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Lack of reproductive isolation in Helicoverpa gelotopoeon (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations from different host plant species in Argentina

María Inés Herrero¹, Sofía Victoria Fogliata¹, Luciana Cecilia Dami¹, Augusto Casmuz², Gerardo Gastaminza², and María Gabriela Murúa¹,*

Abstract

Helicoverpa gelotopoeon (Dyar) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a polyphagous pest that belongs to the Helaiothinae complex, and has been described as feeding on numerous crops. Larvae damage mainly pods and seeds of soybean and other legumes. Adaptation to different host plants might reduce gene flow between phytophagous insect populations, which could lead to reproductive isolation. Therefore, studies on gene flow among host-associated populations are necessary to design management strategies. The objective of this study was to evaluate reproductive compatibility between 2 populations of H. gelotopoeon coming from different host plants. We collected H. gelotopoeon larvae in alfalfa and soybean crops, and studied biological and reproductive parameters, and mating compatibility. Helicoverpa gelotopoeon populations from soybean and alfalfa reared on artificial diet showed similar biological and reproductive characteristics. Intra- and inter-population crosses revealed that there are no signs of host-associated isolation, allowing gene exchange between these populations, which can be explained by the high mobility and generalist behavior of this species. This information will be useful to establish control measures for this pest.
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Resumen

Helicoverpa gelotopoeon (Dyar) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) es una plaga polífaga que pertenece al complejo de Helaiothinae y fue descripta alimentándose sobre numerosos cultivos. Las larvas dañan principalmente las vainas y los granos de soja y otras leguminosas. La adaptación a diferentes plantas hospederas podría reducir el flujo entre poblaciones de insectos fitófagos, lo cual podría conducir a un aislamiento reproductivo. Por lo tanto, estudios sobre el flujo entre poblaciones asociadas a plantas hospederas son necesarios para diseñar estrategias de manejo. El objetivo de este estudio fue evaluar la compatibilidad reproductiva entre 2 poblaciones de H. gelotopoeon provenientes de diferentes plantas hospederas. Nosotros recolectamos larvas de H. gelotopoeon en los cultivos de alfalfa y soja y estudiamos los parámetros biológicos, reproductivos y la compatibilidad reproductiva. Las poblaciones de H. gelotopoeon de soja y alfalfa criadas sobre dieta artificial mostraron características biológicas y reproductivas similares. Las cruzas dentro y entre poblaciones revelaron que no hay signos de aislamientos asociados a la planta hospedera, favoreciendo el intercambio de genes entre estas poblaciones, lo cual puede ser explicado por la alta movilidad y el comportamiento generalista de esta especie. Esta información será útil para establecer medidas para esta plaga.

Palabras Claves: insectos fitófagos; oruga bolillera Sudamericana; adaptación planta hospedera; compatibilidad reproductiva
According to Herrero et al. (2017), the bioecological characteristics of this Heliothinae complex, in addition to the numerous occurrences of insecticide resistance within this subfamily (Forrester 1990; Forrester et al. 1993; Armes et al. 1996), necessitate establishing alternatives to insecticide control for the 34 species of Helicoverpa. Currently, genetically modified plants expressing genes from the entomopathogenic bacterium Bacillus thuringiensis Berliner (Bacillaceae) (Bt) are available as an alternative strategy to control major lepidopteran pests. However, H. armigera, H. zea, and C. virescens have developed resistance to insecticides and Bt Cry proteins (Forrester et al. 1993; Gould et al. 1995; Armes et al. 1996; Hardee et al. 2001; Li et al. 2007; Mahon et al. 2007; Pietrantonio et al. 2007; Gao et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2010; Bird & Downes 2014; Brévault et al. 2015; Reisig & Reay-Jones 2015; Tay et al. 2015).

Although several strategies have been proposed to delay resistance to Bt crops, the use of refuges has been recognized as an important component of resistance management for transgenic crops (Tabashnik 1994; Alstad & Andow 1995; Gould 1998). Refuges normally consist of host plants that do not produce Bt toxins to promote survival of susceptible individuals that would mate with the resistant ones from Bt plants. Nevertheless, for these individuals to be effectively considered as a source of susceptibility to resistance management, alternative hosts should contain a population able to randomly mate with individuals emerging from Bt crops (Martel et al. 2003; Tabashnik & Carrière 2008). Adaptation to different host plants might reduce gene flow between phytophagous insect populations, which could lead to reproductive isolation (Groot et al. 2011). Under these circumstances, a refuge would lose its function, since it wouldn’t be possible for these populations to randomly mate with each other. Therefore, studies on genetic diversity and gene flow among populations of insect pests, and the possible exchange of alleles between host-associated and geographic distant populations are necessary to design resistance management strategies for this Heliothinae complex.

In this context, a study made by Herrero et al. (2017) with H. gelotopoeon populations collected from chickpea in 2 regions of Argentina, showed similar biological and reproductive characteristics when reared on artificial diet in the laboratory. In addition, intra- and inter-population crosses revealed that H. gelotopoeon populations from neither region presented evidence of pre-zygotic or post-zygotic incompatibility, suggesting extensive gene flow between Tucumán (northwestern region) and Córdoba (Pampas region) populations of H. gelotopoeon. Other studies used molecular tools and documented unstructured genetic populations in other species of this genus collected on different hosts (Behere et al. 2007; Groot et al. 2011; Albernaz et al. 2012; Asokan et al. 2012). However, other authors found host-associated genetic differentiation in distinct species of the Heliothinae complex (Subramanian & Mohankumar 2006; Behere et al. 2013). The objective of this study was to evaluate reproductive compatibility between 2 populations of H. gelotopoeon collected in soybean and alfalfa crops in northwestern Argentina.

Materials and Methods

INSECT COLLECTIONS AND IDENTIFICATION

Helicoverpa gelotopoeon larvae were collected from Dec 2015 to Jan 2016 in commercial soybean and alfalfa fields located in 2 counties of Tucumán province, Argentina. Collections were made on alfalfa in Vipos County (26.466666°S, 65.300000°W), and on soybean in San Agustín County (26.839166°S, 64.858888°W). At each sampling site, a minimum of 300 larvae (instars 2–5) were gathered and placed individuially in glass tubes (12 cm high, 1.5 cm diam) with pieces of artificial diet. Collected larvae were taken to the laboratory and placed in breeding chambers under controlled conditions of 27 ± 2 °C, 70 to 75% relative humidity, 14:10 h (L:D) photoperiod until adult emergence. All adults that emerged in the laboratory were examined using male genitalia to confirm the species according to Velasco de Stacul et al. (1969). Sampled insects from each of these populations were deposited as voucher specimens in the insect collection of the Sección Zoología Agrícola, Estación Experimental Agroindustrial Obispo Colombres, Tucumán, Argentina.

INSECT REARING

Approximately 250 adults (125 females, 125 males) were selected randomly from reared larvae collected in soybean and alfalfa to establish the experimental colony for each population. These adults were arranged in 5 cylindrical oviposition cages (40 cm high, 20 cm diam) lined with polyethylene bags with approximately 25 females and 25 males per population. For aeration, both ends of the cage were covered with a nylon cloth. Each population was maintained in the same chamber under identically controlled conditions at 27 ± 2 °C, 70 to 75% relative humidity, and 14:10 h (L:D) photoperiod. Both populations were reared according the methodology described by Murúa et al. (2003) and Herrero et al. (2017). The food for adults was provided via a cotton plug saturated with a mixture of honey and water (1:1 volume:volume) which was replaced every d. Cages were checked daily for oviposition and adult mortality. Rearing of each population was maintained between Dec 2015 and May 2016.

Eggs deposited in the cages were collected and put into 750 mL plastic containers (Potituc®, Tucumán, Argentina). Once emerged, neonate larvae were placed individually in glass tubes with artificial larval diet that included chickpea flour (Grandiet®, Buenos Aires, Argentina), wheat germ (Grandiet®, Buenos Aires, Argentina), brewer’s yeast (Calsa®, Tucumán, Argentina), vitamin C (Anedra®, Buenos Aires, Argentina), sorbic acid (Anedra®, Buenos Aires, Argentina), sodium benzoate (TodoDroga®, Córdoba, Argentina), vitamin supplement amino acids (Ruminal®, Buenos Aires, Argentina), and methylparaben (TodoDroga®, Córdoba, Argentina) (Murúa et al. 2003). Artificial diet was replaced every 2 to 3 d. As larvae pupated, pupae were sexed and placed in containers with moistened filter paper until adult emergence. Adults were used to establish a new generation. After establishing a colony for each population, individuals from the second to fourth generations were used for studies of fitness and reproductive compatibility.

BIOLOGY OF SOUTH AMERICAN BOLLWORM POPULATIONS FROM DIFFERENT HOST PLANTS

From each experimental colony of H. gelotopoeon, once emerged, 5 groups of neonate larvae were selected randomly (126 and 95 larvae from soybean and alfalfa, respectively) to analyze the following parameters: duration of larval instars and pupal stage, pupal mass (obtained 24 h after pupation), and adult sex ratio.

From the adults obtained, 46 females and 46 males from the soybean population, and 33 females and 33 males from the alfalfa population were selected randomly to determine incubation period, longevity, and reproductive parameters. One 24-h-old virgin female and 1 virgin male from the same population were placed in cylindrical oviposition cages similar to those described above (25 cm high, 15 cm diam). These single pair matings represented the parental cross that was used for reproductive compatibility studies. Moths were maintained in this cage, and daily mortality and oviposition were recorded. Dead females were dissected to establish the presence of spermatophores in the
bursa copulatrix, which was considered evidence of copulation. Pre-oviposition, oviposition, and post-oviposition (number of d that a female survives after its last oviposition) period, total fecundity (number of eggs deposited by a female during her entire life period), incubation period, total fertility (percentage of eggs hatching), and adult longevity were recorded.

REPRODUCTIVE COMPATIBILITY BETWEEN SOUTH AMERICAN BOLLWORM POPULATIONS FROM DIFFERENT HOST PLANTS

A crossing experiment was performed to determine reproductive compatibility between soybean (S) and alfalfa (A) populations, according to the methodologies described by Herrero et al. (2017). To determine compatibility, a 24-h-old virgin female and virgin male were used. Four different types of crosses were performed: (i) parental crosses using parents from the same population, (ii) hybrid crosses using 1 parent of each population, (iii) backcrosses with the female progenitor as the recurrent parent, and backcrosses with the male progenitor as the recurrent parent, and (iv) inter-hybrid mating crosses between F1 hybrids from different populations.

A subset of larval progeny (F1) from each fertile cage was monitored for survival until pupation and then reared into adulthood. The parameters measured to determine compatibility were as follows: number of spermatophores, pre-oviposition, oviposition, and post-oviposition period duration, total fecundity, and total fertility.

DATA ANALYSIS

Fitness data (duration of egg, larval instars and pupal stage, and pupal mass obtained 24 h after pupation) between both populations were compared by the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (Lehmann 1975) ($P < 0.05$). For the reproductive compatibility data, due to the high number of combinations, the performance of all parental crosses was compared with the results of other types of crosses (hybrid crosses, backcrosses, and inter-hybrid matings) using the Kruskal-Wallis (1952) test ($P < 0.05$).

For all of these studies, pre-oviposition, oviposition, and post-oviposition periods were compared for those females that laid eggs. Total fecundity was compared for all females, including those that laid no eggs. For total fertility, females that laid eggs but had no spermatophores were not included. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 22.0 (IBM 2013).

Results

BIOLOGICAL AND REPRODUCTIVE PARAMETERS

In total, 46 and 33 parental crosses were used to determine biological and reproductive parameters of soybean and alfalfa populations, respectively. The duration of each life stage and reproductive parameters are presented in Table 1.

Soybean Population

The incubation period ranged from 2 to 5 d (3.00 ± 0.06 [SE]). This population displayed 5 larval instars (the fifth instar was the longest), and completed this stage in 14.15 ± 0.14 d. Duration of the pupal stage was 11.35 ± 0.16 d, and longevity was 14.5 ± 0.99 and 19.39 ± 1.30 d for female and male, respectively. Sex ratio was 1.18:1 (F:M). The entire life span in this population lasted about 45.49 ± 0.92 d.

The number of spermatophores transferred per female was 1.11 ± 0.11. The pre-oviposition, oviposition, and post-oviposition periods duration were 4.33 ± 0.36, 6.09 ± 0.52, and 5.00 ± 0.69 d, respectively. Total fecundity was 330.51 ± 34 eggs per female, ranging from 0 to 770, and total fertility 77.57 ± 4.98% with a range of 0 to 100% hatching.

Alfalfa Population

The incubation period was 3.08 ± 0.07 and ranged from 2 to 4 d. As seen with soybean, this population displayed 5 larval instars, and completed this stage in 14.54 ± 0.15 d. Duration of pupal stage was 10.98 ± 0.19 d, and longevity 13.66 ± 1.16 and 15.65 ± 1.26 d for female and male, respectively. The sex ratio was 1:1.28 (F:M), and the entire life span duration was about 42.97 ± 0.88, 2 d less than the soybean population.

As seen with soybean, this population displayed 5 larval instars, and completed this stage in 14.54 ± 0.15 d. Duration of pupal stage was 10.98 ± 0.19 d, and longevity 13.66 ± 1.16 and 15.65 ± 1.26 d for female and male, respectively. The sex ratio was 1:1.28 (F:M), and the entire life span duration was about 42.97 ± 0.88, 2 d less than the soybean population.

The average number of spermatophores transferred per female was 1.39 ± 0.32. Regarding the reproductive parameters, duration of the pre-oviposition, oviposition, and post-oviposition periods were 5.38 ± 0.47, 5.19 ± 0.64, and 4.19 ± 0.51 d, respectively. Total fecundity was 366.44 ± 0.76 eggs laid per female, ranging from 0 to 1,182 eggs. Total fecundity was 79.62 ± 4.97% with a range of 0 to 99.12 hatched eggs.

The biological parameters that presented significant differences between soybean and alfalfa populations were second instar larval development time ($W = 9.305; P = 0.003$), fourth instar larval development time ($W = 6.754; P = 0.02$) (these parameters were a longer duration for the alfalfa population), and life span ($W = 1.630; P = 0.032$), that was longer for the soybean population (Table 1). Reproductive parameters were not significantly different between populations.

REPRODUCTIVE COMPATIBILITY BETWEEN SOUTH AMERICAN BOLLWORM POPULATIONS

In total, 79 parental crosses, 42 hybrid crosses, 115 backcrosses, and 65 inter-hybrid matings were carried out between soybean and alfalfa populations (Table 2). Significant differences were found only in the post-oviposition period ($H = 13.35; P = 0.003$), while no significant differences were observed for those parameters that may indicate pre-zygotic and post-zygotic incompatibility between populations, such as presence and number of spermatophores, fecundity, or fertility (Table 2).

The lowest fecundity values resulted from inter-hybrid matings, but they were not low enough to conclude that significant differences existed in comparison to parental crosses. In addition, inter-hybrid matings showed the highest fertility when compared to the other types of crosses (Table 2). In general, all hybrid crosses, backcrosses, and inter-hybrid matings showed similar values to both parental crosses for all parameters (Tables 2, 3). Therefore, no indications of pre-zygotic or post-zygotic incompatibility was observed between these 2 populations.

Discussion

This study compared biological and reproductive traits, and mating compatibility between 2 $H. gelotopoeon$ populations collected from different host plants in Tucumán, Argentina. This research complements previous contributions made by Herrero et al. (2017), who used $H. gelotopoeon$ populations collected in 2 regions, but in the same crop in Argentina. The results of the present study showed that the South American bollworm populations from soybean and alfalfa crops take approximately 45 and 43 d, respectively, to complete a single generation (from egg to adult) under laboratory conditions. A similar development time was observed by Herrero et al. (2017) for $H. gelotopoeon$ and Ali et al. (2009) for $H. armigera$. 
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The duration of egg, larval, pupal, and adult stages, and sex ratio and number of larval instars were similar to those observed previously for *H. gelotopoeon* (Iannone & Leiva 1993; Navarro et al. 2009; Herrero et al. 2017) and *H. armigera* (Ali et al. 2009; Sharma et al. 2011; Jha et al. 2012; Amer & El-Sayed 2014; Reigada et al. 2016). The number of spermatophores found in dissected females was generally 1 for both populations. Similar values were obtained by Herrero et al. (2017) for *H. gelotopoeon*, and by Coombs et al. (1993) for *H. armigera* and *Helicoverpa punctigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Fecundity and fertility values were generally similar to those reported for *H. gelotopoeon* (Urretabizkaya et al. 2010; Herrero et al. 2017) and *H. armigera* (Nasreen & Mustafa 2000; Sharma et al. 2011).

Population parameters characterization is important because they may be affected by differences in host plant use. According to Matsubayashi et al. (2010), different plant species might differ in their chemistry and morphological structure; thus, selection might act in contrasting directions between populations of insects feeding on different host plant species, favoring opposing trait values on different hosts. *Helicoverpa gelotopoeon* populations from soybean and alfalfa reared on artificial diet showed similar biological and reproductive traits. However, differences in second and fourth instar larval development time and life span were found. The duration of these parameters was higher for the alfalfa population, but the life span was longer for the soybean population (Table 1).

Silva et al. (2018) similarly characterized the immature stages of *H. armigera* populations from different regions and host plants (citrus, cotton, and corn) in Brazil. In this study, the mean development times of the larvae and pre-pupae from the 3 populations were similar, and mean life span (egg to adult) was not significantly different. They observed only small variations for some parameters, but they considered that some variation is commonly found in studies of Lepidoptera, and that the observed values represented normal variation.

Host-associated differentiation is widely recognized as a step towards speciation in phytophagous insects, because adaptations to different host plants often lead to reproductive isolation. Various pre-

### Table 1. Duration in days (mean ± SE) of egg, larval (L1–L5), and pupal stages, pupal mass (mg), female and male longevity (d), sex ratio (F:M) and life span of *Helicoverpa gelotopoeon* populations collected in soybean and alfalfa crops in Tucumán, Argentina, and reared at 27 ± 2 °C, 70 to 75% RH, and 14:10 h (L:D) photoperiod.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Life cycle stages</th>
<th>Soybean population</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Alfalfa population</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Egg</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.06 a</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>2–5</td>
<td>3.08 ± 0.07 a</td>
<td>894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.07 a</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>2.86 ± 0.06 a</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.05 a</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>2.26 ± 0.07 b</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>2.07 ± 0.04 a</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>2.06 ± 0.06 a</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.07 a</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>2.77 ± 0.13 b</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>4.92 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>4.91 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall larval stage</td>
<td>14.15 ± 0.14 a</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>11–18</td>
<td>14.54 ± 0.15 a</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupa</td>
<td>11.35 ± 0.16 a</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>8–15</td>
<td>10.98 ± 0.19 a</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupal mass</td>
<td>238.98 ± 3.73 a</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>165–307</td>
<td>231.76 ± 5.09 a</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female longevity</td>
<td>14.50 ± 0.99 a</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>6–26</td>
<td>13.66 ± 1.16 a</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male longevity</td>
<td>19.39 ± 1.30 a</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5–31</td>
<td>15.65 ± 1.26 a</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life span</td>
<td>45.49 ± 0.92 a</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>32–59</td>
<td>42.97 ± 0.88 b</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio F:M</td>
<td>1.18 ± 1.1 a</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.12 ± 1 a</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spermatophores per female</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.11 a</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0–3</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.32 a</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-oviposition period</td>
<td>4.33 ± 0.36 a</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1–9</td>
<td>5.38 ± 0.47 a</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oviposition period</td>
<td>6.09 ± 0.52 a</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1–13</td>
<td>5.19 ± 0.64 a</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-oviposition period</td>
<td>5.00 ± 0.69 a</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1–19</td>
<td>4.19 ± 0.51 a</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fecundity</td>
<td>330.51 ± 3.4 a</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0–770</td>
<td>366.44 ± 0.76 a</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fertility</td>
<td>77.57 ± 4.98 a</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0–100</td>
<td>79.62 ± 4.97 a</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values followed by the same letters within a column are not significantly different according to the Wilcoxon test (P > 0.05).

### Table 2. Number (mean ± SE) of spermatophores per female, duration of pre-oviposition, oviposition and post-oviposition periods, total fecundity (number of eggs per female), and total fertility (percentage of egg hatch) of *Helicoverpa gelotopoeon* parental crosses, hybrid crosses, backcrosses, and inter-hybrid matings using populations collected in soybean and alfalfa crops in Tucumán, Argentina, and reared at 27 ± 2 °C, 70 to 75% RH, and 14:10 h (L:D) photoperiod. (N) = sample size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spermatophores per female</th>
<th>Pre-oviposition period (d)</th>
<th>Oviposition period (d)</th>
<th>Post-oviposition period (d)</th>
<th>Fecundity</th>
<th>Fertility (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental crosses</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.11 a (79)</td>
<td>4.79 ± 0.29 a (59)</td>
<td>5.69 ± 0.40 a (59)</td>
<td>4.64 ± 0.44 b (59)</td>
<td>345.67 ± 30.03 a (64)</td>
<td>78.47 ± 3.51 a (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid crosses</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.13 a (42)</td>
<td>3.77 ± 0.40 a (26)</td>
<td>5.73 ± 0.51 a (26)</td>
<td>3.15 ± 0.55 a (26)</td>
<td>376.41 ± 53.38 a (31)</td>
<td>69.72 ± 6.98 a (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcrosses</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.07 a (115)</td>
<td>4.60 ± 0.30 a (72)</td>
<td>5.01 ± 0.27 a (72)</td>
<td>3.13 ± 0.27 a (72)</td>
<td>298.00 ± 2.33 a (84)</td>
<td>76.87 ± 3.16 a (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-hybrid matings</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.10 a (65)</td>
<td>4.51 ± 0.30 a (43)</td>
<td>4.95 ± 0.43 a (43)</td>
<td>2.74 ± 0.35 a (43)</td>
<td>250.26 ± 34.26 a (53)</td>
<td>80.34 ± 3.67 a (43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values followed by the same letters within a column are not significantly different according to the Kruskal-Wallis test (P > 0.05).
Table 3. Number (mean ± SE) of mated female, total fecundity (number of eggs per female), and total fertility (percentage egg hatch) of each Helicoverpa gelotopoeon crosses and backcrosses using populations collected in soybean (S) and alfalfa (A) crops in Tucumán, Argentina, and reared at 27 ± 2 °C, 70 to 75% RH, and 14:10 h (L:D) photoperiod. (N) = sample size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of crosses</th>
<th>♂</th>
<th>♀</th>
<th>No. paired</th>
<th>Mated female</th>
<th>Fecundity</th>
<th>Fertility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental crosses</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>339.69 ± 34.21 (36)</td>
<td>77.57 ± 4.98 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental crosses</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>364.64 ± 54.09 (27)</td>
<td>79.61 ± 4.97 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid crosses</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>317.38 ± 87.27 (13)</td>
<td>58.6 ± 13.23 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid crosses</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>419.05 ± 67.63 (18)</td>
<td>78.6 ± 6.91 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcrosses</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>F1 (A ♂ × S ♀)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>261.00 ± 72.30 (8)</td>
<td>86.82 ± 3.85 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcrosses</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>F1 (S ♀ × A ♂)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>285.43 ± 65.17 (7)</td>
<td>67.83 ± 13.88 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcrosses</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>F1 (A ♂ × S ♀)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>352.11 ± 70.14 (9)</td>
<td>61.61 ± 15.47 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcrosses</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>F1 (S ♀ × A ♂)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>238.12 ± 71.25 (8)</td>
<td>81.84 ± 5.40 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcrosses</td>
<td>F1 (A ♂ × S ♀)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>259.20 ± 64.94 (10)</td>
<td>74.21 ± 14.47 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcrosses</td>
<td>F1 (A ♂ × S ♀)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>428.60 ± 51.25 (5)</td>
<td>85.41 ± 3.36 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcrosses</td>
<td>F1 (S ♀ × A ♂)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>316.50 ± 60.03 (14)</td>
<td>70.28 ± 5.53 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcrosses</td>
<td>F1 (S ♀ × A ♂)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>290.95 ± 46.73 (23)</td>
<td>84.24 ± 5.18 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-hybrid matings</td>
<td>F1 (S ♀ × A ♂)</td>
<td>F1 (A ♂ × S ♀)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>344.36 ± 99.73 (11)</td>
<td>82.86 ± 5.25 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-hybrid matings</td>
<td>F1 (S ♀ × A ♂)</td>
<td>F1 (S ♀ × A ♂)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>169.88 ± 60.93 (9)</td>
<td>75.07 ± 15.80 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-hybrid matings</td>
<td>F1 (A ♂ × S ♀)</td>
<td>F1 (A ♂ × S ♀)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>236.50 ± 45.36 (20)</td>
<td>81.30 ± 4.66 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-hybrid matings</td>
<td>F1 (S ♀ × A ♂)</td>
<td>F1 (S ♀ × A ♂)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>247.46 ± 77.30 (13)</td>
<td>79.31 ± 10.04 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mating and post-mating barriers may reduce gene flow between host-associated populations (Danon et al. 2017).

Considering species from the genus Helicoverpa, Subramanian and Mohankumar (2006) made a study using microsatellites to provide insight into the genetic variability of H. armigera populations from 6 different host plants. They found strong genetic variability among H. armigera populations, indicating high similarity among populations collected from vegetable crops, whereas the population collected from the cotton crop was found to be more variable. They suggested that the genetic variation among these populations collected from different host plants may be due to host characteristics. This has importance for integrated pest management programs, because isolated populations can have different responses to control measures. In this regard, Shra-vankumar and Jagdishwar Reddy (2004) found differences in susceptibility to different insecticides among H. armigera populations collected from 3 hosts: chickpea, tomato, and grapes, suggesting that these differences may be due to plant factors.

However, the occurrence of unstructured genetic populations has been reported for different species of the Heliothinae complex (H. armigera, C. virescens, and Chloridea [Heliathis] subfleca [Gueneé]; Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Most of these studies used molecular tools (Behere et al. 2007; Groot et al. 2011; Albernaz et al. 2012; Asokan et al. 2012). Only Vijaykumar et al. (2007) tested the extent of compatibility and fecundity of populations of H. armigera occurring in 8 different host plants. They found high cross compatibility among these populations, suggesting that these crops (especially chickpea and pigeonpea) could play an important role as natural refuges. Studies conducted by Green et al. (2003) and Ravi et al. (2005) also found that pigeonpea and chickpea may act as important sources of refuge for Bt cotton plantings.

In the present study, different crosses made between H. gelotopoeon populations from soybean and alfalfa crops in Tucumán, Argentina, did not present signs of pre-zygotic or post-zygotic incompatibility, suggesting that soybean and alfalfa populations of H. gelotopoeon can freely interbreed. This observation is consistent with that observed by Herrero et al. (2017) for the same species, but collected in different regions of Argentina.

These findings have direct implications for management, considering that populations from H. gelotopoeon coming from different crops and regions are capable of freely interbreeding without significant reduction in their reproductive capacity. On one hand, using alternative hosts as refuges for this pest may be considered as an option to provide sufficient susceptible individuals to interbreed with any resistant insects emerging from the Bt soybean. Also, the existence of gene flow between these populations indicates that if resistance to Bt or insecticides were to evolve in 1 of H. gelotopoeon populations, quick action would be necessary to deter the rapid spread of resistance genes over large geographical areas.

This study is the second record of a lack of reproductive isolation between 2 H. gelotopoeon populations, using reproductive parameters and mating compatibility in Argentina (Herrero et al. 2017). These results clearly indicate that there are no signs of host-associated isolation between these 2 populations. Therefore, considering previous studies of Herrero et al. (2017), populations of H. gelotopoeon from different regions and host plants can mate successfully. This lack of isolation allows gene exchange between these populations, which can be explained by the high mobility and generalist behavior of this species (Navarro et al. 2009). However, future studies should include more populations to be able to confirm if H. gelotopoeon can be considered a wide-ranging generalist species.
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