

Development and Characterization of EST-SSR Markers for Artocarpus hypargyreus (Moraceae)

Authors: Liu, Haijun, Tan, Weizheng, Sun, Hongbin, Liu, Yu, Meng, Kaikai, et al.

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 4(12)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1600113

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



DEVELOPMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF EST-SSR MARKERS FOR ARTOCARPUS HYPARGYREUS (MORACEAE)¹

HAIJUN LIU², WEIZHENG TAN³, HONGBIN SUN², YU LIU³, KAIKAI MENG³, AND WENBO LIAO^{3,4}

²Rescue Center of Wildlife in Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518040, People's Republic of China; and ³State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol and Guangdong Key Laboratory of Plant Resources, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China

- Premise of the study: Polymorphic microsatellite markers were developed for Artocarpus hypargyreus (Moraceae), a threatened
 species endemic to China, to investigate the genetic diversity and structure of the species.
- *Methods and Results:* Based on the transcriptome data of *A. hypargyreus*, 63 primer pairs were preliminarily designed and tested, of which 34 were successfully amplified and 10 displayed clear polymorphisms across the 67 individuals from four populations of *A. hypargyreus*. The results showed the number of alleles per locus ranged from three to 10, and the observed heterozygosity and expected heterozygosity per locus varied from 0.000 to 0.706 and from 0.328 to 0.807, respectively.
- *Conclusions:* These microsatellite markers will be useful in exploring genetic diversity and structure of *A. hypargyreus.* Furthermore, most loci were successfully cross-amplified in *A. nitidus* and *A. heterophyllus*, indicating that they will be of great value for genetic study across this genus.

Key words: Artocarpus hypargyreus; microsatellite marker; Moraceae; transcriptome.

Artocarpus hypargyreus Hance (Moraceae), a tall evergreen tree endemic to southern China, is valued for its milky latex for making stiff rubber and for its wood for making furniture (Zhou and Gilbert, 2003). Its natural populations have declined because of overexploitation and habitat loss, and it was listed as a vulnerable species in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species in 1997 (IUCN, 2015). Therefore, genetic information, such as genetic diversity and population structure, will be important for the conservation of this species.

Here, we developed 34 novel simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers for *A. hypargyreus*, of which 10 were polymorphic in *A. hypargyreus* and the additional 24 successfully amplified loci were monomorphic. These 10 polymorphic markers were tested on 67 individuals from four populations of *A. hypargyreus*, and their transferability was tested in two other *Artocarpus* species.

METHODS AND RESULTS

The transcriptome of *A. hypargyreus* was sequenced with Illumina pairedend sequencing for the development of expressed sequence tag (EST)–SSR markers. The total RNA was extracted from the fresh leaves of *A. hypargyreus* (Appendix 1) using the modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Fu et al., 2004). Normalized cDNA libraries were constructed and sequenced using the HiSeq 2000 system (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA).

¹Manuscript received 8 September 2016; revision accepted 19 October 2016.

This work was supported by the research projects of Neilingding-Futian National Nature Reserve, Administration Bureau of Guangdong (4206874); Urban Management Bureau of Shenzhen Municipality (71020106 and 71020140); the Innovation of Science and Technology Commission of Shenzhen Municipality (JCYJ20150624165943509); and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (13lgpy07 and 16lgjc38).

⁴Author for correspondence: lsslwb@mail.sysu.edu.cn

doi:10.3732/apps.1600113

The raw reads were cleaned by removing reads containing unknown "N" bases or more than 10% bases with a Q value < 20 using custom Perl scripts. A total of 25.34 million cleaned 100-bp paired-end reads were de novo assembled into 121,556 contigs (N50 = 906 bp) using Trinity version 2.1.1 (Grabherr et al., 2011) with default parameters.

The software QDD version 3.1 (Meglécz et al., 2014) was used to search SSR motifs containing two to six nucleotides with the minimum number of repeats as follows: six for dinucleotide and five for trinucleotide, tetranucleotide, pentanucleotide, and hexanucleotide. A total of 14,143 SSR loci were detected in 12,013 contigs. Among them, dinucleotide repeats account for the largest proportion for 54.7%, trinucleotide repeats account for 40.5%, and tetranucleotide repeats account for 1.1%. Subsequently, using Primer3 (Rozen and Skaletsky, 1999) implemented in the QDD program, primer pairs were successfully designed for 3693 SSR loci, which were further subjected to an "all-against-all" BLAST with an *E*-value of 1E⁻⁴⁰ to remove redundancy. Finally, we obtained 2084 unique SSR loci based on which primer pairs were successfully designed.

Field investigations indicated that the individuals of *A. hypargyreus* showed a scattered distribution in their natural environments, causing difficulties in collecting large samples for each population. A total of 67 individuals were collected from four populations of *A. hypargyreus* (16–18 individuals for each population, see Appendix 1) to evaluate the polymorphisms of these SSR loci. In addition, five individuals of *A. nitidus* Trécul and nine individuals of *A. heterophyllus* Lam. were sampled to test the transferability of these primers. Genomic DNA was extracted from silica gel–dried leaves with the CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle, 1986).

Amplification and polymorphism tests were performed for 63 randomly selected primer pairs using two individuals from each population of *A. hypar-gyreus*. PCR amplification was performed according to Fan et al. (2013) with an appropriate annealing temperature, and PCR products were detected on 1% agarose gels. A total of 34 primer pairs were successfully amplified, generating legible products of the expected fragment size. Sequences of these SSR loci have been deposited in GenBank (Table 1, Appendix 2). The products were inspected with the Fragment Analyzer Automated CE system (Advanced Analytical Technologies [AATI], Ames, Iowa, USA) with the Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA reagent kit, 35–500 bp (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA). The raw data were analyzed by using PROSize version 2.0 software (AATI). Ten loci were polymorphic among the populations, and 24 loci were monomorphic.

The allelic polymorphisms of the 10 loci were further tested in 67 individuals from four populations of *A. hypargyreus*, and the efficiency of these markers in cross-species amplification was detected in *A. nitidus* and *A. heterophyllus*. GenAlEx version 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2012) was used to calculate the average

Applications in Plant Sciences 2016 4(12): 1600113; http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps © 2016 Liu et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA).



Applications in Plant Sciences 2016 4(12): 1600113 doi:10.3732/apps.1600113

27 62. 132

36

99

16 70

-134

50

^a Annealing temperature for all loci was 55°C.

number of alleles per locus, the observed heterozygosity, the expected heterozygosity, and deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). The results showed that the number of alleles per locus ranged from three to 10 (Table 1). The observed and expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.00 to 0.706 and from 0.328 to 0.807, respectively, and all loci showed significant deviation from HWE (Table 2). The scattered distribution of A. hypargyreus may cause difficulties in the long-distance dispersal of pollen and eventually lead to a decrease in the observed heterozygosity values and the significant deviations from HWE. Of the 10 SSR markers tested, all successfully amplified in A. nitidus and nine successfully amplified in A. heterophyllus (Table 3).

CONCLUSIONS

Ten novel polymorphic SSR markers were developed for A. hypargyreus, which are likely to be useful for evaluating the genetic diversity and population structure of A. hypargyreus, and for facilitating the development of a conservation strategy for this species. The cross-amplification of these microsatellite loci in A. nitidus and A. heterophyllus suggests that they will also be useful in studies of other species within Artocarpus.

LITERATURE CITED

- DOYLE, J. J., AND J. L. DOYLE. 1986. A rapid DNA isolation procedure from small quantities of fresh leaf tissues. Phytochemistry 19: 11-15.
- FAN, Q., S. F. CHEN, M. L. LI, S. Y. HE, R. C. ZHOU, AND W. B. LIAO. 2013. Development and characterization of microsatellite markers from the transcriptome of Firmiana danxiaensis (Malvaceae s.l.). Applications in Plant Sciences 1: 1300047.
- FU, X. H., S. L. DENG, G. H. SU, O. L. ZENG, AND S. H. SHI. 2004. Isolating high-quality RNA from mangroves without liquid nitrogen. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 22: 197.
- GRABHERR, M. G., B. J. HAAS, M. YASSOUR, J. Z. LEVIN, D. A. THOMPSON, I. AMIT, X. ADICONIS, ET AL. 2011. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nature Biotechnology 29: 644-652.
- IUCN (INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR CONSERVATION OF NATURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES). 2015. IUCN Red List of threatened species. International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, Cambridge, United Kingdom. Website http://www.iucnredlist.org [accessed 8 November 2016].
- MEGLÉCZ, E., N. PECH, A. GILLES, V. DUBUT, P. HINGAMP, A. TRILLES, R. GRENIER, AND J.-F. MARTIN. 2014. QDD version 3.1: A user-friendly computer program for microsatellite selection and primer design revisited: Experimental validation of variables determining genotyping success rate. Molecular Ecology Resources 14: 1302-1313.
- PEAKALL, R., AND P. E. SMOUSE. 2012. GenAlEx 6.5: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research-an update. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 28: 2537-2539.
- ROZEN, S., AND H. SKALETSKY. 1999. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In S. Misener and S. A. Krawetz [eds.], Methods in molecular biology, vol. 132: Bioinformatics methods and protocols, 365-386. Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey, USA.
- ZHOU, Z. K., AND M. G. GILBERT. 2003. Moraceae Link. In Z. Y. Wu, P. H. Raven, and D. Y. Hong [eds.], Flora of China, vol. 5, 21-73. Science Press, Beijing, China, and Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, Missouri, USA.

LocustPrimer sequences (5-3)Repeat motifProduct sizeAllele size rangeGenbank accessionPutative functionOrganism E^{-va} AH1F: a cacaccoccentrement(TC)225204-2318KX495095Hypothetical protein L484-018850Morus nordbilis1.651AH1F: a cacaccoccentrement(TC)225204-2618KX495101Ultraviolet-B receptor UVR8-like1.651AH1F: a cacaccoccentrement(AO)249240-2618KX495101Ultraviolet-B receptor UVR8-like1.211AH3F: a cacaccorcentrement(AO)249240-2617KX495107Hypothetical protein L484-012061Morus nordbilis9.801AH3F: a cacaccorcentrement(AO)249240-2617KX495109Kylothetical protein L484-012061Morus nordbilis1.831AH3F: a cacaccorcentrement(AO)249240-2617KX495109Kylothetical protein L484-012061Morus nordbilis5.732AH4F: a cacaccorcentrement(AO)249240-2617KX495109Kylothetical protein L484-012061Morus nordbilis1.831AH3F: a caccorcentrement(TO)249240-2617KX495109Kylothetical protein L484-012061Morus nordbilis1.831AH3F: a caccorcentrement(TO)249240-2617KX495109Kylothetical protein L484-012061Morus nordbilis1.831AH3F: a caccorcentrement(TO)249240-										
F:CCAGCCGCTTGTTTCTTTC(GTG),225204-2318KX495095Hypothetical potein L484_018500Morus nabilisR:ACCGCCCGAAAACCCCCAAAAC(AT),185170-1824KX495101Ultraviolet-B recepor UYR8-likefragaria xunnussuF:GCTCTAACCCTCGGCCCAAAAAC(AT),185170-1824KX495103Ultraviolet-B recepor UYR8-likefragaria xunnussuF:GCTCTTAACCTCGGCCCCAAAAAC(AC),249240-2618KX495107Hypothetical protein L484_012061Morus nabilisF:GCTCTTAACCTCGGCGCGCCAAAAAC(AC),204264-2827KX495107Hypothetical protein L484_012061Morus nabilisF:GCTCTTTAACGGGGGCGCACAAAC(TTO),242242-2514KX495109Kyloshtransferase 1Morus nabilisF:GGGGAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	Locus ^a	Primer sequences $(5'-3')$	Repeat motif	Product size (bp)	Allele size range (bp)		JenBank accession no.	Putative function	Organism	E-value
R:ACCCCCCADAACCCCACATAC CACACCCCCACTACAC(AT)185170-182(X495101Ultraviolet-B recepor UVR8-like isoform X3 [Nicotiana y/westris]Fragaria xananassaR:GCATGGGGGTTCACCACATAGAAA TAAACCCACCCACCACTAGAAA(ATG);249240-2618KX495103Transcription factor MYB5Fragaria xananassaR:CACACCACCACATAGCCACCACATAGA TAACCAGGGGTTCACCACCACTAGA(ACG);249240-2618KX495107Hypothetical protein L484_012061Morus notabilisR:CACCACACATAGGGGCGCATAAGT TAACCAGGGGCGCATAAGT(TTO);242242-2514KX495107Hypothetical protein L484_012061Morus notabilisR:AAACCAGGGGCGCATAAGT GGGGGGGGGATAAGT(TTO);242242-2514KX495107Kylosyltransferase 1Morus notabilisR:GGAGGGGGCGCATAGAAAGT GGAGGGGGCGCACATAGT(TTO);249246-2615KX495120E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING1Morus notabilisR:GGAGGGGGGGGATATAA GAGGGAGGATATAA(TTO);249246-2615KX495120E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING1Morus notabilisR:GGAGGGGGGGAGAATAAA GAGGAGAGAATTCACCACCTAGGAACCACATAAA(TTO);249246-2615KX495120E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING1Morus notabilisR:GGAGGGGGGGGGGAATAAA 	AH1	F: GCAGCCGCCGTTGTTTCTCTCTC	(GTG) ₅	225	204–231	8	KX495095	Hypothetical protein L484_018850	Morus notabilis	1.65E ⁻⁷⁰
F:GGCTGAATCACCCACTTGAGT(AT),185170–1824KX495101Ultraviolet-B receptor UVR-likeR:TGAAACCCACTGGGCCACCAAGAAA(AG),249240–2618KX495107Ultraviolet-B receptor UVR-likeF:GCTTGGGCGTCGGCACTAAGAA(AG),249240–2618KX495107Hypothetical protein L484_012061 <i>Fragaria xananasa</i> F:TCCTCTAACGTGGCGCACAATGG(TTA),249240–2615KX495107Hypothetical protein L484_012061 <i>Morus notabilis</i> F:TCGTCTTGGAGGGGGGCGATAAGT(TTA),242242–2514KX495109Xylosyltransferase 1 <i>Morus notabilis</i> F:GGGGGGGGCGATGAGTGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG		R: ACGCACCGAAAACCCCCACAAAC								
R:TGACCTCGACCACCACACACAR:TGTGTGGGGTTCTGGGATCATA(AG),249240-2618KX495103Transcription factor MYB5Fragaria xunnassaF:TCTCTTAACGTGGGCTCTGGGATCATC(AC),204264-2827KX495107Hypothetical protein L484_012061Morus notabilisF:TCTCTTAACGTGGGCGCTGTAAGT(TTA),242242-2514KX495109Kylosyltransferase 1Morus notabilisF:TCGTCTTGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	AH11		$(AT)_8$	185	170–182	4	KX495101	Ultraviolet-B receptor UVR8-like		$1E^{-85}$
F:GCTTGTGGGTTCTGGGATCTAT(AGG,249240–2618KX495103Transcription factor MYB5Fagaria xananasaR:CGGGACGCTCTAGTTGGCGCCCTAGT(ACC)s204264–2827KX495107Hypothetical protein L484_012061Morus notabilisF:TCGTCTTTGCGGCGCCACCATTG(TTA)s242242–2514KX495109Xylosyltransferase 1Morus notabilisF:TCGTCTTTGCGGCGCACCACTGCACCATGTG(TTC)s242242–2515KX495109Xylosyltransferase 1Morus notabilisF:GGGAGGCACTGCACCACTGCACTGG(TTC)s249246–2615KX495120E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING1Morus notabilisF:GGAGGCACTCCCACTCCTCCTTTG(GTG)s238220–2449KX495120E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING1Morus notabilisR:GCACTTGGGAGCCACTTTTT(ATT)s207192–2075KX495125Beta-glucosidase 42Morus notabilisR:GGGCTTGGAGCTTCCACTTTT(TTA),231–2497KX495125Beta-glucosidase 42Morus notabilisR:GGGCTAGCCAGGCCGGAGTTTCCCCAGGAGCTTTT(TTA),231–2497KX495126Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mgPrunus persicaR:GGGCTTACGAGGCGGGGGGATTTGCGGGGGATTT(TCA)s200–2063KX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilisR:GGGCTTACCAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG		R: TGAACCCTCGGCCACCAAAGAA						1soform X3 [Nicotiana sylvestris]		
R: CAGACATAGTTGGATGATGR: CAGACATAGTTGGATGATGF: TCCTCTAACGTGGCGCCTAAG(ACC)8204264-2827KX495107Hypothetical protein L484_012061Morus notabilisR: AAACCCAGCGGGCGATAAGT(TTA)6242242-2514KX495109Xylosyltransferase 1Morus notabilisR: AAACCCACCTTGGGGGGCATAAGT(TTC)6242242246-2615KX495114Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferaseMorus notabilisR: GGAGGGGGGGGGGCGCGGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGC	AH14	F: GCTTGTGGGTTCTGGGATCTAT	$(AAG)_5$	249	240–261	8	KX495103	Transcription factor MYB5	Fragaria ×ananassa	$1.21E^{-27}$
F:TCCTCTAAGGTGCGCCTAAG(ACC)204264-2827KX495107Hypothetical protein L484_012061Morus notabilisF:TCGTCTTTGCAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG		R: CAGACACTAGTTTGGATGTACT						1		
R:AAACCCAGGGGGCACATGF:TCGTCTTTGCAGGGGCATAGT(TTA),242242-2514KX495109Xylosyltransferae 1Morus notabilisR:AGAATCGGAGGCATGAGAAT(TTC),249246-2615KX495114Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferaseMorus notabilisR:GGAGGGGGGGCGATCATTGGAGGCCATTGAGAAT(TTC),249246-2615KX495120E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING1Morus notabilisR:GCAGGGGGGGTCTCATTT(ATT),238220-2449KX495125Beta-glucosidase 42Morus notabilisR:GACCTTGGGAGGATTTCACCATTT(ATT),207192-2075KX495125Beta-glucosidase 42Morus notabilisR:GGATCAACTTAGCCATTT(TTA),237231-2497KX495126Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mgPrunus persicaR:GGCGTTGAGGGGGGGGGGGAGATTAG(TCA),203200-2063KX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilisR:GGCGTTGAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGAGATTAG200-2063KX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilisR:GGCGTTGAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	AH31	F: TCCTCTAACGTGCGCCCCTAAG	(ACC) ₈	204	264–282	7	KX495107	Hypothetical protein L484_012061	Morus notabilis	9.80E ⁻⁷⁵
F:TCGTCTTTGCAGGGCGATAGT(TTA)6242242-2514KX495109Xylosyltransferase 1Morus notabilisR:AGAATCGGAGGCGATGAGAAT(TTC)5249246-2615KX495114Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferaseMorus notabilisR:GGAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG		R: AAACCCAGCGTGCCACCATTG								
R:AGATCGGAGCCATGTAGAATF:GGAGGGGGGGCGTGTAGAAT(TTC),249246-2615KX495114Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferaseMorus notabilisR:GCAGGGGGGGGGCGTCTTTT(GTG),238220-2449KX495120E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING1Morus notabilisR:GCACTTGGGCAGATTTCCCATTTT(ATT),238220-2449KX495126E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING1Morus notabilisR:GACCTTGGGCAGATTTCCCACTTTT(ATT),237207192-2075KX495125Beta-glucosidase 42Morus notabilisR:GGACTAGACTTCGAGCGGAGATT(TTA),237231-2497KX495126Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mgPrums persicaR:GGCGTTGAGGGCGGAGATTA(TTA),237200-2063KX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilisR:GGCTTTGAGGTGCCGAAAAG(TCA),203200-2063KX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilisR:GGCTTTGAGGTGCCGAAAAG(TCA),203200-2063KX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilis	AH33	F: TCGTCTTTGCAGGGCGATAAGT	$(TTA)_6$	242	242–251	4	KX495109	Xylosyltransferase 1	Morus notabilis	5.72E ⁻¹³
F:GGAGAGGCGGTGCAGTAGAA(TTC)3249246-2615KX495114Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferaseMorus notabilisR:GCAGAGCACTACAGTAGC(GTG)6238220-2449KX495120E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING1Morus notabilisR:GACCTCGGCAGATTCTCACCTTCTT(ATT)3207192-2075KX495125Beta-glucosidase 42Morus notabilisR:GAGCAGCCCCACTTTT(TTA)7237231-2497KX495126Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mgPrumus persicaR:CCGGACGAGGCCGGGAGATAT(TTA)7237231-2497KX495126Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mgPrumus persicaR:CCGACCAAGGCCGGGAGATAT(TCA)6203200-2063KX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilisR:GGCCTACCTCCTCCTCCACGAGACTCTACGAACTCAACTCTACGAACTCTACGAACTCTACGAACTCTACGAACTCTACGAACTCTACGAACTCTACGAACTCTACGAACTCTACGAACTCTACGAACTCTACGAACTCTACGAACTCTACGAA		R: AGAATCGGAGCCATGTAGAAAT								
R:GCAGAGCAGACTACAGTAGCF:TCCTCCACCTACAGTAGCF:TCCTCCACCTCCATGTR:GACTTGGGAGACTTCTTR:GACTTGGGAGATTTCTTF:GACTTGGGAGATTTCTTTTF:GACTTGGGCAGATTTTTF:GACTCACCTCCTCCACTTTTTF:GACTCACCTCCTCCACTTTTTF:GACTCACCTCCTCCACTTTTTF:GACTCACCTCTTTTTF:CGGGCGGGAGATTTCACCCACTTTTF:CGGACCAACTTGGCCCGGCTGATTF:CGGACCAACTTGGCCGGGCGGGATTAF:CCGCAACGACGCCGGGCGGGGCGGGGGTATAF:GCCTTGGGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	AH46	F: GGAGAGGGCGGTGCAGTAGAA	(TTC) ₅	249	246–261	5	KX495114	Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase	Morus notabilis	$1.83E^{-36}$
F:TCTCCTCCACTCCATGT(GTG),238220–2449KX495120E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING1Morus notabilisR:GACCTTGGGACCCCCACTTTT(ATT),207192–2075KX495125Beta-glucosidase 42Morus notabilisF:GAGATCAACTTAGCCACTATA207192–2075KX495125Beta-glucosidase 42Morus notabilisR:AGGATCAACTTAGCCACTATA237231–2497KX495126Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mgPrunus persicaR:CCCGACCAAGACCCGGAGATA7XX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilisR:GGCCTTTGAGGTCCCACGAAAAG(TCA),203200–2063KX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilisR:GGCCTACCTCCTACGAACTCTA203200–2063KX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilis		R: GCAGAGCAGACACTACAGTAGC								
R:GACCTTGGGACCCGCACTTCTTF:GACCTTGGGACCCCCACTTTT(ATT),207192-2075KX495125Beta-glucosidase 42Morus notabilisR:AGGATCAACTTAGCCCACTATAT192-2075KX495126Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mgPrunus persicaR:CCGGACCAAGGCCGGAGTATATXX495126Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mgPrunus persicaR:CCCGACCAAGGCCGGAGGTATAT231-2497KX495126Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mgPrunus persicaR:CCCGACCAAGGCCGGAGGTATAT200-2063KX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilisR:GGCCTACCTCCTACGAACTCTA	AH59	F: TCTCCTCCACCTCCTCCATTGT	(GTG) ₆	238	220-244	6	KX495120	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING1	Morus notabilis	4.19E ⁻⁶⁶
F:GAACGGCAGATTCACCATTT(ATT),207192–2075KX495125Beta-glucosidase 42Morus notabilisR:AGGATCAACTTAGCCCACTATA7X495126Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mgPrunus persicaF:CGGACCAAGACCTCGGAGGATA237231–2497KX495126Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mgPrunus persicaR:CCCGACCAAGACCCGGAGGTATA203200–2063KX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilisR:GGCCTACCTCCTACGAACTCTA		R: GACCTTGGGACCCGCACTTCTT								
R: AGGATCAACTTAGCCCACTATA F: CGAGAGGTTCGAGCCAGATT (TTA), 237 231–249 7 KX495126 Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mg <i>Prunus persica</i> R: CCCGACCAAGACCCGGAGATAA F: GCCGACCAAGACCCGGAGAAAG (TCA) ₆ 203 200–206 3 KX495127 PHD finger protein 3 <i>Morus notabilis</i> R: GGCCTACCTACGAAACTCTA	AH76	F: GAACGGCAGATTTCACCATTTT	$(ATT)_5$	207	192–207	5	KX495125	Beta-glucosidase 42	Morus notabilis	0
F:CGAGAAGGTTCCGAGCTAGATT(TTA),237231–2497KX495126Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mgPrunus persicaR:CCCGACCAAGACCCGGAGGTATA233200–2063KX495127PHD finger protein 3Morus notabilisR:GGCCTACCTCCTACGAACTCTAR:GGCCTACCTCCTACGAACTCTA		R: AGGATCAACTTAGCCCACTATA								
R: CCCGACCAAGACCCGGAGTATA F: GACGTTTGAGGTGCGCGAAAAG (TCA) ₆ 203 200–206 3 KX495127 PHD finger protein 3 <i>Morus notabilis</i> R: GGCCTACCTACGAACTCTA	AH77	F: CGAGAAGGTTCCGAGCCAGATT	$(TTA)_7$	237	231–249	7	KX495126	Hypothetical protein PRUPE_ppa010130mg	Prunus persica	1.52E ⁻⁵⁰
F: GACGTTTGAGGTGCGCGAAAAG (TCA) ₆ 203 200-206 3 KX495127 PHD finger protein 3 Morus notabilis R: GGCCTACCTACGAACTCTA		R: CCCGACCAAGACCCGGGAGTATA								
R: GGCCTACCTACGAACTCTA	AH80	F: GACGTTTGAGGTGCGCGAAAAG	$(TCA)_6$	203	200–206	б	KX495127	PHD finger protein 3	Morus notabilis	4.39E ⁻¹³
		R: GGCCTACCTCCTACGAACTCTA								
	TYUE	$MO(c, \Lambda = \Pi \Pi \Pi \Theta = 0$ and 0.1 and 0.5 1.1 1.2	(

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps

		DGD ($N =$	16)		NLD ($N =$	17)		XG(N =	16)		HSD(N =	18)
Locus	A	$H_{\rm o}$	$H_{\rm e}^{\rm b}$	A	$H_{\rm o}$	$H_{\rm e}^{\rm b}$	A	$H_{\rm o}$	$H_{\rm e}{}^{\rm b}$	A	$H_{\rm o}$	$H_{\rm e}{}^{\rm b}$
AH1	5	0.615	0.523	6	0.529	0.704**	5	0.375	0.635	2	0.167	0.674
AH11	4	0.688	0.537	4	0.353	0.593	4	0.375	0.561**	3	0.111	0.623
AH14	5	0.125	0.773**	5	0.235	0.633**	4	0.063	0.588**	4	0.333	0.656*
AH31	5	0.188	0.717**	5	0.118	0.740**	5	0.000	0.758**	5	0.278	0.778*
AH33	4	0.438	0.646*	4	0.235	0.670**	4	0.188	0.725**	2	0.056	0.495**
AH46	4	0.125	0.328**	3	0.471	0.567**	4	0.438	0.717**	3	0.278	0.415*
AH59	7	0.563	0.758	7	0.706	0.751	7	0.375	0.807**	5	0.333	0.765
AH76	5	0.313	0.604**	4	0.353	0.471**	4	0.250	0.537*	4	0.222	0.634**
AH77	5	0.500	0.684*	6	0.706	0.740	7	0.438	0.762**	6	0.611	0.759
AH80	3	0.250	0.531	3	0.000	0.602**	2	0.063	0.404**	2	0.056	0.526**

TABLE 2. Polymorphism of the 10 EST-SSRs in four populations of Artocarpus hypargyreus.^a

Note: A = number of alleles; $H_e =$ expected heterozygosity; $H_o =$ observed heterozygosity; N = sampled individuals from each population. ^aVoucher and locality information are provided in Appendix 1.

^bSignificant deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium after sequential Bonferroni corrections: * represents significance at the 5% nominal level; ** represents significance at the 1% nominal level.

TABLE 3. Cross-amplification of the 10 polymorphic EST-SSR markers developed for Artocarpus hypargyreus in A. nitidus and A. heterophyllus.

Species	Ν	AH1	AH11	AH14	AH31	AH33	AH46	AH59	AH76	AH77	AH80
A. nitidus	5	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
A. heterophyllus	9	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	—	+

Note: + = primers could be successfully amplified in all individuals; — = primers could not be amplified in any individual; *N* = number of individuals.

Appendix 1.	Voucher specimen	information for	Artocarpus	populations	used i	n this	study.	Specimens	are	deposited	at the	Herbarium	of Sun Y	lat-sen
Univers	ity (SYSU), China.													

Species	Population	Voucher no.	Collection locality	Geographic coordinates	Ν
A. hypargyreus Hance ^a		NLD20151219051	Neilingding Island, Shenzhen, China	22°24′29.85″N, 113°49′00.46″E	1
A. hypargyreus ^b	DGD	DGD20160112003	Zhuhai, Guangdong, China	22°02'28.51"N, 114°16'19.84"E	16
A. hypargyreus ^b	NLD	NLD20151219054	Shenzhen, Guangdong, China	22°24'36.23"N, 113°48'20.35"E	17
A. hypargyreus ^b	XG	JSGY20160119087	Hong Kong, China	22°21'19.29"N, 111°53'25.96"E	16
A. hypargyreus ^b	HSD	HSD20151223124	Fengkai, Zhaoqing, Guangdong, China	23°27'25.60"N, 113°48'20.35"E	18
A. nitidus Trécul ^c	Cultivated	SYSU2015060501	SYSU, Guanghzhou, China	23°5'51.88524"N, 113°18'4.34"E	5
A. heterophyllus Lam. ^c	Cultivated	SYSU2015060502	SYSU, Guanghzhou, China	23°5′51.88524″N, 113°18′4.34″E	9

Note: N = number of individuals sampled. ^a Samples used for cDNA library construction. ^b Samples used for initial PCR amplification trials and detailed evaluation for polymorphisms. ^c Samples used for transferability test.

APPENDIX 2. Characteristics of 24 monomorphic EST-SSR markers in Artocarpus hypat	rgyreus.
---	----------

Locus ^a	Primer sequences $(5'-3')$	Repeat motif	Expected allele size (bp)	GenBank accession no.
Art_SSR2	F: CACACAAAATTCGTGCCCATTA	(GGA) ₈	207	KX495096
	R: TCCTGAGGTTTTGGCTGCTGTT	-		
Art_SSR3	F: CCAACAAACAGGGCCAACTCAA	(CCA) ₅	164	KX495097
	R: ATGTCGCCAAGGGAGCTGTATC			
Art_SSR4	F: TGGTGGTGGATGATGCACAATT	(GTG) ₆	248	KX495098
	R: CGTCTCAATCTACCTTCGCATA			
Art_SSR6	F: TTGAGGCAGGGTGGATGTAATC	(AG) ₆	188	KX495099
	R: TGTTTCTTTTGCATCCTTCTTC			
Art_SSR8	F: TGGCATCAACGCGGAAGGATAT	$(GAA)_5$	248	KX495100
	R: CCCCTCATCCTTCACCCTTCC			
Art_SSR12	F: TGACAACCATGGGCACGATCAT	$(CAT)_5$	215	KX495102
	R: TGTCAGCCAGAACATGCAAGAA	(
Art_SSR24	F: AGGCTCAAAAGGGTGGCAATAA	(GCA) ₅	204	KX495104
	R: GGGTGTTGGAGTTGGGCATCAT		227	
Art_SSR25	F: GGTACACAGCCGCGAAGAATAA	$(TC)_6$	227	KX495105
A (CCD 27	R: CACTTTTCACCACCACCAAACA		019	1232 405 106
Art_SSR27	F: AAGGTGGCAGAGCAGAGGAGTC	$(AT)_8$	218	KX495106
Art_SSR32	R: ACCAAGCAAGAACCAGGTCAGC	$(C \land \land)$	234	VV405109
AII_55K52	F: GGCACGGTTCTCAAGCCTGAA	(GAA) ₅	234	KX495108
Art_SSR36	R: TCATGATCAATCCAGGCACAAA	(CCG) ₅	124	KX495110
AIL_SSK50	F: GGGCCTCGACGAGCACTCTATC R: GGCAGCGTGGAACCGATCTG	(CCO)5	124	KX495110
Art_SSR39	F: CAACAGCCCATACGTCGGATCT	(TAG) ₅	174	KX495111
AII_35K37	R: CTCTCTGAGGGTCCCACTATTC	(1AG)5	1/7	KA495111
Art_SSR40	F: TCGCCGCTGTCCTCGTCTTC	(ACC) ₅	145	KX495112
Int_Soluto	R: CCCTCTACCGTACGATCCTCAT	(100)5	110	1111/0112
Art_SSR43	F: GCAAGCAGACAGTGGGGAGATA	(AAG) ₆	232	KX495113
	R: GGTTGAGCCTTCTTCGCGTACA	()0		
Art_SSR49	F: AACAGCACCGTCAATGGAACTT	(GA) ₆	202	KX495115
-	R: CCTTGCAGCCTCCCGAGCTATC			
Art_SSR52	F: TCCCCTGGAGCCTGATGAGTTT	$(TC)_{10}$	225	KX495116
	R: CGCGAACTGAAAAGGGGTTATG			
Art_SSR55	F: GAGTTCAGCCCAGCCTGCA	(TA) ₈	137	KX495117
	R: TTGCCAAAACACATGAAACAGT			
Art_SSR56	F: AAGACCCGGAAGAAAGGAAAGA	(GA) ₇	173	KX495118
	R: CCCTCTGTAACGACTGTGATTT			
Art_SSR58	F: GCAAGGGGAAGCTGAGGGTATA	$(GA)_6$	239	KX495119
	R: AGGCCTTTTCTCGCTCCTCAAA			
Art_SSR61	F: TTACCCTAATAGCCGCCGATTT	$(TTA)_5$	199	KX495121
	R: AGTAGCGCTCCAATGCCATTCA		200	
Art_SSR62	F: GAAGGGACGGAGGGGAGAGTTT	(GCG) ₅	208	KX495122
Art CCD(7	R: CCGGCATGAGGGTCCAAATCAA		170	WW405122
Art_SSR67	F: TCTTCTTCGGAGCTGGCATAGA	(CGC) ₅	179	KX495123
Art_SSR72	R: AGGAGGTTCGCTTGTCCTTGTT	$(AG)_7$	146	KX495124
m_SSK/2	F: ATGGGTGAGGAGAGCGTGATGA	$(AO)_7$	140	KA493124
Art_SSR84	R: TTCTCCTTTCGCTTCCCCTTCT F: TGACCACCATCACCACCAAAAC	(ACA) ₇	165	KX495128
AII_33K04	R: GCAGCCAAGAGACGGTGGTAAT	(ACA)7	105	NA+73120
	R. GUAGUUAAGAGAUGGIGGIAAT			

^aAnnealing temperature for all loci was 55°C.