

## Survey for Leprosy in Nine-banded Armadillos (Dasypus novemcinctus) from the Southeastern United States

Authors: Howerth, E. W., Stallknecht, D. E., Davidson, W. R., and

Wentworth, E. J.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 26(1): 112-115

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-26.1.112

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <a href="https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use">www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</a>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

## Survey for Leprosy in Nine-banded Armadillos (*Dasypus novemcinctus*) from the Southeastern United States

E. W. Howerth, D. E. Stallknecht, W. R. Davidson, and E. J. Wentworth, 1 Louisiana Veterinary Medical Diagnostic Laboratory, School of Veterinary Medicine, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA; Department of Epidemiology and Community Health, School of Veterinary Medicine, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA; Southeastern Cooperative Wildlife Disease Study, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA

ABSTRACT: Ears from 853 nine-banded armadillos (*Dasypus novemcinctus*) from Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia and Mississippi were examined microscopically for evidence of leprosy. All were negative for both acid-fast bacteria (*Mycobacterium leprae*) and lesions compatible with leprosy.

Key words: Nine-banded armadillo, Dasypus novemcinctus, Mycobacterium leprae, leprosy, survey, prevalence.

The nine-banded armadillo (Dasypus novemcinctus) was first reported in the United States in 1854 from southern Texas; it currently occurs throughout much of the southcentral and southeastern United States. Armadillo populations in the southeastern United States resulted from northward and eastward range expansion from Texas and from populations introduced in Florida in the early 1920's which expanded into Georgia and South Carolina (Cleveland, 1970).

Leprosy in armadillos, which are the only free-living animals in North America known to be naturally infected with Mycobacterium leprae, has recently been reviewed by Walsh et al. (1986). Since the first report of a leprosy-like disease in an armadillo from Louisiana (Walsh et al., 1975), serological and histopathological surveys have well established the existence of naturally occurring leprosy in armadillos in Louisiana (Walsh et al., 1977; Smith et al., 1978; Truman et al., 1986) and Texas (Smith et al., 1983). Other than a report of a single armadillo with histologic evidence of leprosy from the Natchez, Mississippi area (Walsh et al., 1986), the existence of naturally occurring leprosy in armadillos throughout the rest of its southeastern range has not been demonstrated. This report presents the findings of a histopathological survey for *M. leprae* in armadillos from Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia and Mississippi.

This study utilized ear specimens from armadillos collected in five southeastern states (Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Mississippi and the northern panhandle of Florida of the United States). Most samples (approximately 700) were obtained from animals killed by vehicles on roads; the rest were from animals collected for other research purposes or animals that were shot. Ears were collected primarily by state wildlife personnel who were instructed to collect ear tips from only freshly killed animals (judged to be dead for <24 hr). The collections were made from April to October 1987. Specimens were collected in 10% buffered formalin solution, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 µm and stained by a modified acid-fast technique (Fite-Faraco; Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Washington, D.C. 20366, USA). Tissue from an armadillo experimentally infected with M. leprae served as a positive control for the acid-fast stain. When inflammation was present, additional sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Specimens that were deemed too desiccated to examine histopathologically were not included in the study.

Eight hundred sixty-six specimens were collected, of which 853 were considered adequate for histopathological examination (Table 1). These included 144 samples from 15 counties in Alabama, 93 samples from 11 counties in Florida, 60 samples from 8 counties in Arkansas, 246 samples

State Number of animals examined by county Alabama 144 Baldwin (7), Barbour (1), Butler (3), Choctaw (12), Clarke (57), Coffee (6), Covington (23), Geneva (1), Greene (3), Henry (1), Mobile (2), Sumter (25), Tuscaloosa (1), Washington (1), Wilcox (1). Arkansas 60 Arkansas (13), Hempstead (8), Howard (2), Jefferson (7), Miller (18), Monroe (8), Prairie (3), Sevier (1). Florida 93 Bay (26), Calhoun (12), Escambia (13), Gadsden (1), Gulf (23), Jackson (3), Okaloosa (2), Santa Rosa (2), Taylor (1), Walton (1), Washington (9). Georgia 246 Atkinson (3), Baker (2), Ben Hill (11), Berrien (7), Brantly (1), Bryan (12), Bullock (1), Camden (100), Chatham (2), Chattahoochee (2), Clinch (1), Coffee (6), Decator (8), Dougherty (1), Effingham (3), Glynn (6), Irwin (3), Jeff Davis (4), Lanier (4), Liberty (7), Long (3), Lowndes (4), McIntosh (26), Mitchell (2), Pierce (12), Talbot (1), Ware (13), Wilcox (1). 310 Adams (38), Amite (13), Bolivar (6), Calhoun (13), Carroll (8), Claibourne (19), Mississippi Clarke (59), Coahoma (1), Copiah (18), Franklin (26), George (9), Grenada (7), Harrison (4), Holmes (7), Issaquena (1), Jackson (7), Jefferson (3), Jones (2), Lafayette (8), Lincoln (1), Marion (1), Montgomery (7), Neshoba (2), Newton (5), Panola (1), Pearl River (3), Rankin (3), Sharkey (14), Stone (10), Sunflower (2), Tallahatchie (1), Washington (1), Webster (2), Yalobusha (2), Unknown (6),

TABLE 1. Distribution of 853 armadillos examined histologically for evidence of *Mycobacterium leprae* infection.

from 28 counties in Georgia, and 310 samples from 34 counties in Mississippi.

All ears were microscopically negative for acid-fast bacilli. Forty-seven of the ears had dermal inflammation which was usually suppurative or pyogranulomatous and often associated with defects in the overlying epidermis. A few ears had granulomas with no identifiable causative agent. In no instance was the inflammation considered compatible with leprosy. Twentyseven ears (3%) contained embedded plant material which was surrounded by a thin rim of granulomatous inflammation (primarily foreign body giant cells). Job et al. (1986b) suggested that such embedded plant material may serve as a fomite for transmission of M. leprae among armadillos. Seven ears had unidentified mites in the stratum corneum.

Histopathological examination of ears for acid-fast organisms is considered a good method for detecting armadillos with disseminated disease. Job et al. (1985) reported that 19 of 20 armadillos with disseminated disease had lepromatous granulomas of the ear. In another report, 46 of 50 wild armadillos with disseminated

leprosy were positive for acid-fast bacilli in ear specimens, whereas 353 animals with negative ear specimens showed no disseminated disease (Walsh et al., 1977).

The failure to detect *M. leprae* in this study does not insure that animals from these areas are free of infection. It is possible that leprosy is present but that the prevalence is too low to be detected by the sampling technique and screening method employed. Assuming a 100% detection probability, negative histological results from 853 specimens indicate that *M. leprae*, if present, is limited to <1% of the study population (95% confidence limit) (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

A prevalence of 2% was reported for a similar histopathologic survey of armadillos killed on the road in Louisiana (Job et al., 1986a). Higher prevalences ranging from 4 to 30%, however, were reported from histologic studies of localized armadillo populations in Louisiana (Walsh et al., 1977; Smith et al., 1978). Job et al. (1986a) suggested that surveys utilizing animals killed on roads may be biased if sick animals remain in or near burrows. Although no information is available,

prevalence estimates may also be biased if the risk of being killed by vehicles is associated with specific segments of the population (i.e., sex and age classes). Therefore, more intensive sampling at particular sites throughout the southeastern United States may still reveal the presence of leprosy.

There is evidence also that serological testing is a more sensitive indicator of *M. leprae* in armadillo populations than histological examination. In a single armadillo population in Louisiana, Truman (1985) reported that 16% of animals were seropositive but only 4% of animals were histopathologically positive. In a subsequent survey at the same location, 8% and 1% of the armadillos were seropositive and histopathologically positive, respectively (Stallknecht et al., 1987).

There is increasing concern that people who handle armadillos may be at risk for contracting leprosy and that armadillos should be considered a potential source of infection for humans. Leprosy has been reported in seven native-born Texans who had handled armadillos in parts of Texas known to have armadillos with lepromatous lesions (Walsh et al., 1986). Armadillo contact also was found to be an important risk factor in the development of the disease in Mexican-born residents in Los Angeles, California (Thomas et al., 1987), and leprosy is known to occur in armadillos from Mexico (Walsh et al., 1986). More recently, six time-clustered cases of leprosy have occurred in a nonendemic area of northern Louisiana. None of these patients had contact with known human cases, but they did reside in an area with an armadillo population that has a 30% prevalence of leprosy (West et al., 1988).

In the continental United States, endemic leprosy occurs in humans living in the Gulf coastal areas of Texas, southern Louisiana and California (Enna et al., 1978) with greater than one-half of indigenous cases in persons born in Texas (Joseph et al., 1985). The known distribution of leprosy in armadillos in the United States

roughly parallels the distribution of endemic human leprosy in the southern United States. Negative findings in this report coincide with the low incidence of human cases in native-born citizens from the states surveyed. With the exception of one positive animal reported from 40 armadillos in southwestern Mississippi (Walsh et al., 1986), evidence of M. leprae in armadillos in Alabama, northern Florida, Arkansas, Mississippi and Georgia is lacking. Leprosy was not detected in 178 armadillos from southern Mississippi (Walsh et al., 1977), and there was no evidence of leprosy in over 800 armadillos sampled from Florida (Walsh et al., 1977; Kirchheimer and Sanchez, 1978; Smith et al., 1983). Although the results of this study are not definitive, the risk of acquiring leprosy from armadillos in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia and Mississippi probably is low based on the apparently low prevalence of infection in these areas.

We appreciated the assistance of personnel from the Mississippi Department of Wildlife Conservation; the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission; the Georgia Department of Natural Resources; the Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission; the Alabama Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, and Martin Nelson, School of Forest Resources. University of Georgia. We thank John Tarpley and Del Phillips for assistance with histopathology. This study was supported in part by an appropriation from the Congress of the United States. Funds were administered and research conducted under the Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act (50 Stat. 917) and through Contract Number 14-16-0004-87-910, Fish and Wildlife Service, United States Department of Interior.

## LITERATURE CITED

CLEVELAND, A. G. 1970. The current geographic distribution of the armadillo in the United States. Texas Journal of Science 22: 90-92.

ENNA, C. D., R. R. JACKSON, J. R. TRAUTMAN, AND M. STURDIVANT. 1978. Leprosy in the United

- States, 1967–1976. Public Health Reports 93: 468–473.
- JOB, C. K., R. M. SANCHEZ, AND R. C. HASTINGS. 1985. Effect of repeated lepromin testing on experimental nine-banded armadillo leprosy. International Journal of Leprosy 57: 716–727.
- ——, E. B. HARRIS, J. L. ALLEN, AND R. C. HASTINGS. 1986a. A random survey of leprosy in wild nine-banded armadillos in Louisiana. International Journal of Leprosy 54: 453–457.
- ———, E. B. HARRIS, J. L. ALLEN, AND R. C. HASTINGS. 1986b. Thorns in armadillo ears and noses and their role in the transmission of leprosy. Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine 110: 1025–1028.
- JOSEPH, B. Z., L. J. YODER, AND R. R. JACOBSON. 1985. Hansen's disease in native-born citizens of the United States. Public Health Reports 100: 666-671.
- KIRCHHEIMER, W. F., AND R. M. SANCHEZ. 1978. Examination of North American armadillos for mycobacteriosis. Leprosy in India 50: 156–160.
- SMITH, J. H., S. K. FILE, B. A. NAGY, D. S. FOLSE, J. A. BUCKNER, L. J. WEBB, AND A. M. BEVERDING. 1978. Leprosy-like disease of wild armadillos in French Acadiana, Louisiana. Journal of the Reticuloendothelial Society 24: 705–719.
- ——, D. S. FOLSE, E. G. LONG, J. D. CHRISTIE, D. T. CROUSE, M. E. TEWES, A. M. GATSON, R. L. EHRHARDT, S. K. FILE, AND M. T. KELLY. 1983. Leprosy in wild armadillos (*Dasypus novem-cinctus*) of the Texas gulf coast: Epidemiology and mycobacteriology. Journal of the Reticuloendothelial Society 34: 75–88.
- STALLKNECHT, D. E., R. W. TRUMAN, M. E. HUGH-JONES, AND C. K. JOB. 1987. Surveillance for naturally acquired leprosy in a nine-banded armadillo population. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 23: 308–310.
- STEEL, R. G. D., AND J. H. TORRIE. 1980. Principles

- and procedures of statistics. A biometrical approach, 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, New York, 633 pp.
- THOMAS, D. A., D. C. THOMAS, T. M. MACK, AND T. H. REA. 1987. Armadillo exposure among Mexican-born patients with lepromatous leprosy. Journal of Infectious Diseases 156: 990–992.
- TRUMAN, R. W. 1985. Host responses to the phenolic-glycolipid-1 antigen of *Mycobacterium leprae*. Ph.D. Thesis. Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, 183 pp.
- ——, E. J. SHANNON, H. V. HAGSTAD., M. E. HUGH-JONES, A. WOLFF, AND R. C. HASTINGS. 1986. Evaluation of the origin of *Mycobacte-rium leprae* infections in the wild armadillo, *Dasypus novemcinctus*. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 35: 588-593.
- WALSH, G. P., E. E. STORRS, H. P. BURCHFIELD, E. H. COTTRELL, M. F. VIDRINE, AND C. H. BINFORD. 1975. Leprosy-like disease occurring naturally in armadillos. Journal of the Reticuloendothelial Society 18: 347–351.
- —, —, W. MEYERS, AND C. H. BINFORD. 1977. Naturally acquired leprosy-like disease in the nine-banded armadillo (*Dasypus novemcinctus*). Recent epizootiologic findings. Journal of the Reticuloendothelial Society 22: 363–367.
- ——, W. M. MEYERS, AND C. H. BINFORD. 1986. Naturally acquired leprosy in the nine-banded armadillo: A decade of experience 1975–1985. Journal of Leukocyte Biology 40: 645–656.
- WEST, B. C., J. R. TODD, C. H. LARY, L. A. BLAKE, M. E. R. FOWLER, AND J. W. KING. 1988. Leprosy in 6 isolated residents of northern Louisiana. Time clustered cases in an essentially nonendemic area. Archives of Internal Medicine 148: 1987–1992

Received for publication 1 June 1989.