarse-textured soils (eg, sandy loam, loamy sand, sandy clay loam) when applied PRE (Anonymous 2019).

West Texas cotton-production soils range in texture from fine to coarse, generally have low soil organic matter content and typically a high pH. Evaluating application rates and timings of soil-applied residual herbicides as influenced by various soil parameters is critical for determining effective rates for weed control, crop selectivity, and recropping intervals (Gannon et al. 2014). As new soil-residual herbicides are developed for commercialization, testing under a wide range of soil conditions is required to determine their utility in weed management programs in West Texas. With the development and spread of glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth, multiple control options, including the use of soil-residual herbicides, will be needed to effectively manage this weed and other troublesome weeds in cotton. The objective of this research was to develop a use pattern for trifludimoxazin in West Texas cotton. Vertical mobility and greenhouse cotton tolerance trials were performed using three West Texas soils, and the results

**Table 1.** Attributes of various herbicides and details to experimental conductance.

Herbicide	Water solubility	Adsorption coefficient (Koc)	Ionic	1× Field rate
	mg L <sup>-1</sup>	mL g <sup>-1</sup>		g ai ha <sup>-1</sup>
Flumioxazin	1.79	889	No	35.5
Saflufenacil	201 at pH 7	27	Yes	25.0
Trifludimoxazin	1.78	315–692	No	25.0

were compared with two commercially used PPO herbicides in cotton.

## Materials and Methods

### Bulk Soils

Bulk samples were collected from the top 15 cm of the soil profile (Acuff loam [Cotton Center, TX; 33.56°N, 102.0°W]; Olton loam [Halfway, TX; 34.10°N, 101.56°W]; Amarillo loamy sand [Seagraves, TX; 32.58°N, 102.39°W]). To prepare the soils, each was air dried at room temperature and passed through a 2-mm sieve. Samples were sent to Midwest Laboratories, Inc., (Omaha, NE) for soil-property analysis (Table 2).

### Herbicide Vertical Mobility

Comparative vertical mobility of trifludimoxazin, saflufenacil, and flumioxazin was evaluated within each of the three West Texas soils using a bioassay soil-column technique (Nelson and Penner 2007). A polyvinyl chloride (PVC) soil column 15.24 cm tall by 7.62 cm diam was filled with 626.6 cm<sup>3</sup> of each soil (Acuff, 755 g; Amarillo, 845 g; Olton, 741 g). Soil was placed in each column, one-third of the total amount at a time, and hand-packed between fillings. After filling and packing, a 15-mm headspace remained at the top of each PVC soil column. The packed soil columns were irrigated with a rainfall simulator to bring each soil to field capacity (Acuff, 198 mL; Amarillo, 125 mL; Olton, 211 mL). Columns were allowed to drain and dry for 48 h.

Using a bulb pipette, 5 mL of stock herbicide solution providing the 1× field rate of each herbicide (Table 1) was applied to the surface of each soil column. Rates represent the current commercial use rate for flumioxazin and saflufenacil and the targeted use rate for trifludimoxazin (Table 1). After 2 h, columns were placed in the rainfall simulator and 2.54 cm of rainfall (116 mL of water applied to each soil column) was applied over 40 min. Columns were set aside for 24 h to drain before being split vertically into two halves. Two rows of DeKalb DKL 52-41 Roundup Ready® (Monsanto, St. Louis, MO) canola (*Brassica napus* L.) was seeded (0.64 cm deep; 1.0 teaspoon of seed column<sup>-1</sup>) lengthwise into the soil column as the herbicide-susceptible indicator species. Columns were placed in the greenhouse (constant temperature of 28 C, 14 h day-length with supplemental lighting triggered when ambient light reached less than 2,000 watts m<sup>-2</sup>, constant 50% relative humidity) and watered twice daily. Vertical mobility from the soil surface, indicated by the depth at which the indicator species exhibited a visual response was measured 7 and 10 d after treatment (DAT).

### Greenhouse Cotton Tolerance

Plastic pots, 10.16 by 10.16 cm, were filled with each of the three West Texas soils. Pots were transferred to the greenhouse (same

**Table 2.** Properties of soil samples (0–15 cm deep) for each West Texas soil.

Series	Tex <sup>a,b</sup>	OM <sup>c</sup>	Sand <sup>d</sup>	Silt loam <sup>d</sup>	Clay <sup>d</sup>	CEC <sup>e</sup>	pH <sup>f</sup>
			%			cmol kg <sup>-1</sup>	1:1
Acuff	L	1.5	45	43	12	22.1	8.3
Amarillo	LS	0.3	86	6	8	8.7	8.2
Olton	L	1.0	51	29	20	19.1	8.1

<sup>a</sup>Abbreviations: C, clay; CEC, cation exchange capacity; L, loam; LS, loamy sand; OM, organic matter; Tex, soil texture.

<sup>b</sup>Soil textural classification.

<sup>c</sup>Organic matter was determined by a loss on ignition method (Dean 1974).

<sup>d</sup>Particle analysis was determined using the hydrometer method (Gee and Orr 2002).

<sup>e</sup>CEC was determined using the summation of exchangeable cations procedure (Mehlich 1984).

<sup>f</sup>pH was determined using a 1:1 soil-to-distilled water ratio (Peech 1965).

conditions as described in the vertical mobility study), fully watered, and allowed to drain to field capacity. A single Stoneville 4946 GlyTol® LibertyLink® Genuity® Bollgard II® (Bayer Crop Science, Research Triangle Park, NC) cotton seed was planted at a depth of 2.54 cm in each pot immediately before PRE treatments and 14 d after application for the PP treatments. This depth is within the recommended planting depth of 0.6 to 3.8 cm to optimize emergence (Cotton Foundation 2020) and the current label restriction for flumioxazin used PP in strip-till/no-till cotton (Anonymous 2016). Each of the herbicides was applied using a spray chamber (TeeJet® XR 80015; 140 L ha<sup>-1</sup>, 275 kPa, 4.8 km h<sup>-1</sup>) using the 1× field rate shown in Table 1. Pots were transferred to the greenhouse and allowed to dry for 2 h prior to receiving an overhead watering of 2.54 cm to activate the herbicide. Pots were watered twice daily for the duration of the experiment. Visual plant response was recorded at 14 and 28 DAP.

Aboveground cotton fresh weight was recorded 28 DAP by cutting each plant at the soil surface. Plants were placed in an oven dryer at 32 C for 12 h and dry weights recorded. Dry weight as compared with the nontreated control (NTC) within each soil type was calculated for each plant.

### Statistical Analysis

The herbicide vertical mobility experiment used a completely randomized design with three replications for each soil by herbicide combination and the experiment was conducted twice. The greenhouse cotton tolerance experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design with three pots repetition<sup>-1</sup> and 3 repetitions run<sup>-1</sup>, and was conducted twice. Data were subjected to ANOVA and means separated by Fisher protected LSD at the 5% level (SAS 2013).

## Results and Discussion

### Herbicide Vertical Mobility

Differences in herbicide vertical mobility were observed among herbicide by soil combinations. No differences were seen with observation time (7 DAT vs. 10 DAT); thus, only the 10 DAT data are reported. All flumioxazin soil combinations had similar vertical mobility at 10 DAT (Table 3). Trifludimoxazin mobility at 10 DAT in the Acuff and Olton soils was similar to flumioxazin (range, 1.7–2.5 cm), but trifludimoxazin exhibited 79% greater vertical mobility than flumioxazin in the Amarillo soil (Table 3). Vertical mobility of saflufenacil was greater than all other respective herbicide by soil combinations 10 DAT (6.2–13.5 cm) and was

**Table 3.** Vertical mobility of three protoporphyrinogen oxidase-inhibiting herbicides in three West Texas soils.

Herbicide (rate g ai ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Soil	10 d after treatment <sup>a</sup>
		—cm—
Flumioxazin (35.5)	Acuff	1.7 a
	Amarillo	2.8 a
	Olton	1.9 a
Saflufenacil (25.0)	Acuff	7.2 c
	Amarillo	13.5 d
	Olton	6.2 bc
Trifludimoxazin (25.0)	Acuff	2.5 a
	Amarillo	5.0 b
	Olton	2.5 a

<sup>a</sup>Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different according to Fisher protected LSD test at P = 0.05.

greatest in the Amarillo soil 10 DAT (13.5 cm) (Table 3). The Amarillo soil was the most coarse-textured soil (loamy sand) and contained the least amount of organic matter (0.3%) of the three West Texas soils tested. These findings are consistent with previous studies that showed percent organic matter had the greatest impact on herbicide bioavailability (Parochetti 1973; Rahman and Matthews 1979; Sheets et al. 1962; Stevenson 1972; Weber et al. 1987).

### Greenhouse Cotton Tolerance

At the PP application timing, trifludimoxazin and flumioxazin by soil combinations caused similar cotton response 14 and 28 DAP (0% to 13%) (Table 4). The PP saflufenacil treatment caused more cotton response than all trifludimoxazin or flumioxazin PP by soil combinations except for the Amarillo soil 14 DAP, when all three herbicides produced similar cotton response (Table 4). All PRE herbicide by soil combination treatments caused greater levels of cotton response than the PP herbicide by soil combinations at 14 and 28 DAP, except for flumioxazin in the Olton soil (7% and 8%, respectively). Trifludimoxazin and saflufenacil PRE treatments had similar levels of cotton response across all soil combinations at 28 DAP (65% to 79%) and were greater than any flumioxazin by soil PRE treatment (8% to 36%). The only PRE herbicide by soil treatment with similar cotton response to the PP herbicide by soil combinations at 28 DAP was flumioxazin in the Olton soil (8%) (Table 4).

Cotton fresh-weight comparisons to the NTC (data not shown) were similar to the dry weight comparisons. Within each soil, cotton dry weight after the trifludimoxazin and flumioxazin PP treatments was similar to the NTC and ranged from 96% to 107% and 103% to 105%, respectively (Table 5). This corresponds to the visual cotton response recorded at 28 DAP, which indicated that these treatments were similar (Table 4). Preplant saflufenacil cotton dry weight within each soil was less than the NTC and lower than trifludimoxazin and flumioxazin (Table 5). This also corresponded to the cotton response observed at 28 DAP (Table 4). Across soils, cotton dry weight after the trifludimoxazin and saflufenacil PRE treatments were similar and lower than the NTC (29% to 37% and 27% to 47%, respectively) (Table 5). Flumioxazin-induced cotton dry weight was similar to the NTC after the PRE treatments across all three West Texas soils (80% to 105%) (Table 5).

PRE herbicide treatments resulted in greater levels of cotton response than did the PP treatments within all soils. PRE treatments of trifludimoxazin and saflufenacil resulted in similar high



**Table 4.** Cotton response from PRE and preplant applications of three protoporphyrinogen oxidase-inhibiting herbicides in three West Texas soils.

Herbicide (rate g ai ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Soil	Cotton injury a 14 DAP <sup>a,b</sup>		Cotton injury a 28 DAP <sup>b</sup>	
		PP	PRE	PP	PRE
		% -----			
Flumioxazin (35.5)	Acuff	0 a	33 b	0 a	28 b
	Amarillo	8 a	34 b	0 a	36 b
	Olton	1 a	7 a	0 a	8 a
Saflufenacil (25.0)	Acuff	38 b	48 b	54	65 cd
	Amarillo	13 a	70 cd	31	71 cd
	Olton	42 b	61 c	55	79 d
Trifludimoxazin (25.0)	Acuff	5 a	74 cd	3 a	79 d
	Amarillo	8 a	80 d	5 a	76 d
	Olton	13 a	77 cd	7 a	79 d

<sup>a</sup>Abbreviations: DAP, days after planting; PP, preplant.<sup>b</sup>Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different according to Fisher protected LSD test at P = 0.05.**Table 5.** Percentage of the nontreated control cotton dry weight from preplant and PRE applications of three protoporphyrinogen oxidase-inhibiting herbicides in three West Texas soils.

Herbicide (rate g ai ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Soil	NTC <sup>a,b</sup> PP	NTC PRE
		%	
Flumioxazin (35.5)	Acuff	104 a	80 a
	Amarillo	105 a	94 a
	Olton	103 a	105 a
Saflufenacil (25.0)	Acuff	45 b	47 b
	Amarillo	57 b	29 b
	Olton	39 b	27 b
Trifludimoxazin (25.0)	Acuff	107 a	37 b
	Amarillo	96 a	29 b
	Olton	97 a	27 b

<sup>a</sup>Abbreviations: NTC, nontreated control; PP, preplant.<sup>b</sup>Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different according to Fisher protected LSD test at P = 0.05.

levels of cotton response across soils at 28 DAT (78% and 72%, respectively), which were greater than flumioxazin (24%). Cotton dry weight as a percent of the NTC within each soil was similar for the flumioxazin PRE treatments. All PP treatments of trifludimoxazin and flumioxazin, when averaged across soils, had similar levels of cotton response to the NTC at 14 and 28 DAT. Cotton dry weight as a percent of the NTC within each soil was similar for all trifludimoxazin and flumioxazin PP treatments. All saflufenacil PP treatments resulted in greater cotton response and reduced dry weights when compared with the NTC.

Soil vertical mobility of saflufenacil does not offer the opportunity for selectivity based on placement for a PRE or 14 d PP applications in cotton. This supports the current label use restriction of 42 d PP before cotton planting (Anonymous 2017). For flumioxazin, planting cotton at a depth of 2 cm or deeper would create an opportunity for selectivity based on placement, at least in the Acuff and Olton soils, because the herbicide only moved to a depth of 1.7–1.9 cm in those soils. This work supports the results reported by Berger et al. (2012), indicating the current labelled PP application window (Anonymous 2016) of 14 to 21 d could be shortened with little crop response after flumioxazin PP. Along with a PP interval, placement selectivity with flumioxazin with planting depths of 2 cm or deeper might be achieved. To achieve selectivity in cotton with trifludimoxazin, a PP application window must be

implemented. Selectivity based on placement will not be possible, because the vertical mobility of trifludimoxazin was 2.5 cm or greater in all three West Texas soils. To refine the use pattern for trifludimoxazin in cotton, more work is needed to define the PP application window and if a use-rate range can be created for soils with differing soil properties.

**Acknowledgments.** Appreciation is extended to BASF Corporation for providing laboratory and greenhouse facilities for this research. BASF and several authors on this paper are involved with obtaining registration and developing a use pattern for trifludimoxazin in cotton and various other crops. No conflicts of interest have been declared.

## References

- Anonymous (2016) Valor SX herbicide label. Valent U.S.A Corporation. [www.cdms.net/Idat/Id3LL006.pdf](http://www.cdms.net/Idat/Id3LL006.pdf). Accessed: February 28, 2020
- Anonymous (2017) Sharpen herbicide label. BASF. [www.cdms.net/Idat/Id99E000.pdf](http://www.cdms.net/Idat/Id99E000.pdf). Accessed: February 28, 2020
- Anonymous (2019) Reflex herbicide label. Syngenta Crop Protection LLC. <http://www.cdms.net/Idat/Id6BJ037.pdf>. Accessed: July 22, 2020
- Armstrong GR, Hanzlik K, Witschel M, Hennigh DS, Bowe S, Simon A, Libel R, Mankin L (2017) Trifludimoxazin: a new PPO inhibitor that controls PPO resistant weed biotypes. Page 61 in Proceedings of the Weed Science Society of America. Arlington, VA; Weed Science Society of America
- [APVMA] Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority. Public Release Summary: On the evaluation of the new active trifludimoxazin in the product Voraxor herbicide. APMVA product number 8645, April 2020. [https://apvma.gov.au/sites/default/files/publication/65676-prs\\_on\\_trifludimoxazin\\_in\\_the\\_product\\_voraxor\\_herbicide.pdf](https://apvma.gov.au/sites/default/files/publication/65676-prs_on_trifludimoxazin_in_the_product_voraxor_herbicide.pdf). Accessed: April 7, 2020
- Berger S, Ferrell J, Brecke JB, Faircloth W, Rowland D (2012) Influence of flumioxazin application timing and rate on cotton emergence and yield. *Weed Technol* 26:622–626
- Bond JA, Dodds DM, Eubank TW, Reynolds DB (2011) Herbicide programs for managing glyphosate- and ALS-resistant Palmer amaranth in Mississippi cotton. Information Sheet 1354. Starkville, MS: Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station. 2 p
- Burke IC, Troxler SC, Askew SD, Wilcut JW, Smith WD (2005) Weed management systems in glyphosate-resistant cotton. *Weed Technol* 19:422–429
- Clewis SB, Miller DK, Koger CH, Baughman TA, Price AJ, Porterfield D, Wilcut JW (2008) Weed management and crop response with glyphosate, S-metolachlor, trifloxysulfuron, prometryn and MSMA in glyphosate-resistant cotton. *Weed Technol* 22:160–167
- Cotton Foundation. Integrated crop management. Establishing the crop. [www.cotton.org/tech/ace/establishing-the-crop.cfm](http://www.cotton.org/tech/ace/establishing-the-crop.cfm). Accessed: February 28, 2020
- Culpepper AS (2006) Glyphosate-induced weed shifts. *Weed Technol* 20: 277–281
- Dean WE (1974) Determination of carbonate and organic matter in calcareous sediments and sedimentary rocks by loss on ignition: comparison with other methods. *J Sed Retrol* 44:242–248
- Duke SO, Lydon J, Becerril JM, Sherman TD, Lehnen LP Jr, Matsumoto H (1991) Protoporphyrinogen oxidase-inhibiting herbicides. *Weed Sci* 39:465–473
- Ellis JM, Griffin JL (2002) Benefits of soil-applied herbicides in glyphosate-resistant soybean (*Glycine max*). *Weed Technol* 16:541–547
- Everman WJ, Clewis SB, York AC, Wilcut JW (2009) Weed control and yield with flumioxazin, fomesafen and S-metolachlor systems for glufosinate-resistant cotton residual weed management. *Weed Technol* 23:391–397
- Ferrell JA, Vencill WK, Xia K, Grey TL (2005) Sorption and desorption of flumioxazin to soil, clay minerals and ionic-exchange resin. *Pest Manag Sci* 61:40–46
- Gannon TW, Hixson AD, Keller KE, Weber JB, Knezevic SZ, Yelverton FH (2014) Soil properties influence saflufenacil phytotoxicity. *Weed Sci* 62:657–663
- Gardner AP, York AC, Jordon DL, Monks DW (2006) Management of annual grasses and *Amaranthus* spp. in glufosinate-resistant cotton. *J Cotton Sci* 10:328–338

- Gee GW, Orr D (2002) Particle-size analysis. Pages 255-328 in Dane JH, Tropp GC, eds. *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 4. SSSA Book Series No. 5*. Madison, WI: Soil Science Society of America
- Grichar WJ, Besler BA, Brewer KD, Minton BW (2004) Using soil-applied herbicides in combination with glyphosate in glyphosate-resistant cotton herbicide program. *Crop Prot* 23:1007-1010
- Grossmann K, Hutzler J, Caspar G, Kwiatkowski J, Brommer CL (2011) Saflufenacil (Kixor): biokinetic properties and mechanism of selectivity of a new protoporphyrinogen IX oxidase inhibiting herbicide. *Weed Sci* 59:290-298
- Grossmann K, Niggeweg R, Christiansen N, Looser R, Ehrhardt T (2010) The herbicide saflufenacil (Kixor) is a new inhibitor of protoporphyrinogen IX oxidase activity. *Weed Sci* 58:1-9
- Mehlich A (1984) Mehlich 3 soil test extractant: a modification of Mehlich 2 extractant. *Comm Soil Sci Plant Anal* 15:1409-1416
- Mueller TC, Boswell BW, Mueller S, Steckel LE (2014) Dissipation of fomesafen, saflufenacil, sulfentrazone and flumioxazin from a Tennessee soil under field conditions. *Weed Sci* 62:664-671
- Nelson EA, Penner D (2007) Leaching of isoxaflutole and the herbicide safeners R-29148 and Furlazole. *Weed Technol* 21:106-109
- Norsworthy JK, Ward S, Shaw D, Llewellyn R, Nichols R, Webster TM, Bradley K, Fiskvoll G, Powles S, Burgos N, Witt W, Barrett M. (2012) Reducing the risks of herbicide resistance: best management practices and recommendations. *Weed Sci*. 60:31-62
- Parochetti JV (1973) Soil organic matter effect on activity of acetamides, CDAA and atrazine. *Weed Sci* 21:157-160
- Peech M (1965) Hydrogen-ion activity. Pages 914-925 in Black CA, ed. *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, Chemical and Microbiological Properties* #9. Madison, WI: American Society of Agronomy
- Phillips McDougall (2014) AgriService Products Section. Midlothian, UK. 12 p
- Price AJ, Koger CH, Wilcut JW, Miller D, Van Santen E (2008) Efficacy of residual and non-residual herbicides used in cotton production systems when applied with glyphosate, glufosinate and MSMA. *Weed Technol* 22:459-466
- Rahman A, Matthews LJ (1979) Effect of soil organic matter on the phytotoxicity of thirteen s-triazine herbicides. *Weed Sci* 27:158-161
- SAS Institute(2013) SAS/STAT User's Guide. Release 9.3. Cary, NC: SAS Institute
- Scroggs DM, Miller DK, Griffin JL, Wilcut JW, Blouin DC, Stewart AM, Vidrine PR (2007) Effectiveness of preemergence herbicides and postemergence glyphosate programs in second-generation glyphosate-resistant cotton. *Weed Technol* 21:877-881
- Senseman SA (2007) Flumioxazin. In: *Herbicide Handbook*. Lawrence, KS: Weed Science Society of America. Pp 202-203
- Sheets TJ, Crafts AS, Drever HR (1962) Influence of soil properties on the phytotoxicities of the S-triazine herbicides. *J Agric Food Chem* 10: 458-462
- Sosnoskie LM, Culpepper AS (2014) Glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) increases herbicide use, tillage and hand-weeding in Georgia cotton. *Weed Sci* 62:393-402
- Stevenson FJ (1972) Organic matter reactions involving herbicides in soil. *J Environ Qual* 1:333-343
- Weber JB, Tucker MR, Isaac RA (1987) Making herbicide rate recommendations based on soil tests. *Weed Technol* 1:41-45
- Whitaker JR, York AC, Jordan DL, Culpepper AS (2011a) Weed management with glyphosate- and glufosinate-based systems in PHY 485 WRF cotton. *Weed Technol* 25:183-191
- Whitaker JR, York AC, Jordan DL, Culpepper AS, Sosnoskie LM (2011b) Residual herbicides for Palmer amaranth control. *J Cotton Sci* 15:89-99