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ABSTRACT
Upscaling of sample data on indicators of decomposition to the landscape scale is often necessary for extensive ecological assessments. The amount of such data is mostly scarce even with high sampling efforts. Moreover, environmental conditions are very heterogeneous in high mountain regions. Therefore, the aim was to find a suitable technique for spatial modeling under these circumstances.

A method combining decision tree analysis and the construction of fuzzy membership functions is introduced for a GIS-based mapping of decomposition indicating parameters. It is compared with an approach solely based on decision trees. Within a case study in the Italian Alps the spatial distribution of humus forms, classified by the occurrence of an OH (humified residues) horizon, is examined. There appears to be a strong relationship with elevation and a minor correlation with slope exposition.

The fuzzy logic-based approach proves to be suitable for modeling the spatial distribution of indicators of decomposition. Mapping fuzzy values allows for the representation of small-scale variability and uncertainty of data due to a relatively low sample size in a very heterogeneous environment.

INTRODUCTION
Decomposition processes are of high significance for the functioning of terrestrial ecosystems. As part of various material cycles, these processes ensure the survival not only of decomposing but also of producing and consuming organisms (Swift et al., 1979). A prominent indicator with regard to decomposition are humus forms (Andreetta et al., 2012; Ascher et al., 2012; Graefe and Beylich, 2006; Ponge, 2013). They can be defined as manifestations of dead organic matter at different stages of decomposition in the topsoil, which in forest ecosystems consist of organic layers (OL = litter, OF = fragmented residues, OH = humified residues) and the uppermost mineral horizon.

Analyzing and assessing the impacts of ecological processes and interactions are required at the landscape scale for numerous purposes. In contrast, ecological field data at the landscape scale is often scarce due to high costs and low accessibility, especially in high mountain environments. Upscaling by means of spatial modeling allows for bridging the gap between the local study scale and the target landscape scale.

In terms of soil ecology, there is a wide range of such modeling methods associated with the concept of digital soil mapping (McBratney et al., 2003), comprising pedotransfer functions, geostatistical techniques, and factor-based approaches (Behrens and Scholten, 2006). Pedotransfer functions allow the derivation of soil variables from other factors easier to determine by means of mathematical formulas (Bouma, 1989; Wösten et al., 2001); thus a detailed quantitative comprehension of the correlations between environmental factors is prerequisite.
The application of geostatistical techniques (e.g., kriging, co-kriging) is particularly critical in areas with a high heterogeneity of environmental covariates. For these techniques an accordingly higher density of samples is indispensable (Heuvelink and Webster, 2001). Factor-based approaches are based on considering the soil properties as a system state, whose configuration is determined by the soil-forming factors (e.g., clorpt model, Jenny, 1941; scorpan model, McBratney et al., 2003). Different methods have been applied implementing the factor-based approach (Behrens and Scholten, 2007; McBratney et al., 2003), including linear regression and classification models, artificial neural networks, tree-based regression and classification models, support vector machines, and fuzzy logic models.

This study aims at refining a spatial knowledge-based modeling technique and establishing it for the prediction of indicators of decomposition processes and properties under a highly heterogeneous topography and a relatively small sample size. Decomposition processes are influenced by various environmental factors. In a high mountain environment these are in a large part mediated by the elevation and the slope exposition, but for some factors in a nonlinear way (such as vegetation, where thresholds for different zones exist depending on the topography). Therefore a fuzzy logic approach based on a data mining decision tree algorithm accounting for nonlinearities is hypothesized to fit the situation.

Methodological Framework

Construction of a Decision Tree

Binary decision trees for data mining (i.e., classification and regression trees [CART]; Breiman et al., 1984) serve as a practicable and simply interpretable tool to statistically model complex and nonlinear dependencies between (environmental) influencing factors and a target variable on the basis of sample data (Aberegg et al., 2009; De’ath and Fabricius, 2000; McKenzie and Ryan, 1999; Mertens et al., 2002). Decision trees are constructed by recursively partitioning the sample set into pairwise disjoint subsets that show a higher rate of homogeneity with respect to the target variable. The rules for partitioning have the form $x_i \leq c, c \in V_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, if the influencing factor $X_i \in \{X_1, \ldots, X_a\}$ taking values in $V_i$ is interval or ratio scaled, and the form $x_i \in S$ otherwise, with $S$ covering a subset of the property values $M_i = \{m_1, \ldots, m_z\}$ of $X_i$ ($x_i$ is evaluated for every sample as the value of $X$) (Fig. 1). As part of the partitioning procedure, an inhomogeneity measure $\iota$ is calculated in order to establish an appropriate decision rule. Each time, the difference of the inhomogeneity of
a certain node \( v \) and the sum of the inhomogeneities of the successor nodes \( v_L \) and \( v_R \) are maximized: 
\[
\text{max}\{t[v] - t[(v_L)] + t(v_R)\}.
\]
For classification trees the gini index \( I_{\text{Class}} \) (Equation 1) serves as an estimator of the inhomogeneity of a node \( v \), with the conditional probability \( p(y_i|v), i = 1, \ldots, z \), of a value of the target variable \( y_i \in Y \) in \( v \). For regression trees the resubstitution error \( I_{\text{Res}} \) (Equation 2) is used, where the number of all samples is denoted as \( N \) and the number of samples in \( v \) is denoted as \( N_v \leq N \), with the related values of the target variable \( y_n, n = 1, \ldots, N_v \) (Breiman et al., 1984).

\[
I_{\text{Class}}(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{z} p(y_k | v) \cdot p(y_i | v), y_i \in Y
\]

\[
I_{\text{Res}}(v) = (1 / N) \sum_{n=1}^{N_v} (y_n - \bar{y}(v))^2
\]

The recursive partitioning procedure is performed on all subsets. It terminates as soon as no higher degree of homogeneity can be reached or a threshold of the number of elements in a node is undercut.

**Fuzzy Logic Model**

The fuzzy logic model is based on the concept of fuzzy sets (Zadeh, 1965). Unlike ordinary sets, fuzzy sets enable their elements to show a partial degree of membership in the range from 0 (no membership) to 1 (full membership). In this way, fuzzy logic models are capable of representing continuous graduations from one class to another class (e.g., soil types, humus forms), which has been applied in soil science on numerous occasions (de Gruijter et al., 2011; McBratney and Odeh, 1997; Qi and Zhu, 2011; Zhu, 2006; Zhu et al., 1996, 2001). In the context of digital soil mapping, fuzzy logic models have been designed and applied for predicting various soil properties (Ashtekar and Owens, 2013; de Menezes et al., 2013). By means of fuzzy membership functions \( \mu: E_1 \times \ldots \times E_n \rightarrow [0,1], (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto \mu(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), dependencies of a target variable on environmental covariates (with the domains \( E_1, \ldots, E_n \)) can be described. These functions refer membership degrees of the target variable to different environmental circumstances (McBratney and Odeh, 1997).

In order to derive suitable fuzzy membership functions from an existing decision tree, tuples containing the value that is inhered in a distinct tree node and the related values of the covariates are used to approximate a general function rule. In case of the fuzzy membership \( s_{ij,k,a} \) of a modeled variable \( k \) as a function of a single environmental variable \( a \) with the value \( z_{ij,a} \) at location \((i,j)\), a two-dimensional rule is needed, such as the bell-shaped function provided by Shi et al. (2009) and Shi (2013) (Equation 3), with the maximal membership \( \max_{z} \in [0,1] \), the central values of the function \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \), the inflection points \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \), and with \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) determining the steepness of the function parts.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{If } z_{ij,a} < v_1, & \quad s_{ij,k,a} = \max \ast \exp\{[(z_{ij,a} - v_1) / w_1]^{r_1} \cdot \ln[0.5]\} \\
\text{If } v_1 \leq z_{ij,a} \leq v_2, & \quad s_{ij,k,a} = \max \\
\text{If } z_{ij,a} > v_2, & \quad s_{ij,k,a} = \max \ast \exp\{[(z_{ij,a} - v_2) / w_2]^{r_1} \cdot \ln[0.5]\}
\end{align*}
\]

In case of a continuously increasing or decreasing behavior of the modeled variable \( k \) along the gradient of an environmental variable \( a \), a sigmoidal function can be derived from Equation 3 by utilizing only the increasing or decreasing function parts, respectively. Membership values between 0 and 1 can be derived from the fuzzy membership functions depending on the values of the environmental covariates. If information about these covariates is extensively available, membership values can be modeled for every site across the study area and for every class of the target variable.

Similar approaches based on a combination of decision trees and fuzzy logic have been described elsewhere (Chiang and Hsu, 2002; Suárez and Lutzko, 1999) and utilized for soil scientific purposes (Ai et al., 2013; Ribeiro et al., 2014; Qi and Zhu, 2011). To our knowledge, though, this is the first time that a model combining decision tree analysis and fuzzy logic is applied for mapping indicators of decomposition.

**Case Study: Modeling of Humus Forms at Val di Rabbi (Trentino, Italy)**

**Study Area**

The study area (522.7 km²) belongs to the Autonomous Province of Trentino in northern Italy and encompasses the two Alpine valleys Val di Sole and...
and Val di Rabbi (Fig. 2). The entire area is characterized by siliceous parent material, with paragneiss, mica schists and phyllites prevailing, and with some lenses of orthogneiss (Aberegg et al., 2009). In terms of soil classes, the range up to 1900 m a.s.l. is dominated by Haplic Cambisols (Dystric) and Umbric Podzols. At higher elevations, the prevalent classes are Entic Podzols, Albic Podzols, and Umbric Podzols (Sartori and Mancabelli, 2009).

Data Basis and Preprocessing

Six investigation sites were located inside the closed coniferous forest along different elevations between 1180 and 1660 m a.s.l., three each at north-exposed slopes (N1, N2, N3) and south-exposed slopes (S6, S7, S8). They are comparable with respect to geology (all sites have paragneiss as parent material) and local topographical position (all sites are located on uniform slopes). The north-exposed sites are dominated by Norway spruce (Picea abies) and the south-exposed sites by European larch (Larix decidua). The site S6 was located in a former coppice.

Every investigation site (~25 m², depending on the local variability of site conditions) comprised three or six sampling plots, respectively (humus profiles at a length of up to 1 m), according to the number of different soil cover types (grass, moss, litter, fern). These plots also included different local slope dynamics (i.e., erosive and accumulative characteristics) at every investigation site. The total number of sampling plots amounted to \( n = 30 \). The humus profiles were dominated by moder conditions (humus forms showing a continuous OH horizon), with transitions to mull-like conditions (OH horizon missing), primarily at the lowest north-facing site N1 as well as at the south-facing sites (Table 1).

The model of humus forms addresses the occurrence of a humus form with an OH horizon. Data from the sampling plots were obtained, indicating the occurrence of an OH horizon with values from the interval \([0,1]\) (Table 2). The value 1 was assigned to a sample where a continuous OH horizon was present. If an OH horizon did not exist, the value 0 was assigned. For discontinuous OH horizons the small-scale presence and absence of an OH horizon often changed abruptly and irregularly (thus without the possibility to trace it back clearly to other factors). Therefore, the intermediate value 0.5 was used for this situation.

In order to examine the combined effects of elevation and slope exposition, the particular elevation and slope exposition values were aggregated to three levels of elevation and two levels of exposition. For elevation, the intermediate values 1200 m, 1400 m, and 1630 m were derived from the elevation values of the related sampling plots. For slope exposition, north-exposed sites were assigned a value of 360°N (equal to 0°N), and south-exposed sites were assigned a value of 180°N (Table
The humus form data of each sampling plot was weighted according to the estimated percentage of its soil cover type in relation to the overall area at this site (Table 1).

Four additional sites for model validation were studied with a reduced number of sampling plots \((n = 8)\). Two sites each were located at north-facing (VN1, VN2) and south-facing (VS1, VS2) slopes (Table 3).

Information about the elevation in the study area was taken directly from a bare ground digital terrain model (DTM) with a grid width of 10 m (Aberegg et al., 2009; compiled by the Provincia Autonoma di Trento on the basis of the topographic map with the scale of 1:10,000). A model representing slope exposition values was derived from this DTM with the slope method by Horn (1981).

### Modeling

Two modeling approaches were juxtaposed. The first approach used results from decision tree analysis without any fuzzification process, and the second one combined decision trees and fuzzy logic. Decision trees were built using the statistical software R (R Core Team, 2015) and the R package rpart (Therneau et al., 2015). The routine can be found in the online appendix (file DecTreeAnalysis.R together with the data file hf_data.txt).

Bell-shaped fuzzy membership functions describing the occurrence of OH horizons in dependence on the elevation and slope exposition were formulated according to Equation 3. As the effects of two influencing variables (elevation and slope exposition) were examined, a two-step fuzzification procedure needed to be applied. With the first step, fuzzy membership functions were used to build submodels. These submodels correspond to the subtrees originating from the secondary split in the tree, thus they depend on the less influencing variable. The fuzzy membership functions were fitted by using values of the influencing variable

---

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Elevation (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Soil cover types</th>
<th>Dominating humus form according to German classification (Ad-hoc-AG Boden, 2005)</th>
<th>Classification from Switzerland (Gobat et al., 2014)</th>
<th>Typical humus profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>1180–1195</td>
<td>moss (90 %), fern (10 %)</td>
<td>Mullartiger Moder</td>
<td>Hémimoder/Eumoder/Dysmoder</td>
<td>OL-OF-(OH-)AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>1395–1410</td>
<td>moss (100 %)</td>
<td>Typischer Moder</td>
<td>Dysmoder</td>
<td>OL-OF-OH-AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>1595–1605</td>
<td>grass (80 %), moss (20 %)</td>
<td>Typischer Moder</td>
<td>Dysmoder</td>
<td>OL-OF-OH-E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>1200–1220</td>
<td>litter (90 %), grass (10 %)</td>
<td>Mullartiger Moder</td>
<td>Eumésoamphi</td>
<td>OL-OF-(OH-)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>1380–1395</td>
<td>grass (100 %)</td>
<td>Mullartiger Moder</td>
<td>Hémimoder</td>
<td>OL-OF-(OH-)AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>1650–1660</td>
<td>litter (80 %), grass (20 %)</td>
<td>Mullartiger Moder/Typischer Moderator</td>
<td>Hémimoder</td>
<td>OL-OF-(OH-)AE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

Data basis for modeling. Values of topography and humus forms have been aggregated from all sampling plots per investigation site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Number of sampling plots</th>
<th>Elevation (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Slope exposition</th>
<th>Percentage of humus forms showing an OH horizon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>north (360°N)</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>north (360°N)</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>north (360°N)</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>south (180°N)</td>
<td>6.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>south (180°N)</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>south (180°N)</td>
<td>53.33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1From DTM, aggregated by plot elevations.
together with the related percentages of a humus form with an OH horizon. With the second step, the submodels were combined by means of weighting functions that thereby realize fuzzification of the primary influencing variable. The resulting fuzzy membership functions were realized with the ArcGIS extension tool ArcSIE (Shi, 2013). This tool processed them to build maps that spatially predict the occurrence of OH horizons.

Modeling was conducted for the central part of Val di Rabbi. According to our field experience, the prediction area was selected to include the coniferous forest zone at the valley sides between 1100 m and 1800 m a.s.l.

The results from modeling were assessed with the mean error (Equation 4) and the root mean squared error (RMSE) (Equation 5) of the predictions at the validation sites:

\[
ME = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} | y_i - \hat{y}_i |
\]

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}
\]

The number of samples for validation is \(n\), \(y_i\) are the observed values at the validation sites, and \(\hat{y}_i\) are the related values predicted by the model.

**Results**

The sample data on the spatial distribution of humus forms showing an OH horizon and the related data on elevation and slope exposition was used for the construction of a decision tree. This yielded a tree with a primary partition induced by the factor elevation at 1300 m a.s.l. (Fig. 3). The left subtree consisted of a single node, representing the relatively similar percentages of humus forms with an OH horizon at north-exposed and south-exposed slopes below 1300 m. The right subtree applied to elevations from 1300 m upwards and included another partition to distinguish between northern and southern slope expositions.

The nodes of the decision tree were obtained by recursive partitioning of the sample set. Each of the three leaf nodes held a subset with a prediction about the occurrence of OH horizons for the study area, which was specific to the related elevation and exposition range (Fig. 3):

- below 1300 m: 10.83% of the area exhibited a humus form with an OH horizon (based on 12 samples)
- at south-exposed slopes from 1300 m upwards: 51.67% of the area exhibited a humus form with an OH horizon (based on 9 samples)
- at north-exposed slopes from 1300 m upwards: 63.33% of the area exhibited a humus form with an OH horizon (based on 9 samples)

The first model variant simply used this separation and mapped the values from the leaf nodes to the related elevation and exposition ranges inside the study area. This caused a steplike behavior of the distribution function (Fig. 4).

The second model variant also originated from the values of the leaf nodes, but includes a fuzzification at the transitions between different elevation and exposition ranges. As there are two variables...
influencing the occurrence of OH horizons, two steps of fuzzification need to be realized. With the first step, the two subtrees at tree level 2 were fuzzified, both being independent on elevation (Fig. 3). For elevations below 1300 m a.s.l. (left subtree) the constant value 10.83% was used for all slope exposures (constant function $s_{ij,k,a} = 0.1083$). At higher elevations (right subtree) fuzzy membership functions were constructed, which covered the pairs $(z_{ij,a}, s_{ij,k,a})$ referring to Equation 3 ($z_{ij,a}$ = slope exposition in °N, $s_{ij,k,a}$ = percentage of humus forms showing an OH horizon): (0, 0.6333), (180, 0.5167), (360, 0.6333). Figure 5 illustrates possible functions for different parameters $r_1 / r_2$ (assuming $r_1 = r_2$). In this case the function with $r_1 = r_2 = 2$ was chosen for further modeling, as a function with a median trend in the increasing occurrence of OH horizons from south to north exposition (Equation 6).

\[
\begin{align*}
  s_{ij,k,a} &= 1 - 0.4833 \exp\left\{\left[\frac{(z_{ij,a} - 180)}{285}\right]^2 \ln[0.5]\right\} & \text{if } z_{ij,a} < 180, \\
  s_{ij,k,a} &= 0.5167 \quad & \text{if } z_{ij,a} = 180, \\
  s_{ij,k,a} &= 1 - 0.4833 \exp\left\{\left[\frac{(z_{ij,a} - 180)}{285}\right]^2 \ln[0.5]\right\} & \text{if } z_{ij,a} > 180
\end{align*}
\]

Under the assumption $r_1 = r_2$ both of the functions for western and eastern slope exposures behaved equally ($v_1 = v_2$ and $w_1 = w_2$), so the system of equations Equation 6 reduced to a single equation (Equation 7).

\[
\begin{align*}
  s_{ij,k,a} &= 1 - 0.4833 \exp\left\{\left[\frac{(z_{ij,a} - 180)}{285}\right]^2 \ln[0.5]\right\}
\end{align*}
\]

The submodels for the two elevation ranges (1100–1300 m and 1300–1800 m) represent the (left and right) subtrees of the root node (Fig. 3), which both underwent fuzzification of the slope exposition. As a second fuzzification step the parti-
tion of the root node (where both submodels are connected) needs to be addressed. Fuzzification of the elevation was performed in the range between the examined investigation sites N1/S6 and N2/S7 (1200–1400 m a.s.l.), where predictions tend to be uncertain due to the lack of sampling data. It was accomplished by building functions associating the elevation with a weight between 0 and 1 (Fig. 6). These weighting functions were applied at the fuzzy membership functions of the submodels from the first fuzzification step. As a consequence of fuzzification, the distribution function of the second model showed a continuously increasing behavior without consecutive steps at the thresholds that stem from the decision tree (Fig. 4).

Prediction maps indicating the percentage of humus forms that show an OH horizon in the area selected for modeling were constructed for both model variants using ArcMap 10 and the extension ArcSIE (Fig. 7). According to the values of the fuzzy membership functions, the values of the prediction maps ranged from 10.8% to 63.3%. The lowest values were predicted for low elevation areas between 1100 m and 1200 m. At elevations from 1400 m upwards, where only the fuzzy membership functions for higher elevations was used (in consequence of the second fuzzification step, Fig. 6), there were significantly larger percentages of a humus form with an OH horizon (between 51.67% and 63.33%), with higher prediction values at north-exposed slopes.

Model validation shows notable deviations for both models, which are highest at site VS1 (observed value 0.0%, predicted value 63.3% when using the decision tree model and 46.0% when using the fuzzified decision tree model). The deviations for the sites VN1 and VN2 are moderate to high, the observed value for site VS2 corresponds best with the values predicted by the models (Table 3). When including fuzzification, validation results in a mean error of 30.0% and an RMSE (root mean squared error) of 34.3%. When predicting values only based on the decision tree, the mean error is 36.9% and the RMSE is 43.3%.

FIGURE 5. Fuzzy membership functions for the distribution model of OH horizons above 1300 m a.s.l., derived from the right subtree in Figure 3. The solid line represents the function with \( r_1 = r_2 = 2 \), the dashed lines have the parameters \( r_1 = r_2 = 1 \) (short dashing) and \( r_1 = r_2 = 3 \) (long dashing).

FIGURE 6. Weights for the synthesis of the elevation models. Dashed line: weights for the elevation model below 1300 m a.s.l.; solid line: weights for the elevation model from 1300 m a.s.l. upward. Parameter values of the model for lower elevations (dashed line): \( v_2 = 1200, w_2 = 100, r_2 = 3 \). Parameter values of the model for higher elevations (solid line): \( v_1 = 1400, w_1 = 100, r_1 = 3 \).
FIGURE 7. Comparison of the predicted spatial distribution of humus forms showing an OH horizon (a) using decision tree analysis without fuzzification procedure, and (b) combining decision tree analysis and fuzzy membership functions. In contrast to the first model (a), which only predicts three different percentage values, the second model (b) incorporates the gradual transitions in humus forms along different elevations and slope expositions. The modeled area includes areas with coniferous forest between 1100 m and 1800 m a.s.l. in the central part of Val di Rabbi (Trentino, Italy).
**Discussion**

**Results from the Case Study**

The case study showed predictions for the occurrence of OH horizons that vary in accordance with the modeling approach. The model that includes a fuzzification procedure fitted the real situation potentially better than the one without this procedure, as there were no abrupt changes in the projected values due to any threshold values from the decision tree, which cannot be justified based on the low data amount. Nevertheless, the structure of the decision tree was also reflected in the predictive map of the fuzzy logic model (Fig. 7).

Regarding the occurrence of OH horizons, modeling revealed differences primarily with a changing elevation. At higher elevations within the forest, north–exposed slopes were predicted to exhibit slightly larger percentages of a humus form with an OH horizon than south-exposed slopes. The validation showed that deviations of field observations from the model results were likely to occur in some places. Nevertheless, the predicted trends of a higher occurrence of OH horizons with an increasing elevation and also at northern slope expositions were confirmed. The deviations at the validation sites suggested that further thresholds might exist depending on the elevation, which were still not well explained by the model.

This predicted distribution depending on the elevation and slope exposition is in line with the findings of other investigations of forest ecosystems, for example higher accumulation of organic matter at north-facing compared to south-facing slopes (e.g., Aberegg et al., 2009; Ascher et al., 2012; Bernier, 1996; Egli et al., 2009, 2010a, 2010b). The correlation of humus forms and the relief parameters elevation and slope exposition emphasizes the influence of environmental factors such as solar radiation, temperature and vegetation on decomposition processes also in the study area. The effects of other covariates on decomposition such as parent material were not examined in the context of this study, thus modeling results are potentially subject to uncertainties at sites where environmental conditions deviate from the investigation sites (e.g., on mica schists). With a higher number of investigation sites the results could possibly be improved by integrating further potentially influencing variables.

**Application of Decision Trees and Fuzzy Logic**

Modeling is exerted with a knowledge-based approach, built on the use of decision tree analysis and the concept of fuzzy logic. Decision trees have shown to be well suited for revealing relationships between sample data and environmental factors (Aberegg et al., 2009; De’ath and Fabricius, 2000; Gerlitz, 2015). In the case of a small sample size, decision tree analysis has to be frequently performed without any pruning procedure, since this would eliminate almost every partition of the sample set (for details on the pruning procedure, see Breiman et al., 1984). For that reason the number of sample elements is usually low especially in the leaf nodes, thus the direct use of maximum decision trees, which are not pruned, for prediction is critical. However, even these maximum trees are not generally overfitted, as long as the distinct places of the sampling plots have been determined directly in the field using the knowledge and experience of experts in the sector of decomposition.

The application of fuzzy logic helps to manage the limited predictive capability of the trees, as the divisions of the sample set and the related values of the covariates are fuzzified and do not act as strict thresholds. The use of a nonautomated fuzzification procedure also allows the integration of expert knowledge for the definition of fuzzy membership functions (e.g., when choosing free parameters; see Fig. 5). Because of the relatively low number of samples and the ensuing use of the results from a nonpruned tree, an automated fuzzification procedure does not seem to be appropriate (Gerlitz, 2015; Suárez and Lutsko, 1999).

With the help of fuzzy membership functions, the similarity of the environmental characteristics at a site in comparison to those at sites typical for one specific predicted value (e.g., OH horizon present) can be modeled in the form of a membership (de Menezes et al., 2013; Zhu, 1997). The usage of fuzzy membership values enables also the consideration of a high spatial heterogeneity. This allows, for example, for involving the small-scale variability, which has been shown to be an important characteristic of decomposition processes and properties in the Alps (Bednorz et al., 2000). Consequently, the predicted percentage values, arising from the fuzzy membership values, provide information about the
local variability of humus forms, although it is not possible to get spatially differentiated predictions at a resolution higher than 10 × 10 m².

The bell-shape functions used to model the dependency of indicators of decomposition on environmental factors show a high flexibility and can even manage factors that take values in a cyclic range (such as slope exposition). Nevertheless, the application of a bell-shape function to map the relationship between the slope exposition and indicators of decomposition seems to be disadvantageous, as it shows different behavior for sites north exposed (near 0°N and 360°N) and south exposed (around 180°N) (see Fig. 5). A potentially more reasonable way for this would be the implementation of a trigonometrical function.

Uncertainties and Validity of Models

For the application of environmental models it is essential to treat uncertainties, with respect to the acquisition of data on the one hand and to the modeling process on the other hand (Brown, 2010; Keenan et al., 2011). Within the modeled area of the case study, the results are subject to different magnitudes of uncertainty, depending on the similarity of the elevation and slope exposition values to those of the investigation sites. Accordingly, the highest uncertainties of the model results are located at eastern and western expositions and in elevation ranges midway between the investigation sites (e.g., 1300 m a.s.l., between 1200 m and 1400 m). This kind of uncertainty corresponds with the level of accordance of the fuzzy membership functions with the actual relationships in the modeled ecosystem.

Other sources of potential uncertainties of the results are deviations of the elevation values in the DTM from the real values, errors due to the calculation procedure of exposition values and inaccuracies due to the discrete representation of the landscape in the form of a raster (Bocedi et al., 2012; Fisher and Tate, 2006; Wechsler, 2007). In the context of topographical and hydrological analyses, small errors in a DTM can result in major deviations when deriving relief parameters (Holmes et al., 2000; Zhou and Liu, 2004).

The validity of the results from the case study is constrained to the modeled forested areas in the range from 1100 m to 1800 m a.s.l. An explicit validation procedure is essential when having the intention to apply concrete predictions on indicators of decomposition (e.g., in the context of ecosystem management). This validation procedure also needs to consider the effect of additional environmental covariates that might be relevant for decomposition processes at places inside the area selected for prediction showing varying site conditions compared to the sampling locations. When planning to transfer the modeled effects of elevation and slope exposition on decomposition to woodland areas or other regions that exhibit different environmental conditions, a particular assessment of the transferability of the model is required (Wenger and Olden, 2012).

Conclusions

Two modeling approaches for upscaling of sample data on indicators of decomposition from the local scale to the landscape scale have been juxtaposed. This study focused on an area characterized by a highly heterogeneous relief and data from a relatively small number of samples, which have been surveyed in places specified with expert knowledge. Combining decision tree analysis and the use of fuzzy membership functions has shown to serve as a suitable approach. Building decision trees helped to generate information about the influences of the environmental factors elevation and slope exposition. However, direct upscaling of information about decomposition processes from the results of decision tree analysis yielded partially unrealistic predictions that were manifested in abrupt transitions between areas differentiated by the tree.

Continuous and more realistic transitions can be achieved by further processing the results from decision tree analysis through an additional step that comprises the construction of bell-shape fuzzy membership functions. For the parameter slope exposition a future improvement of the mapping could be to leave the functionalities of ArcSIE and use another function type (e.g., trigonometric function). As the modeling approach is based on fuzzy logic, it accounts for small-scale variations in decomposition processes as well as for uncertainties caused by the inference from a few investigation sites to a large study area.

Spatial modeling utilizing the technique presented in this paper is considered to be a useful tool
to obtain a detailed insight into decomposition processes in a high mountain environment. Implementing such a model should include a validation procedure and an analysis of uncertainty. Apart from the humus form, this approach could be used to examine a variety of other related parameters on a landscape scale, such as the pH value and the composition of the decomposer community.
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