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Testing the making of feed blocks

Use of fresh mulberry fruit

Six different combinations of ingredients were tested to make blocks of desired hardness. The blocks were prepared manually with the cold method. Fresh mulberry containing more than 85% water was first crushed to a paste. Urea was added slowly and thoroughly dissolved in the paste. The water content of the mulberry was enough to dissolve the urea with no additional water required. The other ingredients were added and thoroughly mixed. Finally, after the addition of wheat bran or dried lucerne leaves, the mixture turned into dough and was transferred to a wooden mold (6 x 6 x 4 inches). After
pressing, the mold was removed and the block was left in the shade and allowed to dry and harden over the next 48 hours. As shown in Table 1, all the blocks, except blocks 1 and 4, turned hard almost to the same degree. Block 1, with no cement or clay, never solidified and remained very soft at all times. The mixture of block 4, containing a maximum quantity of lucerne leaves (25%) in place of wheat bran, was dry with no cohesion and could not be molded to a definite shape. Finally, the composition of blocks 3, 5 and 6 was considered suitable for on-farm adaptation. Substitution of clay for cement in block 6 was effective and reduced the cost of the block.

**Use of dried mulberry fruit**

Dried mulberry fruit was suspended in an equivalent quantity of water (W/W) and boiled for about 10 minutes with continuous stirring, which turned the mixture into a viscous liquid almost similar to molasses in consistency. After the mixture cooled to about 60°C, urea was added and dissolved. The remaining ingredients were added in the order listed in Table 2. The blocks quickly settled and achieved the desired hardness in 24 hours.

**On-farm demonstration**

The technique of making the blocks according to the recipe using fresh or dried mulberry fruit was demonstrated in 3 community organizations, each comprising an average of 15 member farmers, including women farmers. The benefits of the block strategy and the necessary precautions in making the blocks were first explained in detail. At each location, an educated person designated as a master trainer was present to help the farmers continue the activity. Household utensils were used to mix and mold small quantities of the block ingredients. In the case of large quantities, the ingredients were mixed on a concrete floor using a shovel.

All the farmers took a keen interest in making the blocks. The blocks were checked for hardness after 2 days and found satisfactory. The farmers were then asked to start offering the blocks to their animals and continue preparing the next batch of blocks under the supervision of the master trainer. Each farmer thus prepared 4 to 6 blocks, and the technique was also extended to neighboring farmers. The farmers suggested the blocks should be named “kitori blocks.” The word *kitori* in the local Chitrali language means mulberry fruit.

### TABLE 1 Composition (in percent) of mulberry-based multinutrient blocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Block 1</th>
<th>Block 2</th>
<th>Block 3</th>
<th>Block 4</th>
<th>Block 5</th>
<th>Block 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh mulberry fruit</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime powder</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral mixture</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat bran</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried lucerne leaves</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness after 24 hours</td>
<td>Soft</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness after 48 hours</td>
<td>Soft</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Monitoring of animals’ responses

Initially, the farmers were advised to offer the blocks to milking cows or goats only, and record changes in daily milk production. Necessary precautions to ensure licking rather than chewing of the blocks by animals were explained, and farmers were told to monitor the animals’ eating habits. The master trainers were also asked to visit the farmers twice a week and record their observations.

All farmers in the 3 community organizations regularly reported that the animals readily accepted the blocks and started licking from day 1 (Figure 2), with the exception of a few animals (5%) that took 3 to 4 days to get used to licking the blocks. Daily consumption ranged from 150 to 300 g for cows and 50 to 100 g for goats. These rates were in line with expectations, according to which a block weighing 3 kg would last about 10 days for a cow and one month for a goat. Small cows of the local hill breed, weighing 140 to 180 kg, were expected to consume a maximum of 300 g per head per day. Most of the animals licked the blocks and only 4 farmers reported chewing, which was discouraged by placing the block in a wooden frame. Two farmers cut the blocks in small pieces and fed small quantities to cows daily at milking time.

All farmers consistently reported that daily milk production by animals consuming the blocks increased by 30 to 50%, with visible improvement in their health and consumption capacity.

An income-generating activity

The average on-farm production cost of mulberry blocks was calculated as PKR (Pakistan Rupees) 4.60 per kg or PKR 13.80 per block (3 kg). This is far below the prevailing market price of PKR 8–12 per 1 kg of conventional concentrates such as oil cakes and wheat bran in Chitral. A cow consuming one 300 g block per day would thus cost PKR 1.40 per day. In turn, for a value of PKR 6 milk production would be increased by a minimum of 0.5 l per day per cow. This ratio of input to output provides a good margin of profit. In addition, some invisible benefits accrue to animals with block feeding. These include improved body condition, better fertility, and low incidence of disease.

It is estimated that a farmer can earn about PKR 1000–1500 (approximately US$ 17–26) per month during the mulberry season from commercial preparation of mulberry blocks. This demonstrates the enormous potential of this technology as an income-generating microenterprise. Income-generating activity appears to be best suited for women in the area. In Chi-
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... and other hilly areas of Pakistan, women are almost entirely responsible for rearing livestock. In fact, the male farmers suggested that the women should be trained to make the feed blocks (Figure 3), both for their own animals and as an income-producing activity.

Implications

The successful use of mulberry fruit to make multinutrient blocks as a strategic supplement for ruminant livestock in the Chitral region suggests that the technology should be propagated on a large scale in the region and extended to other areas where mulberry trees are abundant. The Northern Areas in Pakistan and the Hindu Kush Himalaya have similar agroclimatic conditions and are appropriate for implementation of mulberry block technology. The technology is environmentally friendly and ensures efficient utilization of natural resources that otherwise go to waste. Apart from increasing farm income through enhanced animal productivity, the technology has considerable scope as an on-farm microenterprise for income generation.
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FIGURE 3 Family members participate in making mulberry-fruit blocks. (Photo by Ghulam Habib)