

Hope and Realism in Conservation Biology

Author: Lidicker, William Z.

Source: BioScience, 61(2): 94

Published By: American Institute of Biological Sciences

URL: https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2011.61.2.21

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

as shrewdly suggested by Jenkins and Maxwell, and would promote novel implementation pathways where there is no "established implementation process" to "escort recommendations through."

We thank Jeffrey D. Camm, Guillaume Chapron, Liana Joseph, Rudi Suchant, and William J. Sutherland for sharing with us their views about the subject while preparing this reply.

RAPHAËL ARLETTAZ VERONIKA BRAUNISCH MICHAEL SCHAUB JAMES E. M. WATSON

Raphaël Arlettaz (raphael.arlettaz@iee. unibe.ch) and Michael Schaub are with the Division of Conservation Biology, Institute of Ecology and Evolution, at the University of Bern, Switzerland, and the Swiss Ornithological Institute. Veronika Braunisch is also with the Division of Conservation Biology, Institute of Ecology and Evolution, at the University of Bern, Switzerland, and the Forest Research Institute of Baden-Württemberg in Germany. James E. M. Watson is with Global Conservation Programs, Wildlife Conservation Society, in the Bronx, New York, and the University of Queensland, The Ecology Centre, in Queensland, Australia.

doi:10.1525/bio.2011.61.2.20

Hope and Realism in Conservation Biology

Swaisgood and Sheppard (*BioScience* 60: 626–630) have reminded us that hope is an essential component of effective conservation biology. Without this, conservation biologists lose credibility, the public loses interest, despair prevails among scientists, and only defeat is anticipated. These authors advocate better balance between realism and hope, and I offer two suggestions to help find that optimal balance.

Swaisgood and Sheppard focus on conservation of biodiversity. While saving biodiversity is indisputably a major element in the larger context of our species' environmental problems, reality demands that we emphasize how biodiversity concerns are entangled with the human predicament generally. In support of this enlarged context, my second suggestion is to compile two lists, one containing things that inspire hope and a second listing things that make hope (or optimism) difficult. With these lists, we can evaluate how our individual efforts contribute to improving the hope-to-despair ratio in the context of the conservation nexus as a whole. We also can suggest promising directions for future research (and funding). In this spirit, I offer the following preliminary lists.

Things that give reason for hope:

- · human ingenuity
- increasing awareness of the human predicament among the world's peoples
- increasing awareness of this predicament among national and state governments
- many successful achievements by nongovernmental organizations and governments
- rapidly accumulating scientific knowledge of how ecological and social systems work
- increasing interest in ecologically based economics
- technological innovations relevant to conservation
- increasing pubic awareness of ecosystem services
- · energy efficiency improvements
- development of sustainable energy sources

Things that give reason for despair:

- · accelerating biodiversity losses
- the human ecological footprint now exceeds estimated biocapacity of the planet
- continuing human population growth with its inherent inertia
- human population growth remains a taboo topic for politicians
- increasing per capita food scarcity and declining fresh water supplies
- anthropogenic climate change
- threats of nuclear warfare

- threats of social disintegration
- growing demands for energy
- economic decline and corporate oligarchy
- · increasing consumption per capita
- political polarization and government paralysis
- no politically viable alternative to the universal goal of rapid economic growth
- · increasing ignorance of science
- decreasing support for higher education
- rising poverty coupled with increasing inequality of wealth distribution
- · terrorism
- · declining marine fisheries
- · increase in infectious diseases
- growing complexity of the human enterprise requiring an increasing percent of resources devoted to maintenance

WILLIAM Z. LIDICKER, JR. William Z. Lidicker, Jr. (wlidicker@berkeley.edu) is professor emeritus of integrative biology at the University of California, Berkeley.

doi:10.1525/bio.2011.61.2.21

Reconnecting People to Nature Is a Prerequisite for the Future Conservation Agenda: Response from Swaisgood and Sheppard

We welcome William Lidicker's thoughtful comments on balancing hope and realism. We are glad that our article is fulfilling its intended role of stimulating dialogue and we agree that taking stock of objective reasons for hope and despair will help move conservation goals forward. Clearly, there is more empirical reason for pessimism than hope, in Lidicker's list and in reality. Therefore, we caution against a literal balancing act between hope and despair. Fortunately, Lidicker did not take us down this path; instead, he suggests we use this equation to evaluate "how our individual efforts contribute to improving the hope-to-despair ratio." This is a useful metric as long as it is kept in proper perspective.