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Introduction

To promote the public awareness of the critical situation of numerous primate species around the world, in 2000 the IUCN/SSC Primate Specialist Group, together with Conservation International, drew up a list of the 25 primates they considered were most endangered and most in need of attention for conservation and research. The year 2000 marked the end of a century that had witnessed no primate extinctions — and yet had ushered in the wholesale destruction of enormous numbers of primate populations around the world.

The 2000 list received exceptional coverage in a media environment already saturated with millennial news, and in some cases a primate’s listing on the Top 25 led to real improvements in its conservation status. In view of this, an updated Top 25 list was released in 2002, following a special open discussion-meeting at the 19th Congress of the International Primatological Society (IPS) in Beijing, China, in which primatologists contributed information fresh from the field. Their revisions culminated in the official endorsement by the IPS of the Top 25, which is now a joint endeavor of the Primate Specialist Group, the IPS, and Conservation International. In August 2004, at the 20th Congress of the IPS in Torino, Italy, nearly 200 primatologists attended a second open session, which developed this most recent list of the Top 25 most endangered primates (Table 1). So, from its origins as a stand-alone warning, the list of the Top 25 has evolved into a periodic survey of those primates that researchers and conservationists feel would most benefit from — and most desperately need — the widest possible awareness of their rarity and peril. The species and subspecies that appear in the 2004–2006 list have been chosen not only for the degree of threat to their populations, but also as representatives of a region, ecosystem, or taxonomic group (Fig. 1). In each review of the list, primates may be added or removed to allow for exposure of new species, but their departure does not necessarily mean that they are no longer extremely threatened. Protecting these primates requires prolonged research and lasting conservation measures.

Of the 25 primates in the 2004–2006 most-endangered list, four are from Madagascar, seven from Africa, 10 from Asia, and four from the neotropics (Table 1). They are distributed through 17 countries: four are endemic to Madagascar and four occur in Vietnam, without doubt the two countries most in need of major efforts for the protection of their forests and wildlife (Table 2).

Seven of the 25 primates are listed for the first time: the white-collared lemur (Eulemur albocollaris), the Mt. Runge galago (as yet undescribed), the Bioko red colobus (Procolobus pennantii pennantii), the Horton Plains slender loris (Loris tardigradus nycticeboides), Miller’s grizzled surili (Presbytis hosei caniceps), the western purple-faced langur (Semnopithecus vetulus nestor), and the Colombian brown spider monkey.

Table 1. The world’s 25 most endangered primates, 2004–2006.

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td><em>Prolemur simus</em> (Gray, 1871)</td>
<td>Greater bamboo lemur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td><em>Propithecus candidus</em> Grandidier, 1871</td>
<td>Silky sifaka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td><em>Propithecus perrieri</em> Lavauden, 1931</td>
<td>Perrier’s sifaka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td><em>Galagoides</em> sp. (undescribed)</td>
<td>Mt. Rungwe galago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td><em>Gorilla beringei</em> Matschie, 1903</td>
<td>Eastern gorillas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td><em>Gorilla gorilla diehli</em> Matschie, 1904</td>
<td>Cross River gorilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td><em>Presbytis hosii canicrus</em> Miller, 1934</td>
<td>Miller’s grizzled surili</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td><em>Trachypithecus delacouri</em> (Osgood, 1932)</td>
<td>Delacour’s langur, white-rumped black leaf monkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td><em>Trachypithecus poliocephalus poliocephalus</em> (Trouessart, 1911)</td>
<td>Golden-headed langur, Cat Ba langur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td><em>Semnopithecus vetulus nestor</em> Bennett, 1833</td>
<td>Western purple-faced langur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td><em>Rhinopithecus avunculus</em> Dollman, 1912</td>
<td>Tonkin snub-nosed monkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td><em>Nomascus nasutus hainanus</em> (Thomas, 1892)</td>
<td>Hainan black-crested gibbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td><em>Pongo abelii</em> Lesson, 1827</td>
<td>Sumatran orangutan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neotropics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td><em>Cebus xanthosternos</em> Wied-Neuwied, 1826</td>
<td>Buff-headed tufted capuchin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td><em>Ateles hybridus brunneo</em> Gray, 1872</td>
<td>Brown spider monkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td><em>Brachyteles hypoxanthus</em> (Kuhl, 1820)</td>
<td>Northern muriqui</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 1. The world’s 25 most endangered primates, 2004–2006.
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Table 2. Distribution of the world’s 25 most endangered primates – countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>Prolemur simus, Eulemur albocollaris, Propithecus candidus, Propithecus perrieri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>Trachypithecus delacouri, Trachypithecus p. poliocephalus, Pygathrix cinerea, Rhinopithecus avunculus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Leontopithecus caissara, Cebus xanthosternos, Brachyteles hypoxanthus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Simias concolor, Presbytis hosei caniceps, Pongo abelii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Loris tardigradus nycticeboidea, Semnopithecus vetulus nestor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>Mt. Rungwe galago, Cercocetus sanjei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Ateles hybrids brunnneus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>Gorilla gorilla diehli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Nomascus nasutus hainanus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire</td>
<td>Cercocetus atys lanulatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRC</td>
<td>Gorilla beringei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>Procolobus pennantii pennantii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Cercocetus atys lanulatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Procolobus rufomitratus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Gorilla gorilla diehli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>Gorilla beringei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Gorilla beringei</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Mauchenius flavus). Fifteen of the primates have been members of this list since the first edition in 2000 (Table 3).

Madagascar

Greater Bamboo Lemur
Prolemur simus (Gray, 1871)


Formerly in the genus Hapalemur, the greater bamboo lemur was placed in the genus Prolemur by Groves (2001), based on a suite of distinctive dental and chromosomal characteristics (Vuillaume-Randriamanantena et al. 1985; Macedonia and Stanger 1994; Stanger-Hall 1997). As its common name implies, the greater bamboo lemur is the largest of Madagascar’s bamboo-eating lemurs (Albrecht et al. 1990). Genetic studies further support its separation from the other bamboo lemurs and suggest that Hapalemur may, in fact, be more closely related to the genus Lemur (Rumpler et al. 1989; Macedonia and Stanger 1994; Stanger-Hall 1997). Historical records (Schwarz 1931) and sub-fossil remains confirm that it was once widespread throughout the island (Godfrey and Vuillaume-Randriamanantena 1986; Wilson et al. 1988; Godfrey et al. 1999). Documented populations are very patchily distributed and restricted to the south-central portion of the country’s eastern rain forests, including those of Kianjavato, Ranomafana, and Andringitra national parks (and the corridor between them), Evendra (near Ivato, southeast of Andringitra), Karianga (near Vondrozo), and possibly the forest fragments south of Ifanadiana (Meier and Rumpler 1987; Wright et al. 1987; Sterling and Ramaroson 1996; Goodman et al. 2001; Irwin et al. 2005). Recent unpublished reports also indicate its presence in the forests of Karianga, northwest of Manombo (E. E. Louis Jr. pers. comm.) and north up to the region of Moramanga (Dolch et al. 2004; Rakotosamimanana et al. 2004). Shoots, young and mature leaves, and pith of the bamboo Cathariostachys madagascariensis can account for as much as 95% of the diet (Tan 1999, 2000). Other food items include flowers of the traveler’s palm (Ravenala madagascariensis), and fruits of Artocarpus integrifolia, Ficus spp. and Dypsis spp., and leaves of Pennisetum clandestinum (Meier and Rumpler 1987). Observations of animals in the wild and captivity suggest that P. simus is cathemeral (Santini-Palka 1994; Tan 1999, 2000). They live in polygonous groups of seven to 11 animals occupying home ranges of 60 ha or more (Sterling and Ramaroson 1996; Tan 1999, 2000). The greater bamboo lemur is threatened by slash-and-burn agriculture, illegal logging, the cutting of bamboo and hunting with slingshots (Meier 1987; Meier and Rumpler 1987). It has vanished from most of its former range and only a few relatively small populations have been documented thus far in the southeast. Hunting and habitat destruction are the presumed causes. It occurs in the national parks of Ranomafana and Andringitra (although limited by suitable micro-habitat within these protected areas), and perhaps a thousand or more individuals inhabit the Ranomafana region, but not all within the national park. Opportunities exist to extend protection to lemur populations in neighboring forests, as well as to develop a fairly long corridor of protected forests between Ranomafana and Andringitra, within which it is presumed other greater bamboo lemur populations will be found.

William R. Konstant, Jörg U. Ganzhorn & Steig Johnson

White-collared Lemur
Eulemur albocollaris (Rumpler, 1975)

Madagascar (2004)

Genetic analyses support full species status for Eulemur albocollaris, as do field studies in apparent hybrid zones with Eulemur fulvus rufus (Sterling and Ramaroson 1996; Johnson and Wyner 2000; Wyner et al. 2002), even though it is very similar in appearance to E. collaris (Djletati et al. 1997; Wyner et al. 1999). The white-collared lemur has the most restricted range of any species of the genus, occurring only in southeastern Madagascar in a thin strip of forest that runs from just north of the Manampatrana River south to the Mananara River (Petter and Petter-Rousseaux 1979; Tattersall 1982; Irwin et al. 2005). A hybrid zone with E. fulvus rufus appears to lie within the headwaters region of the Manampatrana River in Andringitra National Park. An isolated population occurs in the Manombo Special Reserve near Farafangana. Recent analyses combining ground surveys and Landsat imagery indicate that the total habitat remaining within this species’ range is approximately 700 km², with an estimated remaining population of 7,265 ± 2,268 individuals (Irwin et al. 2005). Information regarding the natural history of this lemur comes largely from recent studies conducted in the forests of Vevembe. According to Johnson (2002), it is largely frugivorous, its diet supplemented with flowers, leaves, and fungi. Flowers are an
especially important food late in the dry season. The species is cathemeral (active both day and night throughout the year). Social groups tend to be multi-male/multi-female, relatively large, and regularly exhibit fission-fusion. Selective logging, hunting, and the continued conversion of its rain forest habitat to agricultural land are the greatest threats to the survival of the white-collared lemur. It is found in only two protected areas, the Andringitra National Park and Manombo Special Reserve, but the Andringitra population hybridizes with *E. fulvus rufus* (CBSG 2002). Recent surveys have identified populations in unprotected forests (Vevembe, for example) that could be added to existing parks and reserves (Johnson and Overdorff 1999).

**Silky Sifaka**

*Propithecus candidus* Grandidier, 1871
Madagascar

*Propithecus candidus* is a large white sifaka from northeastern Madagascar. Its extremely restricted range includes the humid forest belt extending from Maroantsetra to the Andapa Basin and the Marojejy Massif, although the precise limits are unknown (Tattersall 1982). It is believed to have occurred as far north as Sambava, but its range appears never to have included the Masoala Peninsula. What we know about the ecology and behavior of the silky sifaka has come from short-term research conducted in the montane forests of Marojejy National Park (Duckworth *et al.* 1995; Kelley and Mayor...
Perrier’s Sifaka

*Propithecus perrieri* Lavauden, 1931

Madagascar


The striking black Perrier’s sifaka inhabits a small area of dry forests in extreme northern Madagascar, including the Analamera Special Reserve and Andrafiamena hills, and the northeastern limits of the Ankaranabe Special Reserve (Petter et al. 1977; Tattersall 1982; Hawkins et al. 1990). Very little is known of its habits in the wild. It occurs in small groups of two to six individuals that range over an area of up to 30 ha, and it eats a variety of leaves, unripe fruit, stems, and flowers (Meyers and Ratsirarson 1988, 1989; Mayor and Lehman 1999). Like much of Madagascar’s wildlife, Perrier’s sifaka is threatened by hunting, clearing land for agriculture, timber-cutting for charcoal and construction, fire to clear pasture for livestock and, most recently, small-scale mining for gemstones. It is the rarest, least-studied, and most endangered of all Madagascar’s sifakas. The only two protected areas in which Perrier’s sifaka is found are the Analamera and Ankaranabe special reserves, the former apparently harboring the largest remaining populations (Ganzhorn et al. 1996/97). It has recently been seen in the area between Analamera and Ankaranabe special reserves, and these forests should be annexed to the existing protected areas to increase the chances of this species’ survival (D. Meyers pers. obs.). The only other site where the species occurs (in small numbers) is in Andavakoera Classified Forest (ZICOMA 1999), and conservation efforts are urgently required there. Total numbers are unknown, but could be as low as a thousand or as high as 8,000. Comprehensive density estimates are urgently needed.

William R. Konstant, Frank Hawkins & David Meyers
Pennant’s Red Colobus  
*Procolobus pennantii pennantii* (Waterhouse, 1838)  
Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea  
(2004)

The endangered Pennant’s red colobus monkey *Procolobus pennantii* (Waterhouse, 1838) is presently regarded by the IUCN/SSC Primate Specialist Group as comprised of four subspecies, but their relationships within *P. pennantii*, and with other taxa of red colobus, need clarification (Groves 2001; Grubb et al. 2003). Future research may reveal that these four “subspecies” are better referred to as full species. *Procolobus pennantii* takes its name from the form restricted to Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea, *P. pennantii pennantii*. This endangered subspecies probably has the most restricted range of all of Bioko’s unique primates, and is now found only in the southwest of the island where it is threatened by commercial bushmeat hunting (Butynski and Koster 1994). The other three subspecies are the critically endangered Bouvier’s red colobus *P. p. bouvieri* (Rochebrune, 1887) of east-central Republic of Congo; the endangered Niger Delta red colobus *P. p. epieni* Grubb and Powell, 1999, of Nigeria; and the endangered Preuss’s red colobus *P. p. preussi* (Matschie 1900) of southeastern Nigeria and western Cameroon (Oates 1994, 2000; Struhsaker 2005). *Procolobus p. pennantii* and *P. p. preussi* are particularly distinct taxa in terms of their vocalizations, while the vocal repertoire of *P. p. epieni* most closely resembles those of the red colobus in Central and eastern Africa (T. T. Struhsaker unpublished data).

To the northwest of the *P. pennantii* complex of subspecies occurs the critically endangered Miss Waldron’s red colobus, *P. badius waldroni* (Hayman, 1936) of southwestern Ghana and southeastern Côte d’Ivoire (Struhsaker 1999; Oates *et al.* 2000; Groves 2001; Grubb et al. 2003). All five of these subspecies are today close to extinction, with very restricted ranges and small numbers as a result of intensive hunting and extensive habitat degradation and loss (Wolfheim 1983; Oates 1994, 1996; Oates *et al.* 2000; Struhsaker 2005). Neither *P. p. bouvieri* nor *P. b. waldroni* have been observed alive by scientists for at least 25 years, raising concerns that they may be extinct. However, a single skin of *P. b. waldroni* in the possession of a hunter in southeastern Côte d’Ivoire in early 2002, and recent reports of red colobus in nearby Isles Ehotiles National Park (Kone 2004), give hope that at least one population of this subspecies remains (McGraw and Oates 2002; McGraw 2005).

The red colobus monkeys of West Africa and west Central Africa are probably more threatened than any other taxonomic group of primates in Africa. This is partly due to the fact that red colobus are especially sensitive to habitat degradation and vulnerable to hunters (Oates 1996; Oates *et al.* 2000; Waltert *et al.* 2002; Struhsaker 2005). None of the few protected areas in which any of these five subspecies of red colobus occur is well protected (e.g., McGraw 1998). It is a priority for the conservation of primate biodiversity in Africa to (1) immediately undertake field surveys to determine the current distributions and abundance of these five subspecies of red colobus while, at the same time, (2) rigorously protect all of those populations that are known to still exist.

Providing adequate protection to viable populations of these five subspecies of red colobus would greatly assist the conservation of numerous sympatric threatened taxa. Among primates, these include: the mainland Preuss’s monkey *Cercopithecus preussi preussi*; Bioko Preuss’s monkey *C. p. insularis*; Bioko red-eared monkey *C. erythrotais erythrotais*; golden-bellied crowned monkey *C. pogonias pogonias*; Roloway monkey *C. diana roloway*; Bioko black colobus *Colobus satanas satanas*; white-naped mangabey *Cerocebus atys lunulatus*; mainland drill *Mandrillus leucophaeus leucophaeus*; Bioko drill *M. l. poensis*; western chimpanzee *Pan troglodytes verus*; and Nigeria chimpanzee *P. t. vellerosus*.

If a concerted effort is to be made to save all of the diversity present within red colobus, then the major international conservation NGOs will need to focus their efforts on this taxonomic group and work closely with national conservation NGOs and national protected area authorities. For *P. p. bouvieri* and *P. b. waldroni*, however, it may already be too late.

Thomas M. Butynski, John F. Oates, W. Scott McGraw & Thomas T. Struhsaker

Tana River Red Colobus  
*Procolobus rufomitratus* (Peters, 1879)  
Kenya  

The gallery forests of Kenya’s lower Tana River are home to two Critically Endangered primates, the Tana River red colobus and the Tana River mangabey, *Cerocebus galeritus* Peters, 1879. Along with six other species of primates, they inhabit small patches of forest along a 60-km stretch of river, from Nkanjonja to Mitapani. While the other species of monkeys have geographically larger distributions, the red colobus and mangabey are found nowhere else. These two species are offered some protection in approximately 13 km² of forest within the 169 km² Tana River Primate National Reserve. Forest loss to agriculture has increased greatly over the last 15 years or so, resulting in the loss of roughly 50% of the original vegetation. Local communities continue to degrade the remaining forest for products used in the construction of homes and canoes, the collection of wild honey, and the topping of palms to make palm wine. One result of this widespread loss and degradation of habitat is that the populations of the red colobus and the mangabey are believed to have each declined to fewer than 1,000 individuals. A 5-year World Bank/GEF project begun in 1996 was originally designed to relocate several hundred families that presently live within the reserve, but financial support was withdrawn well before completion of the project due to poor project management. This left responsibility for the protection of the Tana River’s remaining forests and primates entirely to the Kenya Wildlife Service. Further losses have resulted from the failure of the Tana Delta Irrigation Project’s (TDIP) rice-growing scheme (under the administration of the Tana and Athi Rivers Development Authority – TARDA) to protect either the habitat or
the primates in the 14 Tana River forest patches under its management. This rice-growing scheme was financed by the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA). Additional new threats are now on the horizon with a proposal to establish a large sugar cane plantation in the Tana Delta. This new plantation will not only result in a large influx of people to the area, it may directly destroy natural forest. On the positive side, (1) more than 250 families cultivating within the Tana River Primate National Reserve were, in 2005, voluntarily relocated to Kipini (about 90 km away), (2) there appears to be an increasing concern for forest and biodiversity conservation among the people of the Lower Tana River, and (3) a major focus of action among community-based organizations over the next few decades is likely to be tree planting. Given the current level of threat, however, it will likely take many years before there is sufficient change on the ground to reverse the long-standing decline of the Tana River red colobus and the Tana River mangabey populations.

Thomas M. Butynski

White-naped Mangabey

Cercocebus atys lunulatus (Temminck, 1853)

Ghana and Côte d’Ivoire


The Upper Guinean forests of West Africa have been reduced to less than 10% of their original size, drastically limiting and fragmenting the habitat available for West Africa’s forest primates, including the white-naped mangabey, Cercocebus atys lunulatus. Terrestrial mangabeys (genus Cercocebus) are close relatives of mandrills; both live in multi-male societies and forage predominantly for hard-object foods on the forest floor (Fleagle and McGraw 2002). This species is distinguished by its gray-brown coat, white inner limbs and underside, long black stripe on its back, and the white patch on the back of the head. Found east of Côte d’Ivoire’s Sassandra River and west of Ghana’s Volta River, the white-naped mangabey spends the majority of its time on the forest floor but uses the canopy as well. Their ability to use the ground allows them to live in a broad range of habitats including swamp and agricultural areas. Nevertheless, the most recent surveys have confirmed their presence in only a few of the remaining forest patches in the Guinean forest zone; these include Anaksa Resource Reserve, Dadieso Forest Reserve, and Yoyo Forest Reserve in Ghana (Magnuson 2002); and Marahoué National Park, Dassiko Forest Reserve, Niegre Forest Reserve, and forest east of the Ehi Lagoon in Côte d’Ivoire (McGraw 1998; McGraw and Oates 2002; Kone 2004). While the forests have become smaller and more fragmented, hunting pressure has increased. Oates et al. (1996/1997) and McGraw (1998) suggest that one of the greatest barriers to their conservation is lack of local support. Recent civil conflict in Côte d’Ivoire has also made this a challenging area in which to work.

White-naped mangabeys have a geographic distribution similar to that of the Critically Endangered Roloway guenon, Cercopithecus diana roloway (Schreber, 1774), and conservation efforts for both should be coordinated. The Roloway monkey occupies forested areas between Côte d’Ivoire’s Sassandra River and Ghana’s Pra River. Surveys in the tropical forests of Ghana and Côte d’Ivoire have documented its steady decline. In 2001 they were still found in Ghana’s Ankasa Resource Reserve, Dadieso Forest Reserve, Kroko-sua Hills Forest Reserve, and Yoyo Forest Reserve. However, their presence could not be confirmed in a number of forests where they were found in 1995/6 (Oates et al. 1996/1997; Abedi-Lartey and Amponsah 1999), including Bia National Park—where they were abundant 25 years ago (Asibey 1978). In Côte d’Ivoire they are now known to occur in only one of the protected areas: the Yaya Forest Reserve on the western bank of the Comoé River (McGraw 1998). With the mangabeys, Roloways have also been reported in the swamp forest east of the Ehi Lagoon, but they are quite scarce there (McGraw and Oates 2002). The establishment of systematic hunting patrols, and elevating the status of forests containing mangabeys and Roloway monkeys to that of national park, are measures that could help secure their future as well as that of a number of other threatened primates and wildlife in the region (McGraw 1998). The initiation of conservation trust funds for these last remaining forests would also be an important step to ensure the survival of their dwindling populations of primates (Oates et al. 1996/1997). Since 2001 a group of European zoos involved in the breeding programs (EEP)s of the white-naped mangabey and the Roloway monkey decided to collaborate under the name of WAPCA (West African Primate Conservation Action), together with CEPA (Conservation des Espèces et des Populations Animales, France) and ZGAP (Zooloogische Gesellschaft für arten- und Populations-schutz, Germany) for the conservation of these primates in Côte d’Ivoire and Ghana. First steps were taken in Ghana in 2001, and the first survey was carried out in Côte d’Ivoire in 2004.

W. Scott McGraw, Lindsay Magnuson, Rebecca Kormos & William R. Konstant

Sanje River Mangabey

Cercocebus sanjei Mittermeier, 1986

Tanzania


The Sanje mangabey, discovered in 1979 (Homewood and Rodgers 1981; Groves 1996), is endemic to the Udzungwa Mountains of Tanzania, the southern-most and largest forest block of the Eastern Arc Mountains. The fragmented relic forests of the Udzungwas (c. 1,017 km² of forest) hold 11 species of primates. In addition to the Endangered Sanje mangabey, there are two other threatened endemic or near-endemic species of monkey, making these mountains arguably the most important single site in Africa for the conservation of primate diversity. There are likely fewer than 1,300 Sanje mangabeys, in two populations that are located about 85 km apart (Ehardt et al. 1999, 2005; Ehardt 2001). The largest population (~60%) occurs within the recently established Udzungwa Mountains National Park (UMNP), while the
Gorilla, based) had been the most neglected of the four sub-
ations) in 1995, with about two-thirds living in the Kahuzi-
Matschie, 1914. The number of eastern gorillas in DRC was
to a distinct subspecies; Grauer's gorilla,
area of roughly 15,000 km² in eastern DRC. These belong
The vast majority of eastern gorillas, however, live over an
corridors.

The characteristic of spending ~50% of its time on
the forest floor, however, subjects the mangabey to risk from
snares set for hunting of other animals such as duikers, a con-
cern justified in finding an adult Sanje mangabey trapped by a
snare in 2004. Continued research documenting the conserva-
tion ecology and habitat of the Sanje mangabey should con-
tribute to improved management of the two remaining popu-
lations, and will support efforts to expand the park and reduce
forest fragmentation through the establishment of effective
corridors.

Carolyn L. Ehardt & Thomas M. Butynski

The Eastern Gorillas
Gorilla beringei Matschie, 1903
Democratic Republic of Congo, Rwanda, Uganda

The eastern gorilla is the world’s largest living primate, one of the best studied, and unfortunately, one of the most threatened. Approximately 385 eastern gorillas, well-known as the mountain gorilla, survive in the Virunga Volcanoes (375 km², 700–4,000 m a.s.l.) where they are protected in three national parks—Virunga National Park (Democratic Republic of Congo—DRC), Parc National des Volcans (Rwanda), and Mgahinga Gorilla National Park (Uganda). Another 320 or so gorillas live in the Bwindi Impenetrable National Park, Uganda (320 km², 1,500–2,300 m a.s.l.). The Virunga Volcanoes and Bwindi Impenetrable Forest are sur-
brounded by dense human settlements and agricultural lands on
some of the most fertile volcanic soils in the world. Nonetheless, these two sites are among the best-protected in Africa. As such, both populations have increased in recent years. The vast majority of eastern gorillas, however, live over an area of roughly 15,000 km² in eastern DRC. These belong to a distinct subspecies; Grauer’s gorilla, G. beringei graueri
Matschie, 1914. The number of eastern gorillas in DRC was
estimated at 8,660–25,500 individuals (in at least 11 popu-
lations) in 1995, with about two-thirds living in the Kahuzi-
Biega and Maiko national parks. There has been considerable
insecurity and civil strife in eastern DRC in recent years, with
the result that gorillas in this region have likely declined in
number — perhaps dramatically. The entire region over which
eastern gorillas live has experienced devastating human con-
flicts in recent decades, with an estimated human mortality of
almost 5 million people. Despite these problems, a number of
NGOs (including Dian Fossey Gorilla Fund International
[DFGFI], the International Gorilla Conservation Program
[IGCP], and the Wildlife Conservation Society [WCS], and
others) in concert with the national parks authorities of the
three habitat countries and local communities have worked to
maintain long-term support for the conservation of the eastern
gorilla and successfully establish this species as the premier
rare species of tropical forest tourism attraction in Africa.

Annette Lanjouw, Thomas M. Butynski &
William R. Konstant

Cross River Gorilla
Gorilla gorilla diehli Matschie, 1904
Nigeria and Cameroon

Until very recently, the Cross River gorilla (Gorilla
gorilla diehli) had been the most neglected of the four sub-
species of gorilla presently recognized. It was originally
named in 1904 as a distinct species, Gorilla diehli, based
on a few specimens collected in what was then the German
conservation status of the Sanje mangabey should con-

William R. Konstant
the Takamanda Forest Reserve. A number of other recent surveys are investigating their presence in areas east of Mone and Mbulu. As part of an overall land-use plan, the government of Cameroon has proposed upgrading the protected status of Takamanda to a national park, and creating a Gorilla Sanctuary on Kagwene Mountain in eastern Mbulu. Objectives of the Nigerian program include determining the extent of the gorilla’s distribution within national park boundaries and assessing potential population links with the Takamanda gorillas, examining options for establishing formal conservation management of the community-controlled Mbe Mountains, and working with other organizations to improve the protection of the Afi Mountain Wildlife Sanctuary. Further conservation priorities for Cross River gorillas include developing land-use plans for the Takamanda-Mone-Mbulu area in Cameroon, and the Af-Mbe-Okwangwo area in Nigeria. More general actions needed include a review and evaluation of the impact of a road development program in Cameroon, and the maintenance and expansion of basic research into the ecology, distribution, and population biology of these gorillas, as well as the strengthening and expansion of conservation education and awareness programs at all levels. It is also necessary to build the capacity of relevant institutions in Nigeria and Cameroon, and to ensure that local community needs are incorporated into the development of management strategies, including the study of options for alternative livelihoods.

Jacqui Sunderland-Groves & John F. Oates

Neotropical Region

Black-faced Lion Tamarin

Leontopithecus caissara
Lorini and Persson, 1990
Brazil

For more than a century and a half, biologists heard rumors of an unknown primate living in seaside forests on the far southeastern coast of Brazil. Despite expeditions throughout the 20th century, nothing conclusive was found — until in 1990, two Brazilian researchers, Maria Lorini and Vanessa Persson, surveyed the island of Superagüi in the state of Paraná and discovered the black-faced lion tamarin, the fourth and least-known species of the genus Leontopithecus. Named Leontopithecus caissara after the caíçaras, the local people of the island, the black-faced lion tamarin survives only in low-lying coastal forests, including the specialized dune forests known as restingas and the swamp forests called caxetal on the island and mainland. Probably never common or widespread, today there are fewer than 400 black-faced lion tamarins, surviving in less than 300 km² of remnant forests. Recent surveys by IPÊ – Instituto de Pesquisas Ecológicas indicate a population of about 180 individuals on the island of Superagüi (11,000 ha) in the Superagüi National Park (33,928 ha), the most representative population. The researchers also found that its geographic range on the mainland is much more restricted than was previously thought. Like other lion tamarins, Leontopithecus caissara feeds mainly on small fruits and invertebrates, including insects, spiders, and snails. They also drink the nectar of certain flowers, and will eat the leaf bases of young bromeliads, as well as certain seasonally available mushrooms. In addition to sometimes sheltering in clumps of bromeliads, the lion tamarins depend on these sturdy plants to provide habitat for their invertebrate prey, which they feel out and catch with nimble, grasping fingers. Bromeliads are thus a vital part of lion tamarin habitat, and their dense presence in untouched primary forest — such as the coastal forests and restingas of Superagüi — is one reason why this rare habitat is crucial to the survival of L. caissara and the other lion tamarins.

John M. Aguiar, Alexandre T. Amaral, Cláudio B. Valladares-Padua & Fabiana Prado

Buff-headed Capuchin or Yellow-breasted Capuchin

Cebus xanthosternos
Wied-Neuwied, 1826
Brazil

Unlike the majority of the highly adaptable capuchin monkeys, the buff-headed capuchin, endemic to Brazil’s Atlantic Forest region, is seriously threatened with extinction. There are no reliable estimates of remaining populations, but the forests of its natural range in northeast Brazil (Bahia and extreme northern Minas Gerais) have been largely devastated, and it is hunted as well. Adults are relatively large (about 6 pounds) and provide sufficient meat to warrant the cost of a shotgun shell, while the young are popular as pets. It has been extirpated over a large part of its former range. Surveys begun in 2002 and, supported by Conservation International, the Instituto de Estudos Sócioambientais do Sul da Bahia — IESB (Ilhéus, Brasil), the European zoos involved in the breeding program (C. xanthosternos EEP), Conservation des Espèces et des Populations Animales — CEPA (Schlierbach, France), the Zoological Society for Conservation of Species and Populations (Zoologische Gesellschaft für arten- und Populationsschutz, Germany — ZGAP) (München, Germany), and the Disney Conservation Fund, are providing a clearer understanding of its status. Although more widespread than previously believed, the remaining populations are extremely small and isolated and still subject to hunting, and there is no forest large enough to support a viable population. The largest single block of forest in their known range, the Una Biological Reserve in Bahia, is estimated to protect a population of 185 individuals. In 1992, the Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Renewable Natural Resources (IBAMA) set up an International Committee for the Conservation and Management of the species, which is promoting conservation action in situ, besides a captive breeding program based on the numerous individuals that are kept as pets in Brazil. At the beginning of 2004, there were 85 animals being maintained in 13 zoos and breeding facilities in Europe and Brazil.

Maria Cecília M. Kierulff, Jean-Marc Lernould, William R. Konstant, Gustavo Canale, Gabriel Rodrigues dos Santos, Carlos Eduardo Guidorizzi & Camila Cassano
Brown Spider Monkey
Ateles hybridus brunneus Gray, 1872
Colombia (2004)

There are two recognized subspecies of the variegated or brown spider monkey, Ateles hybridus (I. Geoffroy, 1829). A. hybridus brunneus is restricted to Colombia, occurring between the lower Ríos Cauca and Magdalena in the Departments of Bolívar, Antioquia, and Caldas, and the nominate form, which occurs east from the right bank of the Río Magdalena extending into western Venezuela. Both are Critically Endangered due to loss of habitat (conversion to agricultural land, fragmentation) and hunting. The large size, slow reproductive rate (single offspring at 3- to 4-year intervals) and generally low population densities of spider monkeys make them especially vulnerable to hunting. Ateles h. brunneus has a small geographic range in a region where forest loss, degradation, and fragmentation is widespread. A refuge remains, however, in the Serranía San Lucas in southern Bolívar, identified as an important site for the establishment of a national park. There is also a population in northern Antioquia that has yet to be investigated. A park in the Serranía San Lucas would protect a number of species endemic to the Nechi center (or refugium), including two other threatened endemic primates, the white-footed tamarin, Saguinus leucopus, and the woolly monkey, Lagothrix lagothricha lugens. However, the region has been a center of civil unrest for years, and census work there would be hazardous, because guerilla groups have placed antipersonnel mines in some parts of the mountain range. Although civil unrest is limiting opportunities for surveys and conservation action, it is probably the reason why there is still forest remaining, considering the rapacious destruction of the forests elsewhere in the brown spider monkey’s range.

Thomas R. Defler, Alba Lucia Morales-Jiménez, & José Vicente Rodríguez-Mahecha

Northern Muriqui
Brachyteles hypoxanthus (Kuhl, 1820)

The two muriqui species (Brachyteles hypoxanthus and the southern muriqui, B. arachnoides) are the largest primates in South America and both are endemic to Brazil’s Atlantic Forest region. They live in multi-male groups that can reach more than 50 animals, and were once widespread through the forests of southeast Brazil, from the northern part of the state of Paraná, through São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro, and Espírito Santo to coastal Bahia. Both have suffered from hunting and the destruction of their forests since the 16th century. The northern muriqui, occurring in Minas Gerais, Espírito Santo, and Bahia, is the more threatened of the two, its numbers being lower and its populations smaller and more fragmented than those of the southern muriqui which, although also endangered, has benefited from refuge in the relatively intact and inaccessible forests of the Serra do Mar in Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo. The largest known population of the northern muriqui today is in the forests of the Caratinga Biological Station, an 890-ha private reserve in the state of Minas Gerais (in 2004 numbering approximately 225 individuals). Karen Strier (University of Wisconsin–Madison) has led a research program there since 1983, which has provided invaluable insights into their demography, ecology, and behavior. A second major field site is now being set up in Santa Maria de Jetibá, Espírito Santo, by Sérgio Mendes and his colleagues from the state’s federal university. Recent surveys in the Rio Doce State Park (Minas Gerais) and the Caparaó National Park (on the border of Minas Gerais and Espírito Santo) are indicating the occurrence of populations which may be as large as, or even larger than, those at Caratinga. Besides the Caparaó National Park and the Augusto Ruschi Biological Reserve, surveys over the last few years have located northern muriquis in 12 localities in the municipality of Santa Maria de Jetibá in Espírito Santo. The Serra do Brigadeiro State Park (Minas Gerais) also protects a significant population, estimated at more than 100 animals. Groups have also been found in two forests in northeastern Minas Gerais by teams from the Minas Gerais State Forestry Institute. One was rapidly turned into a large federal protected area, the Mata Escura Biological Reserve, and the other, extending across the border into Bahia (Alto Cariri), is currently under study for the creation of a protected area as well. These are the northernmost localities where the species is known to survive today. In 2001, a survey by a team from the Federal University of Minas Gerais also confirmed the survival of a small population of at least 13 in the Fazenda Côrrego de Areia, municipality of Peçanha, eastern Minas Gerais, and they also occur in the Ibitipoca State Park in the south. The total known population today is estimated at between 700 and 1,000 animals.

Karen B. Strier, Sérgio L. Mendes, Jean Philippe Boubli & Luiz G. Dias

Asia

Horton Plains Slender Loris,
Ceylon Mountain Slender Loris
Loris tardigradus nycticeboides (Hill, 1942)

Four taxa of slender lorises, spindly nocturnal primates characterized by short soft fur, no tails, long limbs, and woeful and enormous eyes, are endemic to the critically endangered rainforests of Sri Lanka. Although all taxa have been classified as Endangered, those found in the island’s Wet Zone, where only 3% of rainforest remains, are the most imperiled. Restricted to a potential range of no more than 250 km², or, more realistically, 30 km², the Ceylon Mountain (or Horton Plains) slender loris (Loris tardigradus nycticeboides) is the most extraordinary of the already specialized slender loris taxa. This cold-adapted slender loris’ pelage is so thick, it obscures its ears and thickly clothes the animals’ otherwise pencil-thin limbs, adapting it to its life in the montane rainforests, where temperatures may drop to -4°C. In 1980, the
meticulous expert on Sri Lanka’s mammals, W. W. Phillips, wrote that the Ceylon Mountain slender loris “would appear to be the rarest of all mammals in Sri Lanka (p. 127).” In fact only four confirmed sightings have been made since 1937, despite several recent systematic surveys in its restricted range by researchers from the Nocturnal Primate Research Group, Oxford Brookes University, and Wildlife Heritage Trust of Sri Lanka. Although the Horton Plains National Park is officially protected, gem mining, collection of fuelwood, agricultural encroachment, the pet trade, forest diebacks in the park, and stochastic effects on the small isolated forest patches to which it clings, continue to threaten this rarest of Sri Lankan primates.

K. Anna I. Nekaris

**Pagai Pig-tailed Snub-nosed Monkey or Simakobu**

*Simias concolor* Miller, 1903

Indonesia


The genus *Simias* is known only from Indonesia’s Mentawai Islands, a small archipelago situated off the west coast of central Sumatra. Until humans arrived approximately two millennia ago, its only predators were probably large constricting snakes and birds of prey. Today, however, hunting and forest conversion are two substantial threats to the four indigenous Mentawai primates, all of which are endemic to these islands. *Simias concolor* was originally considered monotypic, but is now believed to include two subspecies, *S. c. concolor* from the Pagai islands and Sipora, and *S. c. siberu* Chasen and Kloss, 1927 from the island of Siberut. The common English name of this large monkey is derived from its short pig-like tail and its shortened nose, which very much resembles that of the Tonkin snub-nosed monkey (*Rhinopithecus avunculus*) of Vietnam, another Critically Endangered species. *S. concolor* lives in relatively small social groups with usually one male and one or more females and offspring. *S. concolor* occurs in the few small remaining forest patches on the islands of North and South Pagai and Sipora, and in the large national park on Siberut. It may still occur in a few forest patches on small islets off southern South Pagai Island. However, of the four Mentawai primates, *Simias* is the most sensitive to deforestation, having significantly lower densities in logged forests than in unlogged. Thus, while *Simias* still survives in spite of human encroachment, hunting, and habitat disturbance, the vast majority of its remaining natural habitat lies outside of officially protected areas. These areas are in logging concessions and could very well be lost in the near future.

Lisa Paciulli, Agustin Fuentes & William R. Konstant

**Miller’s Grizzled Surili**

*Presbytis hosei canicrus* Miller, 1934

Indonesia (E. Central Kalimantan)

(2004)

All four subspecies of the Asian colobine monkey *Presbytis hosei* are endemic to north Borneo. The high forehead and crest linking it with the white-fronted surili (*P. frontata*) from the southern part of the island, mark the crested grizzled surili, *P. h. sabana* (Thomas, 1893) from eastern Sabah (East Malaysia) as the most divergent subspecies. Its western neighbor, Everett’s grizzled surili, *P. h. everetti* (Thomas, 1893), is unique to the genus in being sexually dichromatic. The bandanna-like white tract of hair across the forehead of juveniles and male adults is reduced to a white spot in female adults. In the southeastern subspecies, Miller’s grizzled surili (*P. h. canicrus*), all adults and juveniles much resemble adult female *P. h. everetti*, but have no frontal white spot. *P. h. canicrus* is known only from the northeast Indonesian part of Borneo as far south as the Kutai National Park, the only protected part of its recorded range (Brandon-Jones 1997). Only an estimated 5% of the forest in this national park has escaped timber concessions, illegal settling, industrial development, and fire (Meijard and Nijman 2000). This leaves *P. h. canicrus* probably critically endangered or even extinct, although no surveys have been undertaken. The western subspecies, Hose’s grizzled surili, *P. h. hosei* (Thomas, 1889), is even more likely to be extinct as most of its distribution coincides with that of the oilfields that straddle the frontier between Sarawak (East Malaysia) and Brunei. *Presbytis h. hosei* resembles *P. h. everetti*, but the female retains her juvenile color at maturity (Brandon-Jones 1997). There is a slim chance that *P. h. hosei* survives in the northern part of the Similajau National Park in central coastal Sarawak (Duckworth 1995, 1998). Populations may also exist in Brunei, which have been much less subject to hunting and deforestation, but they are likely to be intermediate with *P. h. everetti*. The reputed medicinal value of the bezor stones sometimes formed in the gut makes this species a target even for hunters uninterested in its meat.

Douglas Brandon-Jones

**Delacour’s Langur**

*Trachypithecus delacouri* (Osgood, 1932)

Vietnam


Delacour’s langur is one of the most highly endangered of Southeast Asia’s colobine monkeys. The species is endemic to Vietnam. During the decades following the discovery of the species in 1930 there was only scanty information on its existence and distribution. The first sightings of living Delacour’s langurs were reported in 1987. The most important, and for some subpopulations the only, factor for the decline in numbers is poaching, which is not primarily for meat, but for bones, organs, and tissues that are used in the preparation of traditional medicines. Nineteen isolated wild populations of Delacour’s langur have been confirmed over 10 years of surveys and monitoring by the Frankfurt Zoological Society. The total population comprises 280 to 320 individuals. The recorded numbers of animals hunted over the 10 years totaled 320, an annual loss of more than 30 individuals, but the real number is undoubtedly higher. Sixty percent of all existing Delacour’s langurs occur in isolated populations with less than 20 animals. The loss of these subpopulations, and consequently 60% of the whole population, is

foreseeable without management, strict regulations, and law enforcement. Four areas where Delacour’s langurs are protected are Cuc Phuong National Park, Pu Luong Nature Reserve, Hoa Lu Cultural and Historical Site, and the newly established Van Long Nature Reserve, which is believed to harbor the largest remaining population of about 50 to 60 animals. This population is well protected due to patrols and close cooperation between the provincial forest protection authorities and Frankfurt Zoological Society. Monitoring surveys in 2003 and 2004 in Cuc Phuong National Park and in Pu Luong Nature Reserve show declines in numbers. Efforts to save this species are being led by Tilo Nadler, manager of the Vietnam Primate Conservation program of Frankfurt Zoological Society and director of the Endangered Primate Rescue Center at Cuc Phuong National Park, established in the 1990s primarily to safeguard the future of this and other endangered Vietnamese primates.

William R. Konstant & Tilo Nadler

Golden-headed Langur or Cat Ba Langur
Trachypithecus poliocephalus poliocephalus (Trouessart, 1911)
Vietnam

This rare Asian colobine monkey is known only from Cat Ba, the largest of more than 3,000 islands located in northeastern Vietnam’s Halong Bay. The greatest part of the islands’ mountain range, like most of the smaller offshore islands, is covered by tropical moist limestone forest. Local livelihoods are built upon subsistence agriculture and more recently on a growing tourism industry, supplemented by hunting of wildlife and the collection of firewood, medicinal plants, honey, and other forest products. Poaching has been the major threat to the golden-headed langur and has resulted in a population decline from an estimated 2,500–2,800 langurs in the 1960s to a mere 53 individuals by 2000 — a 98% decline in 40 years. Langurs were poached mainly for the preparation of traditional medicines. After the implementation of strict protection measures, for the first time in decades the population of the golden-headed langur increased to a minimum of 59 individuals at present. However, population fragmentation and low reproductive output also threaten them. The remaining population is subdivided into seven isolated subpopulations. Some of these are all-female groups. Allwetter Zoo, Münster, and the Zoological Society for the Conservation of Species and Populations (ZGAP), München, have been carrying out a conservation program for the golden-headed langur on Cat Ba since November 2000. The aim is to provide for protection, reduce population fragmentation, and increase public awareness in collaboration with Vietnamese authorities with support from Conservation International, among other NGOs. Protection of the golden-headed langur has been designated a priority project of Fauna and Flora International’s newly created Flagship Species Fund. The closely related white-headed langur, T. poliocephalus leucocephalus Tan, 1957, is also Critically Endangered due to hunting and habitat destruction (expansion of sugarcane plantations). It inhabits seven isolated karst regions that cover 60–80 km² (in a total distribution of approximately 400 km²) in Guangxi Province, China. The karst formations are found in three separate and isolated protected areas: the Fusui and Chongzuo rare and precious animal reserves, and the Longgang National Nature Reserve. Estimated total population is about 600–800 animals. In 1998, populations in Longgang and Fusui were found be in decline. A more recent survey (January 2003) in Fusui, financed by the Asian Development Bank, however, has indicated some recovery since then. Numbers in Chongzuo have risen from less than 100 to more than 200 individuals since Professor Pan Wenshi of Peking University established a biological research program there in 1996. Chongzuo currently has the second largest population after Fusui and represents an example of how scientific presence can contribute significantly to wildlife conservation strategies. Dr. Chia Tan, a research fellow for the Zoological Society of San Diego, is working with the Peking University team to conduct ecological and behavioral studies and education campaigns at Chongzuo.

William R. Konstant, Roswitha Stenke, Tilo Nadler, Roland Wirth, Zhaoyuan Li & Martina Raffel

Western Purple-faced Langur
Semnopithecus vetulus nestor Bennett, 1833
Sri Lanka
(2004)

Endemic to Sri Lanka, this langur is restricted to a small area of the wet zone in the west of the country, most of which is threatened due to human activities (crops, infrastructure and industry, settlements, deforestation and forest fragmentation, and hunting). Colombo, the capital city of Sri Lanka, is in the center of its very limited range. Hill (1934) indicated that it was common around the capital, but this is no longer the case. Forest cover in Sri Lanka has declined drastically since the late 1950s, and the area of occupancy of this langur has been reduced to a highly fragmented 1,900 km² (Molur et al. 2003). Although still quite numerous (>10,000), the declines in numbers are expected to have been precipitous — estimated at more 80% in three generations due to urbanization and development. They are highly arboreal and need good canopy cover, and there are possibly less than three forests that can support viable populations, none of which are protected areas set aside for conservation. The human-modified areas that sustain much of the langur population, such as gardens and rubber plantations, are under private ownership and changing rapidly due to human population expansion and development; large trees are cut down and entire forest patches are destroyed for housing and development. This severely restricts home ranges, isolating the groups, and resulting in escalated conflict with humans and low juvenile recruitment rates (Dela 1998). Long-term studies by Dela (1998) have shown that this taxon is unique in having subpopulations adapted to a diet high in mature/ripe fruit, a feature as yet unrecorded for any other colobine, and are dependent on fruits cultivated by humans. Its geographical range has a very high human
population density, and home ranges are being compressed due to loss of tree cover. Censuses are urgently needed to identify forest areas for conservation and to better quantify the decline of subpopulations in space and time, and to provide a better understanding of their demographics (especially reproductive rates, population turnover, and dispersal) in the extremely disturbed habitats where they survive today.

_Jinie Dela & Noel Rowe_

**Grey-shanked Douc**

*Pygathrix cinerea* Nadler, 1997


Colobine monkeys of the genus *Pygathrix* are native to Southeast Asia. Until only a few years ago, just two distinct taxa were recognized: the red-shanked douc, *Pygathrix nemaeus*, named by Linnaeus in 1771, in the northern part of central Vietnam; and the black-shanked douc, *P. nigripes*, from southern Vietnam and eastern Cambodia, described exactly a century later by Milne-Edwards. From August 1995 through January 1998, however, six male specimens of a new and distinctive *Pygathrix* were confiscated by Vietnamese forest protection authorities and placed at the Endangered Primate Rescue Center at Cuc Phuong National Park. The animals had evidently originated in central Vietnam. The grey-shanked douc appears to be restricted to mountainous regions of Vietnam’s Quang Nam, Quang Ngai, Kon Tum, Gia Lai, and Binh Dinh provinces, where it is threatened throughout by hunting and habitat loss. Hunting is with guns as well as baited traps. Forest loss within at least part of its range is attributable to the expansion of fruit tree plantations, illegal logging, and firewood collection. Surveys and research on this recently discovered primate were conducted by the Frankfurt Zoological Society, led by Tilo Nadler, manager of the Vietnam Primate Conservation program of Frankfurt Zoological Society and director of the Endangered Primate Rescue Center at Cuc Phuong National Park. The continuation of this work should provide recommendations for the establishment of special “Species Protection Areas,” with links between protected areas. Most of the grey-shanked dous occur in two large areas in central Vietnam, each comprising four protected areas of differing status. The population is highly fragmented and estimated at 600–700 individuals.

_William R. Konstant & Tilo Nadler_

**Hainan Black-crested Gibbon**

*Nomascus nasutus hainanus* (Thomas, 1892)


The black-crested gibbons of Vietnam and China are among the rarest primates in the world. Their taxonomy is currently in debate, but experts now believe that there are two species — the western black-crested gibbon, *Nomascus concolor*, with up to four subspecies in China, Laos, and Vietnam, and the eastern black-crested gibbon, *Nomascus nasutus*, with two subspecies that are considered the most threatened of all the gibbon taxa (Geissmann 2003). The Hainan gibbon, *Nomascus nasutus hainanus* (Thomas, 1892) is restricted to the Island of Hainan, and the Cao Vit black-crested gibbon, *N. nasutus nasutus* (Kunckel d’Herculais, 1884), occurs on the continent in northeastern Vietnam and China. The correct scientific names of eastern black-crested gibbons are still under debate (Geissmann et al. 2000; Groves 2004; Brandon-Jones et al. 2004). They differ in their territorial calls and hair color (La Quang Trung and Trinh Dinh Hoang 2004). Further comparisons are needed besides genetic research, however, to determine whether they should be classified as separate species (Nadler 2003).

Adult male *N. n. nasutus* are black with a slight tinge of brown hair on their chest, and adult male *N. n. hainanus* are entirely black (Geissmann et al. 2000; Mootnick in press). The adult females on the mainland and Hainan Island vary from a buffish to a beige brown and have a black cap (Geissmann et al. 2000; Mootnick in press).
et al. 2000; Mootnick in press). Adult female *N. n. hainanus* have a thin white face ring that is thicker above the mouth and below the orbital ridge. Depending on the amount of humidity, female *Nomascus* can obtain a more orangy color resulting from their sweat (Mootnick, in press). There was an adult female, “Patzi,” in the Berlin Zoo whose vocalizations were similar to that of *N. n. nasutus*, but her pelage differed in that she had a very long and broad black crown streak that went past the nape, and extended to the brow, tapering to a thin face ring and becoming thicker at the chin (Geissmann et al. 2000; Mootnick in press). This female had a narrow blackish brown chest plate slightly wider than the face, beginning at the throat and tapering at the top of the abdomen.

The Cao Vit black-crested gibbon formerly occurred east of the Red River in northern Guangdong and southwestern Guangxi provinces. It disappeared from southeastern China in the 1950s, and today it is restricted to the forests of the Phong Nam-Ngoc Khe Mountains, Trung Khanh District, northern Cao Bang Province in Vietnam (bordering China). Last seen in Vietnam in the 1960s, it was also feared extinct there, but was found again, after intensive searches in January 2002 by Fauna and Flora International (FFI) biologists La Quang Trung and Trinh Dinh Hoang (2004). They found five groups totaling at least 26 individuals in the remaining forest of 3,000 ha. Further surveys by the Vietnam Primate Conservation Programme of FFI and Trung Kanh District rangers in November 2004 indicated 37 individuals (VNA 2004). In the 1950s there were estimates of >2,000 gibbons on the island of Hainan in 866,000 ha of forests across 12 counties (Wang and Quan 1986). By 1989 the *N. n. hainanus* population was reduced to only 21 gibbons in four groups in 1,200 ha of the Bawangling Nature Reserve (Liu et al. 1989).

William Bleisch and Yingyi Zhang found 16 individuals in three groups on Hainan Island in November 2003 (pers. comm. to La Quang Trung and Trinh Dinh Hoang 2004). Further recent surveys estimated between 12–19 individuals in three groups in the Bawangling Nature Reserve, and a fourth group sighted outside the preserve could have had between two and seven individuals (Wu et al. 2004). Another survey found two groups, and two lone males, comprising a total of 13 individuals (Geissmann and Chan 2004).

Gibbons generally establish long-term pair bonds, but in the Bawangling Nature Reserve there were observations of two females in the same group both carrying offspring (Liu et al. 1989; Bleisch and Chen 1991). This could be a result of older offspring being unable to locate appropriate mates (Wu et al. 2004) and limited space to establish new groups (Liu et al. 1989). Efforts are underway by FFI to create new protected areas in forests such as those of Che Tao, Vietnam, where local support for the protection of endangered gibbons is apparently on the rise. There is an urgent need to secure the forests on the Island of Hainan, and the survival of the few remaining gibbons there.

Alan R. Mootnick, Anthony B. Rylands & William R. Konstant

## Sumatran Orangutan

*Pongo abelii* Lesson, 1827

Indonesia


The Sumatran orangutan is one of two species of the genus *Pongo*. While the viability of both is in question, the Sumatran orangutan faces a more immediate extinction risk than the Bornean, *Pongo pygmaeus* (Linnaeus, 1760), and is considered Critically Endangered. The species is endemic to the Indonesian island of Sumatra, and is now restricted almost entirely to forests in the lowlands of Nangroe Aceh Darussalam (NAD) and provinces in North Sumatra. More than 1,500 orangutans remain in the Singkil swamp. Sumatran orangutans are estimated to total about 7,500 individuals (based largely on 2002 satellite images), living in 13 fragmented habitat units stretching from northern NAD south to the Sibolga-Tarutung-Padangsidempuan area. It has been suggested that the southernmost population may be genetically distinct from its northern relatives. The largest populations live within NAD province, where recent political turmoil has made monitoring and conservation work difficult. A large population is found in the Leuser Ecosystem, but less than half of these orangutans live within the Gunung Leuser National Park boundaries. Throughout its range, the primary threat to Sumatran orangutans is logging. Old-growth forests in Indonesia have declined by more than 80% in the last 25 years, and broad surveys throughout the species’ range have demonstrated that orangutan populations have plummeted in the region’s severely logged areas. Of the 13 identified orangutan populations on Sumatra, only seven are estimated at 250 or more individuals. Six of these relatively large populations have experienced between 10% and 15% annual habitat loss due to logging. Villagers and immigrants from nearby areas such as Nias Island and refugees from NAD accelerate habitat loss through encroachment and conversion of land for agriculture. Hunting often occurs when orangutans steal fruit from gardens at the forest edge and are shot by farmers. Some refugees hunt orangutans for meat, but this generally only occurs in the far south of their range (Sibolga). Key conservation interventions necessary for Sumatran orangutan survival include expanding the moratorium on logging concessions beyond NAD, improving patrols and law enforcement, stopping illegal logging, promoting forest restoration, halting road construction, addressing human-orangutan conflict, and providing connectivity in the landscape to allow for genetic exchange. At current rates of habitat destruction from logging, a further 50% of Sumatran orangutans will vanish in a decade. However, there is as much reason to believe the rate of decline will increase as there is for mitigation of this threat; solutions to conserve the remaining lowland primary habitats are urgently needed.

Susie Ellis, Mark Leighton & Ian Singleton
Madagascar

**Greater Bamboo Lemur**  
*Prolemur simus* (Gray, 1871)

**White-collared Lemur**  
*Eulemur albocollaris* (Rumpler, 1975)

**Silky Sifaka**  
*Propithecus candidus* Grandidier, 1871

**Perrier’s Sifaka**  
*Propithecus perrieri* Lavauden, 1931

Africa

**Mt. Rungwe Galago**  
*Galagoides* sp. nov.

**Pennant’s Red Colobus**  
*Procolobus pennantii pennantii* (Waterhouse, 1838)
Africa, continued

**Tana River Red Colobus**
*Procolobus rufomitratus* (Peters, 1879)

**Sanje River Mangabey**
*Cercocebus sanjei* Mittermeier, 1986

**White-naped Mangabey**
*Cercocebus atys lunulatus* (Temminck, 1853)

**The Eastern Gorillas**
*Gorilla beringei* Matschie, 1903

**Cross River Gorilla**
*Gorilla gorilla diehli* Matschie, 1904
The world’s 25 most endangered primates

**Neotropical Region**

- **Buff-headed Capuchin or Yellow-breasted Capuchin**
  *Cebus xanthosternos* Wied-Neuwied, 1826
- **Brown Spider Monkey**
  *Ateles hybridus brunnneus* Gray, 1872
- **Black-Faced Lion Tamarin**
  *Leontopithecus caissara* Lorini and Persson, 1990
- **Northern Muriqui**
  *Brachyteles hypoxanthus* (Kuhl, 1820)

**Asia**

- **Pagai Pig-tailed Snub-nosed Monkey or Simakobu**
  *Simias concolor* Miller, 1903
- **Horton Plains Slender Loris, Ceylon Mountain Slender Loris**
  *Loris tardigradus nycticeboides* (Hill, 1942)
- **Miller’s Grizzled Surili**
  *Presbytis hosei canicrus* Miller, 1934
Asia, continued

Delacour’s Langur
Trachypithecus delacouri (Osgood, 1932)

Golden-headed Langur or Cat Ba Langur
Trachypithecus poliocephalus poliocephalus (Trouessart, 1911)

Western Purple-faced Langur
Semnopithecus vetulus nestor
Bennett, 1833

Tonkin Snub-nosed Monkey
Rhinopithecus avunculus Dollman, 1912

Grey-shanked Douc
Pygathrix cinerea Nadler, 1997

Hainan Black-crested Gibbon
Nomascus nasutus hainanus (Thomas, 1892)

Sumatran Orangutan
Pongo abelii Lesson, 1827
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