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ABSTRACT


Research into sea-level rise has taken on particular prominence in more recent times owing to the global threat posed by climate change and the fact that mean sea level and temperature remain the key proxies by which we can measure changes to the climate system. Under various climate change scenarios, it has been estimated that the threat posed by the effects of sea-level rise might lead to annual damage costs across Europe on the order of €25 billion by the 2080s. European mean sea-level records are among the best time series data available globally by which to detect the presence of necessary accelerations forecast by physics-based projection models to elevate current rates of global sea-level rise (~3 mm/y) to anywhere in the vicinity of 10–20 mm/y by 2100. The analysis in this paper is based on a recently developed analytical package titled “maltrend,” specifically designed to enhance estimates of trend, real-time velocity, and acceleration in the relative mean sea-level signal derived from long annual average ocean water level time series. Key findings are that at the 95% confidence level, no consistent or compelling evidence (yet) exists that recent rates of rise are higher or abnormal in the context of the historical records available across Europe, nor is there any evidence that geocentric rates of rise are above the global average. It is likely a further 20 years of data will distinguish whether recent increases are evidence of the onset of climate change–induced acceleration.

ADDITIONAL INDEX WORDS: Climate change, velocity, improved measuring approaches.

INTRODUCTION

Climate change presents formidable social, environmental, and economic challenges for a growing global population. In particular, the threat posed by a projected rise in mean sea level will be profound (McGranahan et al., 2007; Nicholls and Cazenave, 2010) unless extensive adaptation responses are successfully implemented. The development and utilisation of coastal zones has greatly increased during the recent decades, and coasts are undergoing tremendous socioeconomic and environmental changes, a trend that is expected to continue into the future (Neumann et al., 2015). Global analysis suggests that coastal population growth and urbanisation rates are outstripping the demographic development of the hinterland, driven by rapid economic growth and coastward migration (McGranahan et al., 2007; Neumann et al., 2015; Smith, 2011).

Based on population assessments in 2000, it was estimated that the global population living within the low elevation coastal zone (LECZ, <10 m above mean sea level) was on the order of 625 million, of which 50 million were estimated to reside in Europe (Neumann et al., 2015).

The ClimateCost Project undertaken for the European Union (Watkins, 2011) provides a sobering economic appraisal of the threat from sea-level rise and the costs and benefits of adaptation over the course of the 21st century. For example, it is estimated that under a medium to high greenhouse gas emission scenario (A1B; Meehl et al., 2007), flooding along with other effects of sea-level rise (e.g., shoreline recession) will lead to annual damage costs across Europe of up to €11 billion for the 2050s, rising to €25 billion by the 2080s (Brown et al., 2011). Flooding more generally will of course be substantially exacerbated by forecast sea-level rise into the future, foreboding an increasingly ominous threat from natural disasters (Watson, 2016c).

Improved understanding of how and when climate change effects will occur and evolve over time will be critical to developing robust strategies to adapt and minimise risks (Watson, 2016a). The prominence of the climate change issue has placed more emphasis on examination of the extensive global repository of relative mean sea-level records (Holgate et al., 2012), which, along with temperature and carbon dioxide, remain the key proxy data sets used to monitor and quantify changes in the global climate system (Watson, 2016c). In particular, there has recently been renewed, energetic scientific discussion in the literature over the prospect of a measurable acceleration in ocean water level records, a feature central to physics-based projection models that are built upon the current knowledge of climate science (IPCC, 2013).

Some 28 of the 30 longest records in the Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (PSMSL) global data holdings are European, extending as far back as 1807 (Brest, France). Such records provide the world’s best time series data with which to examine how kinematic properties of the trend might be changing over time. This paper supplements the detailed analysis of the long U.S. records (Watson, 2016c) using the
same analytical framework and methodology, but applied to the extensive network of European tide gauge records.

Specifically, the paper provides an updated appraisal of acceleration in mean sea-level records around Europe through use of a recently developed analytical package titled "mstrend" (mstrend, 2016) within the R Project for Statistical Computing (R Development Core Team, 2015). The mstrend package has been specifically designed to enhance substantially estimates of trend, real-time velocity, and acceleration in relative mean sea level derived from contemporary ocean water level data sets based on unprecedented time series research, development, and analysis (see “Background” in Watson, 2016c).

The outputs of this research tool provide a more consistent, transparent appraisal of acceleration in mean sea-level records around Europe; overcoming many of the evident shortcomings from the wide body of scientific literature on this topic, which are discussed in detail in Watson (2016c).

Physical Setting

Whilst the extensive quantum of good-quality European relative mean sea-level time series data sets are invaluable for sea-level research, one must also have an understanding of the complex geophysical (and other) factors embedded within the data. The study area (Figure 1) encompasses coastlines on major waterbodies as follows.

North Atlantic Ocean

Between the Strait of Gibraltar, Spain, along the open coast to Murmansk Oblast in the Barents Sea, this area includes the adjacent waterbodies of the Gulf of Cadiz, Bay of Biscay, English Channel, Celtic Sea, Irish Sea, North Sea, Kattegat, and Norwegian Sea.

Mediterranean Sea

This semienclosed waterbody of approximately 2.5 million km² is connected to the Atlantic Ocean via the Strait of Gibraltar, which narrows to a mere 13 km. Owing to this constriction, the tides are low compared with that of the Atlantic (Pugh, 1996). The Mediterranean Sea is divided into two large basins separated by the Sicilian Channel and the Messina Strait, with both basins extending to depths of >4 km in places (Arabelos et al., 2011). The Mediterranean is connected to the Black Sea via the Strait of Bosporus and artificially to the Red Sea via the Suez Canal. Some of Europe’s largest rivers drain directly or indirectly into the Mediterranean, including the Po (Italy), Ebro (Spain), and Rhône (France), as well as the African Nile (Egypt).

Black Sea

The world’s largest inland sea covers an area of 436,000 km² exchanging water with the Mediterranean Sea via the Bosporus and Dardanelles straits (Avsar et al., 2016) and the Sea of Azov through the Kerch Strait (Staney, 2005). At any given time, the level of the Black Sea is principally governed by complex interrelationships between the local water budget (precipitation vs. evaporation), eustatic sea-level variations and water exchange through the straits, and continental discharges onto the northern coast via the Danube, Dnieper, and Don rivers, which drain almost one-third of the entire land area of continental Europe (Bakan and Büyükgüngör, 2000). A permanent feature is an upper-layer circulation driving the Rim Current, encircling the entire Black Sea and forming a large-scale cyclonic gyre (Korotaev et al., 2003). This circulation induces a rise of sea level toward the coast, where velocities increase and conversely sea level decreases in the deeper margins of the Black Sea (Kubryakov and Stanichnyi, 2013). The amplitude of sea-level variation in space depends on seasonal influences, ranging from 25 to 40 cm (Korotaev et al., 2003). Volkov and Landerer (2015) note that nonseasonal sea-level time series in the Black and Aegean seas (eastern Mediterranean) are significantly correlated, with the Black Sea, lagging by around 1 month.

Baltic Sea

Another semienclosed waterbody, The Baltic Sea is approximately 350,000 km² and is connected to the North Sea through the Kattegat and via the three Danish Straits (Oresund, Great Belt, and Little Belt) between Sweden and Denmark. The Gulf of Bothnia defines the northernmost extent the Baltic Sea, whilst the Gulf of Finland defines the easternmost extremity, extending all the way to Saint Petersburg, Russia. Based on the limited exchange with the open ocean, the Baltic Sea is virtually tideless but receives considerable freshwater inflows from more than 200 river systems (Leithe-Eriksen, 1992). The mean depth of the Baltic is around 50 m; however, the Gulfs of Bothnia and the central Baltic can be up to 500 m deep (Leppärinta and Myrberg, 2009). Ice typically covers the sea in...
winter months in the Gulfs of Bothnia, Finland, and Riga and in sheltered bays and lagoons (Kullenberg, 1981).

Whilst these waterbodies exhibit quite different physical characteristics, the associated land masses around Europe embody distinctive vertical land motions that are embedded within relative sea level records recorded at tide gauges. The most prominent of these are the high rates of postglacial rebound experienced within the Fennoscandian region of northern Europe (comprising Sweden, Finland, Norway, and parts of Russia), which are among the highest rates globally predicted by the ICE-6G (VM5a) model (Argus et al., 2014; Peltier, Argus, and Drummond, 2015). Land uplift rates around the Baltic Sea margins range from 0 in the south to 9 mm/y in the north (Leppäranta and Myrberg, 2009). Elsewhere across Europe, areas are known to be subsiding, such as the eastern margins of the Black Sea and around the southern English and the Dutch and German coastlines (Bungenstock and Schäfer, 2009; Minshull et al., 2005; Shennan and Woodworth, 1992; Wahl et al., 2013). The Mediterranean Sea and Black Sea regions exhibit a complex range of concomitant land movement processes, including glacial isostatic adjustment; subsidence due to sediment compaction in key river delta areas such as the Po, Rhône, Ebro, and Danube (Ericson et al., 2006; Panin and Jipa, 2002); and tectonic processes whereby collisions between the African, Eurasian, and Arabic plates have produced very complex tectonic regimes of microplates that are far from resolved, especially with respect to vertical motions (Garcia et al., 2007).

METHODS

The methodology below follows the procedures espoused in Watson (2016c) that have been applied to the longest time series records available for Europe, which meet the msltrend package admissibility protocols (described further in the following “Data” section). In summary, there are four key steps to the analysis.

Step 1: Gap Filling

To perform singular spectrum analysis (SSA), the time series must be complete. Numerous methods are available for gap-filling time series data; however, for ocean water level records it is recommended to fill the gaps using SSA and recurrent forecasts from complete parts of the record (Golyandina and Osipov, 2007). Iterative gap filling using SSA (Kondrashov and Ghil, 2006) has been utilised to reconstruct the gap from the combination of SSA components in which the peak spectral frequency is ≤0.2 (alternatively, corresponding to peak periods ≥5 y). By doing so, the principal spectral structures evident in the complete parts of the record can be used to forecast with greater precision across the data gap.

The msltrend package places constraints on the amount and type of gaps within the time series. Douglas (1992) noted the importance of gaps in records because of the presence of low-frequency sea-level variations. For gap-filling procedures to maintain the integrity of records and not themselves unduly affect trend determination, the total data gaps and maximum continuous data gap are limited to 15% and 5%, respectively, of the length of the time series. All gap-filled sections have been visually inspected to provide a sanity check on the synthetic data sections generated.

Step 2: Isolating Trend Using SSA

Once gap filling has been completed, msltrend decomposes the time series using 1D-SSA with a window (or embedding dimension) of half the time series length to optimise the detail of the decomposition and improve the separability of key signals (Golyandina and Zhigljavsky, 2013). The trend component(s) from the SSA decomposition are then isolated using spectral thresholding techniques to capture and reconstruct only components in which 75% of the contribution for each component is confined within the low frequency band (0–0.01). These specific limits have been established from inspection of the decomposition of the 50 longest records within the data repository of the PSMSL. The grouping of relevant components are automatically detected and reconstructed within msltrend to estimate relative mean sea level.

Step 3: Estimating Real-Time Velocity and Acceleration

A cubic smoothing spline is then fitted to the trend determined in step 2 to estimate the real-time (or instantaneous) velocities and accelerations corresponding to each data point in the original time series. As the trend by definition is comparatively smooth, the fitted smoothing spline has been limited to one degree of freedom for every eight data points. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) between the SSA-derived trend and the fitted cubic smoothing spline for all records analysed exceeds 0.99, representing a near mathematically perfect model fit.

The instantaneous velocity and accelerations can be readily estimated from the first and second derivatives of the fitted smoothing spline, respectively, corresponding to the original time steps in the series. However, care is required in fitting smoothing splines and deriving second derivatives near the end of the time series, because the knots at the end of a fitted cubic smoothing spline are fixed in order to be differentiable, resulting in a second derivative at the ends that must converge to zero. For this reason, the first and last three derived acceleration points on the time series will likely have reduced accuracy and are not included in any analysis.

Step 4: Calculation of Errors

Errors in estimating the trend and associated instantaneous velocity and accelerations have been determined using block bootstrapping techniques with 10,000 iterations. This process initially involves fitting an autoregressive time series model to remove the serial correlation in the residuals between the SSA-derived trend and the gap-filled time series (Foster and Brown, 2015).

The uncorrelated residuals are then tested to identify change points in the statistical variance along the time series. Where a change point in the variance is detected, the recommended procedure involves block bootstrapping of uncorrelated residuals quarantined between identified variance change points and then adding the sections to the SSA-derived trend before running steps 2 and 3 some 10,000 times. The standard deviations are then readily calculated for the trend, as well as associated velocity and accelerations from which to directly derive confidence intervals. All error margins in the paper have been estimated at the 95% confidence level (unless specified otherwise).
Mean Sea-Level Data

The msltrend package was specifically developed for application to annual average time series data available from the PSMSL. Data records are required to have a minimum length of 80 years to more robustly decompose the time series and improve the accuracy of isolating the trend from the range of complex time-varying amplitude influences, including the quasi 60-year oscillation identified in all oceanic basins of the world (Chambers, Merrifield, and Nerem, 2012). Only revised local reference datasets from the PSMSL have been used because they are commensurate with quality control procedures and complete tide gauge datum histories provided by the supplying national authority (PSMSL, 2016).

Within the study area (Figure 1), some 83 annual average time series records are available for analysis that meet the length and data gap admissibility protocols of the msltrend package. Within these records, the spatial density is highest along the northern and central European mainland bordering the North Sea and for margins around the Baltic Sea, contrasting sharply with the scarcity of comparable records within the Mediterranean and Black seas. Records used in this study comprise 8505 station years from the PSMSL plus a further 2436 station years added through the extensive data archaeology work of Hogarth (2014). The work of Hogarth (2014) extends tide gauge time series from the PSMSL using historical documents and PSMSL ancillary data and by developing additional composite time series using near-neighbour tide gauges (Watson, 2016c). A total of 54 station records used in this study have been extended by Hogarth (2014), with only the complete portions of these extended time series used. Furthermore, only records finishing within the last decade (post 2006) have been considered, because the focus of the study is to reconcile recent temporal changes in mean sea level with the historical record available.

All data records used have notionally been assigned a “Station ID” (Table 1) based on differentiating key European coastlines, including: (1) North Atlantic commencing in the south at Lagos, Portugal (ID = 1), heading north around the British Isles, along the North, Norwegian, and Barents seas to Polyarniy, Russian Federation (ID = 39) (Figures 1 and 2); (2) Baltic Sea beyond the entrance at the Great Belt commencing at Gedser, Denmark (ID = 40), moving in a clockwise direction...
around the Gulf of Bothnia, Gulf of Finland, and Gulf of Riga to Wismar, Germany (ID = 76) (Figure 3); and (3) Mediterranean and Black seas beyond the Strait of Gibraltar commencing at Alicante, Spain (ID = 77), moving in a clockwise direction around the Balearic, Tyrrhenian, Ionian, Adriatic, and Aegean seas, to Poti, Georgia (ID = 83), on the eastern foreshore of the Black Sea (Figure 1).

By graphically representing characteristics associated with records based on the Station ID, spatially dominant patterns are more readily apparent.

**Estimates of Geocentric Mean Sea Level**

Système d’Observation du Niveau des Eaux Littorales (SONEL) vertical land movement data have been used to correct relative rates of sea-level rise to estimate geocentric rates. Specifically, the relative rate of mean sea level applied is the last (or most recent) velocity determined from step 3 above. The additional assessment of geocentric rates of mean sea level across Europe permit valuable and direct comparison against the contemporary rate of global mean sea level estimated from satellite altimetry (CU Sea Level Research Group, 2016). SONEL serves as the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) data assembly centre for the Global Sea Level Observing System. The SONEL recently undertook the reanalysis of 19 years of Global Positioning System (GPS) data from 1995 to 2014, providing the updated ULR6a solution for vertical land velocities for GNSS sites within approximately 15 km of long tide gauge records used in this study (Santamaria-Gómez et al., 2012; SONEL, 2016). In situations where several alternative SONEL stations were available to choose from, selection was based upon the GPS site located closest to the tide gauge, where the GPS antenna is still active (Table 1). In total, 30 SONEL stations with updated ULR6a solutions were available for application within the study area.

**Statistical Significance Testing**

An important aspect of the analysis contained herein is to determine whether more recent peak velocities and accelerations associated with mean sea level are statistically different (higher) than rates measured elsewhere over the course of the historical record. To do this, the statistical significance tests advised by Wolfe and Hanley (2002) have been applied at the 95% confidence level.

**RESULTS**

Figure 4 provides an example output from the analysis of the four longest records available within the study area (Amsterdam, Netherlands, 246 y; Stockholm, Sweden, 214 y; Brest, France, 208 y; and Swinoujscie, Poland, 202 y), reflective of the broader regional temporal signatures of velocity and accelerations in relative mean sea level. It is clear that these velocities and accelerations are varying over time. It is also evident from these long records that relative velocity is steadily increasing over time, peaking at or near the recent end of the time series record, driven by low and continually changing rates of acceleration. For each of the long records depicted in Figure 4, the acceleration is predominantly confined to a narrow band within ±0.05 mm/y² and not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level for most of the records, despite evidence that relative velocities are continuing to increase. The complete analysis of all the records in this manner provides the means to inspect spatiotemporal patterns in greater detail than previously available.

Figure 5 provides a breakdown of the peak velocities and accelerations for each record and the time they occur. It is relevant to consider these charts simultaneously because velocity and acceleration are intrinsically linked as kinematic properties. For example, acceleration is required to increase velocity and conversely negative acceleration (or deceleration) is required to reduce velocity. From inspection of Figure 5, a range of key observations are apparent.

The spatial signature of peak relative velocity is strongly reflective of the signatures of vertical land movements within the study area. Those areas experiencing high rates of postglacial rebound are clearly evident moving northward around the Baltic Sea margins, and peak relative velocities are less than -4 mm/y. Conversely, only 19 stations measure a peak relative velocity throughout the historical record exceeding 2 mm/y (Table 2). Principally these high rates correspond with areas that exhibit known subsidence (eastern Black Sea, southern English, Dutch, and German coastlines). The primary and secondary velocity peaks measured across all European records are quite similar in magnitude. Some 52 of the 83

---

*Figure 3. Baltic Sea tide gauge records analysed for this study. Refer Figure 1 inset B and Table 1 for further details.*
Table 1. Summary of data used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>PSMSL ID</th>
<th>Year Start</th>
<th>Year End</th>
<th>Length (y)</th>
<th>Gaps (y)</th>
<th>SONEL Station ID</th>
<th>Length (y)</th>
<th>Distance to Tide Gauge (m)</th>
<th>VLM (mm/y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Atlantic Ocean (including North Sea, Norwegian Sea, and Barents Sea)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Lagos</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Cascais</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1882</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Leixoes</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Saint-Jean-de-Luz</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Brest</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1807</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Newlyn</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Aberdeen</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 North Shielde</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Sheerness</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1870</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Oostende</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Vlissingen</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1862</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Terneuzen</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>1828</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Hoek van Holland</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1864</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Massluis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1848</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Amsterdam</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1766</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Ijmuiden</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Den Helder</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1832</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>183</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Harlingen</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1865</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 West-Terschelling</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>1921</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Delfzijl</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Cuxhaven</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1843</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Esbjerg</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Hirtshals</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1892</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Frederikshavn</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1894</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Fredericia</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Varberg</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1887</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Skagerak</td>
<td>2133</td>
<td>1887</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Goteborg-Ringon</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Oslo</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Tregde</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Bergen</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Kiel</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Kiel Fjord</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Kielbasa</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baltic Sea Region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>PSMSL ID</th>
<th>Year Start</th>
<th>Year End</th>
<th>Length (y)</th>
<th>Gaps (y)</th>
<th>SONEL Station ID</th>
<th>Length (y)</th>
<th>Distance to Tide Gauge (m)</th>
<th>VLM (mm/y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gedser</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1882</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kbhavn</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1899</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klagsgaard</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ystad</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1887</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kungsholmsfort</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1887</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olands Norra Udde</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1887</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vyby</td>
<td>2105</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mem</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1884</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsort</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1887</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nedre Sodertalje</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1869</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>146</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholm</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1801</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>214</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bjorn</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1892</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nedre Gavle</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1896</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dragahlun</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1898</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratna</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1892</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furuogrund</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>1892</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemi</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oulu</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pietarsaar</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaasa</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1867</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaskinen</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantyluoto</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaasa</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lykkö</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1858</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lappeenranta</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1858</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station IDa</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>PSMSL ID</th>
<th>Year Start</th>
<th>Year End</th>
<th>Length (y)</th>
<th>Gaps (y)</th>
<th>VLM (mm/y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Foglob</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>1866</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Turku</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>1922</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Jungfrusundb</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1858</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Hankob</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1866</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Helsinki</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>METS 18.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Soderskarb</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1866</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Haminab</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Kronstadtb</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1835</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Gdanskb</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1886</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Swinoujsciab</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1811</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Warnemundeab</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>WARN 10.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Wismarb</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1849</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea (including Black Sea)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Alicanteb</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1874</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ALAC 14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Marsseuble</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MARS 15.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Genovab</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1884</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>GENO 15.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Veneziaab</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>VEN1 4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Triesteb</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TRIE 10.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Tuapseb</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TUAP NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Poti</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1874</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: VLM = vertical land movements; NA = not available
a The “Station ID” is a local referencing protocol used throughout this study, particularly the graphical outputs.
b Extended data sets advised in Hogarth (2014) have been used for this study.
c All GPS data kindly provided by SONEL using updated ULR6a solutions (Santamaria-Gómez et al., 2012) with 1σ error estimates advised.

Records (63%) have the peak relative velocity occurring on or after 2000, although within this figure there are some interesting spatial features. In particular, this figure rises to 80% of the stations in the semienclosed seas (Baltic, Mediterranean, and Black seas), in contrast to only 44% of the stations positioned along the North Atlantic coastline. Some 10 of these peaks coincide with the most recent data point in the time series (Brest, France [ID = 5]; Bergen, Norway [ID = 36]; Heimsojo, Norway [ID = 37]; Mem, Sweden [ID = 47]; Swinoujsci, Poland [ID = 74]; Alicante, Spain [ID = 77]; Marseille, France [ID = 78]; Genova, Italy [ID = 79]; Trieste, Italy [ID = 81]; and Tuapse, Russian Federation [ID = 82]).

Mean maximum acceleration measured across all records is approximately $0.074 \pm 0.042 \text{mm/y}^2$ (1σ), with no particular spatial patterns evident.

There is, however, strong spatial coherence around the timing of the primary and secondary peaks in mean sea level acceleration (middle right panel), with nearly 34% of the peak acceleration focused within a band between 1994 and 2000. Other bands of acceleration are clearly evident centred around 1940 and 1976.

Most significantly, from an analysis of the peak velocities and accelerations for all records considered within the study area, only five peak velocities (Hornbæk, Denmark [ID = 30]; Smögen, Sweden [ID = 33]; Kbenhavn, Denmark [ID = 41]; Furuøgrund, Sweden [ID = 55]; and Genova, Italy [ID = 79]) and three peak accelerations (Vlissingen, Netherlands [ID = 11]; IJmuiden, Netherlands [ID = 17]; and Marseille, France [ID = 78]) are statistically different (or higher) than other peaks observed elsewhere over the historical record (95% confidence level). Of these eight statistically significant peaks, all except the peak accelerations measured at Vlissingen and IJmuiden occur after 1990.

Figure 6 provides an assessment of the extent and temporal distribution of positive acceleration in all records that is statistically different from zero. Such analyses provide an alternative form of assessment for investigating subtleties of acceleration when the metrics are comparatively low. A mere 7.4% of the 10,941 station years of records available exhibit a positive acceleration different from zero at the 95% confidence level. However, there is some evidence of a more sustained period of positive acceleration between ~1880 and 1910 from the longer records available for the southern portion of the North Atlantic coastline. Elsewhere the temporal signatures mirror the peak accelerations discussed previously concerning
Figure 5. Results displayed in Figure 6 also highlight a difference within the Baltic Sea compared with the rest of the study area. Specifically, only 2.6% of station years exhibit a positive acceleration different from zero, with some 54% of the Baltic records indicating no positive acceleration throughout the time series (95% CI).

Figure 7 provides an appraisal of the current rate of geocentric sea-level rise across the tide gauge network by...
correcting the relative velocity at the recent end of the record with GPS-derived vertical land movement rates from SONEL (Table 1), noting that only 30 records (or 36%) have an associated SONEL station available with updated ULR6a solutions (Santamaría-Gómez et al., 2012; SONEL, 2016). A number of key features are evident from this graphical analysis, including no evident spatial trend across Europe from the current geocentric velocities. Furthermore, none of the geocentric velocities determined exceed the global average of 3.4 ± 0.4 mm/y (CU Sea Level Research Group, 2016; Nerem et al., 2010) at the 95% confidence level. Ten stations, though, are lower than the global average (95% CI), of which eight are located along the North Atlantic coastline between Lagos, Portugal (ID = 1), and Esbjerg, Denmark (ID = 23).

The bottom panel of Figure 7, although highlighting no particular spatial pattern across Europe, indicates that only 25 of 83 stations (or 30%) exhibit a current velocity exceeded by <10% of the historical record. For these stations, this indicates the latter portions of these records are within the upper bracket of velocities recorded over the historical record, providing tangible (albeit limited) evidence of recent acceleration in mean sea level across the European region.

DISCUSSION

Watson (2016b,c) notes that although extensive research has been undertaken into sea-level rise, considerable conjecture and scientific debate remain about the temporal changes in mean sea level and the climatic and associated physical forcings responsible for them. One of the reasons for this is that ocean water level time series data from tide gauge stations are a complex amalgam of key physical contributory factors that include: (1) land movement at the tide gauge site; (2) dynamic influences of largely oceanographic, atmospheric, or gravitational origins operating on differing temporal and spatial scales; and (3) a low-amplitude signal of mean sea-level rise driven by climate change influences (principally, melting of snow and ice reserves bounded above sea level [directly adding water] and thermal expansion of the ocean water mass).
Specifically within the European context, factors 1 and 2 are particularly complex. The study area encompasses sites ranging from those that exhibit high rates of vertical land motion from postglacial rebound (e.g., Baltic Sea) to areas where significant ongoing subsidence is prevalent (e.g., eastern margins of the Black Sea). Additionally, the semienclosed margins of the Black, Mediterranean, and Baltic seas drain annual continental water discharges from large tracts of Europe.

Deshayes and Frankignoul (2008) note that the North Atlantic Ocean is a key element of Earth’s climate. In particular, the cyclonic circulation at depth along the boundaries, the deep western boundary current, is the deep limb of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (AMOC) that contributes substantially to the energy balance of the earth. The state of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) imposes a strong constraint on the circulation of the North Atlantic (Getzlaff et al., 2005) and is considered to be a singular major atmospheric, basin-scale pattern that affects sea level around Europe and further afield (Tsimpis et al., 2006; Wakelin et al., 2003; Woolf, Shaw, and Tsimpis, 2003).

In addition to the afore-mentioned studies, numerous published works have been dedicated to examining linkages between dominant NAO drivers and sea-level anomalies throughout the study area (e.g., Calafat, Chambers, and Tsimpis, 2012; Dangendorf et al., 2012; Gomis et al., 2006, 2008; Jevrejeva, et al., 2005; Lehmann, Getzlaff, and Harla, 2011; Tsimpis et al., 2008; Tsimpis and Shaw, 2008; Vigo, Garcia, and Chao, 2005).

Tsimpis and Shaw (2008) note the NAO influence causes an anticorrelation between northern and southern European sea level, whilst Yan, Tsimpis, and Woolf (2004) observed a linear relationship between sea-level anomalies and the winter-averaged NAO index in NW Europe ranging from about –100 to +200 mm per NAO unit (Wakelin et al., 2003; Woolf, Shaw, and Tsimpis, 2003). The NAO remains the most significant dynamic influence (factor 2 above) embedded within European ocean water level time series.

Improving estimates of relative mean sea level (factor 3 above) and associated kinematic properties (velocity, acceleration), rests largely with improved isolation of these dynamic contaminating influences from the time series. These influences persist on interannual to decadal (and longer) timescales resulting ostensibly from winds driven by climate modes (Qiu and Chen, 2012; Sturges and Douglas, 2011) and are often orders of magnitude larger in scale than the low-amplitude signal of mean sea-level rise, which is the key artefact of...
interest (Watson, 2016c). The necessity to remove these influences from the data to enhance acceleration estimates is well noted in the literature (e.g., Calafat and Chambers, 2013; Calafat, Chambers, and Tsimplia, 2012; Chambers, Merrifield, and Nerem, 2012; Dangendorf et al., 2014; Douglas, 1992; Haigh et al., 2014).

The NAO was one of the key dynamic features embedded within the synthetic data set (Watson, 2015) used to test time series analysis techniques for their utility in isolating relative mean sea level from conventional ocean water level data sets with improved accuracy (Watson, 2016a). From this testing, SSA (which underpins the msltrend package used to decompose records in this study) proved an optimal technique to separate out these complex oscillatory signals with time-varying amplitudes and noise from the low-amplitude and low-frequency signal of mean sea-level rise over time. In particular, the estimation of mean sea level via selection of “trend-like” components in which a contribution threshold ≥75% is contained within frequency bins ≤0.01 (refer to “Methods,” step 2) provides a necessary assurance that these dynamic contaminating influences are removed.

The detailed analysis undertaken highlights that velocity time series associated with relative mean sea level at each site are distinctly nonlinear, in turn reflective of associated acceleration continually varying over time. With relatively small kinematic properties evident over the course of the lengthy records available (Figure 4), different diagnostic approaches are proving necessary to infer an acceleration in mean sea level records or to detect change points in records that might be reflective of an altered climate-related forcing. The relative mean sea-level velocity and acceleration time series enable subtle changes to be more readily or intuitively detected, moving beyond the encumbrances and inherent limitations of the overly used linear regression and quadratic techniques to estimate velocity and acceleration, respectively (refer to “Discussion” in Watson, 2016c).

Reconciling Historical and Future Projected Mean Sea Level Accelerations

As indicated above, the scale of velocities and accelerations measured within this study (e.g., Figure 4) are relatively small, particularly when compared with those associated with forecasts from physics-based and climate models over the course of the 21st century and beyond (IPCC, 2013). Under various forecast scenarios, the current rate of global averaged sea-level rise of 3.4 ± 0.4 mm/y (CU Sea Level Research Group, 2016; Nerem et al., 2010) is expected to increase to rates of the order of 10–20 mm/y by 2100 (Watson, 2016a).

Figure 8 provides a visual comparative analysis of how the velocity and acceleration time series might change at Cuxhaven, Germany, and Kronstadt, Russian Federation, based on a relative mean sea-level rise of 800 mm from present to 2100. Under such a scenario, which assumes simple equations of motion and uniform acceleration, the necessary and significant changes in velocities and accelerations compared with those measured over the historical record, are likely to be readily apparent well within the next 20 years. For example, accelerations at both stations will, under such a scenario, have to rise to ~0.18 mm/y² within the next 10 years and be sustained to 2100. By contrast, only one European record (Mem, Sweden) has experienced a measured acceleration of this order from the 10,941 station years analysed (Figure 5), and from all of these records, a mere 7.4% exhibit a positive acceleration different from zero at the 95% confidence level (Figure 6).

One should caution that these forecasts are highly idealistic and preliminary. They are advised to provide a sense of perspective regarding the timing of necessary changes to the kinematic properties of mean sea level at these locations, in order to give effect to such projections. It is recognised that the atmosphere is regarded as having a very short memory, whereas the oceans, because of their enormous thermal inertia, provide much longer memory for climate variations (Dangendorf et al., 2015; Marcos et al., 2016; Trenberth and Hurrell, 1994). This inertia and long-term memory relate to the ability and time for the ocean to store and transport heat and temperature anomalies throughout the water mass to great depth (Goosse et al., 2004; Goosse and Renssen, 2005). Whilst these facets are built into coupled ocean–atmosphere general circulation models to study the characteristics of the large-scale ocean circulation and its climatic effects, the trajectory of the oceanic response remains uncertain, with potential lags not yet fully understood.

From this perspective it is imperative to appreciate the importance of ongoing efforts to identify, with improved accuracy, critical change points in the long time series of mean sea-level records available. Whilst the techniques espoused in this paper represent a concerted effort to test and specifically identify enhanced techniques to isolate mean sea level with improved temporal accuracy (Watson, 2015, 2016a,b,c) this work should be considered a staging point for ongoing improvements in such critical areas, in particular the identification of statistically robust change points in the record.

Selected Literature Concerning Mean Sea Level Acceleration around Europe

Woodworth (1990) remains one of the seminal papers in the literature concerning mean sea-level accelerations, focusing on the extensive network of European time series records. This work concluded that European tide gauge records since 1870 showed little evidence for significant accelerations, either positive or negative, in regional mean sea levels, with a weak deceleration observed on average. This study, however, based estimates of acceleration on simple quadratic coefficients, which (from a more contemporary understanding) have significant limitations (Watson, 2016c).

No comparable studies of this scale regarding mean sea level acceleration across Europe have been undertaken since Woodworth (1990), although considerable attention has been given to investigations on smaller scales, such as the North Sea (e.g., Shennan and Woodworth, 1992; Wahl et al., 2013), English Channel, UK (e.g., Haigh, Nicholls, and Wells, 2009), German Bight (e.g., Wahl, Jensen, and Frank, 2010; Wahl et al., 2011), and Baltic Sea (e.g., Donner et al., 2012; Spada, Olivieri, and Galassi, 2014).

Shennan and Woodworth (1992) analysed records from the U.K. and North Sea, developing a detrended “Regional Sea-Level Index,” confirming the previous results of Woodworth.
with no evidence in the region for an acceleration of sea-level trends in recent decades (i.e. up to 1992).

Haigh, Nicholls, and Wells (2009) provide a detailed appraisal of available records around the English Channel. Acceleration in mean sea level was observed by considering overlapping 10, 25, and 50-year periods for the four longest and most complete records. The analysis concluded that recent high rates of change in mean sea level were not unusual compared with those that had occurred at other times in the 20th century, with no evidence as yet (at 2009)

Figure 8. Indicative implications of projected sea-level rise of 800 mm at Cuxhaven, Germany, and Kronstadt, Russian Federation, from present to 2100. Projections are based on simple equations of motion with uniform acceleration. Error margins noted are 95% confidence levels.
for any acceleration in sea-level rise over the 20th century around the English Channel.

Wahl et al. (2011) provides a detailed assessment of 13 tide gauge records covering the entire German North Sea coastline with particular consideration given to the investigation of nonlinear (acceleration) behaviour. One method involves the establishment of a “virtual” station time series smoothed using SSA (with an embedding dimension of 15 y) in combination with Monte Carlo autoregressive padding (used previously in Wahl, Jensen, and Frank, 2010) in a novel approach designed to limit the ubiquity of end effects associated with filtering of time series. Rates of change are then considered via first differences. This work concluded that an acceleration of sea-level rise commenced at the end of the 19th century with another distinct period of acceleration starting in the 1970s and intensifying from the 1990s, but the high rates of sea-level rise during this period are comparable to rates at other times during the last 166 years. Similar periods of key acceleration are confirmed in the current study, although Wahl et al. (2011) do not find evidence of acceleration around 1940 that is clearly evident in the current study and that of others (e.g., Woodworth et al., 2009). Of particular interest Wahl et al. (2011) also conclude recent (i.e. post-1990) rates of rise from nonlinear smoothing on the order of 4–6 mm/y for the southern part of the German Bight and 7–8 mm/y for the eastern part. Although the current study finds high recent (post-1990) rates of relative sea-level rise for records around the German Bight, the maximum rates determined are only on the order of ~2 mm/y.

The discrepancy in the rates between the studies might, in part, rest with the comparatively narrow embedding dimension (or window length, L) of 15 years used for the SSA analysis in the Wahl et al. (2011) study. The selection of small window lengths risks suboptimal separability and potential mixing of signals, where the singular values of the decomposition are close. The smaller the embedding space, the shorter the length of the window over which the resolved components are calculated, and the less resolved is each component (Moore, Grinsted, and Jevrejeva, 2005). In effect, small SSA windows act like smoothing linear filters of width 2L − 1, thereby retaining contaminating power bands (albeit smoothed), as distinct from their isolation and removal.

Hein, Mai, and Barjenbruch (2011) analysed German Bight data similar to Wahl et al. (2011) using a similar approach, but they further develop the method through use of the second derivative to predict boundary values and calculate the white noise Monte Carlo simulation in the frequency space. By removing major frequency bands identified at approximately 35 and 75 years, Hein, Mai, and Barjenbruch (2011) concluded no evident long-term trend of acceleration in mean sea level around the German Bight.

Wahl et al. (2013) provides a detailed analysis of the North Sea regional sea-level records, updating the prior work of Shennan and Woodworth (1992) by considering three separate “regional sea-level indices” for the North Sea, Inner North Sea, and English Channel. This body of work concluded that recent rates of sea-level rise (i.e. over the last two to three decades) were high compared with the long-term average, but comparable to those observed at other times in the late 19th and 20th century. This investigation considers nonlinear (acceleration) behaviour using a similar technique to that espoused in Wahl et al. (2011).

Donner et al. (2012) provides a detailed examination of Baltic Sea records, examining measures of acceleration through the application of a fitted AR[1] model and performing separate (two-sided) t tests and Mann–Kendall tests for 1000 realizations of each model. This work observed that by comparing the empirical values of the test statistics with those obtained for AR[1] surrogates adjusted to the data, all accelerating trends in the 10% and 50% quantiles become insignificant with respect to both t and Mann–Kendall tests. While seasonal influences appear to have been accounted for, there is no evidence that this approach is able to remove the contaminating decadal and multidecadal variability from the trends. Intuitively, this would increase the likelihood of statistically measured accelerations, but, in accordance with the approach and tests adopted, they were not realised. Despite differences in approaches, the current study also highlights the substantial absence of any periods of positive acceleration in mean sea level at the 95% confidence level across the Baltic Sea margin (Figure 6).

A detailed study of the Baltic mean sea-level records by Spada, Olivieri, and Galassi (2014) observed an anomaly in the long-term acceleration explained by classical postglacial rebound theory and numerical modelling of glacial isostasy. This work, however, is based upon the use of quadratic coefficients, which have significant limitations for the purpose at hand (Watson, 2016c). As previously advised, the current study finds no measureable acceleration in mean sea level within the Baltic Sea.

**CONCLUSION**

Watson (2016c) notes that the implications of sea-level rise, particularly the much larger projected rates of rise under future climate change modelled scenarios (e.g., Church et al., 2013; Mengel et al., 2016), are profound, with far reaching social, economic, and environmental implications (amongst others) foreshadowed over the course of the 21st century and beyond. These are well described for the European context in the ClimateCost Project undertaken for the European Union (Brown et al., 2011; Watkiss, 2011).

The effects across Europe associated with relative mean sea-level rise are quite varied, principally because of the associated vertical land motions, which range from high rates of land uplift associated with postglacial rebound experienced within the Fennoscandian region (up to ~9 mm/y) to areas experiencing ongoing land subsidence (e.g., northern British Isles and the eastern portions of the Mediterranean and Black seas). Areas experiencing land subsidence will be affected more urgently and directly by rising global mean sea level. By contrast, depending on the trajectory of future sea-level rise, the northern land margins of Fennoscandia might still be rising faster than global mean sea level for the larger part of the 21st century, with no apparent issue for coast-dwelling communities until the rate of sea-level rise begins to overwhelm the rate of uplift from postglacial rebound.

Mean sea-level records are pivotal data sources because they provide one of the key proxies by which to measure a changing climate system. With the very ethos of climate change science
and projection modelling underpinned by necessary and significant accelerations in mean sea level, numerous works in the scientific literature have been dedicated to measuring accelerations that might provide improved instruction on the future sea-level trajectory to assist strategic planning, adaptive responses, and policy development in readiness for the challenges ahead (Watson, 2016c).

Atlantic mean sea-level records could be considered one of the key “canaries in the coal mine” for climate change research given the North Atlantic Ocean! is a key element of the Earth’s climate (Deshayes and Frankignoul, 2008) and, in particular, the role of the AMOC as a key means by which heat anomalies are sequestered into the ocean’s interior and thus modulate the trajectory of climate change (Buckley and Marshall, 2016).

The analytical techniques espoused in this paper improve estimates of mean sea level from conventional, long, annual time series by more efficiently removing decadal to interdecadal (and longer) dynamic influences. By virtue, associated kinematic properties of the mean sea-level signal (velocity, acceleration) are also enhanced.

In addition to the use of improved analytical techniques, the search for accelerations in mean sea-level records will require more intuitive, diagnostic considerations (such as those considered in this paper) until such time as the mean sea-level signal is sufficiently large not to be obscured by complex dynamic influences and other background noise.

Although the general tendency has been for velocity in mean sea level to increase over time, inferring an acceleration to do so, these kinematic properties of the mean sea-level signal around Europe have continued to vary over the course of the historical records available at generally low measured rates. The analysis suggests key periods of acceleration centred in bands around ~1880 to 1910, 1940, and 1976 and a strong spatially coherent signal between 1994 and 2000 consistent with the general findings of previous researchers using different techniques (e.g., Wahl et al., 2013; Woodworth et al., 2009). Significantly, only one record within the study area exhibits a peak acceleration occurring after 1990 (Marseille, France) that is statistically different (or higher) from other peaks observed elsewhere over the historical record (95% confidence level).

Similarly only 5 of the 83 records analysed exhibited a peak velocity occurring after 1990 that was statistically different (or higher) than other peaks observed elsewhere over the historical record (95% confidence level). The Europe-wide context of these findings accord with the prior findings of studies using different techniques (e.g., Wahl et al., 2013; Woodworth et al., 2009). When relative mean sea-level velocities are corrected for available vertical land motions, none of the geocentric velocities determined at the end of the available time series record exceed the global average rate of 3.4 ± 0.4 mm/y (CU Sea Level Research Group, 2016; Nerem et al., 2010) at the 95% confidence level.

The results and findings from this large study of European mean sea-level records are broadly consistent with those for the complementary study of the mainland U.S. records (Watson, 2016c). Whilst the accelerated climate change influence is not yet statistically evident in these records, depending on the climate change trajectory, it is highly likely that such changes will take at least 15–20 years to manifest in the network of tide gauge records examined within this study.
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