

## POSSIBLE SPECIES DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SARCOCYSTIS FROM MULE DEER AND CATTLE 1

Author: HUDKINS-VIVION, G.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 12(1): 86-87

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-12.1.86

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <a href="https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use">www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</a>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

## POSSIBLE SPECIES DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SARCOCYSTIS FROM MULE DEER AND CATTLE®

G. HUDKINS-VIVION, Department of Veterinary Medicine, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, USA

T. P. KISTNER, Department of Veterinary Medicine, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, USA

R. FAYER, Animal Parasitology Institute, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Beltsville, Maryland 20705, USA

Abstract: In preliminary studies with Sarcocystis from bovine (Bos taurus) and mule deer (Odocoileus hemionus hemionus), a coccidia-free laboratory dog (Canis familiaris) and captive coyote (Canis latrans) were fed flesh from a local Sarcocystis-infected bovine and later fed flesh from an infected mule deer from Eastern Oregon. Sporocysts were passed in the feces of both canine hosts 10-15 days after ingestion of infected meat. There was a statistical difference in the size of sporocysts derived from bovine and deer. It was concluded that the Sarcocystis from bovine and mule deer probably constitute distinct species with a life cycle dependent on the respective ruminant host and a canine host.

The Oregon State Wildlife Commission initiated a study late in 1968 of mule deer on Steens Mountain, Harney County, Oregon to investigate the causes for declining deer populations. The disease-related aspects of fawn mortality have constituted a portion of the overall studies. In the course of these investigations, large numbers of Sarcocystis sp. were found in the musculature of most fawns collected in March, 1974 and April, 1975. The significance of this finding is currently under investigation.

Recent research has demonstrated that Sarcocystis sp. in some ruminants has a life cycle similar to that of coccidial protozoans, with alternation of hosts. 1.2.3.5 Sexual cycles occur in the intestine of a carnivore, with subsequent production of sporulated sporocysts in the feces. When sporocysts are ingested by a specific ruminant, asexual cycles occur in the endo-

thelial lining of blood vessels; the progeny of these generations subsequently produce the typical cysts in the musculature.<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>

In preparation for work with Sarco-cystis of deer, Sarcocystis-infected flesh from a local bovine was fed to a laboratory dog and a captive coyote maintained in modified Horsfall isolation kennels. Both animals had been fed dry dog food, and were coccidia-free at the time of feeding.

On post-infection days 11 and 15, respectively, individual sporulated sporocysts containing four sporozoites and a granular residuum were first found in fecal flotations prepared with Sheather's sugar solution. As these animals were fed bovine flesh for three consecutive days and fecal examinations were not conducted daily, the length of the prepatent and patent periods were not accurately determined. Sporocysts averaged 16.3 x 10.7

T Supported by The Oregon State Wildlife Commission and The Oregon Agricultural Experiment Station. Technical Paper No. 4033.

 $\mu$ m (N=20) for the dog and coyote. Mean sporocysts size in the dog feces was 16.3 x 10.6  $\mu$ m (range 15.0 x 9.2  $\mu$ m to 17.3 x 11.5  $\mu$ m), while those in the coyote feces were 16.2 x 10.8  $\mu$ m (range 13.8 x 9.2  $\mu$ m to 18.4 x 12.7  $\mu$ m).

Sporocysts were not found in the feces from either animal examined 30, 40, 50, and 60 days post-infection. Sixty days after feeding flesh from the infected bovine, the same dog and coyote were fed Sarcocystis-infected flesh from a Steens Mountain fawn for one day.

Sporocysts were found in their feces on post-infection days 13 and 10, respectively. The length of the patent period again was not determined. The sporocysts resembled those recovered following feeding of the infected bovine flesh in that they occurred singly and contained a granular residuum and four sporozoites. The sporocysts differed markedly in size, however, with an average of 14.4 x 9.3  $\mu$ m (N=20). Mean sporocyst size in the dog feces was 14.5 x 9.2  $\mu$ m (range 13.8 x 9.2  $\mu$ m to 16.1 x 9.2  $\mu$ m), while

those in the coyote feces were 14.2 x 9.4  $\mu$ m (range 13.8 x 9.2  $\mu$ m to 16.1 x 11.5  $\mu$ m).

The average sporocyst size from the dog and coyote fed infected bovine flesh differed significantly from the average sporocyst size from the same animals fed infected deer flesh (P=.01).

These studies indicate that a species of Sarcocystis from mule deer has a life cycle similar to that of other species of Sarcocystis of ruminants. 1,2,3,5 Sporocysts recovered from a dog and coyote fed infected deer muscle, however, were found to differ significantly in size from sporocysts recovered when the same animals were fed infected bovine. Since two species of carnivores were used as hosts and measurements agreed between the sporocysts recovered from each when fed either bovine or deer, variation in the size of the sporocysts cannot be attributed to modification by the carnivore host, but must be attributed to inherent differences in the particular Sarcocystis sp. infecting cattle and deer. Probably, the parasites represent two distinct species.

## LITERATURE CITED

- FAYER, R. 1973. Development of Sarcocystis fusiformis in calves infected with sporocysts from dogs. J. Parasit., 59: 1135-1137.
- 2. and A. J. JOHNSON. 1974. Sarcocystis fusiformis: Development of cysts in calves with sporocysts from dogs. Proc. Helm. Soc. Wash. 41: 105-108.
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_\_. 1975. Sarcocystis fusiformis infection in the coyote (Canis latrans).

  J. Inf. Dis.: In press.
- 4. JOHNSON, A. J., P. K. HILDEBRANDT and R. FAYER. 1975. The pathology of experimental Sarcocystis in the bovine. Am. J. vet. Res.: In press.
- 5. MUNDAY, B. L. and A. CORBOULD. 1974. The possible role of the dog in the epidemiology of ovine sarcosporidiosis. Br. Vet. J. 130: ix-xi.

Received for publication 23 May 1975