

Characterization of 31 Microsatellite Markers for Sinocalycanthus chinensis (Calycanthaceae), an Endemic Endangered Species

Authors: Wang, Xiao-Yan, Jin, Ze-Xin, Li, Jian-Hui, and Li, Yuan-Yuan

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 5(9)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1700009

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



PRIMER NOTE

CHARACTERIZATION OF 31 MICROSATELLITE MARKERS FOR Sinocalycanthus chinensis (Calycanthaceae), an endemic endangered species¹

XIAO-YAN WANG^{2,3}, ZE-XIN JIN^{2,3,6}, JIAN-HUI LI⁴, AND YUAN-YUAN LI⁵

²Institute of Ecology, Taizhou University, Taizhou 318000, People's Republic of China; ³Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory of Plant Evolutionary Ecology and Conservation, Taizhou 318000, People's Republic of China; ⁴Quzhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Quzhou 324000, People's Republic of China; and ⁵School of Ecological and Environmental Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, People's Republic of China

- Premise of the study: Thirty-one microsatellite markers were developed for Sinocalycanthus chinensis (Calycanthaceae), an endemic endangered species in China.
- *Methods and Results:* Twenty-one polymorphic and 10 monomorphic microsatellite markers of *S. chinensis* were developed using methods of biotin-streptavidin capture and capillary electrophoresis. The number of alleles per locus was one to 20 with an average of 4.677 in 90 individuals taken from two populations in Zhejiang Province and one population in Anhui Province in China. Mean observed and expected heterozygosity across all three populations were 0.403 ± 0.061 (0.033-1.000 per locus) and 0.510 ± 0.043 (0.032-0.797 per locus), respectively. Of these 31 loci, 29 were successfully amplified in *Calycanthus floridus*.
- Conclusions: These microsatellite markers will be useful for studies of population genetic diversity and phylogeny of S. chinensis and C. floridus.

Key words: Calycanthaceae; genetic diversity; microsatellite; polymorphic; Sinocalycanthus chinensis.

The monotypic genus Sinocalycanthus chinensis W. C. Cheng & S. Y. Chang within the family Calycanthaceae is an endemic, endangered plant species in China. Sinocalycanthus chinensis is a diploid (2n = 22; Jin et al., 2010), deciduous shrub characterized by large, individual flowers with a diameter of 4.5-7 cm (Cheng and Chang, 1964). Its high ornamental and medicinal value results in overharvesting and a highly restricted geographic distribution (Li and Jin, 2006). Some studies have focused on the genetic diversity and phylogeny of S. chinensis using random-amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Li and Jin, 2006), inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) (Ye et al., 2006; Jin and Li, 2007), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) (Zhao et al., 2014), and chloroplast simple sequence repeat (cpSSR) (Li et al., 2012) markers, but with limited resolution, low reproducibility, and/or low stability. In this study, microsatellites, a more powerful and effective marker due to their codominance, were developed for use in genetic investigation of three populations of S. chinensis.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Leaves of *S. chinensis* were collected from three populations (30 individuals in each population) distributed across three locations in China: Daleishan (DLS) (28.988717°N, 120.811367°E) in Tiantai County, Damingshan (DMS)

¹Manuscript received 8 February 2017; revision accepted 13 July 2017. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 31400423) and the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province, China (no. LQ14C030001).

⁶Author for correspondence: jzx@tzc.edu.cn

doi:10.3732/apps.1700009

(30.039817°N, 118.972933°E) in Lin'an city in Zhejiang Province, and Longxushan (LXS) (30.069167°N, 118.700167°E) in Jixi County in Anhui Province (Appendix 1). Leaves of Calycanthus floridus L. were collected from Zhenru Garden (31.253708°N, 121.398147°E) in Shanghai and Hangzhou Botanic Garden (30.255113°N, 121.116163°E) in Zhejiang Province in China (Appendix 1). Total genomic DNA was extracted from silica-dried leaves using the Plant Genomic DNA Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China). A microsatellite-enriched library of S. chinensis was constructed using the biotin-streptavidin capture method (Zane et al., 2002). Genomic DNA was digested using MseI (New England Biolabs, Beverly, Massachusetts, USA) at 37°C for 3 h, followed by 80°C for 20 min. After visualization by agarose gel electrophoresis, the DNA fragments (200-800 bp after digestion) were ligated to a MseI-adapter pair (F: 5'-TACTCAGGACTCAT-3', R: 5'-GACGAT-GAGTCCTGAG-3') at 37°C for 2 h and then 65°C for 10 min. The ligation products were amplified as follows: 95°C for 3 min, followed by 20 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 53°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min. The PCR products were hybridized with a 5' biotinylated probe (AG)15 and captured with streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). The enriched fragments were amplified as follows: 95°C for 3 min; 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 53°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min; and 72°C for 8 min. After separation by agarose gel electrophoresis, the PCR products were purified using the Multifunctional DNA Purification Kit (BioTeke, Beijing, China). The purified PCR products were ligated to pMD 19-T vector (TaKaRa Biotechnology Co., Dalian, China) at 72°C for 1 h, and then transformed into strain JM109 of Escherichia coli by transient thermal stimulation (ice bath for 30 min, 42°C water bath for 90 s, followed by ice bath for 2 min).

A total of 716 positive clones were chosen and tested by PCR using primers of $(AG)_{10}$ and M13F/M13R, respectively. One hundred and twenty-seven screened clones contained potential microsatellite motifs and were sequenced using an ABI 3730 DNA Sequence Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). A total of 107 (75 in the initial sequencing and 32 in the second sequencing) primer pairs were designed by the program Primer Premier 5 (PREMIER Biosoft International, Palo Alto, California, USA). These primers were tested for polymorphism in 90 *S. chinensis* individuals within the DLS, DMS, and LXS populations. PCR amplification was performed in a 10-µL reaction: 20 ng of genomic DNA template, 1.0 µL of 10× PCR buffer (with Mg²⁺), 0.15 mM of each dNTPs, 0.05 µM of each primer, and 0.5 units of DNA *Taq* polymerase (TaKaRa Biotechnology Co.).

Applications in Plant Sciences 2017 5(9): 1700009; http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps © 2017 Wang et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0), which permits unrestricted noncommercial use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited and the new work is distributed under the same license as the original.

|--|

Locus	Primer sequences $(5'-3')$	Repeat motif	Allele size range (bp)	$T_{\rm a}$ (°C)	GenBank accession no.
SC020*	F: GAATAAGGGGAGTGGACG	(TC) ₈	142	57	KY560159
00056	R: GAGAAAGGAAGGAAATAAAA		220, 224	5 4	1015(01(0
SC056	F: ATAGAAAGCCTTGGTTG	(GA) ₉	220–226	54	KY560160
SC061	R: AGGGAAAACTCAAAAGA F: CACTAAATGCTACCAAACG	(CT) ₁₆	205-223	54	KY560161
50001	R: GAAAACATACCAACCAAAA	$(C1)_{16}$	203 223	54	R 1500101
SC078	F: GAACCCTACAGAAACTTGAC	(GT) ₁₀ (GA) ₁₃	174–186	56	KY560162
	R: GTGTTGTTAGATTGGGTGGT	. ,10. ,15			
SC093	F: TTCCGAGAACGAGAT	(CT) ₂₄	94–112	48	KY560163
8000(*	R: TTTAGTCATGCCAATG		104	47	1/1/2/01/4
SC096*	F: AAACTCCTATTTCCTCCC R: TTTCAAACACCCTTCACA	(AG) ₁₅	104	47	KY560164
SC098	F: CTGGTAGGTTTTGCTGCTTTT	(AG) ₁₄	150-184	55	KY560165
56070	R: CGGATCTCCTTTCTTCTTCA	(110)]4	150 104	55	R 1500105
SC107-2	F: ACCATCAAATAGAAACC	(GA) ₁₀	90–106	57	KY560166
	R: GAGTCCTGAGAATAAGA				
SC124	F: TACGGCGGTAATACAAGGG	(AG)8(GA)9	220–246	60	KY560167
0.010/1	R: CTGAAACGCCATCCGACTC		101	50	*****
SC136*	F: GACAGGTTTTGGAGATG	$(AG)_7$	124	50	KY560168
SC151	R: GGAGTGATTCCTTTGG F: CCACAAAAGGTCAATGAG	(GA) ₂₅	150-180	48	KY560169
30151	R: TCTGGATGGGTTGGACTA	$(OA)_{25}$	150-180	40	K1500109
SC197*	F: AAAACCAAACCAAGAGGAAGA	(CT) ₁₆	183	52	KY560170
	R: GCCAACGTCAACATAAGTAGC	(-)10			
SC220	F: ATGACAATGCCAGGAGAT	(GA) ₁₅	203-213	49	KY560171
	R: TCACGCTCCTCTGTTTCT				
SC245*	F: GGGTTACTGGTTTGGTT	$(CT)_{15}$	188	50	KY560172
80257*	R: GGGTCGGACAGTGAGTA		100	45	VN5(0172
SC257*	F: GAGATAAGGAGATGGAT R: AAGTTGGACAGTGATGG	(AG) ₁₂	199	45	KY560173
SC264	F: TGGGTTATTTGGTTTCA	$(GA)_9$	154-166	54	KY560174
	R: GTCGCAGTCACCTTCTC	()9			
SC280	F: GATTACCCTTCTTAGCAC	(CT) ₈ (CA) ₁₂	308-322	52	KY560175
	R: CAGGTCCAGACTGATGAC				
SC296	F: AAAAGAAGGACCATCAGTAT	(TC) ₁₅	94–98	52	KY560176
60201	R: GTTGTATTGCATTCAAAGTT		124 129	50	VN5(0177
SC301	F: TGTTTACATCATGCCAGT R: GCTCTACTCCCTGATTTT	(CT) ₉	124–128	50	KY560177
SC318*	F: TGAGACTCGAAATCACCACT	(TC) ₇	199	50	KY560178
50010	R: GGAGACAGAAGCAGCAGAAT	(10)/		00	111000170
SC367	F: GAACAATGAAACCGAAGG	(CT) ₇	170–184	54	KY560179
	R: TAGTTCAAATAAGAAGCAGAG				
SC375	F: AAGTGTAAATATGCGGTGGA	(GA) ₇	113-123	50	KY560180
66200*	R: GCTGCCTCGAACAAGTCT		255	5(WW5(0101
SC388*	F: CCATGATCCCAAGGTAAG R: AAGACAGAATGCCCCAAT	(CT) ₁₁	255	56	KY560181
SC424	F: AGAAAGTAGGGGAGGGAAGC	$(GA)_7$	222-246	57	KY560182
50121	R: CACCCTTCAGTCGTGGAGCC	(011)/	222 210	57	111500102
SC440*	F: ATGAAGATGTGATTTT	(TC) ₁₂	127	42	KY560183
	R: CATTTGATTGAGATAA				
SC472*	F: AGAAACCCAACAATAGTAGAAG	$(AG)_5(GA)_6$	159	55	KY560184
50402	R: ACAAGCACCCACCATACA		1(2, 215	10	VV5(0105
SC492	F: TACAAGGCTTACCGCACA R: GAGGATTTGAAAAGAACTGTTT	(CT) ₁₄	163–215	46	KY560185
SC512-2	F: GGCACTTGGTGGTAG	(AG) ₂₁	91-101	46	KY560186
	R: ATGGTCCTCACATCAG	(10)21	,. ivi	.0	
SC537	F: ATTCCACAAACAATAATCTC	(AG) ₁₇	160–168	49	KY560187
	R: TCTCCTTTCAAGCAACC				
SC556-2	F: ACTATTCACCCTAGTTCTC	(TC) ₁₆	109-117	47	KY560188
80(72.2	R: CCATTTGACCCACTTA		114 100	50	1737520400
SC673-2	F: TGACTCCCAATAAACAC	$(GA)_8$	114–120	53	KY560189
	R: TTCGAGCATCCAATAGC				

Note: T_a = annealing temperature.

* Monomorphic microsatellite loci.

Microsatellite loci were amplified under the following conditions: $94^{\circ}C$ for 3 min; 30 cycles of $94^{\circ}C$ for 30 s, $41-60^{\circ}C$ (annealing temperature) for 30 s, $72^{\circ}C$ for 30 s; and extension at $72^{\circ}C$ for 5 min. PCR products were visualized on 1.5%

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps

agarose gels and then resolved on a Fragment Analyzer automated capillary electrophoresis system (Advanced Analytical Technologies, Ankeny, Iowa, USA; kit DNF-900-K0500).

TABLE 2.	Genetic diversity of 21	polymorphic microsate	ellite markers in three	Sinocalvcanthus chine	ensis populations. ^a

		Damin	gshan ($N = 3$	30)		Daleis	shan $(N = 30)$))		Longx	ushan $(N = 3)$	(0)		Tot	al $(N = 90)$	
Locus	п	Α	$H_{\rm o}$	H _e	п	Α	H _o	H _e	п	Α	$H_{\rm o}$	H _e	п	Α	$H_{\rm o}$	$H_{\rm e}$
SC056	30	2	0.000*	0.444	30	3	0.033*	0.609	30	3	0.000*	0.371	90	4	0.033	0.475
SC061	30	6	0.733	0.776	30	6	0.667	0.727	30	3	0.133*	0.598	90	10	0.511	0.700
SC078	30	4	0.300	0.579	30	7	0.567	0.736	30	5	0.533	0.626	90	7	0.467	0.647
SC093	30	6	0.567	0.767	29	7	0.517*	0.804	30	6	0.733	0.760	89	7	0.606	0.777
SC098	30	2	0.033	0.033	30	6	0.633	0.719	30	6	0.367*	0.617	90	9	0.344	0.456
SC107-2	30	6	0.500	0.661	30	6	0.467*	0.756	27	2	0.296	0.444	87	7	0.421	0.620
SC124	30	10	0.933*	0.811	30	8	0.500*	0.746	30	8	0.800*	0.835	90	14	0.933	0.797
SC151	30	6	1.000	0.752	30	6	0.567	0.779	30	5	0.600	0.562	90	8	0.722	0.698
SC220	30	2	0.267	0.231	30	7	0.567*	0.766	30	2	0.633	0.433	90	7	0.489	0.477
SC264	30	2	0.067*	0.180	30	2	0.133*	0.444	30	1	0.000	0.000	90	2	0.067	0.208
SC280	30	1	0.000	0.000	30	2	0.033	0.033	30	2	0.067	0.064	90	2	0.033	0.032
SC296	30	2	0.000*	0.124	30	3	0.267	0.527	30	2	0.000*	0.491	90	3	0.267	0.381
SC301	30	2	0.033	0.033	30	3	0.667	0.491	30	3	0.267	0.238	90	3	0.322	0.254
SC367	29	3	0.000*	0.585	30	5	0.100*	0.502	29	3	0.069*	0.447	88	5	0.069	0.511
SC375	30	2	1.000*	0.500	30	2	1.000*	0.500	30	2	1.000*	0.500	90	2	1.000	0.500
SC424	30	2	0.000*	0.124	29	9	0.241*	0.795	30	5	0.033*	0.578	89	9	0.137	0.499
SC492	30	8	0.267*	0.642	30	11	0.600*	0.854	30	10	0.833*	0.839	90	20	0.550	0.778
SC512-2	29	2	0.000*	0.408	29	4	0.000*	0.302	27	2	0.556	0.497	85	4	0.556	0.402
SC537	30	4	0.000*	0.611	30	5	0.133*	0.563	30	4	0.033*	0.517	90	5	0.083	0.564
SC556-2	30	4	0.267	0.317	30	4	0.567	0.668	30	4	0.433*	0.686	90	5	0.422	0.557
SC673-2	30	2	0.567	0.455	30	2	0.300	0.339	28	2	0.393	0.316	88	2	0.420	0.370

Note: A = number of alleles; $H_e =$ expected heterozygosity; $H_o =$ observed heterozygosity; N = number of individuals sampled; n = number of individuals successfully amplified.

^aLocality and voucher information are provided in Appendix 1.

* Significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium expectations after Bonferroni correction (P < 0.001).

The number of alleles, observed heterozygosity, expected heterozygosity, and linkage disequilibrium were estimated with the software FSTAT 2.9.3.2 (Goudet, 2001), and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium was assessed using GenAlEx 6.3 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). Of the 31 loci, 21 loci were polymorphic in at least two of the three tested populations, and the remaining 10 loci were monomorphic (Table 1). The number of alleles per locus ranged from one to 20, with an average of 4.677. In the 21 polymorphic markers, the average observed and expected heterozygosity in all three populations were 0.403 \pm 0.061 (mean \pm SEM [standard error of the mean]) (0.033–1.000 per locus) and 0.510 \pm 0.043 (0.032–0.797 per locus), respectively (Table 2). Seven loci (SC056, SC124, SC367, SC375, SC424, SC492, SC537) significantly deviated from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in all three tested populations after Bonferroni correction (*P* < 0.001) (Table 2). Of these 31 loci, 29 were successfully amplified in *C. floridus* and also revealed high levels of polymorphism (Table 3).

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, 31 microsatellite markers were developed from the Chinese endemic endangered plant species *S. chinensis*. Twenty-one loci were polymorphic in three tested populations. The high transferability of these markers will provide a more effective method to research the population genetics and phylogeography of *S. chinensis* and the closely related species *C. floridus*.

LITERATURE CITED

CHENG, W. J., AND S. Y. CHANG. 1964. New genus in the family Calycanthaceae– genus Sinocalycanthus. Acta Phytotaxonomica Sinica 9: 135–138.

- GOUDET, J. 2001. FSTAT (version 2.9.3): A program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices. Institute of Ecology, Lausanne, Switzerland. Website http://www.unil.ch/popgen/softwares/fstat.htm [accessed 20 December 2016].
- JIN, Z. X., AND J. M. LI. 2007. ISSR analysis on genetic diversity of endangered relic shrub Sinocalycanthus chinensis. Journal of Applied Ecology 18: 247–253.
- JIN, Z. X., J. M. LI, S. S. KE, C. M. BIAN, AND W. B. ZHANG. 2010. Conservation biology of *Sinocalycanthus chinensis*. Science Press, Beijing, China.
- LI, J. M., AND Z. X. JIN. 2006. High genetic differentiation revealed by RAPD analysis of narrowly endemic *Sinocalycanthus chinensis*, Cheng et S.Y. Chang, an endangered species of China. *Biochemical Systematics* and Ecology 34: 725–735.
- LI, J. M., Z. X. JIN, AND T. TAN. 2012. Genetic diversity and differentiation of *Sinocalycanthus chinensis* populations revealed by chloroplast microsatellite (cpSSRs) markers. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology* 41: 48–54.
- PEAKALL, R., AND P. E. SMOUSE. 2006. GenAlEx 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 288–295.
- YE, Q., Y. X. QIU, Y. Q. QUO, J. X. CHEN, S. Z. YANG, M. S. ZHAO, AND C. X. FU. 2006. Species-specific SCAR markers for authentication of *Sinocalycanthus chinensis*. *Journal of Zhejiang University. Science*. *Series B* 7: 868–872.
- ZANE, L., L. BARGELLONI, AND T. PATARNELLO. 2002. Strategies for microsatellite isolation: A review. *Molecular Ecology* 11: 1–16.
- ZHAO, H., L. ZHOU, H. LIU, AND Z. BAO. 2014. Genetic effects of different mating modes in *Sinocalycanthus chinensis* (Cheng et S.Y. Chang) Cheng et S.Y. Chang, an endangered species endemic to Zhejiang Province, China. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology* 54: 8–14.

TABLE 3. Characterization of 31 microsatellite loci developed from Sinocalycanthus chinensis in two populations of Calycanthus floridus.^a

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Sha	anghai Zhenr $(N=7)$	u Park	Han	Hangzhou Botanic Garden $(N = 2)$				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Locus	Α	$H_{\rm o}$	H _e	Α	$H_{\rm o}$	H _e			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC020	1	0.000	0.000	1	0.000	0.000			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC056	4	0.857	0.786	4	1.000	0.7500			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC061	5	0.714	0.726	4	1.000	0.7500			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC078	4	0.714	0.786	1	0.000	0.000			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC093	3	0.571	0.667		_				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC096	2	0.714	0.524	2	1.000	0.500			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC098	6	1.000	0.875	2	1.000	0.500			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC107-2	4	0.286	0.786	1	0.000	0.000			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC124	7	0.857	0.905	4	1.000	0.500			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC136	_			_		_			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC151	5	0.286	0.845	2	1.000	0.500			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC197	_								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC220	7	1.000	0.893	2	0.000	0.500			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC245	4	0.429	0.738		0.000	0.000			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC257	2	0.286	0.452	1	0.000	0.000			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC264	4	0.429	0.667	2	1.000	0.500			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC280	3	0.571	0.619		1.000	0.500			
SC301 4 0.714 0.619 2 1.000 0.500 SC318 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000 SC367 2 0.500 0.417 2 1.000 0.500 SC375 3 1.000 0.643 2 1.000 0.500 SC388 5 0.167 0.800 2 0.500 0.375 SC424 4 0.167 0.800 3 1.000 0.602 SC440 3 0.857 0.643 1 0.000 0.000 SC472 2 1.000 0.500 2 1.000 0.500 SC492 3 0.714 0.690 2 1.000 0.500 SC512-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000 SC537 6 0.857 0.881 3 1.000 0.602 SC556-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 <	SC296	4	0.857							
SC318 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000 SC367 2 0.500 0.417 2 1.000 0.500 SC375 3 1.000 0.643 2 1.000 0.500 SC388 5 0.167 0.800 2 0.500 0.375 SC424 4 0.167 0.800 3 1.000 0.602 SC440 3 0.857 0.643 1 0.000 0.000 SC472 2 1.000 0.500 2 1.000 0.500 SC492 3 0.714 0.690 2 1.000 0.500 SC512-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000 SC537 6 0.857 0.881 3 1.000 0.625 SC556-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000		4			2					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2			2					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					2					
SC424 4 0.167 0.800 3 1.000 0.625 SC440 3 0.857 0.643 1 0.000 0.000 SC472 2 1.000 0.500 2 1.000 0.500 SC492 3 0.714 0.690 2 1.000 0.500 SC512-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000 SC537 6 0.857 0.881 3 1.000 0.625 SC556-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000	SC388									
SC440 3 0.857 0.643 1 0.000 0.000 SC472 2 1.000 0.500 2 1.000 0.500 SC492 3 0.714 0.690 2 1.000 0.500 SC512-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000 SC537 6 0.857 0.881 3 1.000 0.625 SC556-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000										
SC472 2 1.000 0.500 2 1.000 0.500 SC492 3 0.714 0.690 2 1.000 0.500 SC512-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000 SC537 6 0.857 0.881 3 1.000 0.625 SC556-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000		3								
SC492 3 0.714 0.690 2 1.000 0.500 SC512-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000 SC537 6 0.857 0.881 3 1.000 0.625 SC556-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000										
SC512-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000 SC537 6 0.857 0.881 3 1.000 0.625 SC556-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000					2					
SC537 6 0.857 0.881 3 1.000 0.625 SC556-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000										
SC556-2 1 0.000 0.000 1 0.000 0.000		-			-					
	SC673-2	3	0.286	0.643	1	0.000	0.000			

Note: — = no PCR products; A = number of alleles; $H_e =$ expected heterozygosity; $H_o =$ observed heterozygosity; N = number of individuals sampled.

^aLocality and voucher information are provided in Appendix 1.

APPENDIX 1. Locality information for the Sinocalycanthus chinensis and Calycanthus floridus samples used in this study.^a

Species	Population ID	Collection locality	Geographic coordinates	Collector	Collection no.	Ν
Sinocalycanthus chinensis W. C. Cheng & S. Y. Chang	DMS	Damingshan, Zhejiang, China	30.039817°N, 118.972933°E	Xiao-Yan Wang	DLS1-30	30
Sinocalycanthus chinensis	DLS	Daleishan, Zhejiang, China	28.988717°N, 120.811367°E	Xiao-Yan Wang	DMS1-30	30
Sinocalycanthus chinensis	LXS	Longxushan, Anhui, China	30.069167°N, 118.700167°E	Jing-Jing Gu	AHJX1-30	30
Calycanthus floridus L.		Zhenru Garden, Shanghai, China	31.253708°N, 121.398147°E	Yong-Bin Shi	ZRCF1-6	7
Calycanthus floridus		Hangzhou Botanic Garden, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China	30.255113°N, 121.116163°E	Chuan Chen	HZCF1-2	2

Note: *N* = number of individuals.

^aAll voucher specimens were deposited in Taizhou University, Taizhou, China.