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The taxonomy and typification of *Sedum creticum*, and the curious protologue of *S. ×donatae* (*Crassulaceae*)

Abstract: The intricate taxonomy of *Sedum creticum* C. Presl, a name misinterpreted for 159 years up to the study of the original material by Král in 1987, is reviewed and revised. The two "ecotypes" distinguished within *S. creticum* (var. *creticum* and var. *monocarpicum* 't Hart) are shown to represent mere non-heritable modifications not warranting formal taxonomic recognition. *Sedum ×donatae* Afferni, a purported natural hybrid between *S. creticum* and *S. cyprium* A. K. Jacks. & Turrill, is based on the same type gathering as *S. hierapetrae* Rech. f., which is a taxonomic synonym of *S. creticum*. A full synonymy of *S. creticum* is given and a lectotype is designated for *S. hierapetrae* Rech. f.
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Introduction

*Sedum* L. is the largest genus in the *Crassulaceae* and comprises some 420 species distributed mainly in temperate and subtropical regions of the N hemisphere (Thiede & Eggli 2007) including most of Europe (53 species; Hart & Eggli 2003) where it is centred in the Mediterranean region (about 31 species; Hart & Eggli 2003); 14 species occur on the Greek island of Crete (Fielding & Turland 2005; Strid 2016). One of the best-known and most common *Sedum* species on Crete is *S. creticum* C. Presl, a small, rosette-forming, annual to perennial plant (Hart 1989; Jahn & Schönfelder 1995; Hart 2002; Hart & Bleij 2003; Hart & Eggli 2003; Fielding & Turland 2005; Marhold 2011; Strid 2016) that includes two ecotypes (Hart 1989): annual to biennial plants with terminal inflorescences, as well as perennial and tufted plants with axillary inflorescences (Fig. 1A–F). Despite many treatments, the taxonomy and typification of *S. creticum* is not yet satisfactorily settled and is therefore reviewed and reassessed here, also including an assessment of the status of the purported nothospecies *S. ×donatae* Afferni (2014), which involves *S. creticum* as one of its putative parental species.

Material and methods

Specimen data were provided by the Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin (B); the Natural History Museum, London (BM); Conservatoire et Jardin botaniques de la Ville de Genève (G); Martin-Luther-Universität, Halle (HAL); Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena (JE); Naturalis, Nationaal Herbarium Nederland,
The taxonomic history of *Sedum creticum*

*Sedum creticum* C. Presl (1828) was based on “*Sedum Cotyledon* Sieb. non Jacq.” of Sieber’s “Herbarium creticum”, collected by Sieber in 1817 at “Lassiti” (Lasithi). The diagnosis is “very brief and not allowing the recognition or the identification of the plant” (Král 1987: 307) and leaves open whether the species is annual to biennial or perennial. The name was placed by several later authors in the synonymy of the annual to biennial *S. cepaea* L. (e.g. by Fröderström 1931: 41), a species not recorded from Crete until Deschatres & Greuter (2001).

*Sedum creticum* Boiss. & Heldr. (in Boissier 1849: 16), an annual to biennial plant with terminal inflorescences, was published without knowledge of Presl’s earlier name, based on a Heldreich gathering from the Kourtaliotiko (“Korduliotiko”) Gorge.

*Sedum hierapetrae* Rech. f. (1943) is based on a Rechinger gathering from the Thripti mountains (“Aphendi Kavusi-Gebirge”) and differs from *S. creticum* Boiss. & Heldr. especially in its perennial growth with terminal inflorescences; it was likewise published without knowledge of Presl’s *S. creticum*.

Maire (1977: 356), after nearly 150 years, noted that *Sedum creticum* Boiss. & Heldr. represents an illegitimate later homonym of *S. creticum* C. Presl and published the replacement name *S. cretense* Maire for the former.

Greuter (1981) considered *Sedum creticum* C. Presl as the annual to biennial ecotype with axillary inflorescences and thus identical with *S. creticum* Boiss. & Heldr., and he was the first author to treat both names as conspecific.


The original material of *Sedum creticum* C. Presl, two duplicates at PRC, was not studied until Král (1987), who showed that it has perennial rosettes with axillary inflorescences. Král designated a lectotype and published the new genus *Helladia* M. Král with *H. cretica* (C. Presl) M. Král and two further species, which received no acceptance.

---

**Results and Discussion**

**Fig. 1. Sedum creticum** in habitat (A–D) and in cultivation (E & F). – A: in the lowlands of Crete, the unbranched, monocarpic ecotype (“var. monocarpicum”) prevails (Gerani W of Rethimno, 20 Oct 2006). – B: in the Cretan mountains, the branched, perennial ecotype (“var. creticum”) dominates (Lagou on Lasithi plain, 12 Oct 2006). – C & D: in the altitudinal mid-zone, the unbranched, monocarpic ecotype (C) and the branched, perennial ecotype (D) may be found at the same locality less than 1 m apart (near Stavros, Oros Thripti, 21 Oct 2006). – E: the perennial ecotype with axillary inflorescences in cultivation, originally collected near Exo Potami (Oros Dikti, 22 Feb 2007). – F: well-watered plants of the perennial ecotype often become monocarpic with terminal inflorescences and flower themselves to death (from near Exo Potami, Oros Dikti, 4 Jul 2007). – All photographs: Ray Stephenson.
In summary, Sedum creticum C. Presl was misinterpreted for 159 years due to the lack of study of the original material, leading to the publication of several redundant names. This highlights the importance of original (type) material in the application of names of taxa.

The two ecotypes of Sedum creticum

Král’s (1987) lectotypification of Sedum creticum C. Presl prompted Hart (1989) to provide a new concept for the species, recognizing two ecotypes at varietal rank: var. creticum, from more mesic and shadier mountain habitats between 500 and 1000–1600 m, includes perennial, tufted plants with axillary inflorescences (Fig. 1B, D & E); whereas var. monocarpicum ‘t Hart (= S. creticum Boiss. & Heldr.), from rocky and dry lowland habitats up to 500–1000 m, includes annual to biennial, monocarpic, simple plants with terminal inflorescences (Fig. 1A & C). Hart (1989) observed that all 23 populations studied by him could unequivocally be attributed to one of both varieties, but, with the more abundant watering prevailing in cultivation, plants of var. monocarpicum became indistinguishable from var. creticum after a few months.

Hart (2002), Hart & Eggli (2003) and Hart & Bleij (2003) upheld the two varieties, adding that they “most probably merely represent different phenotypic expressions of a similar genotype in different habitats” (Hart & Bleij 2003: 258).

Stephenson (2000, 2007, 2015) carried out studies in habitat (August 1999 and September–October 2006) and in cultivation. In habitat, both varieties are not separable: at their extremes (Sedum creticum var. monocarpicum in the lowlands [Fig. 1A] and var. creticum in the mountains [Fig. 1B]), they can always be separated, but in the altitudinal mid-zone, the plants are annual and monocarpic in dry places (Fig. 1C) or during long periods of drought, and perennial in wet places (Fig. 1D) or in particularly wet seasons. Both varieties may be found at the same locality less than 1 m apart (Fig. 1C & D). In humid seasons, some seedlings within colonies of var. monocarpicum may become perennial, and for huge areas of var. creticum the plants may act as annuals during drought (Stephenson 2015). Within a population of the annual to biennial lowland ecotype in a gorge east of Anogia at about 550 m, c. 20% of the rosettes formed side rosettes from the stem after flowering, thus becoming multi-annual and multi-headed (Kimmach 1984 illustrated a similar plant), and Stephenson (2000: 41–43) even found one stoloniferous plant (as “var. creticum”). Cultivated plants nearly always develop into the perennial ecotype, as was already noted by Hart (1989), and either variety can be transformed into the other by controlling the amount of irrigation (Stephenson 2015). Well-watered plants often become monocarpic with terminal inflorescences (Fig. 1F).

Sedum creticum consists of two distinct morphotypes described as (genetically distinct) ecotypes, but these actually represent non-heritable modifications induced by the amount of water and are transformable into each other, so that the same individual may belong to two different taxa in different phases of its life-cycle. The formal classification of the two “ecotypes” at varietal rank introduced by Hart (1989) transgresses ICN Principle IV (McNeill & al. 2012). Consequently, S. creticum var. monocarpicum is referred here to the synonymy of S. creticum, and no infraspecific taxon are recognized for the species.

The protologue and status of Sedum xdonatae

Sedum xdonatae Afferni (2014) was published for a purported natural hybrid from Crete between S. creticum C. Presl and S. cyprium A. K. Jacks. & Turrill, which caused critical comments by Stephenson (2015). Here, the rather curious protologue of S. xdonatae and its status is reassessed.

Sedum creticum is known from Andikithira, Crete, Gavdos, Kasos, Karpathos and Saria (Strid 2016), whereas S. cyprium is endemic to Cyprus (Hart 2002; Hart & Bleij 2003; Hart & Eggli 2003; Hand & al. 2011). Consequently, the purported parental species are allopatric, separated by at least 550 km, and the purported natural hybrid S. xdonatae cannot exist in habitat, as was also indirectly admitted by Afferni himself (2014: 157), but both species can be hybridized artificially (Hart 1983).

The description and the purported diagnostic differences of S. xdonatae from S. creticum (Afferni 2014: 157–158) fully conform to, and do not differ at all from, descriptions of S. creticum (var. creticum) by Kimmach (1984), Král (1987), Hart (2002), Hart & Bleij (2003) and Hart & Eggli (2003). Moreover, Afferni was aware that the “holotype” of S. xdonatae, Rechinger 13188 (W), is the type gathering of S. hierapetrae Rech. f. (1943), and he cited the latter name in the synonymy of the former, thus inverting the priority of names with the same rank (ICN Art. 11.4; McNeill & al. 2012) and rendering the name S. xdonatae nomenclaturally superfluous when published and therefore illegitimate (ICN Art. 52.1). The photograph of a cultivated flowering plant labelled S. xdonatae published as fig. 4 in the protologue (reproduced here as Fig. 1E) was taken by Stephenson and sent by him to Afferni labelled as S. creticum var. creticum; it shows the perennial ecotype photographed in cultivation, originally collected by Stephenson in E Crete near Exo Potami (Oros Dikti).

The “holotype” of Sedum xdonatae designated by Afferni (2014: 157, 158), “Rechinger 13188 (W)”, is actually a gathering consisting of two specimens at W which therefore represent syntypes under ICN Art. 9.5 (McNeill & al. 2012). Rechinger 13188 (W) is also the type gathering of the earlier S. hierapetrae. Because S. hierapetrae is placed here in the synonymy of S. creticum C. Presl, S. xdonatae is necessarily also a synonym of S. creticum C. Presl.
Taxonomy

*Sedum creticum* C. Presl in Isis (Oken) 21: 273. 1828

**Note** — From the two specimens at W, the sheet with more ample material, which was also depicted in the protologue of *Sedum xdonatae* (Afferni 2014: 156), is designated here as the lectotype of *S. hierapetrae*.


**Chromosome number** — *n* = 11 (Uhl in Kimnach 1984, lowland ecotype; Hart & Loon 1982, both ecotypes).

**Acknowledgements**

The author is indebted to the reviewers Nicholas Turland (B) and Urs Eggl (ZSS) for their comments on the manuscript and nomenclatural advice, and to the Phanerogamic Herbarium (G) of the Conservatoire et Jardin botaniques (CJB) de la Ville de Genève (Laurence Loze and Laurent Gautier) for scanning specimens of its holdings.

**References**


