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An Angry Indictment of Mathematical Modeling


On the basis of an analytic model, the US Environmental Protection Agency approved a site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, as a repository for radioactive waste, stipulating that leakage could expose humans to no more than 15 millirems of radiation in any year for the next 10,000 years. Later, a federal appeals court increased the safety window to hundreds of thousands of years. The authors of Useless Arithmetic, who argue that analytic mathematical models render the models incapable of adequately representing the complexity and stochasticity of many environmental phenomena. Concrete and steel structures are immeasurably simpler than the physical and biological processes that operate all over Earth.

The other main factor contributing to the dominance of misleading mathematical models is “political pollution,” the authors’ term for the pressure on modelers to produce a prediction compatible with the desires of political and economic interests, and the ability of mathematical modelers to adapt to that pressure. For a particularly good example of political pollution, consider how quantitative models abetted the collapse of the Canadian cod fishery.

This is a very angry book. Like Michael Crichton (2004), the authors accuse global-change modelers of being concerned primarily with their own funding. They call the coastal engineering profession a disgrace. They say the field of pit-lake chemistry is in a woeful state. They label much of the mathematical modeling community an unassailable and untouchable priesthood that, by virtue of being a priesthood, has avoided the criticism and debate that characterize normal science. They attack prominent approaches to policy-relevant modeling, such as meta-analysis and cost–benefit analysis. They regard many of the costly, even disastrous failures of military policies as the results of bad modeling. And they name names, identifying many of the villains in the fields they criticize and detailing their sins.

The authors go so far as to open themselves to the charge of settling scores, quoting from critical reviews of a rejected manuscript of the senior author, and assailing the journal to which the paper was submitted and its
editors. The vitriol is sufficiently stark and unrelenting that a casual reader, with no expertise in the specific fields under discussion, might suspect the book is the work of neo-Luddites (an epithet Pilkey proudly admits to having been called by one of the coastal engineers he criticizes).

For readers who are scientists, the authors do not help themselves by striving to present their criticism of mathematical models wholly verbally, with almost no equations except for those in an appendix that presents several beach models. Further, they could have clarified their case for both scientists and lay readers with several straightforward, definitional treatments. Importantly, rather than defining “model” and discussing the (valid) uses of models at the outset, and then considering the differences between mathematical and statistical modeling, Pilkey and Pilkey-Jarvis approach these matters tangentially and almost casually in several chapters. Nor do they describe exactly the differences between engineering and science, which they see as a crucial component of the issues they discuss. Similarly, their definition of adaptive management is informal and incomplete and does not address the substantial published criticisms of this approach to resource management (e.g., fisheries and forests); this lapse is striking, given their enthusiasm for adaptive management as a good alternative, in some settings, to mathematical models. Many scientists will perhaps be familiar with most or all of these definitions and the literature surrounding them, but for a targeted readership of “nonspecialists and nonmathematicians,” these are serious lacunae.

And yet, this is a compelling book, hard to put down and impossible to dismiss on the merits of the case. The authors often score telling points, as when they show how standard coefficients in equations widely used to decide policy are often only fudge factors to make the equations produce a desired answer. Similarly, the details of many disasters make for gripping reading: the descriptions of the relationships between modeling and the decisions that led to these disasters are chilling, and often little-known matters are brought to light. The discussion of why a discredited model of shoreline retreat with sea-level rise—the Bruun rule—continues to be widely used is perceptive and broadly applicable to a number of environmental and ecological fields.

*Useless Arithmetic* will surely excite any reader. Some will be angry, others skeptical, many shocked and dismayed. No one will be bored, and most will want to read further. Anyone who reads policy decisions based on models will inevitably think back to the issues raised here.

**References cited**


doi:10.1641/BS71011

Include this information when citing this material.

---

**WHY NOT TEACH “INTELLIGENT DESIGN”?**


*Not in Our Classrooms* is a small, impressive book that will be a valuable resource for anyone interested in the various aspects of “intelligent design” and the evolution-creationism debate. Editors Eugenie C. Scott and Glenn Branch, both of whom are with the National Center for Science Education, are among the nation’s leading defenders of the teaching of evolution, and their outstanding compilation of articles will be useful to anyone who likewise wants to defend the teaching of evolution and improve science education.

Scott’s opening chapter (“The Once and Future Intelligent Design”) puts the evolution-creationism controversy into historical context, covering most of the landmark events from the late 1800s to the present: the distribution of *The Fundamentals* (a collection of essays in defense of historic Christianity, published nearly a century ago), the Scopes “monkey trial,” the revival of evolution in high school biology classrooms led by the Biological Sciences Curriculum Study, the work of Henry Morris (a young-earth creationist and founder of the Institute for Creation Research), and some of the lawsuits associated with the controversy (e.g., *Epperson v. Arkansas*, *McLean v. Arkansas Board of Education*, *Edwards v. Aguillard*). The bulk of the chapter, however, focuses on the most recent version of creationism—that is, intelligent design—and its milestones, including the publication *Of Pandas and People*, by Percival Davis and Dean Kenyon; the crusade against evolution carried out by Phillip Johnson, author of *Darwin on Trial*; and the *Kitzmiller v. Dover* decision. Scott also discusses some creationist strategies (e.g., “teach the controversy”) and the scholarly pretensions of intelligent design. This chapter is one of the best summaries available for the history of the modern controversy involving creationism and evolution.

The chapter is followed by “Analyzing Critical Analysis: The Fallback Antievolutionist Strategy,” in which Nicholas Matzke and Paul Gross analyze the many evolving forms of creationism (e.g., creation science and its born-again cousin, intelligent design). The authors review creationists’ recent uprisings in Ohio and Kansas, then discuss several fallacies of creationists’ arguments, including their misrepresentations of phylogenetic trees and transitional forms, Haeckel’s exaggerated similarities between the early developmental stages of vertebrate embryos, and the concept
of “irreducible complexity.” As Matzke and Gross note, the “objections to evolution are not serious scientific arguments; they are superficially investigated and poorly reasoned talking points... aimed at uninformed audiences.”

Martinez Hewlett and Ted Peters, authors of “Theology, Religion, and Intelligent Design,” are self-described “theistic evolutionists” who “embrace healthy science as an expression of [their] religious faith.” Their chapter, which focuses on the history of the design-based argument, discusses William Paley’s *Natural Theology*, Johnson’s *Darwin on Trial*, Michael Behe’s *Darwin’s Black Box*, and William A. Dembski’s mathematics-based claims for intelligent design. Hewlett and Peters then offer a critique of intelligent design and discuss how the controversy is affected by religious diversity.

The purported controversy...is illusory; nearly all scientific professional organizations have published statements supporting the teaching of evolution in science classrooms, and rejecting the teaching of creationism.

Jay Wexler gives an outstanding analysis of the constitutional issues associated with creationist teaching in “From the Classroom to the Courtroom: Intelligent Design and the Constitution.” This chapter pays special attention to the Edwards and Kitzmiller decisions, and provides an excellent summary of the legal issues involved in each case. It should be required reading for all teachers, school administrators, and school-board members.

Brian Alters, in “Evolution in the Classroom,” tells readers about the realities of teaching evolution in high school classrooms. He opens the chapter with an excerpt from a letter Stephen Jay Gould sent to McGill University: “I don’t think that any job in the entire world—and I include Popes, Presidents and Generals—could possibly be more important than teaching science to secondary school students.” Alters then explains that antievolutionists often raise the specter of scientific controversy regarding the validity of evolution—claiming, for example, that some scientific evidence questions evolution—and demand that teachers “teach the controversy.” The purported controversy, however, is illusory; nearly all scientific professional organizations have published statements supporting the teaching of evolution in science classrooms, and rejecting the teaching of creationism.

The last chapter, “Defending the Teaching of Evolution: Strategies and Tactics for Activists,” discusses what people who want to improve science education can do to promote the effective teaching of evolution. Complacency is the enemy, says author Glenn Branch. Despite their many legal defeats and the overwhelming scientific evidence supporting biological evolution, antiscience activists will not stop trying to undermine science education and eliminate evolution from high school biology classrooms. If the evolution-creationism issue hasn’t flared up in your community, it most likely will, at which time you can turn to this chapter to learn how to thwart the creationists’ well-organized (and well-funded) efforts to corrupt science education.

In summary, *Not in Our Classrooms* is a powerful, accessible introduction to the many facets of intelligent design. There are several good books about the evolution-creationism controversy, but none is better than *Not in Our Classrooms*. If you read just one book about this subject, read this one. Then give the book to others and urge them to do the same. It is a valuable resource.

RANDY MOORE
Randy Moore (e-mail: rmoore@umn.edu) is an H. T. Morse Alumni Distinguished Teaching Professor of Biology at the University of Minnesota in Minneapolis.
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samples are drawn from evolutionary ecology, population biology, and ecological genetics. He has published extensively in these fields, including four books related to evolutionary biology, life-history evolution, and quantitative genetics. Roff’s experiences working with a variety of data sources are presumably what stimulated him to compile this book and to write, “Much of the development of statistical tools has been premised on a set of assumptions, designed to make the analytical approaches tractable.”

In my view, such assumptions—normality, linearity, and independence, among others—are often very restrictive and can force one to pigeonhole a data set (and a research question) into an existing approach that is inappropriate for the problem at hand. I therefore wholeheartedly agree with Roff’s observation that “we have now entered an era where we can, in many instances, dispense with such assumptions and use statistical approaches that are rigorous but largely freed from the straight-jacket imposed by the relative simplicity of analytical solution.” And indeed, Roff does give many biologically inspired examples that do not conform to classical, canned analysis methods.

The title and preface led me to think that the book would cover modern approaches of computer-intensive data analysis, but Roff focuses primarily on relatively old-school, established methods—the jackknife (chapter 3), the bootstrap (chapter 4), randomization (chapter 5), and regression trees (chapter 6). Chapter 2 (maximum likelihood) and chapter 7 (Bayesian methods) have the greatest potential to contribute toward a discussion of cutting-edge computational statistical methods. However, Roff pays little attention to many computer-intensive methods that have come to the fore in the past decade, even though these are primarily responsible for the resurgence and escalating popularity of Bayesian, maximum likelihood, and some other approaches. For example, the Bayesian chapter is based on analytical results and issues from some years ago; there is no reference to the recent flood of numerical and computational methodologies that are responsible for the rapid rise of Bayesian approaches in many applied fields. Among the key advances missing from the book are, for example, Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithms (e.g., Gibbs sampling, the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm). Likewise, much of Roff’s discussion of maximum likelihood approaches is based on rather simple examples and analytical derivations. Yet these approaches may require sophisticated and computationally intensive algorithms such as the expectation-maximization algorithm, simulated annealing, and MCMC-type hill-climbing routines. I was disappointed that Computer-intensive Methods overlooks many of the truly state-of-the-art computational statistical methods that are applicable to data analysis in biology and ecology.

Nevertheless, some elements make the book a potentially useful resource for researchers and graduate students. One redeeming quality is that throughout the book, Roff makes the point that one should routinely conduct simulation analyses to evaluate the usefulness of a particular data analysis method. He gives several examples of instances for which he simulated pseudodata from a known process (e.g., parameter values and distributional forms are known), and then subjects the pseudodata to different analysis methods, to reconstruct (estimate) the known parameters. A good method would yield parameter estimates that agree with the known values.

Another positive feature is that about one-third of the text is an appendix with annotated S-PLUS code; any biologist who wished to learn or use S-PLUS for conducting simulations and data analysis would very likely find this book valuable. Moreover, Roff has made the code publicly available on his UC Riverside Web page (www.biology.ucr.edu/people/faculty/Roff.html). S-PLUS is a powerful and flexible language for conducting both classical and more modern, computationally demanding analyses. Although code for R (a free software package similar to S-PLUS) might have been useful to a wider audience, one should be able to use the S-PLUS syntax as a starting point for programming Roff’s examples in R. Last, Roff does provide a fair amount of detail and references regarding various jackknife and bootstrap methods, which someone interested in using these methods would find valuable.

In summary, Roff gives a brief overview of some data-analysis methods, but many areas lack sufficient explanation. Thus, it would be good if users had a basic understanding of the theoretical foundations underlying the different approaches. I also would have liked to have seen more discussion about the motivation for choosing particular methods. Additionally, with the exception of the jackknife and bootstrap chapters, I felt that the chapters were not well integrated. I would hesitate to recommend Computer-intensive Methods as a primary text for a graduate course; however, the S-PLUS appendix and simulation analysis examples make this book a potentially valuable resource for those who are interested in these aspects of data analysis.

KIONA OGLE
Kiona Ogle (e-mail: kogle@uwyo.edu) is an assistant professor in the departments of botany and statistics at the University of Wyoming in Laramie.
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HISTORICAL JOURNEY IN THE EVOLUTION OF SOIL SCIENCE


Footprints in the Soil, edited by Benno Peter Warkentin, is a journey through the history of soil science, led by a distinguished group of writers who explore the progression of concepts about soil’s nature, its components and processes, and its importance to societies over the ages. It encompasses this history in four sections: “Early Understanding of Soils,” “Soil as a Natural Body,” “Soil
Properties and Processes,” and “Soil Utilization and Conservation.” Together, they cover the entire range of components that constitute the discipline of soil science.

The first section alone makes *Footprints in the Soil* indispensable reading for soil scientists who may not be familiar with the early beginnings and sources of the concepts related to soil’s importance to agriculture and society. Written by members of the archaeological and anthropological communities, the section traces the history and development of the early concepts of the soil and earth, beginning with the ancient Romans, whose husbandry derived from their coupling of Greek ideas with their own practical knowledge of soils. The discussion moves on to the additions that other cultures have made to the body of knowledge about soils, to those cultures’ understanding of the interrelationships between soil and agriculture, and to the incorporation of concepts about soil into their religious and ethical thinking. These early concepts are the basis for present-day holistic approaches to environmental ethics and ecology in natural ecosystems.

A note about the progression of chapters in the first section: Chapter 3, “The Heritage of Soil Knowledge among the World’s Cultures,” is a good overview of indigenous people’s knowledge of soil and land-use systems. Readers may want to begin the section with that chapter, follow with chapters 1 and 2 (on the ancient Romans and pre-Colombian Mesoamerica, respectively), and then move to chapters 4 (”Some Major Scientists [Palissy, Buffon, Thaer, Darwin and Muller] Have Described Soil Profiles and Developed Soil Survey Techniques before 1883”) and 5 (”Souls and Soils: A Survey of Worldviews”).

The next section of *Footprints in the Soil* moves to the West (as does the focus of the book) by way of Russia, where Dokuchaev, in the late 19th century, was developing his concepts of soil genesis to explain soil diversity. Dokuchaev’s ideas resonated with soil scientists in the United States, but divergence in approaches soon arose. One chapter in the second section describes the clash between Eugene Hilgard and Milton Whitney, two US scientists with very different backgrounds who advocated two very different approaches to advancing knowledge of soil fertility: Hilgard believed soil chemistry was the appropriate route, whereas Whitney thought that soil physics research was. Both of them were right.

Chapter 9, “A History of Soil Geomorphology in the United States,” describes the introduction of pedological concepts into geomorphology, an important science for reconstructing past landscapes and environments. It is a fascinating look into how current approaches to geomorphology developed, and into the roles of the soil scientists who contributed to that development in the United States. For a soil scientist, this chapter is as compelling as a novel: the pages turn faster and faster as the history unfolds.

“Footprints in the Soil” is an extremely useful textbook for upper-level undergraduates as well as professors, researchers, and scientists working in the relevant sciences, it could also serve as a valuable reference for others. Any reader can appreciate the historical integration of biology, physics, chemistry, philosophy, and ethics that led to our reliance on soil and our understanding of its complexity. Notable scientists have contributed excellent overviews on aspects of soil science that cover the discipline from the beginnings of soil concepts to the current state of knowledge. As a result, the book includes a comprehensive collection of references ranging from ancient documents (many of them difficult to find) to papers published in the 21st century. The bibliographic information is extensive and detailed. Moreover, the sidebars that expand on the biographies and major findings of early soil researchers add a pleasing, personal touch.

I do have a couple of quibbles. With regard to production, the publisher chose a paper that is too thin, and there

The fourth section returns to a theme developed at the beginning of the book—the value of soil to society—but from the perspective of soil conservation and sustainable agriculture. The chapters in this section point to the need for soil-science applications—physical, chemical, biological, and ecological—to meet the challenges related to conserving land quality, preventing soil erosion and loss of nutrients, and sustaining soil fertility for long-term food production. The discussion of agricultural terracing brings the concerns of ancient indigenous peoples full circle to those of present-day agricultural producers: the aim then was, and is now, to conserve resources. It is up to soil scientists today to provide the research and quantitative information that will enable the conservation and optimal management of soil, an essential resource for providing food security for global populations.

Although *Footprints in the Soil* is an extremely useful textbook for upper-level undergraduates as well as professors, researchers, and scientists working in the relevant sciences, it could also serve as a valuable reference for others. Any reader can appreciate the historical integration of biology, physics, chemistry, philosophy, and ethics that led to our reliance on soil and our understanding of its complexity. Notable scientists have contributed excellent overviews on aspects of soil science that cover the discipline from the beginnings of soil concepts to the current state of knowledge. As a result, the book includes a comprehensive collection of references ranging from ancient documents (many of them difficult to find) to papers published in the 21st century. The bibliographic information is extensive and detailed. Moreover, the sidebars that expand on the biographies and major findings of early soil researchers add a pleasing, personal touch.

I do have a couple of quibbles. With regard to production, the publisher chose a paper that is too thin, and there
are a few editorial oversights in some chapters (information missing from a figure, e.g.). I wish there had been more chapters in which authors pointed out knowledge gaps in soil-science research and challenged and encouraged future scientists to fill them.

Nonetheless, I found the book excellent overall. By presenting the evolution of soil science in a way that relates key concepts to specific individuals, Footprints leaves readers more inclined to appreciate the wide range of disciplines integrated into the field and perhaps more capable of understanding its present-day challenges. The book also reminds soil scientists that the history of their discipline is still being formed, and their legacy is still evolving.

Catherine A. Fox
Catherine A. Fox (e-mail: foxc@agr.gc.ca) is a research scientist of soil ecology with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Greenhouse and Processing Crops Research Center, Harrow, Ontario, Canada.
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