Early Cretaceous Multituberculate Mammals from the Kuwajima Formation (Tetori Group), Central Japan
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Introduction

Multituberculata comprise the most diverse mammalian group of the Mesozoic, characterized by unique dental features adapted for an omnivorous to herbivorous diet. Multituberculates appeared in the Late or Middle Jurassic and were common in the Cretaceous, especially in the Late Cretaceous; they were major elements of Mesozoic mammalian faunas in the Northern Hemisphere (e.g., Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004). They survived into the Cenozoic with three extant mammalian orders (Marsupialia, Monotremata, and Eutheria). Multituberculates became a major component of Cretaceous and Paleogene mammalian faunas in Asia and North America (Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2000, 2004). Phylogenetic transition from plagiaulacids to cimolodontans is, therefore, significant to the understanding of the evolutionary history of multituberculates as a successful group in the Mesozoic Era. However, this important process is still poorly known because the fossil record of multituberculates in the Early Cretaceous, which is thought to be the transitional period for multituberculate evolution, is scant worldwide.

Among multituberculates the “plagiaulacidan” family Eobaataridae is considered to be closely related to cimolodontans (Kielan-Jaworowska and Hurum 2001; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004) and provides important information about the “plagiaulacidan”–cimolodontan transition. Five genera (Eobaatar Kielan-Jaworowska, Dashzeveg, and Trofimov, 1987; Loxaulax Simpson, 1928; Monobaatar Kielan-Jaworowska, Dashzeveg, and Trofimov, 1987; Parendotherium Crusafont-Pairó and Adrover, 1966; and Sinobaatar Hu and Wang, 2002) are attributed to the Eobaataridae (Kielan-Jaworowska and Hurum 2001; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004), though Parendotherium is assigned to another family, Paulchoffatiidae, and ?Janunmys Eaton and Cifelli, 2001, was attributed to the Eobaataridae by Hahn and Hahn (2006). Most of them are based on fragmentary materials.

Many Early Cretaceous multituberculates have been recently reported from several localities of East Asia and cast new light on the evolutionary history of the group (Wang et al. 1995; Takada and Matsuoka 2001; Takada et al. 2001; Hu and Wang 2002a, b; Kusuhashi 2005, 2006; Kusuhashi et al. 2007). One of the localities is the “Kuwajima Kaseki-kabe” site, an outcrop of the Kuwajima Formation (Tetori Group) in the Shiramine district, Hakusan City (former Shiramine Village), Ishikawa Prefecture, central Japan (Manabe et al. 2000; Takada and Matsuoka 2001; Takada et al. 2001). The Kuwajima Formation has yielded a number of vertebrate remains as well as fossil plants and mollusks; vertebrate fauna of the Kuwajima Formation includes fishes, a frog, dino-
sauras, turtles, lizards, non-mammalian cynodonts and mam-
mals (e.g., Matsuoka 2000; see also Matsuoka et al. 2002).

Two new genera and species of multituberculate mammals
from the Kuwajima Formation are described in the present pa-
ter. Based on upper premolars of the newly described multi-
tuberculates, the possible homologies of the premolars of
“plagiaulacidans” and cimolodontans are discussed.

Institutional abbreviations.—IVPP, Institute of Vertebrate
Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of
Sciences, Beijing, China; PIN, Paleontological Institute of
the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia; SBEI,
Shiramine Institute of Paleontology, Hakusan City Board of
Education, Hakusan, Japan (formerly Shiramine Village
Board of Education, Shiramine, Japan).

Other abbreviations.—dp, deciduous premolar; I, incisor;
M, molar; P/p, premolar; teeth belonging to the upper and
lower dentition are indicated with upper and lower case let-
ters, respectively; SE, standard error.

Geological setting

The Tetori Group, which consists of marine and continental
deposits, ranges from the Middle Jurassic to Early Cretaceous
in age and is distributed in the Inner Zone of central Japan
(Fig. 1). This Group is subdivided into three units. From bot-
tom to top, these include the Kuzuryu Subgroup, which is
dominated with marine deposits, the Itoshiro Subgroup, which
is characterized by a set of mixed marine and terrestrial sedi-
ments, and the Akaiwa Subgroup, which consists of mainly
terrestrial sediments (Maeda 1961b; see also Kusuhashi et al.
2002). The Tetori group overlies the Hida Gneiss and granites
in the northern area and rests on the Paleozoic sedimentary
rocks and the Sangun Schists in the southern area (Maeda
1961b). It has yielded a variety of fossil vertebrates including
dinosaurs, non-mammalian cynodonts, and mammals, as well
as invertebrates and plant fossils.

The Tetori Group in the Shiramine district, Hakusan City
(former Shiramine Village), Ishikawa Prefecture, central Ja-
pan, represents the northwestern distribution of the Group
around Mount Hakusan (Fig. 1). In the Shiramine district, the
Gomijima (Ishikawa Prefecture Board of Education 1978) and
Kuwajima (Nagao in Oishi 1933) formations of the Itoshiro
Subgroup and the Akaiwa and Myodani formations (Kawai
1961) of the Akaiwa Subgroup are exposed (e.g., Ishikawa
Prefecture Board of Education 1978; see also Kusuhashi et al.
2002; Fig. 1). The Tetori Group in this district unconformably
overlies, or is in faulted contact with, the Hida Gneiss, and is
unconformably overlain by the Upper Cretaceous Omichidani
Formation (Maeda 1958, 1961a; Ishikawa Prefecture Board of
Education 1978). The Kuwajima Formation is mainly com-
posed of non-marine sandstones and mudstones that are inter-
preted as deposits of a fluvial-dominated prograding delta sys-
tem (Ishikawa Prefecture Board of Education 1978; Okazaki
and Isaji 1999).

The fossils described here are from the upper part of the
Kuwajima Formation at the “Kuwajima Kaseki-kabe” site
(Fig. 1). The “Kuwajima Kaseki-kabe” outcrop consists of
altemating fine- to coarse-grained arkoses, fine-grained sand-
stones and mudstones (e.g., Kaseno 1993), and is interpreted to represent the channel and inter-channel deposits of a braided river (Okazaki and Isaji 1999; Isaji et al. 2005). This site has yielded numerous fossil vertebrates, including fishes, a frog, dinosaurs, turtles, lizards, non-mammalian cynodonts, and mammals, as well as fossil plants and mollusks (e.g., Matsuoka 2000; see also Matsuoka et al. 2002). Fossil mammals from the “Kuwajima Kaseki−kabe” are eutriconodontans, including *Hakusanodon arcaeus* Rougier, Isaji, and Manabe, 2007, and multituberculates (Rougier et al. 1999, 2007; Manabe et al. 2000; Takada and Matsuoka 2001; Takada et al. 2001).

The age of the Totori Group has not been precisely determined, and the age of the Kuwajima Formation is also uncertain (Isaji 2000). The group mainly consists of non-marine deposits, thus only a few formations are correlated to the geologic time scale by marine index fossils. Reliable radiometric ages, moreover, have seldom been reported. Few index fossils have been reported from the Kuwajima Formation, and thus it is impossible to estimate the age of the Kuwajima Formation through biostratigraphic correlations with other formations of the Totori Group that have already been correlated to the geologic time scale. The Kuwajima Formation has been thought to be correlated to the lower Neocomian (e.g., Isaji 2000), but radiometric dating recently reported from the Totori Group suggested that the age of the Kuwajima Formation is younger than the early Neocomian, probably somewhere between the Barremian to early Aptian (Matsumoto et al. 2006). The zircon U–Pb age of 130.7 ± 0.8 (2 SE) Ma from a tuff intercalated in the lower part of the Kuwajima Formation reported by Matsumoto et al. (2006) indicates that the Kuwajima Formation is younger than the latest Hauterivian in age (Gradstein et al. 2004). The Kuwajima Formation is stratigraphically correlated with the Okurodani Formation (Maeda 1952) distributed in the Shokawa district, Takayama City (former Shokawa Village), Gifu Prefecture, central Japan (Maeda 1961b). From the tuff beds of the Okurodani Formation, Kusuhashi et al. (2006) reported zircon U–Pb ages of 132.9 ± 0.9 (2 SE) Ma and 117.5 ± 0.7 (2 SE) Ma, and concluded that the formation is correlated to the Barremian to Aptian. These zircon U–Pb ages constrain the older limit of the age of the Kuwajima Formation to the Barremian.

Geomagnetic data obtained from the lower part of the Akaïwa Formation of the Akaïwa Subgroup in the Shiramine district suggest that the deposition of this part of the formation did not occur during the period of the Cretaceous Normal-Polarity Super-Chron C34n (Kunugiza et al. 2002) that ranges from the Late Aptian to Late Santonian (Gradstein et al. 2004). Because the Akaïwa Formation conformably overlies the Kuwajima Formation it should not be younger than the Late Santonian in age. The lower part of the Akaïwa Subgroup in the Shiramine district is older than M*−1−r,* of mid-Aptian age. The Myodani Formation of the Akaïwa Subgroup is correlated with the Kitadani Formation that yielded the spalacotheriid “symmetrodont” *Symmetrotestes parvus* Tsubamoto and Rougier, 2004 (Tsubamoto et al. 2004). The Kitadani Formation yields fresh water trigonioidid bivalves, and is correlated to the upper Hauterivian to upper Aptian (Isaji 1993, 2000; Tsubamoto et al. 2004). These age correlations of the Akaïwa and Myodani formations suggest that the Kuwajima Formation is not younger than mid-Aptian in age. The Kuwajima Formation is, therefore, thought to be correlative with the Barremian and/or early Aptian in age.

### Systematic palontology

**Order Multituberculata Cope, 1884**

**Family Eobaataridae Kielen-Jaworowska, Dashzeveg, and Trofimov, 1987**

**Genus *Hakusanobaatar* nov.**

*Type species:* *Hakusanobaatar matsuoi* gen. et sp. nov., by monotypy.

**Etymology:** *Hakusan*, after Mt. Hakusan, around which the Totori Group is distributed, and also after Hakusan City, the city in which the discovery locality of the present materials is situated; *baatar*, Mongolian, means hero, which is used as a suffix for generic names of many Asian Cretaceous multituberculates.

**Diagnosis**—As for the type species.

**Hakusanobaatar matsuoi** sp. nov.

Figs. 2–7.

**Etymology**—In honor of Dr. Hidekuni Matsuo, who contributed greatly to paleontological study of the “Kuwajima Kaseki-kabe” site and, as a leader of the research group, to management of the research on the fossils from the Kuwajima Formation.

**Holotype**—SBEI 1736, isolated right lower incisor, left I2, left and right M1, fragmentary left upper jaw with I3, and P1 to P5, and fragment of right lower jaw with p3 and p4 (all are thought to be of the same individual); Figs. 2–4.

**Type locality**—“Kuwajima Kaseki-kabe” site, Shiramine district, Hakusan City, Ishikawa Prefecture, central Japan.

**Type horizon**—Upper part of the Kuwajima Formation (Totori Group), Barremian to early Aptian (Early Cretaceous).

**Referred specimens**—SBEI 581, fragmentary left lower jaw with damaged p4 (Fig. 5A); SBEI 582, damaged right upper premolar (probably P2; Fig 6B); SBEI 1519, left p3 (Fig. 6A); SBEI 1520, damaged left p4 (Fig. 5C); SBEI 1526, fragment of right lower dentary with incisor (Fig. 5B); and SBEI 1949, tentatively assigned poorly preserved upper premolar (two ?labial cusps of probably right P5; Fig. 6C).

**Diagnosis**—Moderate-sized eobaatarid multituberculate with dental formula ?3.0.5.?2/1.0.3.?2. Enamel is possibly not limated to the outer surface of the lower incisor; p3 is double-rooted and its crown is oval rather than triangular or rectangular in lateral view; p4 has ten serrations and one posterior labial cusp. Upper I2 has one main cusp and one accessory cusp; I3 is thin in lateral view and is leaf-shaped in anterior view; P1 to P3 have triangularly arranged three cusps (1:2); cusp formula of P4 is 3:5; cusp formula of P5 is 2:6:2; M1 has postero-lingual wing and cusp formula is 3:4. Difers from other eobaatarids (*Eobaatar*, *Monobaatar*, and *Sinobaatar*) in cusp formulae of P4 and P5. Difers from *Manunys* in the cusp formula of P4 and in having postero-lingual wing on M1.
Description.—Parts of dentaries, incisors, p3s and p4s of lower jaws, and I2, I3, P1 to P5, and M1s of upper jaw are preserved among the specimens of *Hakusanobaatar matsuoi*. SBEI 1736 has the upper dentition but skull elements, including maxilla and premaxilla, are not preserved (Fig. 2A). The lower molars and upper M2 have yet to be discovered. Dental formula is considered to be \( ?3.0.5.?2/1.0.3.?2 \) based on available materials.

Fragmentary dentaries are preserved in SBEI 581, 1526, and 1736 (Figs. 2C, 4A, 5A, B). There is no specimen in which the anterior and posterior parts of dentary including condyle and coronoid process are preserved. A mental foramen, at 1.1 mm posterior to incisor and 1.4 mm above ventral margin of the dentary, is situated closer to the incisor than to p2 in SBEI 1526 (Fig. 5B). On SBEI 2352 (a resin cast of SBEI 581 made before the anterior part of the dentary was lost), a mental foramen is situated at 1.5 mm anterior to the alveolus of p2 and 1.5 mm above the ventral margin of the dentary, though the dentary is slightly deformed (Fig. 7). This part is now missing in SBEI 581 (Fig 5A). The masseteric fossa extends anteriorly below the posterior root of p4 (Figs. 5A, B, 7). Anterior to the p4, somewhat damaged alveoli for single-rooted p2 and double-rooted p3 are present in SBEI 2352 (Fig. 7). These were mentioned by Takada et al. (2001: fig 2), although this part is also now missing in SBEI 581.

Lower incisors are preserved in SBEI 1526 and 1736 (Figs. 4B, 5B). The lower incisor is slender with a rounded labial surface and more flattened lingual surface, and thinner anteriorly. The ventral margin of the lingual surface is slightly swollen and bends lingually. Enamel may have been present on the inner as well as outer surface.

Lower p3s are preserved in SBEI 1519 and 1736 (Figs. 2C, 4A, 6A). The crown shape of p3 is oval rather than triangular or rectangular and is slightly attenuated antero-ventrally. The lower p3 is double-rooted; the anterior root is robust whereas the posterior one is thin and projects obliquely from a higher position than the anterior one. There are two small serrations on p3 (Fig. 6A). Each serration is accompanied by a short and indistinct ridge that extends antero-ventrally. In anterior view, there is no trace of a depression in the crown but the anterior margin is indented upward, indicating the presence of p2. The apex of p3 reaches the anterior margin of p4 (Figs. 2C, 4A).

Two damaged and one complete p4 are preserved in SBEI 581 and 1520, and 1736, respectively (Figs. 2C, 4A, 5A, C). The crown shape of p4 is parallel-sided in lateral view and is not fully rectangular, nor is it fully arcuate. Its antero-posterior length is not much greater than its height. The U-shaped anterior triangular lobe (exodaenodont lobe in many references, such as Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987) points ventrally and is large relative to crown size. The p4 of SBEI 1736 has ten serrations, of which at least eight of them, except for the first (most anterior) and the last (most posterior), are accompanied by ridges (Fig. 4A). Because of wear it is not obvious whether the last serration had originally been accompanied by a ridge that is now obliterated. The other specimens are damaged and it is impossible to count serrations and ridges. SBEI 581 has at least six ridges (Fig. 5A), and SBEI 1520 has at least seven (Fig. 5C). There is one posterior labial cusp on the distal margin of p4, positioned approximately midway between the base of the crown and the last serration (Figs. 2C, 4A, 5A, 7). Dorsal to this cusp, a wear facet, which reaches the last serration in height and extends to anterior end of the cusp, is observed on SBEI 1736 (Figs. 2C, 4A). The posterior root of the p4 is long antero-posteriorly relative to the crown length, and is more than twice as long as the anterior one (Figs. 5A, 7).

An isolated left I2 is preserved in SBEI 1736 and its base is preserved in the matrix that contains other upper teeth.
I2 is a single-rooted, small and conical tooth with one main cusp and one tiny cusp projecting distally from about midway along the main cusp.

The left I3 is preserved in SBEI 1736 (Figs. 2A, 3A). I3 is probably situated at the lateral margin of the premaxilla, not medially. I3 is thin in lateral view, tapering toward the tip, and is leaf-shaped in anterior view. It is single-rooted and bears weak ridges on its crown.

Three anterior upper premolars, identified as P1–P3, are preserved in SBEI 582 and 1736 (Figs. 2A, 3A, 6B). The three teeth have similar shapes, with three cusps arranged triangularly: one on the labial side and two on the lingual. On each tooth the three cusps are subequal in size. P2 differs in having a tiny cusp anterior to the labial cusp. All cusps are ornamented with radiating (in occlusal view) ridges. The sizes of P1 and P2 are similar, and P3 is smaller than the other two. P3 has a distinct cingulum that extends posteriorly. On the premolar (probably right P2) of SBEI 582, there is an incipient antero-lingual cingulum (Fig. 6B). The anterior part of P2 overlaps the posterior part of P1, and the posterior part of P2 slightly overlaps P3 in SBEI 1736 (Figs. 2A, 3A). The posterior cingulum of P3 is overlapped by the anterior part of P4.

A left P4 is preserved in SBEI 1736 (Figs. 2A, 3A). There are two cusp rows on P4; cusp formula is 3:5 (labial:lingual). The tooth is morphologically similar to P4 of *Eobaatar*, though the cusp formula is different. The height of cusps of the teeth is similar to that of the right P4 of *Eobaatar*.
labial row does not vary greatly, though the second cusp is larger than the other two. The third labial cusp is clearly separated from the second, whereas the first and second cusps are close to each other. The cusps of the lingual row increase in height posteriorly, with the fourth cusp being the highest; the fifth cusp is small. There is a tiny cuspule situated between the cusp rows at the anterior margin of the tooth. The three posterior cusps of the lingual row are higher than those of the labial row. All cusps are ornamented with fine ridges. The lingual wall of the tooth forms a shearing surface.

The left P5 is preserved in SBEI 1736 (Figs. 2A, 3A). The crown is almost rectangular in occlusal view. The cusp formula is 2:6:2: (labial:medial:lingual). The labial two cusps are situated lateral to the notch between the first and second cusps of the medial cusp row, and to the third cusp, respectively. A cuspule is present posterior to the second labial cusp. The medial cusp row is diagonally oriented postero-labially from the antero-lingual corner of the crown. The third medial cusp is the highest in the row, with the cusps decreasing in height both anteriorly and posteriorly. The cusps of the medial main cusp row are higher than the labial cusps. All cusps are ornamented with fine ridges. On the postero-lingual corner of the tooth, there is a terrace-like flattened region with a transverse groove. At least two cusps of the lingual cusp row were probably present in this region but have been lost by wear or by postmortem erosion.

Left and right M1s are preserved in SBEI 1736 (Figs. 2B, 3B, 4C). The cusp formula is 3:4. All cusps have approximately the same height, but the fourth lingual cusp is slightly larger than the others. There is a cuspule anterior and slightly medial to the first labial cusp. The cuspule is somewhat ridge-like and not fully separated from the first cusp. A crescentic wing without any cusp is present at the postero-lingual corner of the tooth. The anterior margin is slightly oblique to the longitudinal axis of the tooth. The labial cusps are positioned about opposite the embrasures between the cusps of the lingual row. Posterior to the third labial cusp there is a small flattened surface. The posterior ends of the cusp rows are connected by ridges.

**Measurements.**—See Tables 1, 2.

**Remarks.**—*Hakusanobaatar matsuoi* differs from cimolodontans in having five upper premolars (see Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004), and should be placed in the “Plagiaulacida”. It is clearly distinguishable from “plagiaulacids”, except for eobaatarids and *Arsinbaatar* Trofimov, 1980, in having a much
reduced p3 (Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004). The lower p4 of *Hakusanobaatar matsuoi* is not fully arcuate in lateral view, which distinguishes *H. matsuoi* from cimolodontans and *Arginbaatar* (see Trofimov 1980; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004). *Hakusanobaatar* is distinguished from albionbaatarids by P1 to P3 with only three cusps and by the morphology of P5 (Kielan-Jaworowska and Ensom 1994; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004).

Compared with cimolodontans, *H. matsuoi* is almost the same size as *Eobaatar magnus* Kielan-Jaworowska, Dashzeveg, and Trofimov, 1987, and is slightly smaller than *Sinobaatar lingyuanensis* Hu and Wang, 2002 (Tables 1 and 2). *Hakusanobaatar matsuoi* shares a similar morphology of p4 with *Eobaatar* and *Sinobaatar*, being slightly more arcuate than those of plagiaulacids and other primitive “plagiaulacidans” in lateral view (see Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987; Hu and Wang 2002a, b), and the much reduced p3 is similar to those of *Sinobaatar* and, possibly, *Eobaatar* (see Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987; Hu and Wang 2002a, b). It also shares similar P1 to P3 morphology with *Eobaatar* and *Monobaatar* in having three main cusps (see Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987), but this feature is present in “plagiaulacidans” of other families such as the Arginbaataridae (e.g., Trofimov 1980; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004). These dental similarities suggest that *H. matsuoi* is phylogenetically related to the Eobaataridae.

*Hakusanobaatar matsuoi* is distinguished from *Eobaatar* by the following characters (see Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987): P4 with cusp formula 3:5 (those of *Eobaatar* have only four lingual cusps); P5 has three cusp rows (only two are present in *Eobaatar*; tooth designation of P5 of *Eobaatar* in Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987 is, however, somewhat questionable). The cusp formulae of P4 and P5 and morphology of P5 also distinguish *H. matsuoi* from *Sinobaatar* (see Hu and Wang 2002a, b). *Hakusanobaatar matsuoi* is also distinguished from *Monobaatar* by the cusp formula of P4 (see Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987). *Hakusanobaatar matsuoi* differs from *Janumys* in the cusp formula of P4 and in having a postero-lingual wing on M1 (Eaton and Cifelli 2001).


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>p3</th>
<th>p4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hakusanobaatar matsuoi</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBEI 581</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBEI 1519</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBEI 1736</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Tedoribaatar reini* | 3.7 | 2.4 |

*Sinobaatar lingyuanensis* | 1.2 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 2.5 |

*Eobaatar magnus* | 3.5 | 2.0 |

Table 2. Measurements of upper teeth in *Hakusanobaatar matsuoi* gen. et sp. nov., Lower Cretaceous Kuwajima Formation, Shiramine, Japan; *Sinobaatar lingyuanensis* Hu and Wang, 2002, Lower Cretaceous Yixian Formation, Dawangzhangzi, China; and *Eobaatar magnus* Kielan-Jaworowska, Dashzeveg, and Trofimov, 1987, Lower Cretaceous Höövör Beds, Höövör, Mongolia. All data are original. L, longitudinal length; W, transverse width.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
<th>M1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hakusanobaatar matsuoi</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBEI 582</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBEI 1736 left</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBEI 1736 right</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sinobaatar lingyuanensis* |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| IVPP V 12517 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 |

*Eobaatar magnus* |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| PIN 3101-66 | 1.8 | 1.1 |

Fig. 7. Eobatarid multituberculate mammal *Hakusanobaatar matsuoi* gen. et sp. nov.; Lower Cretaceous Kuwajima Formation, Shiramine, Japan; SEM photograph of SBEI 2352 (a resin cast of SBEI 581 before the anterior part of the dentary was lost), left lower jaw fragment with damaged p4. A. Lingual view. B. Labial view; arrows indicate alveoli of a single-rooted p2 and a double-rooted p3. C. Occlusal view; arrows indicate the alveolus of a p2 and the anterior alveolus of a p3.
Hakusanobaatar matsuoi cannot be sufficiently compared with the other two poorly known eobaatarid genera, Loxaulax and Parendotherium; it is, however, reasonable to recognize H. matsuoi as a new genus and species of the Eobaataridae.

The holotype of H. matsuoi gen. et sp. nov. (SBEI 1736) has the best preserved upper dentition among known eobaatarids and provides a complete premolar series (Figs. 2A, 3A). It shows the precise dental characters of eobaatarid upper cheek teeth, especially those of the premolars, and provides the key to resolving homology of “plagiaulacidan” and cimolodontan premolars that has yet to be sufficiently understood.

Genus Tedoribaatar nov.

Type species: Tedoribaatar reini gen. et sp. nov., by monotypy.

Etymology: Tedori, after Tedori River, which runs through the locality where the present material was discovered; baatar, Mongolian, means hero, which is used as a suffix for generic names of many Asian Cretaceous multituberculates.

Diagnosis.—As for the type species.

Tedoribaatar reini sp. nov.

Figs. 8, 9.

Etymology: In honor of Dr. Johannes Justus Rein, a German geographer who first collected fossil plants from the Kuwajima Formation (reported by Geyler 1877).

Hakusanobaatar matsuoi can not be sufficiently compared with the other two poorly known eobaatarid genera, Loxaulax and Parendotherium; it is, however, reasonable to recognize H. matsuoi as a new genus and species of the Eobaataridae.

The holotype of H. matsuoi gen. et sp. nov. (SBEI 1736) has the best preserved upper dentition among known eobaatarids and provides a complete premolar series (Figs. 2A, 3A). It shows the precise dental characters of eobaatarid upper cheek teeth, especially those of the premolars, and provides the key to resolving homology of “plagiaulacidan” and cimolodontan premolars that has yet to be sufficiently understood.

Genus Tedoribaatar nov.

Type species: Tedoribaatar reini gen. et sp. nov., by monotypy.

Etymology: Tedori, after Tedori River, which runs through the locality where the present material was discovered; baatar, Mongolian, means hero, which is used as a suffix for generic names of many Asian Cretaceous multituberculates.

Diagnosis.—As for the type species.

Tedoribaatar reini sp. nov.

Figs. 8, 9.

Etymology: In honor of Dr. Johannes Justus Rein, a German geographer who first collected fossil plants from the Kuwajima Formation (reported by Geyler 1877).
The p4 is not fully parallel-sided and is neither fully arcuate nor rectangular in lateral view. The U-shaped anterior lobe is neither fully arcuate nor rectangular in lateral view. The U-shaped anterior lobe is not fully open to the occlusal surface of the dentary. The lower cheek teeth of multituberculates are obliquely arranged to the dentary in occlusal view. Taking this into account, the position of the pit is thought to be just anterior to the p3 in tooth row, and it is the position of a p2, if present. Therefore, this tiny pit is more likely to be an alveolus for a dp2 or p2 than a blood vessel foramen. This alveolus is very tiny and it is hard to imagine that it contained a tooth. The alveolus is, thus, thought to be for a shed dp2. Lacking the eruption of a permanent p2, the alveolus is interpreted to have become reduced its size. *Tedoribaatar reini* is, therefore, thought to have had only two lower permanent premolars.

*Tedoribaatar reini* is thought to have had only two lower permanent premolars. The pit situated anterior to the alveolus for p3 on the holotype (SBEI 1570) is interpreted as the alveolus for a shed dp2, as mentioned above, and no trace of a permanent p2 is present. Although there is a possibility that this pit is a blood vessel foramen, it still is the case that *T. reini* does not have p2. Cimolodontans have at most only two lower premolars (Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004), but the morphology of the p4 seen in *T. reini* is intermediate between the typical “plagiaulacidan” and cimolodontan conditions. In lateral view p4 of *T. reini* is neither fully arcuate nor extended forward to overhang the crown of p3 as seen in cimolodontans. From the size of the alveolus, p3 of *Tedoribaatar reini* is estimated to have been larger than the peg-like p3 of cimolodontans. *Tedoribaatar reini* is, therefore, assigned to “Plagiaulacida”. *Tedoribaatar reini* has a single-rooted p3, which indicates that the p3 crown was reduced. *Tedoribaatar reini* differs from “plagiaulacidan” except for eobaatarids and *Arginbaatar* in this feature (see Trofimov 1980; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004). The morphology of p4 of *T. reini* is clearly different from that of *Arginbaatar*, which has a highly arcuate, specialized p4, and is rather similar to those of eobaatarids (see Trofimov 1980; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987). The number of serrations of p4 (ten) is in the range of Eobaataridae. *Tedoribaatar reini* is tentatively considered as a member of the Eobaataridae and the most derived “plagiaulacidan” multituberculate yet discovered.

Compared with eobaatarids, *T. reini* is almost the same size as *Eobaatar magnus* and *Hakusanobaatar matsuoi*, and slightly smaller than *Sinobaatar lingyuaniensis* (Table 1). *Tedoribaatar reini* shares a reduced p3 with *Eobaatar* and *Sinobaatar* (see Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987; Hu and Wang 2002a, b) and *Hakusanobaatar*. *Tedoribaatar reini* is, however, distinguished from *Hakusanobaatar matsuoi*, discovered from the same locality, by the higher position of the posterior labial cusp of the p4 and the antero-posteriorly shorter posterior root of the p4. A single-rooted p3 is present only in *T. reini* among “plagiaulacidans”, and clearly distinguishes *T. reini* from *Eobaatar* and *Sinobaatar* (see Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 1987; Hu and Wang 2002a, b) and *Hakusanobaatar*.

---

Fig. 9. Eobatarid multituberculate mammal *Tedoribaatar reini* gen. et sp. nov., SBEI 1570, holotype; Lower Cretaceous Kuwajima Formation, Shimane, Japan. Fragment of right lower jaw with p4. A. Labial view. B. Lingual view. C. Occlusal view, left to anterior.

The p4 is not fully parallel-sided and is neither fully arcurate nor rectangular in lateral view. The U-shaped anterior triangular lobe is large relative to crown size; it extends postero-ventrally. The anterior part of p4 probably slightly overhang p3. The fourth lower premolar has ten serrations, eight of which (except for the terminal ones) are accompanied by ridges. Only one posterior labial cusp is present. It is located high on the crown, somewhat above half the height of the distal margin of p4 (Figs. 8, 9). The position of this cusp is higher than that in *Hakusanobaatar matsuoi*. Dorsally, a wear facet extends from a position above the last serration to the anterior end of this cusp. The length of the posterior root of the p4 is modest, and is less than twice as long as the anterior one (Figs. 8, 9).

**Measurements.**—See Table 1.

**Remarks.**—The tiny pit positioned anterior to the alveolus of p3 in SBEI 1570 is interpreted as an alveolus for a shed dp2. The mental foramen is usually larger than this pit, and is situated in lower position on the labial side of the dentary, possibly at the position of the large hole in SBEI 1570. There is a possibility that the tiny pit is a foramen for a blood vessel; however, this is unlikely because such a foramen does not normally open to the occlusal surface of the dentary. The lower cheek teeth of multituberculates are obliquely arranged to the dentary in occlusal view. Taking this into account, the position of the pit is thought to be just anterior to the p3 in tooth row, and it is the position of a p2, if present. Therefore, this tiny pit is more likely to be an alveolus for a dp2 or p2 than a blood vessel foramen. This alveolus is very tiny and it is hard to imagine that it contained a tooth. The alveolus is, thus, thought to be for a shed dp2. Lacking the eruption of a permanent p2, the alveolus is interpreted to have become reduced its size. *Tedoribaatar reini* is, therefore, thought to have had only two lower permanent premolars.
Tedoriabaatar reini also differs from Eobaatar, Sinobaatar, and Hakusanobaatar in having a lower number of lower premolars. The lack of p2 and a single-rooted p3 are clearly apomorphic characters among “plagiaulacidans”. Tedoriabaatar reini is, therefore, recognized as a new genus and species of Eobaataridae, and as a species that is most closely related to cimolodontans among “plagiaulacidans”, although it can not be compared with the other three eobaatarid and ?eobaatarid genera (Monobaatar, Loxaulax and Parendotherium) whose p4s have not been discovered.

Discussion and conclusions

One of the diagnostic features of the suborder Cimolodonta is the presence of one to four upper premolars rather than five as in “plagiaulacidans”. At least one upper premolar was lost in the evolutionary transition from “Plagiaulacida” to Cimolodonta. Cimolodontan premolars are generally designated as P1 to P4, and those of “plagiaulacidans” as P1 to P5. There are several hypotheses concerning homology of “plagiaulacidans” and cimolodontans premolars that were briefly reviewed in Kielan-Jaworowska et al. (2004). With a few exceptions, the lost premolar has been considered to be an anterior premolar (e.g., Hahn 1978 cited in Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004), P4 (e.g., Clemens 1963; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004), or P5 (Peléz-Campomanes et al. 2000). Eaton and Cifelli (2001) noted that the P4 of the “plagiaulacidan” Janumys erebos Eaton and Cifelli, 2001, is morphologically similar to those of cimolodontans but may represent P5. Well-preserved upper cheek tooth series have never been reported for eobaatarids, which are the “plagiaulacidans” most similar to cimolodontans, and this makes discussion of the homology of relevant teeth difficult. SBEI 1736 shows for the first time a complete premolar series of an eobaatarid multituberculate. The tooth count and morphology of SBEI 1736 support the view that the cimolodontan P4 is homologous to “plagiaulacidan” P5 and that it was the “plagiaulacidan” P4 that was lost.

Most cimolodontans have anterior upper premolars with simple crowns consisting of three to four cusps, and those of plagiaulacids and eobaatarids are of similar morphology (e.g., Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004). In eobaatarids, there are major morphological differences between the anterior three premolars and P4. P1 to P3 are simple tri-cusped teeth, whereas P4 has two cusp rows and more than four cusps are present in the lingual row. Cusps in the lingual row of P4 increase their height posteriorly. This morphology is quite different from P3 of cimolodontans. “Plagiaulacidan” P3 is, in contrast, rather similar to cimolodonta P3. No clear trend of P4 simplification is observed in “plagiaulacidans”. Three anterior premolars of cimolodontans, therefore, are thought to be homologous to “plagiaulacidan” P1 to P3. This fact suggests that the first tooth lost in evolution was the plagiaulacidan P4 or P5.

P5 is clearly distinguishable from P4 by its morphology in Sinobaatar and Hakusanobaatar. In Eobaatar, P4 and P5 are morphologically similar to each other but descriptions of P4 and P5 of Eobaatar magnus, the only Eobaatar species for which both premolars are known, are based on isolated teeth and questions about tooth homologies persist. P4 in eobaatarids has two cusp rows and the lingual cusps increase in height posteriorly. P5 has two to three cusp rows and there are at least three cusps in the main row. Cusps of the main row of P5 are obliquely arranged from antero-lingual to postero-labial, and increase in height posteriorly to about the middle of the tooth, before decreasing in height posteriorly. In Sinobaatar, the posterior half of the lingual main cusp row is rather ridge-like (see Hu and Wang 2002a, b; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004). Crown morphology of P5 of “plagiaulacidans” similar to that of Sinobaatar and Hakusano−baatar is seen in paulchoffatiids and pinheirodontids, such as Lavocatia Canudo and Cuenca-Bescós, 1996, with three cusp rows (Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004), but shearing surfaces are much more developed on those of Sinobaatar and Hakusano−baatar than on those of paulchoffatiids and pinheirodontids. Lavocatia has a main medial cusp row that extends obliquely from antero-lingual to postero-labial (Canudo and Cuenca-Bescós 1996). There are antero-labial and postero-lingual cusp rows of small cusps (Canudo and Cuenca-Bescós 1996). The similarity of P5 between eobaatarids and paulchoffatiids or pinheirodontids indicates that eobaatarids might be derived from a lineage with a Lavocatia-like P5. In the evolution of Hakusano−baatar, anterior and posterior small cusps of lingual and labial cusp rows were reduced. The ridge-like posterior half of the main cusp row in Sinobaatar is interpreted to be derived from the posterior cusps. Sinobaatar has indistinct cuspules on the labial wall of P5, as depicted in Kielan-Jaworowska et al. (2004: fig. 8.34A), and these cuspules are interpreted to be of reduced remnants of the labial cusp row.

In most of Cretaceous cimolodontans, at least in Bryceomys Eaton, 1995, Cedarmomys Eaton and Cifelli, 2001, Cimexomys Sloan and Van Valen, 1965, Cimolodon Marsh, 1889, Cimolomys Marsh, 1889, Dakotamys Eaton, 1995, Kryptobaatar Kielan-Jaworowska, 1970a, Mesodma Jepsen, 1940, Paracimexomys Archibald, 1982, and Stygimys Sloan and Van Valen, 1965, cusps in the main (or medial) cusp row of P4 increase in height posteriorly and there are two ridges that extend to the highest and posterior-most cusp from the postero-labial and -lingual corners of the crown, forming a posterior basin between them (see illustrations and plates in e.g., Lillegreven 1969; Fox 1971, 1989; Sahni 1972; Novacek and Clemens 1977; Clemens and Kielan-Jaworowska 1979; Archibald 1982; Johnston and Fox 1984; Lillegreven and McKenna 1986; Storer 1991; Montellano 1992; Eaton 1995; Kielan-Jaworowska and Hurum 1997; Montellano et al. 2000; Eaton and Cifelli 2001). This morphology of cimolodontan P4 is obviously closer to that of eoebatarid P5 (at least of Sinobaatar and Hakusano−baatar) than that of P4, and the morphology of the eobaatarid P5 is here interpreted to represent an intermediate stage between Lavocatia-like P5 and cimolodontan P4. Therefore, based on morphological evidence, it is
postulated that cimolodontan P4 is derived from “plagiaulacidan” P5, and that “plagiaulacidan” P4 was lost in the evolutionary process from “plagiaulacidans” to cimolodontans. Cimolodontan P1 to P4 are, therefore, interpreted to be homologous to plagiaulacidan P1 to P3 and P5, respectively. Under this interpretation, P4s with somewhat different shape from other cimolodontans, as seen in Meniscoessus Cope, 1882 (see figures in e.g., Sahni 1972; Archibald 1982), represent secondary transformations that occurred later in the evolutionary history of the group.
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