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**INTRODUCTION**

The cohort of atomic bomb (A-bomb) survivors in Hiroshima and Nagasaki provides a major source of information on health effects after exposure to ionizing radiation (1–3). Since the beginning of the Life Span Study (LSS) at the Atomic Bomb Casualty Commission (ABCC) and subsequently at the Radiation Effects Research Foundation (RERF), approximately 93,000 survivors exposed to various doses of radiation as well as 27,000 individuals who were not in Hiroshima or Nagasaki at the time of the bombings have been monitored continuously to document cancer mortality, cancer incidence and cause of death to assess the impacts of radiation on human health. To verify a correlation between any suspected health effect associated with radiation exposure, however, individual dose assessments for each of the survivors are essential. These efforts are currently conducted through the use of sophisticated computer calculations based on interview information regarding exposure conditions at the time of the bombings. However, dose estimates include various degrees of uncertainties because the radiation fields in Hiroshima and Nagasaki were complicated and characterized by two components—neutron and γ radiation. In addition, various parameters such as the degree of shielding and distance from the point of explosion make the quantification of individual doses a rather complicated issue.

In the past, several dosimetry systems have been developed to estimate individual doses as well as organ doses [T57D (4), T65D (5), DS86 (6) and DS02 (7)]. However, these calculations and their associated uncertainties need to be verified experimentally, and verification of neutron exposure becomes increasingly difficult over the years for several reasons, most notably due to the spontaneous decay of neutron-activated radionuclides.

To illustrate this decay problem, one project started a few days after the explosions and involved the collection of samples containing sulfur that contained short-lived 32P (T1/2 = 14.3 days) produced via the fast-neutron-induced reaction 32S(n,p)32P. This was used to reconstruct the fast-neutron fluence close to the hypocenter in
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Hiroshima (8–10). Over the following years, various other longer-lived reaction products such as $^{60}$Co, $^{152}$Eu and $^{38}$Cl with half-lives ranging from 5 years to 300,000 years were (and still are) being measured [see ref. (11) for a detailed list of references]. These products are almost exclusively the result of thermal-neutron exposure. Recently, efforts have been made to measure fast neutrons using the $^{63}$Cu(n,p)$^{64}$Ni reaction ($^{64}$Ni: $t_{1/2}$ = 101 years) (12–17) and the $^{39}$K(n,p)$^{40}$Ar reaction ($^{40}$Ar: $t_{1/2}$ = 269 years; see ref. (18)) at distances from the hypocenter where people could survive, and these results were in close agreement with calculated values in the investigated samples. In addition, new measurements of thermal-neutron-induced $^{64}$Cl also showed a reasonable agreement with the DS02 calculations (19–24), even at distances beyond 1,000 m from the hypocenter where previous studies found poor agreement with neutron transport calculations (25, 26).

All these studies were based on inorganic sample materials taken from locations such as buildings that survived the A-bomb blast and the fires that followed the bombing. Both directly exposed and shielded samples were used. Because of the limited number of suitable samples and the complexity of the applied detection methods, however, neutron fluences could not be reconstructed experimentally for the individual locations where the majority of the survivors in the epidemiological study cohort (LSS) were exposed. In addition, most of the neutron measurements were done on radionuclides produced by thermal neutrons, which provide only an indirect signature of the fast neutrons. Thus all efforts to date to reconstruct the neutron exposure of the survivors remain indirect and require extrapolation from experimentally reconstructed neutron fluences to the locations where people survived and to neutron energies and doses that were responsible for biological effects.

In the present work, a method is described that allows a more direct reconstruction of the survivors’ neutron exposure. Specifically, it is suggested that the long-lived radionuclide $^{41}$Ca ($t_{1/2}$ = 103,000 years) in the tooth enamel of the survivors is used for dosimetry purposes. This radionuclide was produced by thermal neutrons originating from the A-bomb radiation. In a selected number of tooth samples, individual $^{41}$Ca concentrations were measured by means of accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS), and these measurements are described in detail below. The results obtained for tooth enamel samples exposed to A-bomb neutrons are compared to samples that were not exposed to a significant dose of A-bomb neutrons. For the exposed samples, a significant inverse correlation was found between the distance from the hypocenter in Hiroshima and the amount of $^{41}$Ca measured. Such data provide a key quantity in neutron dosimetry, because these results do not depend on the accuracy of survivor recall, e.g. distance and shielding conditions.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Natural Production of $^{41}$Ca**

$^{41}$Ca is produced almost exclusively via the capture of neutrons by stable $^{40}$Ca, and these neutrons originate from the interaction of primary cosmic radiation with atmospheric components. Typically, $^{41}$Ca is produced almost exclusively via the capture of neutrons by stable $^{40}$Ca, and these neutrons originate from the interaction of primary cosmic radiation with atmospheric components. Typically, $^{41}$Ca is produced almost exclusively via the capture of neutrons by stable $^{40}$Ca, and these neutrons originate from the interaction of primary cosmic radiation with atmospheric components.

**Calcium in the Human Body**

Based on the reference man defined by the International Commission on Radiological Protection, calcium accounts for 1.6% for males and 1.4% for females, respectively, of the total body weight (31). In absolute terms, this corresponds to about 1,000 g of calcium, most of which is bound to the skeleton (99%). Specifically, 13 g of calcium is concentrated in human teeth, and the concentration is highest in the tooth enamel (36%). In addition, enamel shows the highest inorganic fraction within the body. Approximately 1 g of calcium is incorporated daily via ingestion, and about 30% is absorbed by the body. After dentition is finished, however, tooth enamel represents a nearly closed system, tooth calcium metabolism is strongly reduced, and exchanges with natural calcium are negligible [see e.g. $^{87}$Sr investigations in enamel in refs. (32, 33)].

**Tooth Enamel**

In the present study, 16 tooth samples from 13 survivors who were proximally exposed to A-bomb neutrons (<1,200 m from the hypocenter in Hiroshima, Based on DS02 Calculations 1 m above the Ground and without Intervening Shielding (5))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ground range (m)</th>
<th>800</th>
<th>900</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>1100</th>
<th>1200</th>
<th>1300</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{41}$Ca/Ca ratio (in $10^{-15}$)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hypocenter) were examined. From three of the survivors, two different teeth were investigated to test the variability of \(^{40}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) ratios in different teeth from the same donor. The results were compared to those obtained from six survivors in a control group (estimated total \(\gamma\)-ray plus neutron doses of < 5 mGy were calculated using the DS02). All tooth samples were extracted for medical reasons and were donated by the survivors.

The research plan for this study was approved by the Human Investigation Committee and the Ethics Committee of the Radiation Effects Research Foundation.

**AMS Sample Preparation**

The AMS technique used in the present study requires the use of negative ion sputter sources: Sample material, inserted into an ion source, is sputtered, and negatively charged ions are extracted and analyzed for their mass and energy. Therefore, the original sample material must be converted into a chemical form that readily forms negative ions.

Generally, isobars (i.e., isotopes having the same mass as the isotope of interest) represent a major contribution to background signals when mass spectrometry techniques are applied. In the case of \(^{40}\text{Ca}\), the interfering isobar is stable \(^{40}\text{K}\) having a mass difference from \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) of only 0.007\%, and such a small difference is difficult to resolve by mass spectrometry. Unfortunately, potassium forms negative ions more effectively than calcium, and the \(^{40}\text{K}\) signal would be far stronger than that of \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) if elemental calcium with some potassium impurities were used. Because of the very low isotope ratios expected to be present in the exposed samples (see Table 1), an effective isobar suppression method is essential for this type of study. Therefore, it was decided to convert the enamel material to calcium hydride (\(\text{CaH}_2\)) as described previously (34). From this material, high currents of negative \(\text{CaH}_2^-\) can be extracted from the ion source and the corresponding \(\text{KH}\) molecules do not form negative ions (35, 38). Despite the complicated procedures required for sample preparation, this method was adopted because of its effectiveness in suppressing the isobar signal already in the ion source.

The conversion of enamel into AMS samples was performed as described earlier (36). Briefly, enamel was mechanically separated from dentin and crushed into small particle sizes for ESR measurements (37). The samples were then dissolved in nitric acid. Under controlled pH conditions, calcium precipitated as \(\text{Ca-oxalate (CaC}_2\text{O}_4\text{)}\) in the presence of ammonia and oxalic acid. \(\text{CaC}_2\text{O}_4\) was ignited at 900°C and fully converted to oxides (\(\text{CaO}\)). After reduction to metallic calcium at a temperature of 1,400°C, the addition of hydrogen at a temperature of 630°C led to the production of calcium-hydride (\(\text{CaH}_2\)). The chemical procedures of reduction and hydrogenation used have been described (34, 38, 39). This procedure resulted in an overall efficiency of calcium recovery of about 90\%. Finally, \(\text{CaH}_2\) material was mixed with a silver powder for better thermal and electrical conductivity, and a few milligrams of this material was then pressed into copper sample holders. This last step was done in an argon atmosphere because \(\text{CaH}_2\) is strongly hygroscopic.

**AMS Measurements**

The \(^{40}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) isotopic ratios in 22 tooth enamel samples were measured at the AMS facility in Munich, Germany, with a few samples also measured at the VERA facility in Vienna, Austria. These systems allow detection of \(^{40}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) levels below \(1 \times 10^{-10}\). In the following, the measurement procedure is demonstrated by the Munich facility: Negative \(^{40}\text{CaH}_2^-\) ions are produced in a cesium sputter source and are pre-accelerated and pass through a low-energy mass spectrometer that is adjusted for a mass of 44. The ions are then further accelerated and injected into a tandem accelerator (typical tandem voltage: 12.7 MV). Any molecules that might contribute to molecular interference (with mass 44) are completely destroyed in the terminal stripper foil of the accelerator. The stripper is responsible for charge exchange and produces positively charged ions. After exiting the tandem accelerator, \(^{40}\text{Ca}^{18+}\) ions are selected by a 90\% analyzing magnet for further transport to the detector. The particles have an energy of about 140 MeV. Rejection of isotopic interference from \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) and \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) atoms was achieved with a Wien filter and a time-of-flight system. Further reductions of any isobaric and isotopic interference were achieved by means of a GAMS (gas-filled analyzing magnet system) detection system that consists of a gas-filled magnet (GFM) and a position sensitive multi-delta-E ionization chamber. In the GFM, the incoming ions undergo collisions with the gas molecules and as a result reach an equilibrium charge state that depends on the nuclear charge of the incoming ions. Due to the magnetic field, ions from different isobars follow different trajectories and can be separated in the position-sensitive ionization chamber. In the detector, besides the position, the total energy and five energy-loss signals from the incoming ions are also measured. This system is described in refs. (40) and (41), and its application for the detection of \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) is described in detail in ref. (36); the second AMS facility used, VERA, is based on a 3-MV tandem accelerator and is equipped with a dedicated ionization chamber with high energy resolution for \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) detection (42, 43). This setup provides isotopic and isobaric suppression also for medium-mass isotopes: For \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) detection, mainly due to use of \(^{40}\text{CaH}_2^-\) ions, a substantially reduced background of \(^{40}\text{K}\) is observed. Residual particles entering the detector are separated in energy due to their different energy loss in the detector entrance foil and the detector gas (AE/E detector). Energy loss and residual energy are measured via a segmented anode [for more details, see ref. (43)].

To illustrate the entire detection process, Fig. 1 shows typical spectra obtained from a standard with a known \(^{40}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) ratio of \(10^{-11}\) and from a sample with an isotope ratio of \(4 \times 10^{-11}\). Figure 1a depicts all the accumulated events during a measurement run. The area of expected \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) signals is indicated by the solid contour line. The dense area below the region of interest stems from \(^{40}\text{Ca}\)-generated events that are still able to find their way to the detector. Figure 1b shows the final events generating these data when combined with the information from all the other signals. The \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) events are completely rejected while most of the \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) events are still accepted. \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) or \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) currents are measured with Faraday cups positioned along the beamline. The ratio of the \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) count rate measured with the detector, and the stable calcium particle rates deduced from the current measurement gives the \(^{40}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) and \(^{40}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) isotope ratios.

To monitor particle transmission through the overall system, standards with known \(^{40}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) isotope ratios are measured at certain intervals (36). Typically, a transmission of several percent can be achieved; i.e., out of 100 \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) ions injected into the tandem accelerator, depending on the measurement setup used, between 3 to 20 \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) nuclei are finally detected. Losses are due to the transport of the ions from the entrance of the accelerator to the detector, the stripping yield to the positive charge state \(10^+\) when passing the terminal foil of the accelerator, and also software data reduction when analyzing the detector spectra. If normalized to the ion current after leaving the tandem accelerator, the corresponding detection efficiency was about 40\%.

The \(^{40}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) ratio in a sample of interest is calculated from the \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) events in the detector and the number of stable calcium ions injected into the system that were measured by means of a Faraday cup. If a sample was measured several times during independent runs, the final \(^{40}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) ratio can be obtained by calculating the weighted average of the individual results.

In addition, although it is rather unlikely in the experimental setups used, another ion may mimic a true \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) event in the detector, and the possibility that some contamination during the chemical preparation or present in the ion source could affect the low measured \(^{40}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) ratio cannot be excluded. To quantify or exclude such possible background contributions, blank or control samples were also
measured. Pure blank samples (commercially available CaH$_2$ with an unknown but low natural $^{41}$Ca/Ca ratio) as well as so-called chemical blanks were used. The latter had to undergo the same chemical preparation steps as the experimental samples and were also measured repeatedly to obtain information on possible machine or chemistry background events. Importantly, the tooth samples from the survivors in the control group were used to evaluate the natural background ratio. Table 2 summarizes parameters that are typical for the preparation of the enamel samples and the $^{41}$Ca AMS measurements.

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typical Parameters Used for the $^{41}$Ca AMS Measurements of the Tooth Samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Typical parameters in $^{41}$-AMS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mass of enamel sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mass of calcium in enamel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample mass per cathode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion currents (CaH$_2^-$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Munich</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge state after tandem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Transmission is defined as the fraction of particles, which is identified by the detector from the particles injected into the accelerator.

**Estimation of Uncertainty**

Uncertainties (in this paper, these are expressed at the 1σ level) are given in a summary table for the individual samples (Table 3) and include all sources. Because of the limited number of counts detected (between 17 and 64 counts of $^{41}$Ca per sample), the uncertainty due to Poisson counting statistics was between 13% and 24%. Measurement of the transmission through the system (which was performed by using standard samples) represented an additional source of uncertainty. While the uncertainty from Poisson statistics for these measurements was usually negligible, uncertainties from fluctuations...
in the particle current due to instabilities in the machine as well as long-term drifts in the overall transmission current contributed more significantly. This uncertainty is considered random and was typically 4–6%. Besides random errors, systematic uncertainties were also considered. For example, for the determination of the absolute isotope ratio of the unknown samples, the uncertainty of the \(^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) ratio of the standard sample material has to be taken into account, and this was estimated to be 10%. In addition, the uncertainty of the background ratio \((0.5 \times 10^{-15})\) contributes a systematic uncertainty to the net absolute value of the unknown ratios.

Table 3 summarizes these contributions. Because they are considered uncorrelated, the total uncertainty given in Table 5 for the exposed samples was calculated by applying the Gaussian law of error propagation. Although considerable efforts were made to quantify the background, the uncertainty contribution still dominates the uncertainty of the lower measured \(^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) ratios. For samples with higher measured \(^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}\) ratios, both systematic and random contributions are similar.

### Table 3: Sources of Uncertainties Considered to Contribute to the Total Uncertainty of the Final Isotope Ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uncertainty contribution</th>
<th>Type of error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poisson statistics</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle current</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproducibility of standards</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute value of standards</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty of background ratio</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total uncertainty for exposed samples</td>
<td>20–49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** R indicates random types of uncertainty contributions, and S indicates systematic types of uncertainty contribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number (ID)</th>
<th>Counts (\text{T}_m) (h)</th>
<th>(^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}) ratio (in (10^{-15}))</th>
<th>+(\sigma)</th>
<th>−(\sigma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (R408)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (R196)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (R556)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (R621)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (R556-2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (R577)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All controls</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Listed are the total \(^{41}\text{Ca}\) counts detected, total measuring time \(\text{T}_m\), and the measured isotope ratios. Given errors include only uncertainties due to Poisson statistics (44).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Control Samples**

Five tooth samples from six survivors in the control group in Hiroshima were measured repeatedly. In all cases, the number of \(^{41}\text{Ca}\) events detected was low, and the total number of counts per sample was between 0 and 10 counts (Table 4).

Figure 2 shows the individual ratios obtained from the AMS measurements. Due to the low number of counts obtained from these samples, the error bars are dominated by the uncertainty due to Poisson counting statistics. Also shown are the weighted mean back-

### Table 5: Summary of the Exposed Tooth Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID(^a)</th>
<th>Tooth position(^b)</th>
<th>Gamma-ray dose in tooth(^c)</th>
<th>Ground range (m)(^d)</th>
<th>Counts (^{41}\text{Ca})</th>
<th>(\text{T}_m) (h)</th>
<th>Measured value</th>
<th>Background corrected data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F04</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.1 Gy</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
<td>19.5 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H43</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.5 Gy</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15 ± 1</td>
<td>13.5 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3 Gy</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19 ± 4</td>
<td>16.9 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G91</td>
<td>7(RU)(^e)</td>
<td>3.1 Gy</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13 ± 2</td>
<td>11.3 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G65</td>
<td>6(LU)(^e)</td>
<td>2.7 Gy</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>9.1 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A72</td>
<td>2(LU)(^e)</td>
<td>3.6 Gy</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20 ± 3</td>
<td>18.2 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A34</td>
<td>3(RU)(^e)</td>
<td>3.9 Gy</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20 ± 3</td>
<td>18.5 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M93</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.2 Gy</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>7.2 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K67</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.2 Gy</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.7 Gy</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10 ± 3</td>
<td>8.6 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.8 Gy</td>
<td>1108</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B51</td>
<td>4(RU)(^e)</td>
<td>2.4 Gy(^f)</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B53</td>
<td>4(LL)(^e)</td>
<td>2.4 Gy(^f)</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>7.5 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L80</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>1.7 Gy</td>
<td>1116</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>7.6 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C47</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.8 Gy</td>
<td>1124</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8 ± 2</td>
<td>6.0 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J66</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.2 Gy</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5 ± 2</td>
<td>2.8 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Uncertainties in background corrected data include all uncertainty contributions (see text). The isotope ratios are given in units of \(10^{-15}\).

\(^a\) The survivor IDs are the same as described in the previous report in which the ESR measurements of \(\gamma\)-ray doses were described (37).

\(^b\) 1 and 2 = incisor, 3 = canine, 4 = bicuspis, 6–8 = molar.

\(^c\) The doses were estimated by ESR from lingual part of the samples, otherwise noted.

\(^d\) LU = left upper jaw, RU = right upper jaw, LL = left lower jaw.

\(^e\) Molar dose from the same donor.

\(^f\) Ground ranges were individually deduced by means of a customized approach described in ref. (51).
ground ratio (solid horizontal line) and the 1σ-standard deviation of the mean (dashed lines).

Overall, for background control values, 20 counts were recorded in 59 h of measuring time. The weighted mean $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ ratio of the six individual results is $(1.72 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-15}$. The error is the standard deviation of the weighted mean. If it is assumed that all samples showed an identical isotope ratio, i.e., if the scattering of the data reflects only statistical measurement uncertainties, then the results summarized in Table 4 can be interpreted as being obtained from one single measurement with 20 counts. In this case a mean background value of $(2.0 \pm 0.5/0.4) \times 10^{-15}$ is obtained, which is consistent with the result of $(1.72 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-15}$ given above. The given individual uncertainty includes only statistical uncertainty and was calculated by applying the Poisson uncertainty determination (44). The comparison of external (standard deviation of the mean) and internal uncertainties (Poisson statistics) shows that at this level, no significant additional uncertainty contributions to the obtained background value were observed.

To summarize, the $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ isotope ratios obtained in these samples were consistently low and $\approx 1.7 \times 10^{-15}$ (Table 4). Because some background contributions from chemistry and measurement techniques cannot be excluded, this ratio represents an upper limit for the $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ ratio occurring naturally in the Hiroshima area. In the following sections, a background value of $(1.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-15}$ is used for the correction of the samples exposed to A-bomb neutrons.

**Exposed Samples**

Table 5 summarizes the $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ isotope ratios obtained from tooth samples from the survivors who were exposed to the bomb and stayed within 1,200 m (between 827 m and 1163 m) of the hypocenter. These results showed significantly higher ratios than those obtained from the control cases (Table 4). Table 5 also includes information on tooth position, distance from the hypocenter, number of $^{41}\text{Ca}$ events identified in the detector, and total time of measurement (in hours). The $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ ratio given for individual samples was calculated from results obtained during several runs using Eq. (21). Finally, for each tooth sample, the net result was obtained by subtracting the background value of $(1.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-15}$ (Table 4, last line).

**Advantage of AMS for Studies on Neutron Exposure from A-Bomb**

As mentioned earlier, $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ isotope ratios expected in samples exposed to A-bomb neutrons or to secondary neutrons from cosmic radiation are of the order of $10^{-12}$ to $10^{-14}$. Because about 50 mg of calcium was available on average for each tooth sample, a typical sample contains about $10^6$ to $10^7$ $^{41}\text{Ca}$ atoms. Given a half-life of 103,000 years (45, 46), this corresponds to radioactivities of about 0.1 to a few µBq, which is far below the detection limit of decay-counting techniques. Therefore, only atom-counting methods can be applied for this type of study, and it is for this reason that the AMS technique was used in the present study for the detection of $^{41}\text{Ca}$.

The application of the Munich MP tandem accelerator to detect $^{41}\text{Ca}$ produced by A-bomb neutrons has already been demonstrated in an earlier study [a general description of $^{41}\text{Ca}$-AMS is given elsewhere (28, 47, 48)]. In this study, a $^{41}\text{Ca}$ profile was measured in a granite gravestone exposed to neutrons from the bomb at close distances from the hypocenter in Hiroshima (49, 50). More recently, an improved AMS setup was installed featuring a GFM (40). This setup is characterized by a much lower $^{41}\text{Ca}$ detection limit and therefore allows $^{41}\text{Ca}$ measurements to be made in survivors who were exposed at longer distances from the hypocenter in Hiroshima where they could survive the explosion. In addition, recent improvements allow the detection of $^{41}\text{Ca}$ in environmental samples with $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ levels even below $10^{-15}$ (36, 43).

**Specific Characteristics of AMS Analysis of Tooth Enamel**

The overall detection efficiency at the AMS laboratories, which includes the machine transmission, the efficiency for producing negative ions in the ion source [=0.2% (28)], and the chemistry yield, is of the order of a few $\times 10^{-4}$. If a typical enamel sample is considered with a mass of 100 mg, i.e. 36 mg calcium, and a $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ isotope ratio of $5 \times 10^{-17}$, about $3 \times 10^4$ $^{41}\text{Ca}$ atoms would be present. The value of $1-3 \times 10^{-4}$ for the overall efficiency would then correspond to a total number of
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about 300 to 1000 $^{41}\text{Ca}$ atom counts, which in principle can be detected with AMS if all of the sample material is completely sputtered (this would correspond to a measuring period of about 25 h).

It should be noted that, due to the observed background, the applicability of the proposed method is limited to a ground range of less than about 1,200 m from the bomb hypocenter. For those who survived at greater distances, the $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ ratio induced by A-bomb neutrons is expected to be smaller than the background ratio measured (Table 1).

**Intertooth Variation in the Same Survivors**

To assess the reproducibility of the AMS measurements, intertooth variation of the induced $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ ratio was measured by examining two teeth from the same survivor (performed for a total of three survivors and indicated by letter descriptors in the first column of Table 5). The results of the two measured ratios for each survivor were in close agreement (Fig. 3), which was better than expected from the uncertainty estimated for individual measurements. Although the number of samples tested was limited, the results indicate that the AMS method produces consistent results and that the $^{41}\text{Ca}$ signals induced by thermal neutrons from the bomb do not differ extensively among the different teeth in the mouth (cf. two molars from donor G; one was on the right side and the other on the left side of the donor’s mouth).

**$^{41}\text{Ca}$ Yield in Relation to Distance from the Hypocenter**

The present report confirms earlier studies that found evidence for a significantly higher $^{41}\text{Ca}$ signal in enamel from one exposed survivor (36). To further demonstrate that the observed $^{41}\text{Ca}$ signal is primarily attributable to neutron exposure from the bomb, the background-corrected $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ isotope ratios (Table 5) were plotted as a function of distance from the hypocenter (Fig. 4). A clear trend of decreasing signals with increasing distance is observed. The measured data indicate a slightly lower decrease in $^{41}\text{Ca}$ production with distance to the hypocenter compared to DS02. Because the latter does not directly calculate the individual shielding conditions, a more quantitative discussion of these results is given in an accompanying publication with detailed calculations of the expected $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ ratios, also including modeling of the individual’s shielding conditions (51).

Nonetheless, to provide a view of the quantitative results obtained in this study, the calculated $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ ratios using DS02 (at a height of 1 m, free-in-air conditions; Table 1) and the results obtained here were included in Fig. 4 for comparison (dashed line). As can be seen, the calculated ratios decrease with increasing distance from the hypocenter, from $2.2 \times 10^{-14}$ at 900 m to about $0.3 \times 10^{-14}$ at 1,163 m, while the measured data give values of $1.5 \times 10^{-14}$ at 900 m and about $0.5 \times 10^{-14}$ at 1,163 m, respectively. Most of the observation points are below the curve for the calculated values except for three points at around 1,115 m that are slightly higher than the calculated values. The lower observed values within 1,020 m are most likely due to shielding effects of buildings or any other intervening materials, whereas the slightly higher ratios at the remote distance might be due to the limiting statistics associated with the $^{41}\text{Ca}$ measurements and/or presence of potential build-up materials (low-Z material such as water) that moderate fast neutrons effectively. These issues are discussed in detail in ref. (51).
Conclusion

In the present study, a direct signal of exposure to A-bomb neutrons was detected for the first time by means of AMS of tooth samples from A-bomb survivors. Such signals are independent of survivor recall and provide a direct biological fingerprint of neutron exposure. In combination with the neutron energy spectrum during exposure, the measured $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ ratio provides a direct measure of neutron dose. Due to the expected low signals, a dedicated chemical sample preparation method was required to produce calcium hydride from the tooth enamel. Both AMS facilities used, the Munich tandem accelerator, which provides high particle energies in combination with a sophisticated particle-detection setup (GAMS), and the VERA facility permitted the measurement of $^{41}\text{Ca}$ down to naturally occurring background levels. In comparison to the nonexposed survivors, those exposed to A-bomb radiation within 1,200 m of the hypocenter showed clearly higher $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ isotope ratios. In addition, decreasing $^{41}\text{Ca}/\text{Ca}$ ratios were found in samples with exposures from increasing distances from the hypocenter, which is consistent with DS02 neutron transport calculations.
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