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Abstract

*Spodoptera litura* F. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) adversely affects important crops such as cotton, okra, tomato, potato, and pumpkin. For the first time, this species was observed in a citrus nursery in the Sargodha Region of Pakistan. The principal objectives of this study were to determine the effects of several citrus (Sapindales: Rutaceae) cultivars, including *Citrus reticulata* Blanco (Feutrell’s Early, Seedless Kinnow, and Fairchild mandarin orange) and *Citrus paradisi* Macfad. (grapefruit), on feeding by *S. litura*, and the effects of these plants on the growth of the insect. Based on performance of 3rd instars, Feutrell’s Early and Seedless Kinnow supported maximum relative growth rates, high efficiencies of conversion of ingested food, and high levels of leaf consumption and larval weight gain, relative to the other citrus cultivars. Values of these parameters were lowest on grapefruit. Thus, the mandarin oranges Feutrell’s Early and Seedless Kinnow were more susceptible to damage than grapefruit.
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Resumen

*Spodoptera litura* F. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) es una plaga muy importante que ataca adversamente a muchos cultivos importantes tales como algodón, okra, jitomate, papa, y calabaza. Se reporta por primera vez a *S. litura* atacando en un vivero de cítricos (Sapindales: Rutaceae) en la región de Sargodha, Pakistán. Los objetivos principales de este estudio fueron determinar los efectos del potencial alimenticio de *S. litura* sobre diferentes variedades de cítricos incluyendo a *Citrus reticulata* Blanco (Feutrell’s Early, Seedless Kinnow, Fairchild) y *Citrus paradisi* Macfad. (toronja). El potencial alimenticio de larvas de tercer estadio sugieren que Feutrell’s Early y Seedless Kinnow soportan mayor tasa de crecimiento relativo (TCR) así como los valores más altos de eficiencia de conversión de ingrido en alimento (ECA), y consumo de área foliar entre las variedades de cítricos. Los valores más bajos de estos parámetros fueron observados en la variedad de toronja. Los resultados indicaron que Feutrell’s Early y Seedless Kinnow fueron las variedades más nutritivas y susceptibles entre las variedades cítricos ya que mostraron los valores más grandes de TCR, ECI, ganancia de peso larvario, consumo de área foliar y pérdida de peso de follaje; por el contrario, en la variedad de toronja se corroboró como la menos nutritiva para *S. litura*, ya que produjo los valores más bajos de TCR and peso de excretas (PE).
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Citrus production is a significant component in the economy of Pakistan. Pakistan ranks 10th in the production of citrus in the world. Citrus crops cover an area of over 210,000 ha, with annual production of 2,237,000 tons (GOP 2013). The climatic conditions of Pakistan are appropriate for citrus cultivation (Syed 2007). Sargodha is the leading city for citrus production in Pakistan (Ashraf et al. 2014).

Unfortunately, citrus is threatened by a number of insect pests in Pakistan. The major pests of citrus in Pakistan include the Asian citrus psyllid, *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Liviidae); the fruit flies *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) and *B. dorsalis* (Hendel) (Diptera: Tephritidae); the citrus blackfly, *Aleurocanthus woglumi* Ashby (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae); the citrus leaf miner, *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae); and the giant mealybug *Drosicha stebbingii* (Stebbing) (Hemiptera: Margarodidae) (Mahmood et al. 2014).

*Spodoptera litura* F. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) affects numerous crops including cotton, tomato, okra, potato, chili, cucumber, pumpkin, cabbage, pigeon pea, and gram. A wide host range is one potentially important evolutionary strategy to ensure survival (Lee et al. 2003). Generally, polyphagous insect pests like *S. litura* feed on multiple plant species and on various parts of these plants (Suomela 1996). Host se-


**Materials and Methods**

**INSECT CULTURE**

*Spodoptera litura* was initially observed in a citrus nursery of the Department of Horticulture, University College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Pakistan. Larvae of *S. litura* were collected from the mandarin orange cultivar Seedless Kinnow and brought to the Department of Entomology, University of Sargodha, Sargodha, Pakistan. The larvae were reared on artificial diet made of chickpea flour (Seth & Sharma 2002). The adults of *S. litura* were provided with a cotton boll saturated with 30% honey solution. The mature 3rd instars from the 1st laboratory-reared generation were used for further experiments.

**CITRUS CULTIVARS**

To evaluate the feeding potential of *S. litura* on various citrus (Sapindales: Rutaceae) cultivars, we selected the 3 cultivars Seedless Kinnow, Fairchild, and Feutrell’s Early of *Citrus reticulata* Blanco (mandarin oranges) and 1 cultivar of *Citrus paradisi* Macfady. (grapefruit).

**LEAF AREA CONSUMPTION**

Third instars were individually placed in Petri dishes (9.0 × 1.5 cm). We used healthy, tender leaves, which were taken from the upper third of the plants. Leaves from the 4 host plants were collected daily and washed with distilled water. In each treatment, 5 leaves were placed in separate Petri dishes and 1 leaf of each cultivar served as a control (it was not offered to larvae). The experiment was replicated 3 times. The leaves were replaced after 2 d. The leaf area was measured with a leaf area meter (LI-COR model LI-3000, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). Consumption of leaves by *S. litura* larvae was determined by subtracting the remaining leaf area from the initial leaf area after correcting by using the value obtained in the control.

**LEAF WEIGHT LOSS**

We placed 3rd instar larvae on a weighed leaf within a plastic Petri dish to test larval feeding on the 4 citrus cultivars. The ends of the petioles were wrapped in moistened cotton to prevent desiccation. After 24 and 48 h, leaves were weighed and feces were removed from the leaves and weighed. Petri dishes were cleaned, and new weighed leaves were supplied. This process was continued every second day for each replication. Temperature and humidity were 25 ± 1 °C and 65 ± 5%, respectively, during the experiment. Daily food consumption per larva was estimated by subtracting the weight of the remaining leaf tissue from the weight of the initial leaf provided.

**RELATIVE CONSUMPTION RATE (RCR)**

The relative consumption rate (RCR) was measured by the following formula (Mehrkhou 2013):

$$ RCR = \frac{I}{B \times T} $$

Where $I$ is the dry weight of food (mg) consumed, $T$ is the duration of feeding period (d), and $B$ is the insect dry weight gain (mg).

**RELATIVE GROWTH RATE (RGR)**

The relative growth rate (RGR) was calculated according to the following formula (Barrania 2013):

$$ RGR = \frac{\Delta B}{BI} \times T $$

Where $\Delta B$ is the change in body weight of the insect (mg), $BI$ is the initial larval weight, and $T$ is the duration of the feeding period (d).

**EFFICIENCY OF CONVERSION OF INGESTED FOOD (ECI)**

The efficiency of conversion of ingested food (ECI) was calculated according to the following formula (Suwarno et al. 2010):

$$ ECI = \frac{I}{B} \times 100 $$

Where $B$ is the insect dry weight gain (mg) and $I$ is the dry weight of food (mg) consumed.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Statistical analysis was conducted with a 2-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the statistical software Statistix 8.1. If significant differences were detected, then means were compared using Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test at α = 0.05.

**Results**

The results of the feeding and performance analyses of *S. litura* 3rd instars are provided in Table 1. Feeding and performance parameters differed significantly among citrus cultivars, except for RCR. The maximum consumption of leaf area was observed on the orange cultivars Feutrell’s Early (9.23 cm²) and Seedless Kinnow (4.71 cm²). Leaf area consumption was significantly lower on the Fairchild cultivar and the grapefruit, 3.30 and 2.70 cm², respectively (Fig. 1A). The maximum larval weight gain (larval biomass) was associated with Feutrell’s Early (97.93 mg) and Seedless Kinnow (68.16 mg) cultivars, whereas the lowest weight gain was attained on grapefruit (7.02 mg) (Fig. 1E). The greatest production of feces was by larvae feeding on Feutrell’s Early (22.80 mg) and Seedless Kinnow (15.84 mg) cultivars. The lowest value of feces production was by larvae feeding on grapefruit (2.60 mg) (Fig. 1F). The highest rate of relative growth (RGR) was observed on Seedless Kinnow (2.26 mg/mg/d), and the lowest was recorded on grapefruit (0.20 mg/mg/d) (Fig. 1B). In the case of relative consumption rate (RCR), the maximum value was observed on grapefruit (11.83 mg/mg/d) and the lowest was recorded on Seedless Kinnow (4.05 mg/mg/d).

| Table 1. Feeding and performance parameters of Spodoptera litura 3rd instars on 4 citrus cultivars as assessed by ANOVA. |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Parameter                                | df  | $F$  | $P$  |
| Leaf area consumption (cm²)              | 3   | 29.55 | <0.0001 |
| Leaf weight loss (mg)                    | 3   | 67.20 | <0.0001 |
| Larval weight (mg)                       | 3   | 106.18 | <0.0001 |
| Feces weight (mg)                        | 3   | 89.28 | <0.0001 |
| RGR (mg/mg/d)                            | 3   | 26.57 | <0.0001 |
| RCR (mg/mg/d)                            | 3   | 0.42  | 0.7377 |
| ECI (%)                                  | 3   | 29.25 | <0.0001 |
Fig. 1. Food consumption and performance of Spodoptera litura 3rd instars on 4 citrus cultivars: (A) leaf area consumption (cm$^2$); (B) relative growth rate (RGR); (C) relative consumption rate (RCR); (D) leaf weight consumed (mg); (E) larval weight (mg); (F) weight of feces produced (mg); (G) efficiency of conversion of ingested food (ECI).
mg/d (Fig. 1C). The efficiency of conversion of ingested food (ECI) by larvae of *S. litura* was highest on Seedless Kinnow (130.75%) and on Feutrell's Early (99.26%). The minimum ECI value was observed on grapefruit (14.47%) (Fig. 1G).

**Discussion**

Efficiency of conversion of ingested food (ECI) and relative growth rate (RGR) are the most important parameters related to feeding potential (Reese 1978). ECI and RGR measure the suitability of food, specifically the efficiency with which food is transformed into insect biomass (Waldbauer 1968), which assures the growth and development of insects (Nathan et al. 2005). In this study, significant differences were observed among the ECI and RGR values when *S. litura* was reared on various citrus cultivars. The highest values of ECI and RGR were related to feeding on Seedless Kinnow and Feutrell’s Early cultivars of citrus, suggesting that the insects feeding on these hosts were most effective at the conversion of ingested food to biomass and larval growth. As can be seen in Fig. 1B and G, the maximum utilization of leaves was observed on Feutrell’s Early and Seedless Kinnow orange.

This research showed that the Feutrell’s Early and the Seedless Kinnow cultivars were fed upon more readily, and were more suitable for growth of *S. litura*, than the Fairchild orange and the grapefruit. Previous research also documented that larval development of *S. litura* varied greatly among various host plants (Zhu et al. 2000; Chen et al. 2002; Seema et al. 2004). As noted above, variation in consumption and growth might be due to either the variability of nutritional quality and quantity of the host plant or the presence of allelochemicals (Bernays & Chapman 1994).

Although *S. litura* damages numerous crops in the Sargodha Region of Pakistan, we believe this to be the first documented occurrence of this species affecting commercial citrus in a nursery. Although citrus proved to be susceptible to *S. litura*, we identified significant differences in susceptibility (amount of leaf consumption) and suitability (RGR and ECI) among 4 cultivars of citrus.
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