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ABSTRACT: Ectoparasites were collected from ospreys (Pandion haliaetus) in four study areas within the Great Lakes basin of Ontario, Canada. Two parasitic mite (Acari) species, Bonnetella fusca and Analloptes sp., were collected from nestlings. One chewing louse (Mallophaga) Kurodaia haliaeeti was collected from nestlings and adults. Prevalence and intensity of K. haliaeeti were greater in the most northern of the four study areas. Bonnetella prevalence and intensity did not seem to vary greatly across the study areas. New range and host records are presented for B. fusca and Analloptes sp., respectively.
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Raptorial birds (Falconiformes and Strigiformes) often are infected with acari and mallophagan ectoparasites (Keymer, 1972). Reports of elevated parasite intensities are usually documented from immunologically or physiologically compromised birds that have been weakened by other factors such as disease or injury (Ash, 1960; Keymer, 1972). Both mallophagan and acari parasites can reduce host fitness (Møller, 1990; Clayton et al., 1992).

Amblycera (Mallophaga) feather lice are external parasites that spend their entire life-cycle on the host (Clayton et al., 1992). Species of the Amblycera live on keratin of feathers and skin and, circumstances permitting, occasionally feed on blood, sebum and mucus (Marshall, 1981). Dispersal of feather lice from adult to young birds peaks during the nesting season (Boyd, 1951), and combines with the reduced preening efficiency of nestlings to generate acute infections in young birds. This is in contrast to the often chronic condition found in adult birds (Clayton, 1990).

Feather mites (Acari, Astigmata) are commensals found on or in the remiges and contour feathers, and feed on quill tissue, detritus, and sloughed skin derivatives (Radford, 1953; Terres, 1991). Heavy infections of feather mites are rarely detrimental (W. T. Atyeo, pers. comm.).

We have conducted intensive studies of ospreys (Pandion haliaetus) in the North American Great Lakes basin since 1991 to assess their utility as indicators of aquatic ecosystem health (Ewins et al., 1994). Abiotic factors such as environmental contaminants, and biotic factors such as disease and parasite infections can potentially reduce breeding success or lifespan in raptors (Newton, 1979; Poole, 1989). In this study, we attempted to determine possible biotic factors that may potentially contribute to a reduction in osprey productivity. Ancillary to ecotoxicological work, we collected ectoparasites from nestling and live-trapped adult ospreys in an attempt to determine the prevalence and intensity of ectoparasites of ospreys in different regions of the Great Lakes Basin. To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive examination of a population of ospreys in Canada for ectoparasites.

The study was conducted from 26 May 1992 to 5 August 1992 and involved the collection of a sample of ectoparasites from adult ospreys trapped at the nest using a modified “dome-shaped” noose carpet (Ewins and Miller 1992), and from nestlings (35- to 40-days-old) from four study areas within the Great Lakes basin. The study areas included two inland lake systems: the Ogoki Reservoir (OR) (50°40'N, 88°20'W) and the Kawartha Lakes (KL) (44°30'N, 78°30'W); the
TABLE 1. Prevalence and mean intensity of *Kurodaia haliaeeti* and *Bonnetella fuscus* collected from nestling ospreys in Ontario, Canada.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study area</th>
<th><em>K. haliaeeti</em></th>
<th></th>
<th><em>B. fuscus</em></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>Mean intensity</td>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>Mean intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgian Bay (6)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1 (0 to 3)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>82 (0 to 310)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawartha Lakes (7)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.1 (0 to 1)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>53 (0 to 182)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Mary’s River (4)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.02 (0 to 2)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>36 (7 to 53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogoki Reservoir (3)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>46 (13 to 98)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>19 (0 to 30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sample size.

* Mean intensity (range = minimum and maximum number of particular parasite per nestling).

northwestern and eastern shores of Lake Huron: the St. Mary’s River (SMR) (46°30’N, 84°10’W) and Georgian Bay (GB) (44°50’N, 79°40’W), respectively. In addition to the collection of ectoparasites from nestlings, a blood smear also was prepared using techniques suggested by Dein (1984), and later examined for hematozoan parasites. One or two nestlings were sampled for ectoparasites from broods of two to four chicks.

Chloroform vapour was used to remove ectoparasites from nestlings (Fowler, 1978). Three cotton balls which had been temporarily soaked in chloroform (Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) were placed at the bottom of a large, clear plastic specimen bag. Nestlings were then placed into the bag in sternal recumbency, ensuring that the head and neck remained outside of the bag. The bag opening was held sufficiently closed around the bird’s neck to retain chloroform vapours within the bag, while allowing unencumbered breathing. Movements of the nestlings were gently restrained during the procedure to minimize the risk of puncturing the collection bag. Controlled movements of the nestlings were allowed however, as this facilitated aeration of the down and feathers by chloroform vapours (Williamson, 1954). After 10 min, the nestling was removed, and the bag was sealed and labelled. Ectoparasites were removed within 1 wk of collection from the labelled bag under a fume hood, using an aspirator or an alcohol-soaked cotton swab. Ectoparasite samples from adult birds were scraped with forceps from two to three mid-rachis barbs on the ventral surface of the second primary feather of the right wing. All samples were stored in labelled vials containing 70% ethyl alcohol. No attempts were made to determine parasite territoriality on the host (Paffenberger and Rosero, 1984). All parasite specimens were archived in the J. B. Wallis Museum of Entomology (Department of Entomology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada). We used the definitions of Margolis et al. (1982) to express prevalence and mean intensity of infection for nestling ospreys for each study area.

*Kurodaia haliaeeti* (Mallophaga: Menoponidae) was found on 40% of nestlings examined (8/20) (Table 1). Birds at the OR study site were the most heavily infected, with a mean intensity of 46 lice/nestling (range (r) = 13 to 98). The mean lice intensity of the OR birds was comparable to that of other diurnal raptors examined for lice in the southwestern United States (r = 10 to 43 lice/bird) (Paffenberger and Rosero, 1984). We acknowledge that our counts may under represent the absolute intensity of infection, since no parasites were removed from the neck or head region of the nestlings, where lice may be numerous (Marshall, 1981; Paffenberger and Rosero, 1984).

Price and Beer (1963) reported that *K. haliaeeti* was restricted to the Pandionidae, and that it represented the most abundant species of the genus. Previously, *K. haliaeeti* was documented on a juvenile osprey (Stirrett, 1952), and a sora rail Por-
zana carolina (Rallidae), (Judd, 1953) collected in southwestern Ontario. *Kurodaia haliaeeti* was not collected from adult birds in our study because our sampling method did not involve contour feathers, where these lice are known to occur (Marshall, 1981).

Eighty-five percent of nestlings examined (17/20) had *Bonnetella fusca* (Analgoidea, Avenzoariidae) (Table 1). Comparisons of parasite intensity among adult and juvenile ospreys were not possible because of the aforementioned dissimilar sampling methods. Here, we simply report on the presence of *B. fusca* on all adult ospreys examined (*n* = 9), and quantify parasite intensity and prevalence on nestlings.

To our knowledge, this is the first account of *B. fusca* on ospreys in Ontario (Wheeler and Threlfall, 1989). The only other Canadian record that exists for *B. fusca* on ospreys is from collections made in British Columbia (Spencer, 1941). The genus *Bonnetella* is limited to the osprey (Atyeo and Gaud, 1981).

We collected eight specimens of mites identified as *Analloptes* sp. from chicks in each of three nests in three study areas. The occurrence of *Analloptes* sp. on the ospreys we sampled represents a new host record. *Analloptes* sp. always occur in low numbers on hosts, and could possibly have been simply overlooked in previous investigations like that of Spencer (1941) on feather mites of ospreys (W. T. Atyeo, pers. comm.).

We did not find hematozoa in any of the 30 blood smears examined. There are very few reliable records of blood parasites from ospreys throughout their cosmopolitan range (Danilewsky 1889 as cited in Greiner and Kocan, 1977; Bennett et al. 1982).
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