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ABSTRACT

In this study, we demonstrate the use of somatic cell nuclear transfer to produce the first cloned camelid, a dromedary camel (Camelus dromedarius) belonging to the family Camelidae. Donor karyoplasts were obtained from adult skin fibroblasts, cumulus cells, or fetal fibroblasts, and in vivo-matured oocytes, obtained from preovulatory follicles of superstimulated female camels by transvaginal ultrasound guided ovum pick-up, were used as cytoplasts. Reconstructed embryos were cultured in vitro for 7 days up to the hatching/hatched blastocyst stage before they were transferred to synchronized recipients on Day 6 after ovulation. Pregnancies were achieved from the embryos reconstructed from all cell types, and a healthy calf, named Injaz, was born from the pregnancy by an embryo reconstructed with cumulus cells. Genotype analyses, using 25 dromedary camel microsatellite markers, confirmed that the cloned calf was derived from the donor cell line and the ovarian tissue. In conclusion, the present study reports, for the first time, establishment of pregnancies and birth of the first cloned camelid, a dromedary camel (C. dromedarius), by use of somatic cell nuclear transfer. This has opened doors for the amelioration and preservation of genetically valuable animals like high milk producers, racing champions, and males of high genetic merit in camelids. We also demonstrated, for the first time, that adult and fetal fibroblasts can be cultured, expanded, and frozen without losing their ability to support the development of nuclear transfer embryos, a technology that may potentially be used to modify fibroblast genome by homologous recombination so as to generate genetically altered cloned animals.

INTRODUCTION

Since the first report of a live mammal produced by nuclear transfer (NT) of a cultured cell line in 1996 [1], cloned mammals have been produced successfully in sheep [2], cattle [3], mouse [4], goat [5], pig [6], rabbit [7], cat [8], rat [9], horse [10], mule [11], dog [12], ferret [13], and buffalo [14] with different somatic cell types as nuclear donors. The growing list of species cloned, however, cannot obscure the fact that cloning remains inefficient compared with other assisted reproductive technologies, such as conventional embryo transfer, in vitro fertilization, or artificial insemination. Typically, only 1% to 5% of all cloned embryos transferred into surrogate mothers develop into viable offspring [15]. A number of approaches have been shown to improve the in vitro development of NT embryos, including better sources of recipient oocytes [16–18]; altering epigenetic marks in donor cells [19–21]; using chromatin transfer [22], serial NT [23], or sperm-mediated activation [24]; or aggregating somatic NT embryos [25]. However, significantly improved in vivo development has not been conclusively demonstrated for any of these treatments. Multiple factors, from recipient cytoplasm preparation to transfer of cloned embryos to recipient females, influence success of each step in the nuclear-transfer process. It has been shown that oocyte source [26, 27], enucleation methods [28, 29], activation protocols and fusion methods [30], fusion timing [31, 32], and in vitro culture conditions have an overall effect on the efficiency of production of live cloned offspring.

The nuclear donor cell is undoubtedly a key component of the cloning process. Little is presently understood of the fundamental molecular and cellular events that could be involved in reprogramming the nucleus of an adult somatic cell. However, tissue of origin [33], stage of differentiation [34–36], age of donor [37], cell culture conditions and length [38–42], genotype [43–45], and transgenic modifications [46–47] have been shown to influence the development of reconstructed embryos. Live cloned calves have resulted from NT with cumulus cells [33]; granulose cells [31]; oviductal, uterine, and ovarian epithelial cells [33]; mammary gland cells [48]; muscle cells [49]; skin fibroblasts [33, 37, 38]; and blood cells [30, 36]. However, comparison of cloning efficiency from each donor cell type is difficult because of variations in nuclear-transfer procedures, laboratory and technician proficiencies, recipient oocyte source and quality, age and genotype of the donor animal, embryo culture systems, and surrogate female effects such as age, breed, nutrition, and season.

The technique of somatic cell NT (SCNT) is well advanced in cattle, when compared with most of the domestic animal species, because of the successful and repeatable procedures for in vitro oocyte maturation, oocyte activation, and in vitro embryo culture in this species. Each of these procedures represents a key step in the cloning process. Cloning by NT has a special significance in the genetic improvement of camels. This technology can be used to produce animals with the highest potential for milk production or racing champions. Camel racing, which is a highly lucrative and well-organized sport, is an important traditional and economic activity in the Arabian Gulf states. There have been a few attempts at SCNT in camels [50–52], but these were unsuccessful, mainly because of the limited basic information available about in vitro embryo production in these species. Optimization of the techniques for oocyte maturation [53], chemical activation of oocytes [54], and in vitro embryo culture [54, 55] in our...
laboratory during the past few years made the basis for studies on in vitro and in vivo development of SCNT embryos in camelds. In this report we describe the application of SCNT to produce the first camelid, a dromedary camel (Camelus dromedarius) calf named Injaz, cloned by SCNT. We evaluated three commonly used somatic donor cell types—cumulus, ear skin, and fetal fibroblasts—for their embryonic and fetal development in this species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All the chemicals and media were from Sigma unless otherwise indicated. Fetal calf serum (FCS) was from Gibco. Mature female dromedary camels aged 5–14 yr, maintained at the Camel Reproduction Centre, Dubai, were used as oocyte donors and recipients for NT embryos. They were in good physical condition, weighed approximately 450 kg, and were supplied with water and hay ad libitum. They were also fed a diet of mixed concentrates once daily. All procedures were performed in accordance with the government of United Arab Emirates’ animal care and use guidelines.

Ovarian Stimulation, In Vivo Oocyte Maturation, and Ovum Pick-Up

The donor animals were induced to ovulate by administration of 20 μg of the GnRH analogue buserelin (Receptal; Hoechst Animal Health) when a dominant follicle (1.3–1.9 cm) was observed, after serial ultrasonography, on an ovary. Four days after ovulation, they were treated with a combination of 2500 IU equine chorionic gonadotropin (Folligon; Intervet Laboratories), given as a single intramuscular injection on Day 1 of the treatment protocol, and 400 mg porcine follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) (Follitropin; Vetrepharm) injected twice daily in declining doses of 2×80 mg, 2×60 mg, 2×40 mg, and 2×20 mg over 4 days, also beginning on Day 1. The ovaries of all the donor camels were scanned on Day 4 after the start of treatment, and thereafter at intervals of 1 or 2 days until the majority of follicles had grown to between 1.3 and 1.8 cm in diameter. They were then given a single injection of 20 μg of buserelin 26 h before the ovum pick-up was scheduled.

Donors were sedated with 0.7–1 ml of detomidine hydrochloride 10 mg/ml (Domosedan; Orion Pharma) and were made to sit in sternal recumbency. The perineum region was washed with surgical scrub and dried with a towel. For oocyte collection, an electronic convex transducer with an attached needle guide (UST-994P-5; Aloka) was used. Sterile lubricant (KY lubricating jelly; Johnson and Johnson) was applied on the transducer, which was guided through the vulva and into the cranial-most portion of the vagina. The free hand was placed into the rectum to manipulate the ovary and position it against the vaginal wall over the face of the transducer. A 17-gauge, 55-cm single-lumen needle (Cook) was placed in the needle guide of the ultrasound probe and advanced through the vaginal fornix and into the follicle. Follicular fluid was aspirated using a regulated aspiration pump (IVF Ultra Quiet, Model V-MAR-5100; Cook) set at a vacuum of 55 mm Hg. The contents of all follicles >10 mm in diameter were aspirated into 50- or 15-ml conical tubes containing embryo-flushing media (IMV) supplemented with heparin (10000 IU/L). Aspirates were transferred to Petri dishes to search for and evaluate the cumulus-oocyte complexes using a stereomicroscope.

Preparation of Recipient Cytoplasts

The cumulus-oocyte complexes obtained were denuded from the surrounding cumulus cells by manual pipetting in the presence of hyaluronidase (1 mg/ml), and oocytes with an extruded first polar body (Fig. 1a) were selected for enucleation. The selected oocytes were placed into the manipulation medium (Hepes-TCM-199 + 10% FCS) supplemented with 7.5 μg/ml of cytochalasin B and 5 μg/ml of bisbenzamide for 20 min before micromanipulation. Location of the metaphase chromosomes was determined by a brief exposure (1–2 sec) to ultraviolet (UV) light (Fig. 1b) and the polar body, along with the metaphase II plate, was removed by aspiration with a 25-μm-inner-diameter beveled pipette under an inverted microscope equipped with an Eppendorf micromanipulator (TransferMan NK2). Exposing all the removed cytoplasm to UV light and checking for the presence of the removed metaphase plate confirmed successful enucleation (Fig. 1c).

Preparation of Donor Karyoplasts

Tissues from aborted fetuses (50- and 100-day-old) were enzymatically digested with 0.25% trypsin and 0.05% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) for 30 min, and the disaggregated cells were washed three times in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FCS by centrifugation at 500 × g for 5 min and then placed in culture in 60-mm tissue culture dishes under a humidified 5% CO2 in air atmosphere at 38.5°C. Cumulus cells from 5- to 10-mm-diameter follicles of a slaughtered animal were washed three times in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS by centrifugation at 500 × g for 5 min and then placed in culture in a 60-mm tissue culture dish under a humidified 5% CO2 in air atmosphere at 38.5°C.

The ear skin biopsies were taken aseptically from two adult camels (one male and one female) in sterile Dulbecco phosphate buffer saline. After proper washing, the tissue was cut into small pieces and cultured in dishes containing DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. The explants were removed after proliferation and establishment of fibroblasts.

In all the above cell types, once a confluent fibroblast monolayer was obtained it was passaged with an enzymatic solution (0.25% trypsin and 0.05% EDTA) for 5 min. All the cell lines were frozen at −80°C, stored in liquid nitrogen, and maintained as used for cell culture studies. All the experiments were performed in triplicate (± SE).

FIG. 1. Steps in the SCNT of dromedary camel. a) A mature oocyte with a visible polar body held with a pipette. b) Determining the location of metaphase chromosomes by a very short (1–2 sec) exposure to UV light. c) Exposing the pipette to UV light to confirm the presence of both metaphase and polar body chromatin. d) Donor cells after trypsinization and washing. e, f) Injection of the donor cell into the perivitelline space of the enucleated oocyte. Original magnification ×200.
NT, Fusion, and Activation

Trypsinized and washed donor cells (Fig. 1d) were transferred into the perivitelline spaces of enucleated oocytes with a 25-µm micropipette (Fig. 1, e and f). Cell couplets were washed in fusion medium (0.3 M mannitol, 0.1 mM MgSO₄, 0.05 mM CaCl₂, 0.05% fatty acid-free BSA) and fused by two DC pulses of 100 V/cm for 15 µs each using an Eppendorf electroporator at room temperature. Couplets were removed from the fusion chamber and put back into Hepes-TCM-199 to score fusion success and detect detached or lysed donor cells. Reconstructs were activated 1 h postfusion with 5 mM ionomycin followed by exposure to 6-dimethylaminopurine (6-DMAP) for 4 h, as described previously for the camel oocytes [54]. The activated oocytes were then transferred to 500 µl of embryo culture medium I (modified potassium simplex optimization medium with essential and non-essential amino acids [KSOoma] supplemented with 1% BSA) and cultured at 38.5°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂, 5% O₂, and 90% N₂ in air. On Day 2 (Day 0 = day of activation) the cleaved embryos (Fig. 2a) were transferred into 500 µl of embryo culture medium II (modified KSOoma supplemented with 10% FCS) and cultured under the same conditions until Day 7. The proportion of oocytes that cleaved was recorded on Day 2, and those that reached morula and blastocyst stages were recorded on Day 7 of culture.

Embryo Transfer

Day 7 hatching/hatched blastocysts (Fig. 2b) were transferred nonsurgically into the left uterine horn of recipient camels at Day 6 of their luteal phase. An initial pregnancy examination was performed using transrectal ultrasonography between Days 14 and 16 (Day 0 = day of ovulation), followed by examinations at approximately weekly intervals until about Day 60 of gestation, and then at monthly intervals. The following endpoints were noted at each pregnancy examination: 1) presence of the embryonic vesicle, 2) evidence of an embryo proper within the vesicle, and 3) presence or absence of an embryonic heartbeat once the embryo proper was evident.

Microsatellite Analysis

To identify the calf derived from donor cells, a microsatellite analysis of genomic DNA from the various samples was performed with 25 microsatellite markers. These assays were performed with DNA extracted from the frozen ovarian tissue, from frozen donor cells, and from blood of the surrogate mother, the calf, and an unrelated dam. These markers are used routinely for parentage verification and individual identification. Tests were independently performed at the Molecular Biology and Genetics Laboratory of CVRL, Dubai.

Statistical Analysis

The data are presented as percentage mean ± SEM. The proportions of couplets fused, cleaved embryos, and blastocysts produced from different cell lines were analyzed by ANOVA with Fisher protected least significant difference test (MINITAB statistical software, Minitab Ltd.). All the percentage data were arcsine transformed before analysis. Experiments using different cell lines were replicated 7–9 times.

RESULTS

Five cell lines—a cumulus, two adult skin fibroblasts, and two fetal fibroblasts—were generated and used as karyoplasts in the present experiment. No difference \((P > 0.05)\) was observed in the proportion of successfully fused cytoplast-donor couplets between the groups utilizing cumulus, skin fibroblasts, or fetal fibroblasts as donor cells (Table 1). About 68%–80% of the embryos reconstructed with different cell types cleaved (Table 2) with no significant difference between the groups \((P > 0.05)\). The proportions of embryos developing to the blastocyst stage tended to be lower from both the fetal fibroblast cell lines (29% from cultured reconstructs and 39%–42% from the cleaved embryos), but were not significantly different \((P > 0.05)\) from the proportions of blastocysts obtained from cumulus (44% from cultured reconstructs and 64% from cleaved embryos) or from skin fibroblasts (43%–52% from cultured reconstructs and 34%–40% from cleaved embryos). In total, 402 nuclear-transfer embryos were reconstructed from the five cell lines and placed into culture, producing a total of 139 Day 7 blastocysts (35%).

Embryo Transfer and Pregnancy Detection

All viable Day 7 blastocysts were transferred to synchronized recipients on Day 6 after ovulation, either singly or in pairs depending on the quality of the embryos. From the five cell lines used we observed a higher vesicle formation in recipients with embryos reconstructed from cumulus cells (46%), followed by skin fibroblast (18% and 29%). Only three pregnancies were achieved from the 39 blastocysts produced from the two fetal fibroblast cell lines; these were lost around Day 60 of gestation. One out of ten pregnancies achieved from embryos reconstructed with skin fibroblast is continuing at an advanced stage of gestation (Table 3). Out of the six pregnancies achieved with cumulus cells, two were lost between Days 14 and 20 and three between Days 75 and 120 of gestation. The sixth pregnancy resulted in the birth of a live

| TABLE 1. Proportion of successfully fused cytoplast-donor couplets with cumulus, skin, and fetal fibroblast cells, 1 h after their fusion. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells used</th>
<th>Total couplets</th>
<th>Fused couplets (% mean ± SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC-111205</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>79.8 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKF-311208</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>88.6 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKF-810</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>92.1 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF-040407</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>88.0 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF-270207</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>92.8 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) CC, cumulus cells; SKF, skin fibroblasts; FF, fetal fibroblasts.
female calf named Injaz (Fig. 3) on April 8, 2009. The fetus developed to full term (378 days), and parturition proceeded naturally without any assistance. The calf weighed 32 kg at birth and was (and continues to be) developmentally normal.

Genotyping of Cloned Offspring

Genomic DNA was isolated from the blood of the cloned animal and compared with genomic DNA samples isolated from the donor cell line, the ovarian tissue, the surrogate mother, and an unrelated female. All of the 25 microsatellite markers observed were similar between the calf, the donor cell line, and the donor ovary (Table 4), showing that the calf was indeed a clone from the donor cells used.

DISCUSSION

The present study reports, for the first time, establishment of pregnancies and birth of the first cloned camelid, a dromedary camel (C. dromedarius), by use of SCNT. The efficiency of SCNT, as measured by the proportion of successfully fused cytoplasm-donor couplets and their development to blastocyst stage, did not differ between the groups utilizing cumulus, skin fibroblasts, or fetal fibroblasts as donor cells in the present study. The parameters used to fuse the cytoplasm-donor couplets were selected after trying many DC (75–200 V/cm) and time (10–60 μs) combinations in our preliminary studies (unpublished observations), which could be one of the reasons for a higher fusion rate when compared with earlier studies in llamas [50] and dromedary camels [51, 52]. The proportion of NT embryos that cleaved in the present study was also higher than that of earlier studies in llamas [50] and dromedary camels [51, 52]. We observed a 29%–46% blastocyst production rate, whereas in earlier studies in llamas [50] none of the embryos grew past the morula stage, and only 14%–15% of the dromedary reconstructions developed to blastocyst stage [51]. In addition, in our earlier study using the zona-free method of NT, only 8%–9% of the cleaved embryos developed to the blastocyst stage [52]. Our results in the present study are, however, similar to reports of successful nuclear-transfer experiments in cattle with cumulus cells by Kato et al. [33], granulosa cells by Wells et al. [31], and ear skin fibroblasts by Kubota et al. [38], who reported blastocyst development rates that ranged from 30% [38] to 49% [33].

Many factors, including the source of recipient cytoplast [26, 27], enucleation methods [28, 29], and activation protocols [30], have been shown to have an overall effect on the efficiency of the cloning process. In the present study, in vivo-matured oocytes were used, compared with other studies [50, 51] in which oocytes were matured in vitro. In vivo-matured oocytes have been reported to have a higher developmental potential when compared with their in vitro-matured counterparts in cattle [56]. We collected oocytes from preovulatory follicles of animals after several days of treatment with FSH in the present study. The role of FSH in the acquisition of developmental competence of oocytes is primarily associated with its effect on follicular growth, as several days of treatment are required to obtain oocytes of higher competence [57]. In vitro-matured oocytes used in other studies [51, 52] were collected from 2- to 10-mm follicles of slaughterhouse ovaries, which usually come from a heterogeneous group of animals that are either old or unproductive. The oocytes from these ovaries do not undergo normal preovulatory development such as selection and growth, which are accompanied by a change in pulsatile release of luteinizing hormone and FSH, leading to prematuration [58]. The reconstructions, in the present study, were activated by a protocol using ionomycin/6-DMAP, which has been optimized and standardized for this species [54], whereas in the llama study [50] ionomycin/cycloheximide and in the dromedary study [51] calcium ionophore/6-DMAP protocols adopted from other species were used.

Enucleation has been accomplished successfully in a range of species by labeling the oocyte DNA with Hoechst 33342 [59], by enucleation under the Spindle View System (Polyscope image) [60], or by the aid of chemicals like demecolcine [61]. In the present study, oocytes were stained with Hoechst 33342 in order to aid the chromatin and its visualization in the pipette under epifluorescence during the enucleation process, whereas in another study on dromedary camel NT [51], oocytes were enucleated without the aid of any

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells useda</th>
<th>Total reconstructs cultured</th>
<th>Cleaved (% mean ± SEM)</th>
<th>Cleaved embryos</th>
<th>Blastocysts from (% mean ± SEM)</th>
<th>Total reconstructs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC-111205</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>72.3 ± 8.06</td>
<td>63.88 ± 8.66</td>
<td>44.38 ± 5.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKF-311208</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>80.23 ± 4.9</td>
<td>42.73 ± 5.22</td>
<td>34.29 ± 4.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKF-B10</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>74.1 ± 11.3</td>
<td>51.68 ± 5.54</td>
<td>39.88 ± 9.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF-040407</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>71.34 ± 6.08</td>
<td>41.87 ± 5.56</td>
<td>28.69 ± 3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF-270207</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>68.07 ± 8.17</td>
<td>39.5 ± 11.7</td>
<td>29.04 ± 8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CC, cumulus cells; SKF, skin fibroblasts; FF, fetal fibroblasts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells used for reconstructiona</th>
<th>Total embryos transferred</th>
<th>No. of recipients</th>
<th>Pregnant by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 15 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-111205</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKF-311208</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKF-B10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF-040407</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF-270207</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CC, cumulus cells; SKF, skin fibroblasts; FF, fetal fibroblasts.
of the above-mentioned methods, assuming that the metaphase spindle was visible during the nucleation process. In our observations, dromedary camel oocytes are dark because of their high lipid content, like porcine and buffalo oocytes, making it impossible to see the metaphase spindle under an inverted microscope without an aid. The removal of oocyte chromatin prior to NT is of crucial importance in order to 1) avoid aneuploidy, with its detrimental effects on later development; 2) eliminate any genetic contribution of the recipient cytoplasm; and 3) exclude the possibility of parthenogenetic activation and embryo development without the participation of the newly introduced nucleus.

In the present study, we did not observe any difference between the proportions of embryos developing to blastocysts from skin fibroblasts or cumulus cells; however, there are some controversial reports regarding the development of embryos from such cells in cattle. Kato et al. [33] reported that the blastocyst development of ear cell-derived embryos is higher compared to that of embryos derived from cumulus cells. However, results from the studies by Xue et al. [62] and Batchelder et al. [16] indicate that cumulus cells and granulosa cells lead to more blastocysts compared to ear skin fibroblast cells. In the present study, proportions of reconstructions developing to blastocysts tended to be lower from the fetal fibroblast cells, possibly because of some undetected genetic abnormality in these cell lines. However, the development of NT embryos has been reported to differ among donor cell lines, even if they are derived from the same tissue or organ, because of some unknown reasons [33]. The reprogramming of a donor nucleus in the cytoplasts seems to be dependent on their genetic characteristics, and thus their selection may be important to enhance NT efficiency.

Early embryonic losses varied from 33% to 100% with the embryos from different cell lines in the present study. However, in dromedaries maintained under natural conditions, the incidence of early embryonic loss, mostly occurring during the first 2 mo of pregnancy, is also about 30%–40%, which needs to be taken into consideration [63]. In cattle, SCNT pregnancy loss from Day 30 to term also varies from 67% [48] to 93% [49], and the proportion of viable cloned offspring produced from embryos transferred varies from 0.1% to 6% for most laboratories, with occasional reports of greater success (7%–40%) [33]. We obtained one viable offspring from 26 embryos transferred to 13 recipients (8%) using cumulus cells.

### TABLE 4. Microsatellite analysis of the cloned camel (Injaz), donor cells, ovarian tissue, surrogate mother and a random female.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA microsatellites</th>
<th>Clone (Injaz)</th>
<th>Donor cell line</th>
<th>Ovarian tissue</th>
<th>Surrogate mother</th>
<th>Random female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMS036</td>
<td>159/161</td>
<td>159/161</td>
<td>159/161</td>
<td>159/161</td>
<td>161/161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS121</td>
<td>185/187</td>
<td>185/187</td>
<td>185/187</td>
<td>185/187</td>
<td>171/183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS013</td>
<td>267/269</td>
<td>267/269</td>
<td>267/269</td>
<td>267/269</td>
<td>265/269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS016</td>
<td>201/203</td>
<td>201/203</td>
<td>201/203</td>
<td>201/203</td>
<td>201/201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVRL01</td>
<td>234/240</td>
<td>234/240</td>
<td>234/240</td>
<td>234/240</td>
<td>208/240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVRL04</td>
<td>147/147</td>
<td>147/147</td>
<td>147/147</td>
<td>147/147</td>
<td>135/135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVRL05</td>
<td>161/167</td>
<td>161/167</td>
<td>161/167</td>
<td>161/167</td>
<td>161/167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVRL06</td>
<td>218/218</td>
<td>218/218</td>
<td>218/218</td>
<td>218/218</td>
<td>218/218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVRL07</td>
<td>294/294</td>
<td>294/294</td>
<td>294/294</td>
<td>294/294</td>
<td>290/290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVRL08</td>
<td>225/225</td>
<td>225/225</td>
<td>225/225</td>
<td>225/225</td>
<td>225/225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLM7</td>
<td>213/213</td>
<td>213/213</td>
<td>213/213</td>
<td>213/213</td>
<td>213/213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA18</td>
<td>229/231</td>
<td>229/231</td>
<td>229/231</td>
<td>229/231</td>
<td>229/231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA63</td>
<td>233/237</td>
<td>233/237</td>
<td>233/237</td>
<td>233/237</td>
<td>231/237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA66</td>
<td>239/243</td>
<td>239/243</td>
<td>239/243</td>
<td>239/243</td>
<td>243/243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA08</td>
<td>248/250</td>
<td>248/250</td>
<td>248/250</td>
<td>248/250</td>
<td>248/250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA82</td>
<td>111/113</td>
<td>111/113</td>
<td>111/113</td>
<td>111/113</td>
<td>113/113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOLP03</td>
<td>175/177</td>
<td>175/177</td>
<td>175/177</td>
<td>175/177</td>
<td>151/151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOLP67</td>
<td>156/180</td>
<td>156/180</td>
<td>156/180</td>
<td>156/180</td>
<td>150/178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWLL08</td>
<td>135/173</td>
<td>135/173</td>
<td>135/173</td>
<td>135/173</td>
<td>135/173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
as donor karyoplasts. The gestation length for the cloned pregnancy, in the present study, was in the normal range (315–440 days) for this species [63] in contrast to the longer gestation period reported for cloned pregnancies in cattle [38] and buffalo [14]. The birth weight of the calf was also in normal range (26–45 kg) for the species [63], no abnormality was detected in the placenta or calf at birth or afterward, and the calf is growing normally.

In conclusion, the present study reports, for the first time, establishment of pregnancies and birth of the first cloned camelid, a dromedary camel (C. dromedarius), by use of SCNT. This has opened doors for the amelioration and preservation of genetically valuable animals like high milk producers, racing champions, and males of high genetic merit in camelids. We also demonstrated, for the first time, that adult and fetal fibroblasts can be cultured, expanded, and frozen without losing their ability to support the development of NT embryos, a technology that may potentially be used to modify fibroblast genome by homologous recombination so as to generate genetically altered cloned animals. At present, an overall low efficiency of live births produced remains a major obstacle to beneficial applications of NT technology. Strategies to aid selection of relatively undifferentiated cells in a given culture system and to identify and optimize the different steps of NT procedures warrant future development and research in this species.
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