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Pastures in the Kyrgyz Tien Shan and the Tajik Pamir

Of the roughly 20 million ha of Kyrgyz territory, more than 9 million are pastures, but only 1.4 million can be used for crop production because of topographic and climatic limitations. Arable land is restricted to valley floors, where crops are irrigated because of aridity. In Tajikistan the situation is similar, with almost 80% of the agricultural land consisting of pastures. Of the 63,700 km² of Gorno Badakshan Autonomous Oblast (GBAO) in the Pamir Mountains, only 13,000 ha are arable land. Summer pastures at altitudes between 3500 and 4700 m are an important natural resource, especially in Murghab District in the eastern part of GBAO (Figure 1).

The livestock sector—the most important branch of agriculture in the mountainous areas of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan—was dramatically affected by eco-
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In Kyrgyzstan, the sheep population decreased from 13 to 4 million animals, and in Murghab District alone the number of sheep decreased from 69,300 in 1990 to 31,200 in 1999.

Promoting sustainable mountain development

Against a background of economic transformation and decline coupled with degradation of natural resources, the Centre for Development and Environment (CDE) at the University of Berne, Switzerland, initiated the Central Asia Mountain Programme (CAMP) in 2000 with financial support from the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC). CAMP’s mission is to promote the sustainable use of renewable natural resources in Central Asian mountain regions, with the overall aim of improving living conditions for the poor majority among the mountain population by enhancing economic development, social welfare, and ecological sustainability.

In 2001 CAMP launched a Pasture Management Study, the aim of which is to create shared knowledge about people and natural resources in selected communities in Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan, with a focus on household strategies and pasture management options that also create economic opportunities. An intercultural and interdisciplinary team of Kyrgyz, Tajik, Kazakh, and Swiss researchers conducted fieldwork in 2 study areas in Kyrgyzstan: Orgochor, in Issyk Kul Oblast, and Tolok, in Naryn Oblast (Figure 2). Both villages are pilot areas for the World Bank-funded Sheep Development Project (SDP), which carries out activities concerned with pasture management and land privatization. The third study area in Kuna Kurgan, Murghab District, Tajikistan, was selected as an example of a marginal area in the eastern high Pamir. During almost 3 months of fieldwork, study teams applied a transdisciplinary methodology known as Sustainable Development Appraisal (SDA) and developed at CDE. SDA is used in participatory assessment of baseline data relevant to development and evaluation of the local setting in relation to sustainable resource management and sustainable development from the viewpoint of different actors (see Box).

Recent development trends in the agricultural sector in Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan

Under the centralized Soviet system, specialized sovkhozes and kolkhozes were responsible for either crop or livestock production. When these state farms were dissolved and economic support for marginal mountain areas ceased after 1991, people reverted to subsistence agriculture. Households today are engaged in crop production and livestock rearing, mainly to meet subsistence needs. Many households were forced to take up employment in the agricultural sector, although many of the people who did this used to be specialized employees during the Soviet era, and lack comprehensive agricultural knowledge.

When the state farms were dissolved, assets were usually distributed among the inhabitants of the area. Households received small areas of cropland and some animals, depending on the number of family members. Few households were able to maintain their herd size or increase the number of animals during...
their first years as independent farmers. On the contrary, it was observed that households had to sell assets to be able to purchase other vital goods.

**Pasture use today**

Different types of pastureland exist in Kyrgyzstan. First, there are pastures close to villages, managed directly by the community. Second, vast but underused areas of remote mountain pastures in the Tien Shan are still under the administration of the Oblast. And third, intermediate pastures, usually located in the footzones of mountain ranges, are defined as intensive pastures and are currently leased out to individual households in pilot areas for periods ranging between 1 and 99 years. The size of the pasture to be leased to households depends on the number of animals, on the ecological characteristics of the pasture area (e.g., fodder production, ecological carrying capacity, and water availability), and on accessibility.

As a consequence of the individualization of agricultural production, combined with decreasing support, farmers are more dependent on natural resources close by. Those households not in a position to lease pasture areas keep their animals on pastures near villages. Because the number of animals on these pastures is often very high, vegetation and soil degradation are widespread. Remote pastures, on the other hand, are currently underused because individual herds are too small and it is not profitable for a household to use such pastures. Moreover, accessibility is severely constrained by deteriorating road infrastructure—which results in exorbitantly high transport costs—and social services in these remote pasture areas are no longer available.

In Tajikistan, state farm assets, mainly sheep and yak, were distributed to individual households after 1999. However, land still belongs to the state and no formal system of land leasing exists. Households move with their animals from winter to summer pastures. Summer pastures are located farther away from the villages in high mountain valleys, whereas winter pastures are near to settlement areas. Patterns of mobility differ according to the socioeconomic situation of households. Usually, the larger the herd and the richer the household, the greater the distance between winter quarters and summer pastures. Because many households cannot afford transport costs to move with their herds to summer pastures, animals frequently graze in the proximity of villages year round.

---

**Major elements and steps in a Sustainable Development Appraisal (SDA).** *(Source: Hurni and Ludi 2000, p 21)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preparation</td>
<td>Background and initial steps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component I</td>
<td>Participatory assessment and appraisal of current situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element 1: Characterization of spatial units</td>
<td>Element 2: Characterization of actor categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element 3: Appraisal of interactions</td>
<td>Element 4: Assessment of biophysical dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element 5: Assessment of social, economic and cultural dynamics</td>
<td>Element 6: Appraisal of change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component II</td>
<td>Participatory assessment and appraisal of dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element 7: Assessment of development visions</td>
<td>Element 8: Assessment of needs, options and constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element 9: Appraisal of development options</td>
<td>Element 10: Compilation of Local Development Profiles (LDPs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component III</td>
<td>Participatory assessment and appraisal of development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element 11: Compilation of a Regional Development Profile (RDP)</td>
<td>Element 12: Synthesis and recommendations for sustainable development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component IV</td>
<td>Preparation of development profiles and synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration</td>
<td>Initiation of multistakeholder negotiations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendations for sustainable use of pasture areas

Pastures are a major natural resource in Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan and are of great importance to the local as well as to the national economy. Neither past Soviet management practices nor current practices are sustainable (Figure 3). A multitude of activities in various sectors are necessary to promote sustainable use of pasture areas.

Household level

As households are engaged in different economic activities, a holistic development approach is necessary. Appropriate pasture management systems must take into account the diversification and risk minimization strategies of households, provide positive synergies between the different fields of household activities, and make use of available assets, including human resources, at the household level.

Although diversification of household income strategies has increased in recent years, most households still depend on a few income sources linked to agriculture. Households should be supported in further diversifying their activities, on the basis of a prior assessment of household needs, options and constraints, and site-specific economic and ecological circumstances. Multiple strategies for use of pastures should also be promoted. Currently, pastures are mainly used for grazing different types of animals. Producing high-value animal products such as dairy or meat products, or processing wool and leather locally, offer good economic opportunities as CAMP’s activities in this field have already shown. Other resources available on pastures, such as spices, herbs, berries, and mushrooms, are only rarely used and even less frequently sold in the market. Households must also be supported in using pastures for other purposes, such as hosting tourists and providing them with additional services, for example horseback riding, trekking, fishing, and hunting.

Community and national levels

In a pilot activity, CAMP implemented multistakeholder negotiations with the aim of empowering local populations, developing sustainable livelihood strategies, and furthering sustainable resource management and sustainable development. Such community planning activities should be carried out in all communities.

In many areas of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan, livestock production is likely to remain the backbone of the rural economy. Improvements in livestock production are thus of paramount importance. Breeding strategies must be adapted to the environment and the socioeconomic situation of households. Blanket recommendations will not produce the desired outcome. This poses great challenges to research and extension services because they must collaborate with land users in various ecological and socioeconomic settings and address their very specific needs, options, and constraints.
cultural centers with modest infrastructural road network, a fleet of trucks, provision of credit, establishment and support of an agricultural input and output marketing system, and establishment of agricultural extension systems. Niche production, including both livestock and crops, should be promoted to make the best use of the diverse ecological conditions in these mountainous areas and the specific situation of households (Figure 4).

Adaptation of government structures is expected to contribute to the development of marginal areas as well. A federal system based on the principle of subsidiarity could strengthen the decision-making power of people living in marginalized areas. Revising legislation designed to improve the investment climate, especially in mountainous areas, is necessary to take advantage of economic opportunities.

Regional and international levels

On the basis of a few commodities, Central Asian countries developed highly specialized economies during the Soviet era and were supported by the central government in exchange. Current national strategies in small countries such as Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan that aim at the highest possible level of political and economic independence are understandable in terms of reducing risk. However, the current population of these 2 countries is too small to absorb the potential production of the livestock sector. On the other hand, many goods are needed that are not available or cannot be produced in these countries. Much stronger integration is needed through charters, conventions, and integrated policies to foster international political and economic cooperation between the countries of Central Asia.
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