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Vertebral fusion in two Early Jurassic sauropodomorph dinosaurs from the Lufeng Formation of Yunnan, China

LIDA XING, BRUCE M. ROTHSCCHILD, HAO RAN, TETSUTO MIYASHITA, W. SCOTT PERSONS IV, TORU SEKIYA, JIANPING ZHANG, TAO WANG, and ZHIMING DONG

Here we describe two instances of pathological vertebral fusion in two genera of sauropodomorph dinosaurs from the Early Jurassic Lufeng Formation in Yunnan, China. The first is a specimen, of Lufengosaurus huenei with two fused cervical vertebrae, and the other is a specimen of the Lufeng basal sauropod, with two fused caudal vertebrae. Both pathologies are consistent with spondyloarthropathy and represent the earliest known occurrence of that disease in dinosaurs. The two specimens affirm that early dinosaurs suffered from the same bone diseases as living vertebrates. Spondyloarthropathy in these dinosaurs may have been induced by long-term mechanical stress, such as weight bearing, and/or limited motion at the joint that would otherwise have inhibited such remodeling. In both cases, surface remodeling suggests that the animals survived well beyond the initiation of spondyloarthropathy.
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Introduction

Vertebral fusion is a serious and common bone disease (Rothschild 1997; Rothschild and Martin 2006) and is widely documented in modern and ancient humans (Rogers et al. 1985; Littleton 1999; Rothschild and Martin 2006), various other mammals (Rothschild and Martin 2006), non-avian dinosaurs (Blumberg and Sokoloff 1961; Rothschild and Berman 1991; Rothschild 1997; Molnar 2001; Butler et al. 2013; Farke and O’Connor 2007), reptiles (Rothschild 1997), and fishes (Britz and Johnson 2005). The most obvious causes of vertebral fusion are the ossification of the annulus fibrosus, often rugose and bulbous bone growth between the joined vertebrae, and the resulting loss of intervertebral flexibility. Depression of the spinal nerve may also result, which may lead to reduced or complete loss of nerve function (Rothschild 1997; Rothschild and Martin 2006; Wu et al. 2008). The pathological vertebral fusion from two genera of sauropodomorph dinosaurs described in this paper are located in Yunnan Province, China.

Institutional abbreviations.—ZLJ, World Dinosaur Valley Park, Lufeng, China.

Other abbreviations.—DISH, diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis.
Geological setting

The Red Beds of the Lufeng Series in the Lufeng Basin (Fig. 1) are conventionally divided into upper and lower units (Bien 1941). The Lufeng Series was originally described as Late Triassic in age (e.g., Bien 1941; Young 1951). Sheng et al. (1962) proposed an Early Jurassic and Middle Jurassic age for the lower and upper units, respectively. Recent biostratigraphical correlations based on tetrapod and associated invertebrate fossils have supported this Jurassic designation (Sun and Cui 1986; Luo and Wu 1994, 1995). From 1999 to 2000, Fang and colleagues studied the stratigraphic section at Lao Changqing-Da Jianfeng in the Chuanjie Basin and restricted the name “Lufeng Formation” to what previously was the Lower Lufeng Formation and further divided it into Shawan (Dull Purplish Bed) and Zhangjia’ao (Dark Red Bed) members, and this opinion is followed here. Strata that had at various times been encompassed in the Upper Lufeng Formation were broken into the Chuanjie, Laoluocun, Madishan, and Anning formations (Fang et al. 2000).

Beginning in the 1940s, a wealth of dinosaur fossils have been unearthed in the Lufeng Basin, including material from ornithischians (e.g., Irmis and Knoll 2008), theropods (e.g., Hu 1993; Wu et al. 2009), and the sauropodomorphs Lufengosaurus (Young 1941, 1951; Barrett et al. 2005), Yunnanosauroidea (Young 1942; Barrett et al. 2007), Jingshanosaurus (Zhang and Yang 1995), Xixiposaurus (Sekiya 2010), and an unnamed basal sauropod (= “Yizhousaurus”) (Chatterjee et al. 2010). The dinosaur fauna of the Lufeng Formation is often collectively referred to as the “Lufengosaurus fauna” (e.g., Dong 1992).

Material

The two fossil specimens described here were collected from the Dalishu bonebed, Shawan Member, Lufeng County, Yunnan Province, China. ZLJ T001 is an articulated, but incomplete, skeleton of a basal sauropodomorph dinosaur. ZLJ 0033 is a nearly complete and articulated skeleton of a basal sauropod.

Systematic palaeontology

Dinosauria Owen, 1842
Saurischia Seeley, 1887
Sauropodomorpha von Huene, 1932
Prosauropoda von Huene, 1920
Genus Lufengosaurus Young, 1941

Type species: Lufengosaurus huenei Young, 1941; Lufeng Basin, China, Early Jurassic.
The pathologic vertebrae of ZLJ T001 are the 7th and 8th cervical vertebrae (Figs. 2, 3), which are fused at the intervertebral joint (contrast with the normal 7th and 8th cervical vertebrae of *Lufengosaurus huenei* ZLJ 0013; Fig. 4). This region is swollen with anomalous ossification. Like normal posterior cervical vertebrae, the anterior surface of the 7th centrum and the posterior surface of the 8th centrum are both gently concave. A pleurocoel is absent. The ventral keel is present on both centra but splits into two parallel ridges in the pathological region in ventral view. Unlike normal posterior cervical vertebrae, the neural spines are anteroposteriorly shorter than dorsoventrally tall in lateral view. The posterior surface of the neural spines is rugose, with numerous pits and tubercles developed over the normal, smooth texture, which indicates ossification of the interspinoous tendons at the site of attachment (Fig. 3).

The 7th cervical vertebra (centrum length: 265 mm; centrum width [anterior]: 125 mm; centrum height [anterior]: 130 mm) has an incompletely closed, visible neurocentral suture. The parapophysis is normal on the right side and poorly preserved on the left. The broken right prezygapophysis shows no abnormal internal structure within that part of the neural arch. The 8th cervical vertebra (centrum length: 190 mm; centrum width [posterior]: 135 mm; centrum height [posterior]: 150 mm) is shorter anteroposteriorly than the 7th cervical vertebra. The parapophysis is just behind the pathological region on both right and left sides.

The centrum fusion between the 7th and 8th cervical vertebrae is due to proliferation and ossification of annulus fibrosus, not by the ossification of the intervertebral ligaments. The surface of the fused region is generally smooth. At the border of the proliferation, some exostoses are visible. No infection holes or bone density changes are apparent, and the surfaces of each vertebra are free of tooth marks. Inconsistent with infection, the pathology is highly localized and appears only at and around the intervertebral zone, with both the posterior end of the 7th cervical and the posterior end of the 8th cervical having altogether normal appearances.

**Stratigraphic and geographic range.**—ZLJ T001 and ZLJ 0013 are from Dalishu bonebed, Shawan Member of Lufeng Formation, Lufeng County, Yunnan Province, China.

**Material.**—ZLJ 0033 is a nearly complete and articulated skeleton from the Dalishu bonebed, Shawan Member of Lufeng Formation, Lufeng County, Yunnan Province, China, which was initially identified as *Lufengosaurus huenei* by the World Dinosaur Valley Park. However, subsequent examination by Chatterjee et al. (2010) showed that it is a basal sauropod, but a detailed description has yet to be published. This view is followed here.

**Description.**—ZLJ 0033 shows fusion between the 4th and 5th caudal vertebrae (Fig. 5). The fusion is due to ossification of the annulus fibrosus, particularly expanded around the lateral margin of the centrum, which makes the region of the intervertebral joint appear swollen. The breakage between the two fused vertebrae reveals that the centra are weakly amphicoe-
lous, and the fusion did not affect the intervertebral disc and zygapophyseal contact. As in the pathological cervical vertebrae of ZLJ T001, the surface texture is smooth. At the broken plane, the internal structure and bone density appear identical to those of the other caudal vertebrae. Neither are there tooth marks or any correlates of infection.

Both pathological vertebrae lack pleurocoels and a ventral keel. The 4th caudal vertebra (centrum length: 100 mm; centrum width [anterior]: 110 mm; centrum height [anterior]: 135 mm) is anteroposteriorly shorter than the 5th caudal vertebra (centrum length: 115 mm; centrum width [posterior]: 94 mm; centrum height [posterior]: 125 mm). The neural spine is inclined posterodorsally, and the transverse process extends posterolaterally. The site of attachment for the haemal arch is obliterated by the ossification of the annulus fibrosus between the 4th and 5th caudal vertebrae, but is normal between the 5th and 6th caudal vertebrae.

Discussion

There are several potential causes of pathologic vertebral fusion, including: congenital (as reported in fish by Britz and Johnson [2005]), malformation during the healing of a bone fracture (Lovell 1997; Rothschild 1997; Resnick 2002; Rothschild and Martin 2006; Bulter et al. 2013), infection (Bramlage and Dvm 1998; Rothschild and Martin 2006), diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH) (Resnick et al. 1975, 1978; Resnick 2002; Rothschild and Martin 2006; Rothschild 2013), and spondyloarthropathy (Rothschild and Martin 2006).

In both specimens, the fusion is the result of ossification of the annulus fibrosus, not ossification of longitudinal ligaments (Rothschild 1997; Rothschild and Martin 2006). The fused vertebrae are isolated cases, with no other abnormal ossifications detected elsewhere along the axial series or in
the appendicular skeleton. The external bone surfaces are smooth and there are no scars or fractural vestiges. Thus, injury and healing malformation can be confidently ruled out (Lovell 1997; Rothschild 1997; Resnick 2002; Rothschild and Martin 2006) as can DISH, which is characterized by the fusion of at least three or more vertebrae and by the formation of wave-like bone structure on the ventral side the vertebral column (Resnick et al. 1975, 1978; Resnick 2002; Rothschild and Martin 2006; Rothschild 2013). No evidence of infection (such as pits or areas of aberrant bone density) was found (Bramlage and Dvm 1998; Rothschild and Martin 2006).

The ossification of the annulus fibrosus, the smooth surfaces of the syndesmophyte, and the obvious proliferation of ossification at the center and along the border of ZLJ T001 and ZLJ 0033 are characteristic of spondyloarthropy (Rothschild 1997; Rothschild and Martin 2006; Wu et
al. 2008). Distinguishing the various forms of spondyloarthropathy in fossil bones is difficult (Rothschild and Martin 2006). However, the isolated nature of the ossifications rules out ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and inflammatory bowel disease associated arthritis (IBDA), which usually affect numerous vertebrae in a lumbar-cervical progression (Resnick 2002; Stein and Taylor 2004; Rothschild and Martin 2006). As spondyloarthropathy is long-term remodelling of intervertebral joints, these cases of the disorder reported here could have been a response to long-term mechanical stress by weight bearing and/or reduced frequency of motion at joints that would otherwise have prevented such remodelling.

There are reports of spondyloarthropathy in sauropods (e.g., *Camarasaurus*), hadrosaurs, and ceratopsid dinosaurs (Rothschild 1997; Rothschild et al. 2002), but never before in non-sauropod sauropodomorphs. Although there are some examples of spondyloarthropathy in Permian reptiles (Rothschild et al. 2012), the previous earliest record of spondyloarthropathy among dinosaurs comes from *Camarasaurus* (Late Jurassic, late Oxfordian–Tithonian) (Rothschild et al. 2002). These sauropodomorphs (ZLI T001 and ZLI 0033) are, therefore, the oldest record of spondyloarthropathy in dinosaurs, being Early Jurassic (Hettangian–Sinemurian).

The two samples are proof that early dinosaurs suffered from bone diseases similar to those afflicting modern vertebrates. The pathologies may have caused dysmorphosis of the appearance of the neck and the tail, but, given the limited extent of fusion, the in-life visibility of the pathologies may have been limited. Considering that fusion of even ten vertebrae in humans affected by DISH is not associated with measurable loss of vertebral motion (Rothschild 1982), mobility of the neck and tail may not have been significantly restricted in these individuals. In neither of the sauropodomorphs does the ossification appear to have been life threatening.

In modern large-bodied mammals, vertebral fusions are common. The frequency of spondyloarthropathy is less than 1% in Miocene horses, 2% in Pliocene horses, 3% in Pleistocene horses, and as high as 8% in extant horses. There is a similar progression in rhinoceros from 5% in the Oligocene to 35% today (Rothschild and Martin 2006). Wider and more common pathological trends. Taken at face value, however, high levels of the disorder among extant populations of horses and rhinoceros does not appear to be associated with much reduction of fitness.

Fusion of adjacent caudal vertebrae is common among sauropods, especially in *Apatosaurus*, *Camarasaurus*, and *Diplodocus* (Rothschild and Berman 1991). Rothschild and Berman (1991) suggested that the caudal fusion of these sauropods might have been of benefit for a cantilever effect while mating. But the fusion limited to two vertebrae in the series would not have had a measurable effect on such a function. In ceratopsians, the first three cervical vertebrae are fused into a structure known as the syncervical, which may have functioned to support the animals’ massive skulls (Rothschild 1997). Though the potential functional benefits of intervertebral fusion remains uncertain, it is clear that, at the expense of mobility, intervertebral fusion, even that resulting from spondyloarthropathy, would have increased the rigidity of the vertebral column. Nevertheless, intervertebral fusion in the Lufeng sauropodomorph dinosaurs clearly was non-fatal.
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