Applying microCT and 3D Visualization to Jurassic Silicified Conifer Seed Cones: a Virtual Advantage Over Thin-Sectioning

Author: Carole T. Gee

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 1(11)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1300039
APPLICATION ARTICLE

APPLYING MICROCT AND 3D VISUALIZATION TO JURASSIC SILICIFIED CONIFER SEED CONES: A VIRTUAL ADVANTAGE OVER THIN-SECTIONING

CAROLE T. GEE

Steinmann Institute of Geology, Mineralogy, and Paleontology, Division of Paleontology, University of Bonn, Nussallee 8, 53115 Bonn, Germany

- **Premise of the study**: As an alternative to conventional thin-sectioning, which destroys fossil material, high-resolution X-ray computed tomography (also called microtomography or microCT) integrated with scientific visualization, three-dimensional (3D) image segmentation, size analysis, and computer animation is explored as a nondestructive method of imaging the internal anatomy of 150-million-year-old conifer seed cones from the Late Jurassic Morrison Formation, USA, and of recent and other fossil cones.

- **Methods**: MicroCT was carried out on cones using a General Electric phoenix v|tome|x s 240D, and resulting projections were processed with visualization software to produce image stacks of serial single sections for two-dimensional (2D) visualization, 3D segmented reconstructions with targeted structures in color, and computer animations.

- **Results**: If preserved in differing densities, microCT produced images of internal fossil tissues that showed important characters such as seed phyllotaxy or number of seeds per cone scale. Color segmentation of deeply embedded seeds highlighted the arrangement of seeds in spirals. MicroCT of recent cones was even more effective.

- **Conclusions**: This is the first paper on microCT integrated with 3D segmentation and computer animation applied to silicified seed cones, which resulted in excellent 2D serial sections and segmented 3D reconstructions, revealing features requisite to cone identification and understanding of strobilus construction.

**Key words**: *Araucaria*; Late Jurassic Morrison Formation; paleobotany; Pinaceae; phyllotaxy; three-dimensional image segmentation.

Although reproductive organs commonly hold the key to the taxonomy and phylogeny of plants, they are rare in the fossil record when compared to fossilized nonreproductive tissues such as shoots, wood, and leaves. The minute flowers and seeds that document the evolution of the earliest flowering plants, for example, are sometimes single lucky finds (Frisch et al., 2011). Thus, when such a fortuitous discovery occurs, the decision to cut the specimen into sections to elucidate its internal construction is not an easy one to make, for traditional methods in paleobotany such as thin-sectioning or making polished longitudinal sections will cut into the rare reproductive organ. Serial thin-sectioning not only cuts up the entire fossil, but also destroys the parts of the fossil between the thin-sections. However, sectioning the fossil has been the only way to obtain essential information on the anatomy and internal construction of the plant.

In the Late Jurassic Morrison Formation, it has been hypothesized that the resident flora was species-poor and the vegetation sparse (Parrish et al., 2004) based on the lack of palaeobotanical evidence. In fact, there is an abundance of permineralized wood in the Morrison Formation (Peterson, undated; Tidwell, 1990; Daniels and Dayvault, 2006; C. T. Gee, personal observation), much of which has not warranted further palaeobotanical investigation because of a lack of internal preservation in the wood and perhaps also due to a paucity of Jurassic wood paleobotanists in North America. Moreover, even when fossil wood is well preserved anatomically, species diversity within a flora is difficult to determine based only on wood, as fossil wood commonly can only be determined to the family or genus level.

Hence, recent discoveries of reproductive organs such as silicified conifer seed cones in the Morrison Formation (Dayvault and Hatch, 2007) are lucky strikes that have tremendous potential to shed light on the diversity of the flora, especially given the diagnostic nature of megasporangiate strobili. Each fossil cone is thus precious, and the decision to prepare the fossil using a traditional technique such as thin-sectioning cannot be made without regret. The only two formally described species of conifer seed cone from the Morrison Formation known to date, *Hillistrobus axelrodii* Chandler and *Araucaria delevoryasii* Gee, are not silicified, but are preserved as carbonaceous compressions that do not offer any anatomical details (Chandler, 1966; Gee and Tidwell, 2010).
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The recently discovered silicified conifer seed cones can be sorted into five new morphotypes based on their comparative gross morphology and size (Fig. 1; Gee et al., in prep.). Yet basic information necessary for identification to the family level, such as the number of seeds per cone scale or the relative size and shape of the cone axis, is not usually evident from the exterior of the cone. Only in specimens of one cone morphotype, in which the distal part of the cone scale–bract complex is abraded to show the seed (cf. Dayvault and Hatch, 2007: figs. 17, 18), can the single-seeded nature in some cones be ascertained. There is thus an urgent need to look inside the cones.

This was the impetus for seeking and applying new methodology that would permit the sectioning of the cones without destroying them, otherwise known in paleontology as nondestructive sampling. Here I describe the application of high-resolution X-ray computed tomography—also called microtomography, or here, microCT—coupled with scientific visualization in the broadest sense to 150-million-year-old, silicified conifer seed cones from the Morrison Formation and to comparative material consisting of recent and other fossil conifer seed cones.

Although computed tomography is a tool that has been applied to paleobotanical problems for some years now (see, for example, Gee et al., 2003, who used a medical computed axial tomography [CAT] scanner to determine the size and amount of infilling of burrows and chambers in a rodent nut cache), the novelty of the present paper is the application of microCT integrated with two-dimensional (2D) visualization in the form of virtual single sections and serial sections, segmented three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction, size analysis, and computer animation to rock-hard, silicified conifer cones. The focus here is on the type of information that can be acquired on internal anatomy and construction using this integrated methodology. For example, image segmentation and 3D reconstruction are carried out on anatomical structures deeply embedded in plant tissue—in this case, seeds—to reveal seed phyllotaxy within fossil and recent conifer cones. The full botanical implications of the results produced by microCT and 3D visualization on the fossil and recent conifer cones, which are beyond the scope of this paper illustrating the application of a method and software within the context of a larger study, will be more fully addressed in subsequent work.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The silicified cones come from the Late Jurassic Morrison Formation, and were discovered in the Brushy Basin Member, or at the boundary between the Brushy Basin Member and the underlying Salt Wash Member. The Brushy Basin Member is thought to have been deposited 150–145 million years ago (Kowallis et al., 1998). The silicified cones were found independently by private collectors at a number of localities in southeastern, eastern, and northeastern Utah (Fig. 2; see also Dayvault and Hatch, 2007, for detailed locality information) over the course of several decades, but have since been consolidated into two major collections: the Paleobotanical Collections of the Brigham Young University (BYU) Museum of Paleontology in Provo, Utah, USA, and the Dayvault Collection in Grand Junction, Colorado, USA.

Additional fossil cones from other Mesozoic sites were obtained for comparison from the Flynn Collection, Sheridan, Wyoming, USA; the Museum für Naturkunde Chemnitz, Chemnitz, Germany; and the Dana Quarry paleobotanical collection of the author.

To facilitate tracking specimens through the scanning and imaging process, individual cones that did not already bear an inventory number were assigned an inventory number with a CG preffix. To date, over 100 seed cones—48 fossil and 56 recent—have been scanned by microCT. This number is continually increasing as fossil and recent cones of particular interest are obtained.

The external morphology of the fossil cones was initially studied with a Wild M5 (Wild Heerbrugg, Heerbrugg, Switzerland) binocular microscope. Cones of each morphotype were then selected for microCT scanning based on the potential for internal preservation, although at least one cone of each morphotype was scanned. Furthermore, nearly all entire cones recovered from the Morrison Formation were scanned by microCT. Some fragmentary cones and a
The cones were then X-rayed with the microCT using the larger of the two tubes available: the 240 kV/320 W microfocus tube. Scan parameters such as kilovoltage (kV), current strength (μA), exposure time (ms), and number of projections (2D radiographs made longitudinally through the object) were variously set by the scan operator for each individual cone to obtain the best set of projections. The general rule is that higher voltage and current values are needed to produce a good range of gray values for dense material, such as found in silicified cones. A wide range in gray values in the density histogram will translate into good contrast and a good range of gray values in the final 2D images. The voltage and current values commonly used were in the range of 180 kV/180 μA to 200 kV/200 μA for silicified cones, compared to approximately 120 kV/120 μA for recent pineaceous cones and 150 kV/150 μA for recent araucarian cones. A third factor, exposure time (ms), can be used to boost image quality and to compensate for voltage or current to a certain extent.

A series of 600 to 1500 projections were made of the specimens. The number of projections is contingent on the maximum width of the cone in the scan area, based on a rule of thumb given by the manufacturer. The duration of scanning was between 20 and 60 min, although most cones were scanned for ca. 40 min. The set of projections resulting from the scanning were processed with phoenix datos 2.0 (General Electric Measurement & Control Solutions), a CT software for fully automated data acquisition and volume processing. These data were then converted into 2D image stacks using the visualization and analysis software VG Studio Max (version 2.2; Volume Graphics, Heidelberg, Germany), which is integrated with the phoenix datos 2.0 software and came as a package with the phoenix v|tome|x s scanner. The result was a virtual series of sections through the specimens in the transverse plane (x-y), as well as in two longitudinal planes (x-z, y-z). These image stacks could be saved in various formats, including as TIFF and JPEG files.

Single sections, or orthoslices, from these image stacks could then be opened and studied using an application such as Preview 5.5.1 (719.11) developed for Mac OS X (Apple, Cupertino, California, USA). Alternatively, the images were studied as a continuous series of serial sections using VG Studio Max (Volume Graphics GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) or the biological visualization software Fiji (ImageJ 1.47), which is freely available on the Internet (http://fiji.sc/; Schindelin et al., 2012); both of these applications provide a window viewer on which the sections can be quickly flipped through using the scroll wheel on the computer mouse or the slider bar under the window.

Although VG Studio Max can be used for three-dimensional reconstruction, or volume rendering, the three-dimensional imaging of structures within the cones was carried out in this study with the visualization and analysis software Avizo (version 7.1; FEI Visualization Sciences Group, Düsseldorf, Germany), because of its superior segmentation capabilities in which internal structures are "segmented," that is, selected on the basis of their gray values, then depicted in color in a 3D reconstruction. In the case of the fossil conifer cones, these internal structures were primarily the seeds, vascular system, or cone scales. When a tissue consists of discrete elements, such as the continuous row, or spiral, of seeds in some conifer cones that extend from the cone base to the apex, the discrete elements can be segmented in the same color to show their association to one another. For example, in the segmentation of seed spirals in the current study, a light green for the row of seeds that began lowest (most proximally) in the cone was consistently used, then an orange and a light blue for the next two rows of seeds, respectively, to facilitate comparison between different individual cone specimens and between morphotypes.

Quantitative measurements of internal structures, such as the length or width of the seeds, were also made using Avizo. Computer animations, or videos, of 2D transverse and longitudinal serial sections through a Pinus pinea L. cone were produced using the software virtualdb (version 1.9.10), which is freely available on the Internet (http://www.virtualdb.org/). The computer animation of the segmented 3D reconstruction of the same cone, on the other hand, was created using Avizo.

RESULTS

The projections produced by microCT are digital X-ray images. As in medical X-rays, the densest tissues are depicted by the lightest colors, whereas the tissues lightest in density show up as the darkest colors. When the series of projections made by the microCT of a cone are converted into image stacks, a series of virtual sections are produced that are equivalent to 2D sections in serial sections produced by thin-sectioning.
In comparison, in thin-sections, even when the properties of the embedding rock matrix are ideal, the minimum thickness between sections is at least 4 mm (O. Dülfer, University of Bonn, personal communication). Most importantly, microCT does not damage or alter the specimen in the least, and sections of the cones in the three planes (transverse and the two longitudinal planes) are obtained without specimen loss due to these virtual sections, however, initially monochrome, ranging from white to multiple shades of gray to black, unlike actual thin-sections or polished longitudinal sections, which may show internal structures that have been colored differentially by diagenetic processes. The distance between microCT sections, called slices, is also very small; the finest spacing in this conifer cone study was 28 μm in a narrow fossil cone (CG061). In comparison, in thin-sections, even when the properties of the embedding rock matrix are ideal, the minimum thickness between sections is at least 4 mm (O. Dülfer, University of Bonn, personal communication). Most importantly, microCT does not damage or alter the specimen in the least, and sections of the cones in the three planes (transverse and the two longitudinal planes) are obtained without specimen loss due to these virtual sections, however, initially monochrome, ranging from white to multiple shades of gray to black, unlike actual thin-sections or polished longitudinal sections, which may show internal structures that have been colored differentially by diagenetic processes. The distance between microCT sections, called slices, is also very small; the finest spacing in this conifer cone study was 28 μm in a narrow fossil cone (CG061). In comparison, in thin-sections, even when the properties of the embedding rock matrix are ideal, the minimum thickness between sections is at least 4 mm (O. Dülfer, University of Bonn, personal communication). Most importantly, microCT does not damage or alter the specimen in the least, and sections of the cones in the three planes (transverse and the two longitudinal planes) are obtained without specimen loss due to these virtual sections, however, initially monochrome, ranging from white to multiple shades of gray to black, unlike actual thin-sections or polished longitudinal sections, which may show internal structures that have been colored differentially by diagenetic processes. The distance between microCT sections, called slices, is also very small; the finest spacing in this conifer cone study was 28 μm in a narrow fossil cone (CG061). In comparison, in thin-sections, even when the properties of the embedding rock matrix are ideal, the minimum thickness between sections is at least 4 mm (O. Dülfer, University of Bonn, personal communication). Most importantly, microCT does not damage or alter the specimen in the least, and sections of the cones in the three planes (transverse and the two longitudinal planes) are obtained without specimen loss due to
could be observed in a polished longitudinal section (e.g., Fig. 1, cone 1). Furthermore, in the case of a three-dimensionally preserved cone from contemporaneous sediments in Wyoming that was preserved as a carbonaceous fossil (Fig. 6)—that is, not silicified—no internal structure is evident using microCT. In sawing, grinding, or reorientation of the specimen for cutting in another plane of section, as is the case with traditional thin-sectioning.

The fossil cones of the same morphotype found at the same locality in the Morrison Formation tended to have the same quality of preservation and imaging results. Thus, it was generally found that among the five cone morphotypes, two display clear internal structure (Fig. 1, cones 2 and 5), two show indistinct traces of internal structure (Fig. 1, cones 1 and 3), and one is obviously a natural cast in which only the external surface of the cone is preserved (Fig. 1, cone 4).

**Fidelity of structures in the microCT images**—The cone morphotype showing the best set of internal details is cone morphotype 2 (Fig. 1, cone 2; Figs. 4, 5), in which the seeds, cone scales, cone axis, and vascular system are visible in the digital images (Figs. 4B, 5A). A comparison of two cones of morphotype 2—an intact cone scanned by microCT (Fig. 4A, B) and a second specimen that was cut and polished in longitudinal section (Fig. 4C)—reveals that the microCT image faithfully portrays the internal details of the intact cone. This is especially apparent in the angle of attachment of the cone scales to the cone axis, the length and thinness of the cone scale, the size and shape of the ovule, and the position of the ovule at the base of the scale (Fig. 5A, B). The one major feature absent in the microCT image is the information resulting from the color differentiation of tissues that is evident in the polished section. However, adding artificial color to selected parts, known as *image segmentation* or simply *segmentation*, can more clearly delineate internal structures or tissues from one another; the application of segmentation is discussed below.

The internal tissues or structures of some silicified cones could not be clearly observed with microCT, which was the case with cone morphotype 1. In this instance, this was surprising because spectacular natural coloration of internal tissues in the cones, which is due to differing amounts of mineral trace elements,
When examining a pine cone such as *P. pinea*, it is quite clear that the cone scales, and therefore the seeds, are arranged in a spiral, from the base to the apex of the cone (Fig. 8A). What is less obvious, however, is how many seed spirals are found within a cone, the number of times each seed spiral wraps around a cone, and the number of seeds in the $360^\circ$ revolution of a seed spiral. It is unclear, even when looking down at the apex or up at the base of a cone, whether the cone scales are arranged in a clockwise or counterclockwise fashion (Fig. 8B; see also Rutishauser and Peisl, 2001).

Determining how many seed spirals are found within a cone and whether the spirals turn in a clockwise or counterclockwise fashion (chirality) is also best observed in transverse section, as opposed to radial or tangential section, when viewing 2D sections. In transverse sections of the *P. pinea* cone produced by microCT, there are clearly three spirals of seeds arranged in a clockwise fashion (Fig. 8C). In a cone that is as short and wide as *P. pinea* (Fig. 8A), the triad of seed spirals and their chirality are not evident in every transverse section. Rather, the transverse sections must be studied serially, by moving up and down both of these cases, the microCT images are completely black and featureless.

**2D sections and serial sections**—Determining the number of seeds borne by each cone scale or cone scale–bract complex is of utmost importance for the determination of a cone to the family level. In the cones in which some internal anatomical structure can be observed with microCT, this character appears quite clearly in transverse section. In a fossil cone of morphotype 2, for example, there are clearly two seeds per cone scale (Fig. 7A). For comparison, a recent cone of *Pinus pinea*, the Italian stone pine, which also bears two seeds per scale–bract complex, is also illustrated (Fig. 7B).

An interesting and potentially useful taxonomic character for differentiating between some conifer families that appeared in the course of this study is the phyllotaxy of the cone scales and seeds. The phyllotaxy of plant parts in living plants is well known as a basic character for identification, and there has been some work on applying leaf phyllotaxy in fossil conifers to distinguish species from one another (e.g., Harris, 1976; Watson et al., 1987).

When examining a pine cone such as *P. pinea*, it is quite clear that the cone scales, and therefore the seeds, are arranged in a spiral, from the base to the apex of the cone (Fig. 8A). What is less obvious, however, is how many seed spirals are found within a cone, the number of times each seed spiral wraps around a cone, and the number of seeds in the $360^\circ$ revolution of a seed spiral. It is unclear, even when looking down at the apex or up at the base of a cone, whether the cone scales are arranged in a clockwise or counterclockwise fashion (Fig. 8B; see also Rutishauser and Peisl, 2001).

Determining how many seed spirals are found within a cone and whether the spirals turn in a clockwise or counterclockwise fashion (chirality) is also best observed in transverse section, as opposed to radial or tangential section, when viewing 2D sections. In transverse sections of the *P. pinea* cone produced by microCT, there are clearly three spirals of seeds arranged in a clockwise fashion (Fig. 8C). In a cone that is as short and wide as *P. pinea* (Fig. 8A), the triad of seed spirals and their chirality are not evident in every transverse section. Rather, the transverse sections must be studied serially, by moving up and down
through the cone, section by section, to find the right view that will show the three seed spirals and their directionality. In the *P. pinea* cone under study, this appears about midway through the cone. This becomes clearly evident in the computer animation of the serial transverse sections through the *P. pinea* cone, in which the three clockwise seed spirals appear at roughly 17 s into the sequence, out of a total of 35 s (Video 1). In this animation, the transverse serial sections start at the base of the cone, move through the entire cone, and end at the cone’s apex (Video 1). In the animation of longitudinal serial sections through the same cone, the video begins at one side of the cone and moves parallel to the long axis of the cone through the entire structure to end at the other side (Video 2).

**Segmented 3D reconstructions**—When the seed spirals in the recent *P. pinea* cone are segmented in 3D, the presence of three spirals is even more convincing. It also becomes visually evident that each row of seeds wraps around the cone in a spiral in a clockwise manner (Fig. 8D; Video 3). During the course of this study, over two dozen species of *Pinus* and of other genera in the Pinaceae, including at least one genus from each subfamily, have been scanned using microCT. All show three spirals of seeds, and the three spirals wrap around the cone several to multiple times, from cone base to apex, as in *P. pinea* (Fig. 8C, D; Video 3).

Once an internal tissue has been segmented—in this case, the seeds within the *P. pinea* cone—features of the Avizo software allow one to turn the object in three-dimensional space (e.g., Video 3). This makes it easy to determine the maximum number of seeds in one 360° revolution of the seed spiral as 17, for example (Table 1). It is also possible to measure internal structures, such as the length and width of the *P. pinea* seeds, for size analysis (Table 1).

In fossil cones of morphotype 2, the body plan, in which three seed spirals wrap around the cone several times from base to tip, also becomes apparent when the seeds are segmented (Fig. 8F, H, I), which underlines the cones’ affinity to the Pinaceae. In this morphotype, however, the chirality of the seed spirals differs from cone to cone; the seed spirals within a single cone can either...
be arranged clockwise (Fig. 8E, F) or counterclockwise (Fig. 8G, H, I). Again, using the ability to manipulate the images in three-dimensional space with Avizo, a constant number of seeds per spiral (16) in this cone morphotype was counted in all three fossil examples (Table 1). The seeds in these fossil cones are similar in length and width, and have a ratio of seed length to seed width comparable to that in *P. pinea* (Table 1).

**Integrated data from microCT sections and segmented 3D images**—The phyllotaxy of seeds and cone scales in the Araucariaceae is quite different than in the Pinaceae in that araucarian cones have a different body plan altogether. MicroCT scanning and segmentation of eight cones of *Araucaria*—seven fossil cones and one recent cone—provides a reasonable sample set to begin looking at seed cone construction in araucarians.

Unlike the constant three seed spirals in Pinaceae cones, the number of seed spirals in *Araucaria* cones (e.g., Fig. 9A, D, G) is variable. In the eight *Araucaria* cones scanned, this varies from eight to 21 (Table 2). There is a general trend for larger cones to have a greater number of seed spirals, but there is no definite correlation between size as represented by circumference and the number of seed spirals (Table 2). For example, the recent cone of *A. araucana* (Molina) K. Koch with 20 seed spirals (Fig. 9G) has fewer seed spirals than a fossil cone of *A. mirabilis* (Speg.) Calder (Fig. 9D), which has 21, although the *A. araucana* cone is roughly 15 times larger in total volume.

In all *Araucaria* cones studied so far, the seed spirals begin at the base of the cone and end at the apex of the cone. In contrast to the Pinaceae, the seed spiral in an araucarian cone does not wrap around the cone multiple times. In fact, not all of the seed spirals even make a complete, 360° revolution around the cone. For example, in the case of the fossil *Araucaria* sp. cone from Wyoming (Fig. 9A), it was found that a seed spiral makes a 360° turn around the cone, from base to apex. In fact, the seed spiral extends slightly beyond 360° by about the width of two to three seeds. This becomes quite evident when the seeds, or in this instance the seed locules, which were used when it was not possible to mark the actual seeds, are segmented (Fig. 9B, C).

In the one other fossil cone of *Araucaria* illustrated here, *A. mirabilis* (Fig. 9D), the seed spiral makes only a half (180°) turn (Fig. 9E, F). In this cone, it is the actual seeds that have been segmented. The recent cone of *Araucaria, A. araucana* (Fig. 9G), which was scanned by microCT and partially segmented specifically for this comparison, shows that the row of seeds selected does not wrap around the cone in a spiral at all, but that the seeds are aligned one above another, from base to apex (Fig. 9H, I).

While clearest in the segmented 3D reconstructions, the extent of a seed spiral—whether forming a turn of 180°, 360°, or no turn at all—can also be interpreted from the length and curvature of the seed spirals in the 2D microCT sections, i.e., the greater the curvature, the greater the extent of the seed spiral around the cone. In the fossil *Araucaria* sp. cone from Wyoming (Fig. 9A), for example, the general length and curvature of the seed spirals are the greatest among these three cones of *Araucaria*, and thus supports the observation that the seed spirals in this cone make the greatest number of turns (one complete turn of 360°; Fig. 9B, C) among the three examples presented here. The general curvature of the seed spirals of *A. mirabilis* (Fig. 9D) is intermediate, accounting for its intermediate extent of a half-turn around the cone (180°; Fig. 9E, F). The generally straight-line rows of seed locules in the recent cone of *A. araucana* in 2D section (Fig. 9G) confirm that the seed locules, and hence seeds if the cone had been completely fertile, are aligned one above the another, from base to apex, making no turn at all around the cone (Fig. 9H, I).

**DISCUSSION**

The application of integrated microCT and scientific visualization with 3D segmentation within the framework of a study on 150-million-year-old conifer seed cones from the Late Jurassic of North America has made it possible to study the internal construction of these hard, dense, fossilized plant organs without specimen loss or damage, in high resolution on the micrometer scale, and with accurate detail. It was possible to observe diagnostic characters such as the number of seeds per cone scale, construction of the cone axis and vascular system, and phyllotaxy of the seeds and cone scales in monochromatic, single or serial 2D sections. Structures within the fossil cones were then reconstructed in three-dimensional space, and targeted tissues were segmented based on grayscale values with specific colors to make the internal structures more three-dimensionally expressive and thus graphically intuitive to the viewer.

In particular, the ease and rapidness of using microCT to produce transverse and longitudinal 2D sections of silicified plant organs, coupled with its nondestructiveness, makes it a powerful tool in paleobotany. Scanning with microCT is ideal for fossil specimens that are rare, unusual, or difficult to prepare. A comparison of the advantages and disadvantages of microCT with the conventional and still widespread method of thin-sectioning shows a great savings in time and labor in favor of microCT (Table 3). Nevertheless, thin-sections of silicified material have the occasional advantage of natural coloration in the plant tissues, as well as better resolution that may extend to the cellular level (Table 3). Thin-sections or cut, polished sections may also end up being the only option if internal tissues cannot be differentiated by microCT.

In the case of cone morphotype 2 from the Late Jurassic Morrison Formation, a still unnamed and undescribed conifer

### TABLE 1. Comparison of the number of seed spirals, direction of seed spirals, number of seeds within one 360° revolution of the seed spiral, average seed length and width, and length to width ratio in one recent cone of *Pinus* and three fossil cones of putative pinaceous affinity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seed cone</th>
<th>No. of seed spirals</th>
<th>Direction of seed spiral</th>
<th>Maximum no. of seeds per 360° turn</th>
<th>Average seed length, mm</th>
<th>Average seed width at widest point, mm</th>
<th>Length: width ratio of seeds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Pinus pinea</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Clockwise</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphotype 2, spec. no. CG016</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Clockwise</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphotype 2, spec. no. CG061</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Counterclockwise</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphotype 2, spec. no. CG064</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Counterclockwise</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Comparison of size and number of seed spirals in seven fossil cones and one recent cone of Araucaria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Geological age</th>
<th>Place of origin</th>
<th>Circumference at widest point, cm</th>
<th>No. of seed spirals</th>
<th>Source of cone, specimen number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. araucana</td>
<td>Recent</td>
<td>Chile to western Argentina</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Economic Botany Garden, University of Bonn, Nov. 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. mirabilis</td>
<td>Middle Jurassic</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Museum für Naturkunde Chemnitz, K5640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. mirabilis</td>
<td>Middle Jurassic</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Museum für Naturkunde Chemnitz, K5652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. mirabilis</td>
<td>Middle Jurassic</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Museum für Naturkunde Chemnitz, K5679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. mirabilis</td>
<td>Middle Jurassic</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Museum für Naturkunde Chemnitz, K5695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. mirabilis</td>
<td>Middle Jurassic</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Museum für Naturkunde Chemnitz, K5694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. mirabilis</td>
<td>Middle Jurassic</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Museum für Naturkunde Chemnitz, K5692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araucaria sp.</td>
<td>Unknown, probably Mesozoic</td>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Collection of Michael Flynn, Sheridan, WY, USA, CG066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9 (see p. 10). Fossil and recent araucarian cones sectioned in 2D by microCT (A, D, G), and showing one segmented spiral or row of seeds or seed locules produced by 3D imaging (B, C, E, F, H, I). The seed spirals or rows in A, D, and G are delineated by red arrows. Yellow lines in B, C, E, F, H, and I represent the polar axis through the cones. Scale bars = 1 cm. (A–C) Fossil cone of Araucaria sp. from Wyoming (specimen no. CG066, Flynn Collection). (A) Transverse section 294/1012; diameter = ca. 6 cm. (B) Lateral view showing the 360° revolution of a single seed spiral. (C) Oblique distal view. (D–F) Fossil cone of Araucaria mirabilis from the Middle Jurassic of Argentina (specimen no. K5640, Museum für Naturkunde Chemnitz collection). (D) Transverse section 280/933; diameter = ca. 7.5 cm. (E) Lateral view showing the 180° revolution of a single seed spiral. (F) Oblique distal view. (G–I) Recent cone of Araucaria araucana from the Economic Botany Garden, University of Bonn, Germany. (G) Transverse section 469/876; diameter = ca. 17 cm. (H) Lateral view showing the vertical (nonspiral) arrangement of a row of seeds. (I) Oblique distal view.
TABLE 3. Comparison of the advantages and disadvantages of microCT with conventional thin-sectioning of fossil plant organs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point of comparison</th>
<th>MicroCT</th>
<th>Thin-sectioning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Destructive vs. nondestructive sampling</td>
<td>Nondestructive</td>
<td>Destructive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time expenditure</td>
<td>Extremely rapid (ca. 1.5 h for specimen mounting, microCT scanning, and editing of files)</td>
<td>Time and labor consuming, extending over weeks or months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color state</td>
<td>All internal structures monochromatic until color-coded by researcher</td>
<td>Tissues may be different in color due to different mineralogy or preservational histories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution quality</td>
<td>Good resolution on the tissue level, but not as good on the cellular level</td>
<td>Resolution may be better on the cellular and subcellular level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planes of sections</td>
<td>Both transverse and longitudinal planes of sections are easily produced by 3D visualization software</td>
<td>Can only cut cones in one plane of the section (transverse or longitudinal); or cone must be divided into sections for cutting in the different planes of the section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer of information from sections into 3D visualization software</td>
<td>Data are produced by microCT in electronic form that is readily transferred into 3D visualization software.</td>
<td>Thin-sections still need to be photographed, and those digital images registered (perfectly lined up in regard to one another), before transfer into 3D visualization software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator</td>
<td>Easy operation of microCT and low time investment for scanning means that the researcher can perform preparation and scanning him- or herself, thereby increasing the likelihood that the desired results are obtained.</td>
<td>Due to the great amount of time and labor involved, the cutting, grinding, and polishing of thin-sections is usually done by a preparator or student, and continuous consultation is needed between researcher and laboratory worker.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of set-up, maintenance, and supplies</td>
<td>Initial set-up costs, including microCT with dedicated computers and integrated tomographic software. Also, regular maintenance of the equipment and archiving of large data files.</td>
<td>Set-up cost of rock saws, grinding powder in various particle sizes, epoxy resins for attaching the sections to the slides, glass slides and cover slips. Also, compound microscope with digital photographic capabilities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effective in elucidating the internal structure in some fossil plants (cf. Smith et al., 2009; Collinson et al., 2012a, b). SRXTM commonly offers finer resolution on the cellular level (cf. Friis et al., 2013a), unlike microCT which is optimal on the tissue level. The quality of results using SRXTM or microCT on the same specimens of Eocene fruits and seeds from Messel, Germany, was recently compared by Collinson et al. (2012b). A review paper on the application of SRXTM in the paleobotany of Cretaceous angiosperms is forthcoming (Friis et al., in press).

The first X-ray computed tomographic (CT) scanners were developed in the 1970s for use in human medicine, namely, as computed axial tomographic scanners (formerly known as CAT scanners). Since the early 1980s, these medical CT scanners had been used for nonmedical research such as that on large vertebrate fossils (Sutton, 2008, and references therein), on smaller objects such as dinosaur eggs (e.g., Mueller-Towe et al., 2002), and even on a complex burrow system with a rodent nut cache encased in blocks of unconsolidated Miocene sand (Gee et al., 2003). At roughly the same time in the early 1980s, high-resolution X-ray computed tomography (microCT) for either medical or industrial applications, with voxel sizes ranging from 1 to 50 μm, was developed as well (see Pika-Biolzi et al., 2000, and references therein; Ritman, 2011).

The first exploratory study using microCT on fossil plants was carried out by Pika-Biolzi et al. (2000) on a trunk of the Bennettitalean Cycadeoidea and on A. mirabilis cones. It was shown that high-resolution industrial CT could be used to discern internal tissues in these silicified plant parts, although thin-sections provided better resolution on the cellular level (Pika-Biolzi et al., 2000). Nevertheless, it was noted three years later that the application of microCT in botanical and paleobotanical research still lagged considerably behind its use in medical, geological, paleozoological, and zoological studies (Stuppy et al., 2003; see also Tafforeau et al., 2006). Even today, most microCT applications are biological, and they even include the scanning of live, intact animals (Ritman, 2011).

Recent technological progress has resulted in the development of relatively small microCT scanners, and the acquisition, use, and upkeep of a microCT scanner with integrated three-dimensional imaging software is within the budgetary and logistical reach of most moderately sized research institutions (e.g., Ritman, 2011; Abel et al., 2012). In particular, microCT scanners such as the phoenix v|tome|x s (General Electric Measurement & Control Solutions) used in the current study, as well as even smaller, “desktop” microCT scanners, are becoming more popular in the biological and paleontological sciences because of their compact size, low purchase price, and ease of operation (Ritman, 2011; Abel et al., 2012).

In the past decade, microCT—whether produced by a synchrotron radiation source or an industrial CT (nonmedical CT)—has been applied to types of fossil plant preservation other than the silicified cones described in the current study, such as pyritized Eocene fruits and seeds in silicone conservation fluid (DeVore et al., 2006), charcoalified seed fern pollen organs and ovules (Scott et al., 2009), organic-rich Eocene fruits and seeds that were flattened and embedded in an oil shale (Collinson et al., 2012a, b), and termite-bored Cretaceous silicified wood (Boucher, 2012), to name just a few examples.

In 2012, in a symposium at an international paleobotanical conference in Tokyo on the application of digital visualization methods to advance paleobotanical studies, eight talks described the use of various tomographic methods on fossil plants (Boucher, 2012; Collinson et al., 2012a; Friis et al., 2012; Gee et al., 2012; Murata et al., 2012; Nishida and Kotake, 2012; Smith et al., 2012; Wickens et al., 2012), which illustrates the current close embrace of paleobotany and tomography.

A survey of paleobotanical research incorporating X-ray tomographic microscopy shows that the number of studies has been on the increase since 2009 (Table 4). Most studies have been carried out on Cretaceous floral remains using SRXTM to produce...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Published study</th>
<th>Paleobotanical material</th>
<th>Destructive sampling</th>
<th>Nondestructive sampling</th>
<th>Virtual 2D sectioning (orthoslices)</th>
<th>Virtual 3D surface reconstruction</th>
<th>Virtual 3D cut-away or semitransparent images, or thin voltex slices</th>
<th>Virtual 3D image segmentation</th>
<th>Computer animation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pika-Biolzi et al., 2000</td>
<td>Jurassic bennettitalean trunk, Jurassic cone</td>
<td>MicroCT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gee et al., 2003</td>
<td>Miocene rodent food cache with nuts</td>
<td>Medical CT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeVore et al., 2006</td>
<td>Eocene fruit</td>
<td>MicroCT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friis et al., 2007</td>
<td>Cretaceous seed</td>
<td>PCXTM¹</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith and Stockey, 2007</td>
<td>Eocene inflorescence</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Balthazar et al., 2007</td>
<td>Cretaceous flower, pollen</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Balthazar et al., 2008</td>
<td>Cretaceous flower</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friis et al., 2009a</td>
<td>Cretaceous seeds</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott et al., 2009</td>
<td>Carboniferous seed fern reproductive organs</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Semitransparent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al., 2009</td>
<td>Recent fruits, seeds²</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seyfullah et al., 2010</td>
<td>Permian seed fern-ovule</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friis and Pedersen, 2011</td>
<td>Cretaceous floral structures</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Volvex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hefmanová et al., 2011</td>
<td>Cretaceous inflorescence</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slater et al., 2011</td>
<td>Permian megaspores</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Semitransparent</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Balthazar et al., 2011</td>
<td>Cretaceous flowers</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Semitransparent; voltex</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friis and Pedersen, 2012</td>
<td>Cretaceous flower</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Semitransparent</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futey et al., 2012</td>
<td>Paleocene fruits</td>
<td>MicroCT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al., 2012</td>
<td>Pliocene fruit</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Cut-away; semitransparent; voltex</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schönemberger et al., 2012</td>
<td>Cretaceous flower</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friis et al., 2013a</td>
<td>Cretaceous flowers</td>
<td>SRXTM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Volvex</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friis et al., 2013b</td>
<td>Cretaceous seeds</td>
<td>SRXTM, PCXTM²</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Volvex</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spencer et al., 2013</td>
<td>Carboniferous ovule</td>
<td>MicroCT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Jurassic and nonfossil conifer cones</td>
<td>MicroCT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Published abstracts of oral presentations not included.
b A type of volume rendering; no segmentation.
c Phase-contrast X-ray tomographic microscopy (cf. Tafforeau et al., 2006).
d Modern analogs for comparison with fossil material.
e MicroCT used to orient fossil for thin-sectioning.
f All three techniques used to create computer animations as well (M. Takahashi, personal communication).
2D sections. While it goes without saying that the form of scientific visualization selected for publication is determined by the nature of the material under study, objectives of the study, quality of results, and resources open to the researcher, an increasing number of studies are exploring other types of integrated visualization techniques such as 3D surface reconstructions, 3D cutaway, semitransparent or voltex (volume texture) reconstructions, 3D segmented reconstructions, or computer animations to gain further insight from their fossil material. In one particularly creative application, microCT was instrumental in visually locating a fossil ovule in the rock matrix to optimally position the fossil for destructive sampling by thin-sectioning (Spencer et al., 2013).

In general, a big step forward in the development of X-ray tomographic microscopy and visualization has been the integration of microCT with 3D image segmentation in paleontology. This has become routine in research fields such as vertebrate paleontology and includes the segmentation of brain cases in large dinosaurs (e.g., Rogers, 1998; Brochu, 2002; Witmer et al., 2008; Witmer and Ridgely, 2009), growth marks in the long bones of a fossil amphibian (e.g., Konietzko-Meier and Schmitt, 2013), the minute inner-ear structure in rare Jurassic mammals (Luo et al., 2011; Ruf et al., 2013), and the virtual preparation of fossils still embedded in a rock matrix (recent summary by Abel et al., 2012).

The same sort of close integration of microCT and 3D image segmentation has been slower to take off in paleobotany (Table 4; see also Kelber, 2013, “Links for Palaeobotanists” for a bibliographic overview). Only a handful of studies have employed 3D image segmentation on either recent (Smith et al., 2009) or fossil plants (Smith and Stockey, 2007; von Balthazar et al., 2007; Friis et al., 2009b; Smith et al., 2009; Spencer et al., 2013; current study). At the Tokyo symposium mentioned above, two of the eight talks described the integrated use of microCT with 3D segmented reconstructions (Gee et al., 2012; Murata et al., 2012).

Furthermore, the revolution in digital publishing and the widespread presence of online journals, which have gone from novel to normal in just over a decade, have also benefited this technology by making it possible to publish multimedia files such as videos or computer animations of scientific results produced by microCT (e.g., Scott et al., 2009; Schönberger et al., 2012; Staedler et al., 2013, on recent plants). Examples include the computer animations published here (Videos 1–3), which show the internal construction in a recent Pinus pinea cone and the existence of three seed spirals in transverse serial section. The publication of computer animations was not possible because of the existence of three seed spirals in transverse serial section. The current study on 150-million-year-old fossil plants from the Morrison Formation is the first publication describing the application of microCT integrated with virtual 2D serial sectioning, 3D image segmentation, and computer animation to silicified conifer cones.
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