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First fossil record of polypore fungus beetles from Lower Cretaceous amber of France
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The first fossil genus and species of Tetratomidae (Coleoptera) is described, from the Lower Cretaceous amber deposits of France. The new genus represents the first insect to be recognized inside an opaque piece of amber, through the use of propagation phase contrast X-ray microtomography using synchrotron radiation. This new finding proves the capabilities of this imaging technique in amber inclusions, as well as increases the knowledge of fossil tenebrionoids, a group scarcely recognized in the Cretaceous fossil record.
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**Introduction**

Beetles are the most diverse group of animals, constituting more than 20% of the total animal biodiversity on Earth. The first record of this order is from the Asselian to earliest Sakmarian of Grügelborn/Saarland (Germany) and the Artinskian of Obora (Czech Republic) as the putative Pennsylvanian beetle from Mazon Creek (USA) (after Béthoux 2009) does not belong to this clade (Ponomarenko 2002; Kirejtshuk et al. 2014). In Triassic deposits, beetles already comprise the major part of the insect diversity in most outcrops. In the Upper Cretaceous entomofauna, about 50% of the Recent families are already recognized (Ponomarenko 1995, 2002).

Even though beetles are very common as fossils, the study of the anatomy and systematics of these specimens is scarce, especially for Mesozoic fossils. This has been attributed to several factors, such as the incompleteness of the fossil specimens, the lack of sufficient diagnostic characters in many families (descriptions based only on Recent material) and the autapomorphic states of characters in many Mesozoic beetle genera (Ponomarenko 1995, 2004; Krell 2006). Therefore, beetles are generally excluded from most of the monographs on fossil localities where insects are found, and thousands of specimens remain unstudied in collections all over the world.

The use of some new three-dimensional imaging techniques, such as Synchrotron X-Ray microtomography (Tafforeau et al. 2006; Lak et al. 2008; Soriano et al. 2010), can render and characterize the maximum level of anatomical detail of fossil beetles, thus making it possible to study the systematic position of these specimens.

The specimen described was collected from the Archingley-Les Nouillers amber locality (herein simplified as Archingeay), the most fossiliferous Cretaceous French amber deposit (Perrichot and Néraudeau 2009). The Late Albian–Cenomanian period is one of the warmest of the last 140 million years. The climate was warm and humid, and the amber forest was located close to the sea shore or was at least temporarily under marine influence, probably an easturine area (Néraudeau et al. 2002; Girard et al. 2009). The resin is of gymnosperm origin.
The deposit is unique in that a large percentage of the inclusions represent litter fauna (Néraudeau et al. 2002; Adl et al. 2011). Coleopteran inclusions are not very frequent in this amber; members of the families Bostrichidae, unidentified Cucujoidae, Curculionidae, Limnichidae, Nemonychidae, Ripiphoridae, Staphylinidae, and Tetratomidae have been recognized or described (Perrichot et al. 2004, 2010).

Tetratomidae is a small family of Tenebrionoidea (13 genera and about species) (Nikitsky 1998; Lawrence and Leschen 2010), the members of which were formerly placed in numerous other families. Extant species of the subfamily Eustrophinae, of which this new taxon is a member, are known from the Neartic, Neotropical, Afrotropical (including Madagascar) and Oriental regions; they are notably absent from Australia and New Zealand (Pollock 2012).

Institutional abbreviations.—ESRF, European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble, France; IGR.ARC, Laboratory of Palaeontology, University of Rennes I, France.

Material and methods

The specimen is included in a completely opaque piece of amber from the Lower Cretaceous of France. Due to the impossibility of surveying the interior of the piece due to the opacity, propagation phase contrast X-ray synchrotron microtomography (afterwards PPC-SRµCT) was used to recognize any possible inclusion inside the amber. The specimen was scanned following the protocols described in Lak et al. (2008) with a set energy of 30 keV (using a double Si 111 Bragg monochromator) and 960 mm of distance between the camera and the sample. Scan acquisition consisted of 1999 images over 180º, with 0.7 s of exposure time, and 5.06 μ of voxel size. After the scan, the slices were reconstructed using a filtered back-projection algorithm adapted for local tomography applications (PyHST software, ESRF). The later three-dimensional processing was made using VGStudioMax 2.1 software (Volume Graphics, Heidelberg, Germany).

By using this method it is possible not only to examine the habitus of the fossil under all desirable angles, but also to see internal structures which are usually not available in fossil insects (structure of the head, thorax, abdomen and hidden hind wings). This method is especially interesting for future studies of the inclusions in Mesozoic amber which are very frequently opaque. More than 80% of the amber of French Late Albian is opaque and cannot be studied using conventional methods.

All the data regarding the scan acquisition parameters, including original reconstruction of the scan, parameters of acquisition, VGStudioMax segmentation file, stereolithographic surface file for 3D printing, plates and animations are available online in the ESRF Paleontological Database (http://paleo.esrf.eu) following the recommendation in Lak et al. 2008. Three ABS plus plastic models of this specimen have been produced, one housed in the University of Rennes I, one at Eastern New Mexico University and one at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility.

The original specimen is housed in the Laboratory of Palaeontology of the University of Rennes I, under the collection number IGR.ARC-330.1. The specimen is in the same piece with four other inclusions: one Hemiptera (Aphidoidea), one Lepidoptera, one Hymenoptera (?Chrysidoidea), and one undetermined insect.

Systematic paleontology

Order Coleoptera Linnaeus, 1758
Family Tetratomidae Billberg, 1820
Subfamily Eustrophinae Gistel, 1856
Tribe Holostrophini Nikitsky, 1998
Genus Synchrotronia Soriano and Pollock nov.

Etymology: After the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility in Grenoble, where the specimen was detected and reconstructed. This specimen is the first one ever discovered in opaque amber using a synchrotron.

Type species: Synchrotronia idinineteena Soriano and Pollock sp. nov. here designated by monotypy.

Diagnosis.—Eyes widely separated, distance between them greater than diameter of single eye, slightly emarginate around antennal insertion; antennae elongate, extended posteriorly well beyond posterior pronotal margin, antennomeres 4–10 distinctly triangular, longer than wide, subequal in width, without distal club; prosternal process elongate, narrowed and rounded posteriorly, extended to midlength of mesoventrite, received in concavity on mesoventrite; tibiae simple, without series of transverse furrows; dorsal body surface seemingly glabrous, without obvious deep, coarse punctuation or striation; base of pronotum without distinct pair of impressions.

Synchrotronia idinineteena Soriano and Pollock sp. nov. Fig. 1.

Etymology: After beamline ID19, in which the specimen was detected and imaged.

Holotype: Specimen IGR.ARC-330.1, figured in Tafforeau et al. (2006), but no description was provided.

Type locality: Archingeay-Les Nouillers, Charente-Maritime, southwest France.

Type horizon: Uppermost Albian, Lower Cretaceous (Néraudeau et al. 2002).

Diagnosis.—As for genus.

Description

Body.—Length 3.2 mm, width 1.4 mm, antennal length 1.3 mm, elytra length 2.2 mm. Body subovate, elongate, dorsally convex.

Head.—About two times narrower than base of pronotum. Labrum visible, about two times as wide as long. Three-seg-
mented maxillary palpi: first palpomere two times as long as second, and nearly three times shorter than third, which is securiform and twice as broad as the two others. Eyes laterally inserted, very close to antennae, shallowly emarginate anteriorly. Antennae widely separated, subserrate, antennomeres 4–10 distinctly triangular, widest distally, without club. Antennomeres 1.3–2 times as long as wide, and 1.8–3 times wider distally than proximally, except for last one which is quite elliptical (two times as long as wide). First antennomere twice as long as wide and with a constriction at its basal third. Second and third antennomeres the shortest (scarcely half the length of first antennomere) and the narrowest, about 1.3 times as long as wide. Fourth and sixth as long as first one and slightly broader, 1.4 times as long as wide. Fifth antennomere slightly shorter and narrower than fourth and sixth however with similar proportion length/width. Antennomere 7–10 with same length as fourth, fifth, and sixth, while they are slightly wider, with proportion comparable to those of second and third antennomeres. Neck-like constriction not distinct, visible in sagittal cut (Fig. 2), but with a posterior carina meeting anterior margin of pronotum.

Prothorax.—1.7 times wider than long, anteriorly rounded, widest posteriorly, broader than elytra at shoulders, with a lateral carina in its posterior 3/4; posterior margin bisinate, posterolateral angles acute, but without groove; prosternal process long (3/4 of prosternum) and narrowed apically; sutures not visible; procoxal cavities seem to be closed and separated from each other. Mesothorax slightly shorter than prothorax; mesocoxal cavities rounded, a little longer than wide, separated by an elongate concavity for insertion of prosternal process; mid coxal cavities closed laterally by sterna. Metathorax parallel-sided, as long as pro- and me-

Fig. 1. 3D reconstruction of the holotype of polypore fungus beetle *Synchrotronia idinineteena* Soriano and Pollock gen. et sp. nov., University of Rennes I, Archingeay-Les Nouillers, Albian. Specimen tomographed in beamline ID19 of the ESRF, at 30 keV and 5.06 μ voxel size. In ventral (A), lateral (B, C), dorsal (D), frontal (E), and posterior (F) views.

Fig. 2. Sagittal cut of the 3D volume of the holotype of polypore fungus beetle *Synchrotronia idinineteena* Soriano and Pollock gen. et sp. nov., University of Rennes I, Archingeay-Les Nouillers, Albian. Arrows mark the wings preserved under the collapsed notum.
sothorax combined and approximately 1.8 times wider than long; metepimeron about two times as wide as long; metepisterna elongate and anteriorly narrowed; discrimen dividing metaventrite along half of its length from its posterior edge.

Prothoracic coxae.—very large and protruding; metacoxae transverse (about three times as wide as mesocoxae), extending laterally to elytra. Trochanters obliquely attached to femora, so that femora abut coxa. Meso- and metafemora almost as long as maximal length of metathorax; profemora slightly shorter; all femora three times as long as wide, quite flattened, slightly broadened from base to apical third, then narrowing more abruptly. Tibiae about twice as narrow as femora in widest part; protibiae curved in distal fourth, with a strong apical hook; meso- and metatibiae conical with apex two times as wide as base; two tibial spurs on each meso- and metatibia, approximately as long as widest part of tibia. Tarsal formula 5-5-5; last tarsomere with two short claws. Prothoracic tarsi with tarsomere 1 about four times shorter than prothoracic tibia, cylindrical with apex slightly bulging, tarsomeres 2, 3 and 4 each 0.5 times as long as tarsomere 1, tarsomeres 2 and 4 quite triangular; tarsomere 2 longer than wide and tarsomere 4 slightly wider than long; tarsomere 3 wider than long and bilobed; tarsomere 5 conical with widest part at apex. Mesothoracic and metathoracic tarsomeres cylindrical with apex slightly bulging, except for bilobed mesothoracic tarsomere 4 and metathoracic tarsomere 3; mid tarsomere 1 approximately 1.8 times as short as mesotibia; tarsomeres 2, 3 and 5 about two times shorter than tarsomere 1. Metathoracic tarsomere 1 almost two times as short as metatibia; tarsomere 2 two times as short as tarsomere 1; tarsomere 4 two times as short as tarsomere 2.

Abdomen.—Approximately as long as meta- and mesothorax together, with apex slightly rounded and five ventrites; tergites deformed because the abdomen is completely desiccated under the elytra.

Elytra.—Without striations or insertion of setae, probably smooth, approximately three times as long as wide, parallel-sided from their anterior margin for 2/3 of their length, then gradually narrowed; epipleurae visible on ventral face whole length of elytra, each approximately nine times as long as wide, strongly narrowing posteriorly and slightly curved; maximal epipleural width approximately equal to maximal width of metathoracic femora. Elytra covering most of pygidium. Metathoracic wings present, macropterous, hidden under elytra but visible in sagittal cut (Fig. 2).

Discussion.—Tetratomidae is an example of a relatively obscure group whose classification has become better understood through increased study of the larvae (e.g., Nikitsky 1998); historically, its constituents (especially the Eustrophinae) were placed in Melandryidae, but now have separate family status. The five subfamilies comprise 13 genera and approximately 140 species. As far as is known, all species are associated with decaying trees and/or tree-rotting fungi (Young and Pollock 2002; Lawrence and Leschen 2010; Pollock 2012), like the new fossil species S. idinineteena (Adl et al. 2011). Adults are active nocturnally and can be seen crawling on dead trees and fungal fruiting bodies.

The species of Eustrophinae are all rather uniform in shape: subovate to oval, with convex dorsal surface. The Palearctic fauna presently comprises four genera in two tribes: Holostrophini (Pseudoholostrophus Nikitsky, 1983, Holostrophus Horn, 1888) and Eustrophini (Eustrophus Illiger, 1802 and Synstrophus Seidlitz, 1898) (Nikitsky 1998; Young and Pollock 2002). The Holostrophini have an Asianamerican distribution, with three Nearctic species and about 20 from Russian Far East to the Oriental region. The Palearctic Eustrophini are known from the Holarctic and Oriental regions, with only a single species—Eustrophus dermestoides (Fabricius, 1792)—known from western Europe. This species is widespread (ranging from Spain to Turkey) (Nikitsky 2008) and is the only one presently sympatric with the type locality of Synchrotronia. However, as discussed below, the holotype of S. idinineteena has greater affinity to the Holostrophini than to the Eustrophini.

The 5-5-5 tarsal formula exhibited by the type specimen place this new genus/species within Tenebrionoidae (= Heteromera auct.) other salient anatomical features place this taxon in Tetratomidae (sensu Nikitsky 1998), like the simple narrow tarsi of all legs, procoxae separated by a prosternal process combined with the simple metacoxa and the relatively short spurs of the metatibiae, and more specifically within the subfamily Eustrophinae. Two characters that are important in separating the two tribes within Eustrophinae are (i) furrowing (or lack) on meso- and metatibiae, and (ii) size and separation of eyes. Other characters, such as presence or absence of laminae on the metendosternite, are not ordinarily visible on amber inclusions. According to Nikitsky (1998), members of Holostrophini are characterized as having no tibial furrows and also smaller, more widely separated eyes. These latter characters are also present on the holotype of S. idinineteena; other features that lend support for a placement among the Eustrophinae (Holostrophini) include the subovate body shape, which is distinctly convex dorsally, the rather large prosternal process, and the bisinuate posterior pronotal margin.

Three features that are often considered diagnostic for this family are noteworthy, in relation to the single examined specimen of S. idinineteena: antennomere shape, basal protanal impressions, and upper elytral surface. The antennae of S. idinineteena are unique among eustrophines, in that they are not clubbed, and that antennomeres 4–10 are distinctly clubbed (Nikitsky 1998; Pollock 2008, 2012); no phylogenetic analysis has been done for the Eustrophinae, or the Tetratomidae, but perhaps the clubbed antenna represent an apomorphic state.

According to Nikitsky (1998) a key character for members of Eustrophinae is the presence of short, paired longitudinal impressions along the posterior margin of the prono-
### Table 1. Cretaceous fossil record of superfamily Tenebrionoidea (Insecta: Coleoptera).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Genus and species</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tetratomidae</td>
<td>Synchrotorina idininteena Soriano and Pollock gen. et sp. nov.</td>
<td>Lower Cretaceous–Albian</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malandryidae</td>
<td>Pseudohallo mesus cretaceus Nikitsky, 1977</td>
<td>Upper Cretaceous–Santonian</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Archaeroxyla zherichi Nikitsky, 1977</td>
<td>Upper Cretaceous – Santonian</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Archaeoserropolus cretaceus Nikitsky, 2002</td>
<td>Upper Cretaceous–Turonian</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudocuphosis tristis Nikitsky, 2002</td>
<td>Upper Cretaceous–Turonian</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mordellidae</td>
<td>Praemordella martynovi Scсgolewa-Barovskaya, 1929</td>
<td>Upper Cretaceous–Oxfordian</td>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cretanaspis lus hangoensis Huang and Yang, 1999</td>
<td>Lower Cretaceous–Barremian</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liaoimordella hongi Westberg, 1993</td>
<td>Upper Jurassic or Lower Cretaceous</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mirimordella graticularis Liu, Lu, and Ren, 2007</td>
<td>Lower Jurassic or Lower Cretaceous</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bellimordella capitulifera Liu, Zhao, and Ren, 2008</td>
<td>Upper Jurassic or Lower Cretaceous</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bellimordella longispina Liu, Zhao, and Ren, 2008</td>
<td>Upper Jurassic or Lower Cretaceous</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bellimordella robusta Li, Zhao, and Ren, 2008</td>
<td>Upper Jurassic or Lower Cretaceous</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scriptiidae</td>
<td>Scripatiowna brachyzoa Medvedev, 1969</td>
<td>Lower Cretaceous</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulsant, 1856</td>
<td>Scripatiowna minima Ponomarenko and Ryvkin, 1990</td>
<td>Lower Cretaceous</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhipiphoridae</td>
<td>Macrospion eboli Herrick, Nel, and Neraudeau, 2004</td>
<td>Lower Cretaceous–Cenomanian</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemming and Harold, 1870</td>
<td>Cretaceoripidius burmiticus (Cockerell, 1917)</td>
<td>Lower Cretaceous–Aptian</td>
<td>Myanmar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paleoripiphorus deplaezi Herrick, Nel, and Neraudeau, 2004</td>
<td>Lower Cretaceous–Albian</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenebrionidae</td>
<td>Jurallecula grossa Medvedev, 1969</td>
<td>Upper Cretaceous–Oxfordian</td>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latreille, 1802</td>
<td>Alphitopsis initialis Kirejtshuk, Nabozenko, and Nel, 2012</td>
<td>Lower Cretaceous</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cretaceoripidius Wang, 1998</td>
<td>Lower Cretaceous</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>unnamed species of Kirejtshuk et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Upper Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthicidae</td>
<td>Eurygenius wickhami Cockerell, 1917</td>
<td>Lower Cretaceous–Aptian</td>
<td>Myanmar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latreille, 1819</td>
<td>Camelomorpha longicervis Kirejtshuk and Azar, 2008</td>
<td>Lower Cretaceous–Albian</td>
<td>Lebanon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These fossil records represent the first record of the family Tetratomidae in the Cretaceous. The holotype of *S. idininteena* seems not to have these impressions, however. The fact that the holotype of *S. idininteena* seems to be glabrous dorsally could be an artifact of the preservation process. However, it seems obvious that the elytra are not distinctly striate. Within Eustrophinae, members of Holostrophini also share this non-striate condition, whereas most members of Eustrophini have distinctly impressed elyral striae (Pollock 2008).

In summary, although based on only a single specimen, the holotype of *S. idininteena* is sufficiently complete, and exhibits enough diagnostic features to warrant its placement within Tetratomidae: Eustrophinae: Holostrophini. The combination of features definitely indicates a new (and extinct) species, which cannot be placed into any described genus. Based on overall structural similarity, it seems closest to *Pseudoholostrophus* Nikitsky, 1983. It seems probable that the feeding preferences of the Cretaceous *Synchrotorina* are very similar to those of extant species of this tribe, i.e., various wood-rotting fungi.

This new finding represents the first record of the family Tetratomidae in the Cretaceous. The holotype of *S. idininteena* seems not to have these impressions, however. The fact that the holotype of *S. idininteena* seems to be glabrous dorsally could be an artifact of the preservation process. However, it seems obvious that the elytra are not distinctly striate. Within Eustrophinae, members of Holostrophini also share this non-striate condition, whereas most members of Eustrophini have distinctly impressed elyral striae (Pollock 2008).
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