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ABSTRACT

Recent studies documented that the selective estrogen receptor modulator tamoxifen prevents follicle loss and promotes fertility following in vivo exposure of rodents to irradiation or ovotoxic cancer drugs, cyclophosphamide and doxorubicin. In an effort to characterize the ovarian-sparing mechanisms of tamoxifen in preantral follicle classes, cultured neonatal rat ovaries (Day 4, Sprague Dawley) were treated for 1–7 days with active metabolites of cyclophosphamide (i.e., 4-hydroxycyclophosphamide; CTX) (0, 1, and 10 μM) and tamoxifen (i.e., 4-hydroxytamoxifen; TAM) (0 and 10 μM) in vitro, and both apoptosis and follicle numbers were measured. CTX caused marked follicular apoptosis and follicular loss. TAM treatment decreased follicular loss and apoptosis from CTX in vitro. TAM alone had no effect on these parameters. IGF-1 and IGF-1 receptor were assessed in ovarian tissue showing no impact of TAM or CTX on these endpoints. Targeted mRNA analysis during follicular rescue by TAM revealed decreased expression of multiple genes related to inflammation, including mediators of lipoxygenase and prostaglandin production and signaling (Alox5, Pla2g1b, Ptgfr, Il1r1, Il2rg), cytokine binding (Ht1r1, Ht2rg), apoptosis (Tnfrsf1a), second messenger signaling (Mapk1, Mapk14, Pleg1), as well as tissue remodeling and vasodilation (Bdkrb2, Klk15). The results suggest that TAM protects the ovary from CTX-mediated toxicity through direct ovarian actions that oppose follicular loss.

INTRODUCTION

Tamoxifen (ICI46,474) was initially developed as a contraceptive selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) [1] prodrug and was repurposed for treatment and prevention of breast cancer [2]. Tamoxifen is a commonly used cancer drug that has been tested extensively in combination with other antineoplastic agents [3, 4]. Additionally, tamoxifen and other SERMs are being developed as protectants against neurologic and brain injury [5, 6] and potentiators of cancer treatments [7–11].

Tamoxifen exerts differential effects across tissues expressing estrogen receptors (ERs). The selectivity of tamoxifen is complex and is determined by the interplay of ER abundance and isotype, concentrations of endogenous estrogens, and availability or occupancy of ER cofactors and corepressors [2, 12]. Estrogen signaling in breast tissue is generally blocked by tamoxifen [13, 14]. Skeletal ER remains functional, avoiding osteoporosis [2]. Ovarian effects of tamoxifen are less clear and can involve both neuroendocrine and local ovarian actions [15]. Broadly speaking, tamoxifen is used for its tissue-specific effects, and ovarian protection may be one such selective action.

Tamoxifen prevents follicle loss following exposure to two widely used and ovotoxic cancer drugs, cyclophosphamide and doxorubicin, in preclinical in vivo models [16]. Additionally, recent work has shown similar prevention of radiolysis-mediated ovarian toxicity using tamoxifen as a countermeasure [6, 7]. It is unclear from previous work whether the protective effects of tamoxifen against cyclophosphamide toxicity in the ovary are direct ovarian actions or actions via such indirect mechanisms as alterations in gonadotropin release or hepatic drug metabolism [15].

Mahran [17] implicated IGF-1 signaling as a protective ovarian mechanism activated by tamoxifen during protection from radiation-mediated injury. IGF-1 and its receptor (IGF-1R) are important factors regulating ovarian cells proliferation and differentiation as well as follicular development and ovulation [18, 19]. Moreover previous studies showed that tamoxifen exerts a direct regulatory effect on IGF-1 secretion and modulates the IGF-1/IGF-1R system differentially across tissues [20, 21]. The present study was designed to determine the in vitro effects of active metabolites of tamoxifen and cyclophosphamide during their actions on follicle numbers, apoptosis, IGF-1 signaling, and inflammatory gene expression in neonatal rat ovaries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

Reagents used in this study were purchased from the following sources. Penicillin/streptomycin, ascorbic acid, bovine serum albumin, transferrin, phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.1), and 4-hydroxytamoxifen (TAM) were from Sigma-Aldrich; Ham F12/Dulbecco-modified Eagle medium (1:1) with GlutaMax, Albumax II, and fetal bovine serum were from Gibco; 4-
hydroxycyclophosphamide (mofosfamide; CTX) was from IIT GmbH/Nio-
mech; and Millicell-CM filter inserts were from Millipore. RNA was isolated using the Trizol reagent, and cDNA was generated using High-Capacity Reverse Transcription Kit (Life Technologies).

**Animals**

Female Sprague-Dawley rats (timed pregnancy) were purchased from Harlan Laboratories. All the animals were housed one per cage under 12L:12D Kansas Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**In Vitro Ovarian Culture**

Postnatal Day 4 female Sprague-Dawley rats were euthanized, and each ovary was removed with oviduct and bursal tissue trimmed away under a stereomicroscope. Ovaries were preequilibrated for 1 h at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere, containing 5% CO₂. Ovaries were treated with vehicle (control), 0.01% dimethyl sulfoxide plus ethanol, TAM (10 μM), and/or two doses of CTX (1 and 10 μM) for 24 h (gene expression), 48 h (gene expression and apoptosis), or 7 days (follicle counts). For cultures lasting more than 48 h, the medium was replaced and fresh medium and treatments were added every 2 days. Different exposure time (24 and 48 h and 7 days) were chosen based on past work in our laboratory [16] and others [27]. Doses were based on human pharmacokinetic concentrations [28, 29] as well as past work in culture systems [21, 27, 30].

**Ovarian Follicle Counts**

Following 7 days of culture, ovaries (n = 5–6/group) were placed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight, transferred through graded ethanol, embedded in paraffin, serially sectioned (6 μm), and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Follicles were counted in every fifth section with observers being blind to the treatment group. The numbers of follicles present in the marked sections were multiplied by five to calculate total numbers per ovary as described previously [31]. Follicle classification was according to published criteria [32–34]. Follicle numbers were compared between treatment groups using ANOVA followed by least significant difference post hoc test. Differences with a probability of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Apoptosis**

Cultured ovaries (n = 6–8/group; 48 h culture) were fixed as described above. We used terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated deoxyuridine triphosphate nick end-labeling (TUNEL) with ApoAlert DNA Fragmentation Assay Kit (Clontech) following the manufacturer’s instructions [35, 36] for the detection of apoptotic follicles. TUNEL-positive follicles were visualized in three equidistant mid sagittal sections per ovary using Zeiss LSM Pascal confocal microscopy as described previously [16]. The microscopists were blind to the treatment group. Follicles were defined as apoptotic if the majority of granulosal or pregranulosal cells or the oocyte were TUNEL positive. Apoptosis (TUNEL-positive follicles) were compared using ANOVA followed by the least significant difference post hoc test. Differences with a probability of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Inflammatory Gene Expression**

The effect of TAM on gene expression in CTX-treated ovaries was compared using targeted real-time PCR arrays in three independent experiments. Rat ovaries (Day 4, n = 30/group) were cultured as two to three ovaries/well for 48 h with CTX (10 μM) or with CTX (10 μM) + TAM (10 μM) and stored at −80°C until ready for RNA isolation. RNA was isolated from three pooled samples of 10 ovaries each for each treatment group using Trizol reagent, and cDNA was generated using the High-Capacity Reverse Transcription Kit. Complementary DNA was quantified at 260 nm using a spectrophotometer (ND-1000; NanoDrop). Real-time PCR analysis was conducted using the TaqMan Array Rat Inflammation Plate (4414081; Life Technologies) that contains 92 assays to inflammation-associated genes and four housekeeping genes. The inflammation-associated genes fall into four classes: channels (L-type calcium, ligand gated), enzymes and inhibitors (lipases, kinases, nitric oxide synthase, phosphodiesterase, proteases), regulators of prostaglandin metabolism and factors (tumor necrosis factors, nuclear factor Kappa-Beta, interleukin, annexins, kininogens), and receptors (G protein coupled receptors, interleukin receptor family, adhesion molecules, tumor necrosis factor family receptors, nuclear receptors). The reaction mix consisted of TaqMan Master Mix, water, and cDNA (50 ng per well) per manufacturer’s instructions. For each treatment plate, 20 μl of its respective reaction mix was placed into each well. The plates were run using an ABI PRISM 7900 Sequence Detection System (Life Technologies) with an initial start of 50°C for 2 min and 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. Nontemplate reactions were used as controls for contamination. The expression of housekeeping genes was not affected by treatments and did not vary significantly between TaqMan Array Plates used in the experiment. Gene expression was expressed using the comparative Ct method utilizing an average Ct value for the four housekeeping genes [37, 38], and the effect of TAM on inflammatory gene expression in CTX-treated ovaries was analyzed by Student t-test. Data are presented as fold change for TAM+CTX versus CTX. Differences with a probability of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**IGF-1 and IGF-1 Receptor**

Neonatal ovaries (n = 12/group) were treated for 24 or 48 h with CTX and TAM, alone and in combination as for apoptosis and follicular count endpoints. RNA was isolated from two pooled ovaries per well and processed for real-time PCR as described above. Commercially available prevalidated primers for rat IGF-1 and its receptor were used (RN00710306 and RN00583837, respectively; Life Technologies). Protein was extracted from separate experimental replicates (n = 12/group) using two pooled ovaries per well for IGF-1 (MG-100 kit; R&D Systems). Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assay and diluted 1:5 for assay of 50 μl diluted homogenate. The results were analyzed and expressed as nanograms IGF-1/mg tissue. Data were analyzed by multifactorial ANOVA with CTX, TAM, and time as the main effects. Differences with a probability of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Follicle Counts and Apoptosis**

Follicle populations were homogenously prismatic or primary in these neonatal ovaries, and the results are presented as total follicle numbers (Figs. 1 and 2). Active metabolite of...
CTX decreased the number of ovarian follicles at 7 days of culture in a dose-dependent fashion, with the highest dose of CTX depleting ovarian follicles to approximately 25% of controls (Fig. 1). TAM, while not altering follicle numbers when added alone, was associated with significantly greater follicular reserves when coadministered with CTX. This is reminiscent of the in vivo follicular rescue by TAM previously documented [16]. These changes in follicle counts were paralleled in the apoptosis data at 48 h of culture (Figs. 3 and 4), with high dose CTX markedly inducing TUNEL staining of ovarian follicles and TAM cotreatment reversing this effect.

Inflammatory Gene Expression

Genes significantly regulated by TAM are shown in Table 1. Overall, TAM modestly but significantly downregulated multiple genes relating to inflammation in ovaries cotreated with a toxic dose of CTX. Messenger RNAs decreased by TAM included mediators of lipoxygenase and prostaglandin production and signaling (5-lipoxygenase, Alox5; phospholipase A2 g1b, Pla2g1b; prostaglandin F receptor, Ptgfr), cytokine binding (interleukin 1 receptor type I, Il1r1; interleukin 2 receptor gamma, Il2rg), apoptosis (tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 1A, Tnfrsf1a), second messenger signaling (mitogen activated protein kinase 1 and 14, Mapk1, Mapk14; phospholipase C gamma 1, Plcg1), as well as tissue remodeling and vasodilation (bradykinin receptor B2, Bdkrb2; kallikrein-15, Klk15). Several additional genes appeared to be downregulated by TAM but did not reach the level of significance (0.06 < P < 0.10; data not shown). These included caspase-1 (Caspl), intercellular adhesion molecule 1/CD54 (Icam1), beta 1 integrin (Itgb1), phospholipase A2 g5 (Pla2g5), and phospholipase C b3 (Plcb3). Surprisingly, both Cox-2 (prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase, Ptg2s2) and Cd40 (TNF receptor superfamily member 5) were significantly upregulated by TAM (Table 1). A gene network of known relationships among the inflammatory genes that were found to be differentially expressed between CTX and CTX+TAM treated ovaries cultured for 48 h is shown in Figure 5.

IGF-1 and IGF-1 Receptor

While there was a trend (P = 0.12) for Igf-1 mRNA and protein as well as Igf-1r mRNA to increase from 24 to 48 h of culture, there was no significant effect of TAM or CTX on
these endpoints (Fig. 6). Neither was there detectable interaction between TAM and CTX for these biomarkers of IGF-1 signaling.

DISCUSSION

The current in vitro study follows an initial investigation that showed follicle rescue and improved fertility in rats receiving tamoxifen as a countermeasure against ovarian toxicity from 7,12-dimethylbenz [a] anthracene, cyclophosphamide, and doxorubicin in vivo [16]. We assessed direct actions of TAM and CTX on the rat ovary in vitro using both follicle numbers, confocal (TUNEL) staining for apoptosis, and mRNA expression of genes involved in inflammation. CTX induced marked follicular apoptosis and decreased follicular reserve. TAM alone did not alter basal apoptosis but decreased CTX-induced apoptosis and significantly increased follicle numbers in CTX-treated animals, in agreement with previous in vivo studies. Results of the current study suggest that a major component of follicular rescue by TAM involves direct ovarian interactions rather than extraovarian actions, such as altered neuroendocrine function leading to ovarian quiescence.

In a parallel study, another group found that tamoxifen prevented follicle loss from gamma irradiation in rats and correlated tamoxifen administration with increased IGF-1R [17]. We failed to observe similar upregulation of IGF-1 protein or IGF-1 and IGF-1r gene expression when TAM was used alone or in combination with CTX. This discrepancy may be due to differences between the models, particularly because
this work was in vitro. Additionally, upregulation of IGF-1 signaling appears to be an endogenous protective mechanism that is activated by irradiation [39, 40], in contrast to the chemotherapy exposure used in the current study.

TAM did acutely downregulate expression of multiple genes related to inflammation in CTX-treated ovaries. These included mediators of lipoxygenase (Alox5), prostaglandin (Pla2g1b, Ptgfr) and cytokine (Il1r1, Il1r2) production and action, as well as inflammatory second messengers (Mapk1, Mapk14, Plcg1) and genes involved in tissue remodeling and vasodilation (Bdkrb2, Klk15). Indeed, there appeared to be a broad effect on mRNAs related to inflammation, suggesting that TAM may rescue follicles in part by alleviating these ovarian pathways of tissue damage following cyclophosphamide exposure. Additionally, TNF receptor (Tnfrsf1a), a central mediator of apoptosis, was suppressed by TAM in agreement with decreased TUNEL staining seen during follicular rescue with the SERM.

In vitro and in vivo studies in rodents have identified primordial and small primary follicles to be extremely sensitive targets of cyclophosphamide and its metabolites [41, 42]. Similar to the effect of cyclophosphamide observed in our experiment, others also reported increase of DNA degradation

### TABLE 1. Effect of 4-hydroxycyclophosphamide (TAM) on inflammatory gene expression in 4-hydroxycyclophosphamide (CTX)-treated rat ovaries after 48 h of culture (n = 3 replicates; 10 ovaries/group per replicate).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold change with TAM</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>TAM effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alox5</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>P = 0.03</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bdkrb2</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>P = 0.03</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD40</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>P = 0.02</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il1r1</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>P = 0.05</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il2rg</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>P = 0.02</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klk15</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>P = 0.05</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapk14</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>P = 0.05</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapk1</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>P = 0.03</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pla2g1b</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>P = 0.02</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plcg1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>P = 0.04</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptgs1</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>P = 0.05</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptgs2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>P = 0.03</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnfrsf1a</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>P = 0.04</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| a    | Downregulated in TAM-treated ovaries; | b    | upregulated in TAM-treated ovaries. |

[FIG. 4](#) Effects of 4-hydroxycyclophosphamide (CTX) and 4-hydroxytamoxifen (TAM, 10 μM) on the number of apoptotic follicles. Values represent mean ± SEM apoptotic follicles counted per ovary (n = 6–8 ovaries/group). C, control; CTXL, 1 μM CTX; CTXH, 10 μM CTX. Bars with different letters denote significant differences among treatment groups (P < 0.05).

[FIG. 5](#) A gene network of known relationships among the inflammatory genes found to be differentially expressed between CTX (4-hydroxycyclophosphamide) and CTX+TAM (4-hydroxytamoxifen) treated ovaries. Green denotes downregulated genes while red symbols are associated with increased gene expression.
while allowing isolation of ovarian effects of TAM and CTX, does exclude contributions of nonresident immune cells to this interaction. Therefore, further experiments are necessary and should specifically focus on the influence of TAM and CTX on inflammatory pathways in the ovary.

Avoiding ovarian toxicities from cancer chemotherapy is particularly difficult because the anticancer actions must remain intact; that is, protective effects must be selective. Tamoxifen is used as an antihormonal therapy for early ER-positive breast cancer with clinical benefit similar to cytotoxic chemotherapy in these patients [44–46]. In a single study, sequential tamoxifen was found to be modestly more beneficial than concurrent tamoxifen and chemotherapy for ER-positive disease [47]. Several other studies have found no difference in clinical outcome between TAM administered before or after breast cancer chemotherapy or radiation treatment versus simultaneously with chemotherapy [4, 48]. Similarly, Albain and colleagues [49] recently found statistically similar disease-free survival and overall survival for simultaneous versus sequential tamoxifen with cyclophosphamide-based chemotherapy of ER-positive breast cancer, although the overall hazard ratio reflecting side effects was greater for the combined treatment. In contrast with this lack of therapeutic interaction between tamoxifen and therapies for ER-positive breast cancer, at least one clinical trial [50] and several preclinical studies [51, 52] have suggested interactions between tamoxifen and ER- and progesterone receptor-negative breast cancer treatment, leading to current recommendations for sequential rather than simultaneous antihormonal and cytotoxic chemotherapy for breast cancers.

Among nonhormonal cancers, tamoxifen has been tested as part of frontline lymphoma therapy because of the ability of tamoxifen to downregulate drug resistance pathways in some cancer models [53, 54]. Tamoxifen has little effect of the pharmacokinetics or toxicities of chemotherapy in lymphoma patients [53–55], although increased myelosuppression was seen in a phase I trial of tamoxifen plus cyclophosphamide/doxorubicin/vincristine/prednisone/etoposide chemotherapy [3]. Overall, past human work suggests that tamoxifen can be safely combined with other drugs for many cancers without reducing their therapeutic ability. Data from our group and others suggest the possibility of eventual repurposing of tamoxifen for ovarian protection from toxics [39] after appropriate translational studies.
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