

ANTIGENIC, PHENOTYPIC AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION CONFIRMS BABESIA ODOCOILEI ISOLATED FROM THREE CERVIDS

Authors: Holman, Patricia J., Madeley, Jena, Craig, T. M., Allsopp, B.

A., Allsopp, M. T. E. P., et al.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 36(3): 518-530

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-36.3.518

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

ANTIGENIC, PHENOTYPIC AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION CONFIRMS *BABESIA ODOCOILEI* ISOLATED FROM THREE CERVIDS

Patricia J. Holman,^{1,5} Jena Madeley,^{1,2} T. M. Craig,¹ B. A. Allsopp,³ M. T. E. P. Allsopp,³ K. R. Petrini,⁴ S. D. Waghela,¹ and G. G. Wagner¹

- ¹ Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, The Texas Veterinary Medical Center, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-4467, USA
- ² Current address: B-1B TSSC, Dyess Air Force Base, Abilene, Texas, USA
- ³ Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute, Private Bag X5, Onderstepoort 0110, South Africa
- ⁴ Minnesota Zoological Garden, Apple Valley, Minnesota 55124, USA
- ⁵ Corresponding author (e-mail: pholman@cvm.tamu.edu)

ABSTRACT: Babesia isolates from an elk (Cervus elaphus canadensis) and a caribou (Rangifer tarandus caribou) with fatal infections were compared to Babesia odocoilei (Engeling isolate) from white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) by experimental infection, serologic, and small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU rRNA) gene sequence analysis studies. Both the indirect fluorescent antibody test and immunoprecipitation assays demonstrated antigenic variation among the isolates. Experimental infection studies showed no clinical differences among the isolates. Nucleotide sequence analysis showed that the elk and caribou Babesia sp. isolates possessed SSU rRNA genes with identical sequences to that of B. odocoilei. A phylogenetic tree constructed from SSU rRNA gene sequences shows that B. odocoilei is most closely related to Babesia divergens, both of which branch together in the true babesia clade.

Key words: Babesia odocoilei, Cervus elaphus canadensis, Odocoileus virginianus, organism characterization, Rangifer tarandus caribou, small subunit ribosomal RNA.

INTRODUCTION

Babesia odocoilei, a babesia of whitetailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), is widely distributed in eastern Texas and Oklahoma (USA), and is assumed to be still present in the southeastern United States where it was originally described (Emerson and Wright, 1968; 1970; Perry et al., 1985; Robinson et al., 1968; Waldrup, 1991; Waldrup et al., 1989). Dual Theileria and Babesia spp. infections have been described in white-tailed deer, and it has been suggested that the latter causes significant mortality among free-ranging animals (Robinson et al., 1968). In stressful situations hemolytic anemia, anorexia, and sometimes death have been reported (Gray et al., 1991; Waldrup et al., 1989). However, others have suggested that infection exists without compromising the host, which has been supported by results from experimental infections in spleen intact deer (Perry et al., 1985; Waldrup, 1991). The susceptibility of other cervine hosts to B. odocoilei has not been determined in either free-ranging or managed environments.

The first reports of naturally acquired acute fatal babesiosis in cervidae under management were described in a caribou (Rangifer tarandus caribou) in the Minnesota Zoological Garden (Apple Valley, Minnesota, USA) and in a North American elk (Cervus elaphus canadensis) in a farmed herd near Del Rio (Texas, USA) (Holman et al., 1994a, b; Petrini et al., 1995). In both cases, the Babesia sp. isolates were small parasites which tended to be located intracellularly adjacent to the host erythrocyte margin, with morphology very similar to that of B. odocoilei. This morphological phenotype is also shared by the European parasites Babesia capreoli of roe deer (Capreolus capreolus) and red deer (Cervus elaphus elaphus) and Babesia divergens of cattle, which also has been shown to infect cervidae under experimental conditions (Enigk and Friedhoff, 1962a, b; 1963; Nilsson et al., 1965; Gray et al., 1990).

Traditionally, *Babesia* species have been

delineated based on the host of origin, clinical course of infection, and the tick vector. Serologic methods, such as the immunofluorescent antibody (IFA) test, demonstrating specific reactions are also useful tools (Waldrup et al., 1992; Thomford et al., 1993; Goff et al., 1993). Ultimately, however, the best measure of identity or relatedness of organisms lies at the molecular level. The small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU rRNA) gene is an excellent candidate for molecular taxonomic studies because it codes for a macromolecule that is functionally equivalent in all species, and the consensus sequences involved in the secondary structure motif allow alignment of sequences from diverse organisms.

The main objective of the present study was to clarify the relationships among B. odocoilei and two cervine Babesia spp. isolates which shared similar morphological characteristics, but differed in their mammalian host of origin, geographic source, and apparent pathogenicity. Infection studies in red deer provided information on host specificity, clinical profiles, and specific antiserum for serologic analyses, which included immunoprecipitation and immunofluorescent antibody (IFA) assays. The infections in these animals were followed by vitro cultivation techniques for Babesia spp. Also using cultivation techniques, we investigated the role of whitetailed deer resident at the Minnesota Zoological Garden as possible reservoirs of infection. Lastly, the construction of a phylogenetic tree from SSU rRNA gene sequences of the Babesia spp. isolated from the caribou and elk, B. odocoilei, B. divergens, and other hemoprotozoan parasites showed taxonomic relationships both among these cervine isolates and to other related hemoprotozoan parasites.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Babesia spp. isolates

The Brushy Creek isolate of *Babesia odo-coilei* (*B. odocoilei*-B) was obtained from a naturally infected deer on the Brushy Creek Ex-

perimental Ranch located in the Gulf Slope area of Texas (95°25'W, 31°00'N). The isolate was established in vitro using previously described techniques (Holman et al., 1988). The Engeling isolate of *B. odocoilei* (*B. odocoilei*-E) was originally acquired during a study of deer diseases (Holman et al., 1988). The isolate was obtained as an infected blood sample drawn from a 1.5-yr-old male white-tailed deer that had been killed by a hunter at the Gus Engeling Wildlife Management Area (Anderson County, Texas; 96°26′W, 32°55′N). Both isolates were classified as B. odocoilei based on host of origin and morphological characteristics observed on Giemsa-stained blood films as previously described for B. odocoilei of whitetailed deer (Spindler et al, 1958; Emerson and Wright, 1968; Perry et al., 1985).

The caribou and elk *Babesia* spp. isolates originated from animals that died during infection with high circulating parasitemias and have been described (Holman et al., 1994a, b)

The *B. divergens* strain originated from an infected Hereford crossbred heifer in County Wicklow, Ireland (Purnell et al., 1976). *Babesia divergens*-infected gerbil (*Meriones unguiculatus*) blood was kindly provided by J. S. Gray (Department of Agricultural Zoology and Genetics, University College-Dublin, Belfield, Dublin, Republic of Ireland). The parasites were adapted to culture in bovine erythrocytes using methods previously described for adapting *Babesia bovis* to culture in exogenous erythrocytes (Holman et al., 1993b).

Experimental infection studies and antiserum production

The caribou and elk *Babesia* spp. isolates and *B. odocoilei*-E were compared in vivo by experimental infection of yearling male red deer (*Cervus elaphus elaphus*), to which the North American elk (*Cervus elaphus canadensis*) is a closely related subspecies. The animals were housed in indoor facilities at the Texas A&M University Research Park (College Station, Texas, USA).

The inocula were cryopreserved parasites cultured in donor RBC obtained from a yearling male red deer (R92). Prior to use for parasite cultures, an aliquot of donor R92 RBC was subjected to culture conditions to ensure that the animal was *Babesia* sp.-free. The animal also was serologically negative for *B. odocoilei* by the indirect immunofluorescence assay.

Inocula of 2×10^9 infected erythrocytes (iRBC) were prepared from cultures of the caribou isolate in the 14th passage, the elk isolate in the 15th passage, and from *B. odocoilei*-E in

excess of passage 50, calculated as follows: The percent iRBC in each culture was determined from microscopic examination of at least 1,000 cells in Giemsa-stained blood cell smears. The total number of RBCs in each culture was determined by counting aliquots from each culture in a hemacytometer. The appropriate volume of each culture to yield 2×10^9 iRBC was then centrifuged for 10 min at $500 \times g$ to pellet the erythrocytes. The supernatants were removed and the cell pellets resuspended to a total volume of 2 ml with 20% w/v PVP (polyvinylpyrrolidone 40; Sigma Chemical Co., Št. Louis, Missouri, USA) in PSGG (Puck's saline glucose with 10% extra glucose w/v added) and stored in liquid nitrogen until use. At present there is no known method for synchronizing in vitro cultures of Babesia spp. Since the different isolates grew at different rates in vitro, cryopreserved parasites were used so that simultaneous delivery of equivalent doses of the three isolates to their respective recipients could be achieved.

Prior to inoculation, blood was collected in the anticoagulant ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) by jugular venipuncture from each red deer for Giemsa-stained smears, erythrocyte cultures to ensure that the red deer were free of hemoparasites (or other adventitious organisms), and for baseline packed cell volumes (PCV). Serum samples were also obtained and stored at -70 C until tested for antibody activity in the indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA).

At inoculation, the cryovials of each *Babesia* sp. isolate were placed on ice until needed. At the animal holding facilities, the samples were rapidly thawed in a 37 C waterbath. Each animal received 2 ml containing 2×10^9 infected erythrocytes intramuscularly. Since our work with B. bovis has demonstrated no difference in the subsequent infection/disease whether intramuscular, subcutaneous, or intravenous inoculation is used (unpublished results), we chose the intramuscular route to ensure that the full dose was delivered to that site in all animals. Red deer R87 received the caribou isolate, R89 received the elk isolate, and R92 received B. odocoilei-E. Blood samples were drawn beginning on day 6 after inoculation, was continued daily, with a few exceptions, through day 16, and was subsequently performed on days 19, 21, 23, 28, 34, 42, and 49. Giemsa stained smears and serum samples were prepared at each blood drawing; and from day 9 onwards erythrocytes were prepared for culture following previously described procedures for initiating cultures from blood with a low parasitemia (Holman et al., 1993a). Again 8 mo after inoculation, blood samples were

drawn for culture, serologic evaluation, and Giemsa-stained blood film examination.

White-tailed deer as a reservoir of Babesia odocoilei infection

Blood was obtained from three free-ranging white-tailed deer, including an 8-mo-old female fawn, a 4-yr-old male, and a seven-point male, in residence at the Minnesota Zoological Garden. The blood was collected into EDTA and immediately shipped overnight to Texas A&M University. Upon arrival, the blood was washed three times by centrifugation in Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS), pH 7.4 (GIBCO, Grand Island, New York, USA) containing 15 mM EDTA as previously described (Holman et al., 1994b). The culture medium consisted of H1-1 supplemented with 2 mm 1glutamine and 20% white-tailed deer serum (pooled sera from three deer previously shown to support the growth of *B. odocoilei* in vitro). Erythrocyte cultures from each animal were initiated by drawing 0.2 ml packed washed erythrocytes into a 2.0 ml pipet containing 1.8 ml medium. The 2 ml were dispensed into one well, mixed, and 1 ml transferred to the adjacent well, resulting in two 1-ml cultures for each isolate. The cultures were incubated at 37 C in a humidified modular incubator unit perfused with 2% oxygen, 5% carbon dioxide and 93% nitrogen as previously described (Holman et al., 1994b). Each day 0.7 ml medium overlying the cell layer was removed, RBC thin smears made for Giemsa staining, and 0.7 ml new medium added back to the wells.

Culture of the *Babesia* isolates in white-tailed deer erythrocytes

Babesia odocoilei-E and the caribou and elk Babesia spp. isolates were cultured in whitetailed deer erythrocytes to produce antigen for the indirect fluorescent antibody (IFA) test and for metabolic labelling described below. Two donor white-tailed deer housed at the Texas A&M University Research Park provided uninfected donor erythrocytes for the cultures. The deer were determined to be free of hemoparasites by culture, Giemsa-stained blood smears, and IFA tests prior to use. The whitetailed deer erythrocyte suspensions were prepared for culture and the \hat{B} . odocoilei and the caribou and elk *Babesia* spp. reisolated from the inoculated red deer subcultured into whitetailed deer erythrocytes as previously described (Holman et al., 1994b). The isolates were subcultured in white-tailed deer erythrocytes at least five passages before use to ensure dilution elimination of the original host red deer erythrocytes (Holman et al., 1993b).

Indirect fluorescent antibody test

Standard protocols were followed for the IFA test using fluorescein-labeled Protein-G (Sigma) as the conjugate (Goff et al., 1993). Antigen slides were prepared from *B. odocoil*ei-E and the caribou and elk Babesia isolates cultured in white-tailed deer erythrocytes. Eight weeks after inoculation specific antiserum to each isolate was obtained from the experimentally infected red deer: R87, caribou Babesia isolate; R89, elk Babesia isolate; R92, B. odocoilei-E. The antisera were tested in serial two-fold dilutions beginning at a 1:40 in phosphate buffered saline, pH 7.2. All tests were observed under 1,000× magnification on an American Optical model 120 epifluorescent microscope (American Optical, Scientific Instrument Division, Buffalo, New York, USA).

Immunoprecipitation analysis

Babesia odocoilei-E and the caribou and elk Babesia spp. reisolated from the inoculated red deer were cultured in white-tailed deer erythrocytes. The parasites were metabolically labeled by ³⁵S-methionine uptake when the parasitemia exceeded 10% as follows. The medium overlying the erythrocytes was removed and replaced with methionine free-MEM containing 10% FBS and 125 μCi [35S]-methionine/ml. Following an overnight incubation, the erythrocytes were washed three times by centrifugation in DPBS as previously described (Holman et al., 1994b), and the sample prepared for immunoprecipitation by a previously described method (Barbet et al., 1983). [35S]-labeled proteins from each isolate were each reacted separately with 10 µl anti-caribou Babesia sp. red deer serum (from R87), 10 µl antielk Babesia sp. red deer serum (from R89), 10 μl anti- B. odocoilei red deer serum (from R92), and 10 µl normal red deer serum (preimmune serum from R89). The resulting immunocomplexes were precipitated with Protein G bound to Sepharose beads (Barbet et al., 1983). The immunoprecipitates were solubilized by boiling in SDS-PAGE sample buffer for 3 min and then were electrophoresed in a 7.5% to 17.5% SDS-PAGE gel with a 4% stacking gel. The SDS-PAGE separated antigen-antibody complexes were visualized by autoradiography as previously described (Barbet et al., 1983).

Babesia spp. SSU rRNA gene amplification

Genomic DNA was extracted from cultured parasites and white-tailed deer leukocytes using standard phenol-chloroform techniques (Sambrook et al., 1989). *Babesia divergens* was cultured in bovine RBC, and *B. odocoilei*-E, *B.*

odocoilei-B, and the Babesia spp. isolates from the caribou and the elk were cultured in whitetailed deer erythrocytes. Babesia spp. SSU rRNA genes were amplified from genomic DNA using primers A and B as previously described (Allsopp et al., 1989; Sogin et al., 1990), except that the extension step was progressively increased by 30 sec during each cycle in a Perkin-Elmer Cetus DNA thermal cycler (Hoffman-LaRoche Corporation, Norwalk, Connecticut, USA). White-tailed deer leukocyte genomic DNA was subjected to the same PCR conditions to confirm the specificity of these conditions to amplify only piroplasm SSU rDNA. The resulting product of approximately 1,800 bp was either directly sequenced after gel purification, or cloned into pCR-Script® following manufacturer's instructions (Stratagene Cloning Systems, La Jolla, California, USA) to facilitate sequence analysis of the 3' and 5' ends. The phagemid templates were also used to confirm occasional sequence regions where sequencing directly from PCR gave imprecise data.

Sequencing reactions were labeled with ³⁵SdATP (Amersham Life Sciences, Arlington Heights, Illinois, USA) by linear amplification using Exo- Pfu DNA polymerase according to manufacturer's instructions (Cyclist Exo- Pfu DNA Sequencing Kit; Stratagene Cloning Systems) using 100-200 ng template DNA and 5 ng primer. The two series of primers used to sequence the forward and reverse strands are shown in Table 2 (Elwood et al., 1985; Allsopp et al., 1994). Primers complementary to the T7 and T3 promotor regions of the pCR-Script® vector were also used (Stratagene Cloning Systems). After amplification, the samples were held at 4 C until subjected to 3' random nucleotide tailing to alleviate strong stops. Tailing was accomplished by incubation with terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (United States Biochemicals Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. At incubation completion 5 µl cold stop solution (Cyclist Exo Pfu DNA Sequencing Kit; Stratagene Cloning Systems) were added to each tube and the tubes were stored at 4-10 C until used. Samples were denatured at 95 C for 3 min, quenched in wet ice, and loaded in 2-4 μl aliquots on a 7 M urea, 6% polyacrylamide gel in a Sequi-Gen Sequencing System apparatus (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, California, USA). After electrophoresis the gel was dried at 80 C under vacuum, autoradiographed, and the sequences visually read.

Sequence analysis

Complete SSU rRNA gene sequences for the organisms given in Table 1 were retrieved as an

TABLE 1. List of hemoprotozoan species and the outgroup protozoan, *Oxytricha nova*, from which the SSU rRNA gene sequences used for phylogenetic tree inference originated. All sequences were acquired as an alignment from the Ribosomal Database Project database (Larsen et al., 1993), except the *Babesia divergens* and *Babesia odocoilei* SSU rRNA sequences, which were added to the alignment and manually adjusted to conform to it using the Genetic Data Environment program (GDE 2.2; Smith, 1992) sequence editor. The GenBank[®] accession number and contributors of each SSU rRNA gene sequence are given.

Species	GenBank number	Source
Babesia bigemina	X59604	Reddy et al., 1991
Babesia bovis 2	L19077	Allsopp et al., 1994
Babesia caballi	Z15104	Allsopp et al., 1994
Babesia canis	L19079	Allsopp et al., 1994
Babesia divergens	U16370	Holman, 1994
Babesia equi	Z15105	Allsopp et al., 1994
Babesia microti	U09833	Allsopp et al., 1994
Babesia odocoilei	U16369	Holman, 1994
Babesia rodhaini	M87565	Ellis et al., 1992
Babesia rodhaini 2		M. T. E. P. Allsopp, unpubl. data
Babesia sp.	U09834	Allsopp, 1994
Oxytricha nova	M14601	Elwood et al., 1989
Theileria annulata	M64243	Gajadhar et al., 1991
Theileria buffeli	Z15106	Allsopp et al., 1994
Theileria parva	L02366	Allsopp et al., 1993
Theileria taurotragi	L19082	Allsopp et al., 1994
Theileria sp.	L19081	Allsopp et al., 1994

alignment from the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) database (Larsen et al., 1993), except *B. divergens* and the cervine *Babesia* sp. (*B. odocoilei*) sequences acquired during this study, which were added to the alignment and manually adjusted to conform to it using the

edit menu of the Genetic Data Environment program (GDE 2.2; Smith, 1992) sequence editor. Members of the Theileriidae and Babesiidae were included to provide a framework of ancestry for the tree topology and to provide an adequate number of sequences to facilitate

TABLE 2. Nucleotide sequences, gene positions, and optimum annealing temperatures for the primers used to amplify and sequence SSU rRNA genes from *Babesia* spp. DNA.

Primer	Position ^a	Primer nucleotide sequence $5' \rightarrow 3'$	Annealing temperature
A	5' forward	ccgaattcgtcgacAACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGT ^a	60 C
В	5' reverse	cccgggatccaagcttGATCCTTCTGCAGGTTCACCTACa	60 C
300F ^b	375	AGGGTTCGATTCCGGAG	60 C
528F	578	CGGTAATTCCAGCTCC	50 C
760F	979	ATCAAGAACGAAAGT	50 C
1055F	1282	GGTGGTGCATGGCCG	55 C
1200F	1462	CAGGTCTGTGATGCT	55 C
300R	401	TCAGGCTCCCTCTCCGG	50 C
536RM	582	AATTACCGCGGCTGCTG	60 C
690R	923	AGAATTTCACCTCTG	45 C
1055RM	1298	AACGGCCATGCACCAC	60 C
1200R	1477	GGGCATCACAGACCTG	55 C
T3c		AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGG	50 C
$T7^{d}$		GTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGC	50 C

^a Polylinker additions are indicated by the lower case letter sequences.

^b Internal primer names are as designated by Elwood et al. (1985). Forward strand synthesis primers are designated F; reverse strand primers designated R. Slight modification for use with piroplasm sequences is indicated by "M" (Allsopp et al., 1994).

^c Primer complementary to the T3 promotor region in pCR-Script[®] phagemid sequence for forward strand sequencing.

d Primer complementary to the T7 promotor region in pCR-Script® phagemid sequence for reverse strand sequencing.

alignment through the variable regions. The SSU rRNA gene sequence for *Oxytricha nova* served as the outgroup for the phylogenetic analysis because ciliates are considered one of the closest free-living relatives of the Apicomplexa (Gajadhar et al., 1991). Table 1 lists the species of origin, reference, and GenBank[®] accession number for all sequences used.

Phylogenetic trees were inferred from the aligned sequences using four different algorhythms in the PHYLIP Version 3.5 phylogeny inference package (Felsenstein, 1993), including the NEIGHBOR joining distance, FITCH distance, fastDNAml maximum likelihood and DNAPARS parsimony methods. The aligned sequences were exported from GDE 2.2 using the PHYLIP format and the exported alignment was used as the input data for fastDNAml and DNAPARS. A genetic distance matrix was calculated from the PHYLIP-formatted alignment using the DNADIST program (Kimura two-parameter substitution model) (PHYLIP Version 3.5), which computed the genetic distance matrix based on the fraction of sites which differed between each pair of sequences for all the species included in the analysis. The resulting distance matrix was then used as the input for the NEIGHBOR and FITCH programs. The options under which the programs were run are given in Table 3. Majority-rule consensus trees were computed for NEIGH-BOR, FITCH, and DNAPARS using the SE-QBOOT and CONSENSE programs of the PHYLIP Version 3.5 phylogeny package. In each case, the SEQBOOT parameters included a random number seed of 101, with 100 resamplings.

RESULTS

Red deer inoculated with the three Babesia sp. isolates did not develop clinical signs as a result of infection, except for a slight drop in PCV between days 12 and 14 after inoculation (Fig. 1). Giemsastained blood smears were consistently negative for the presence of Babesia organisms. However, Babesia sp. parasites were recovered from the inoculated animals by culturing blood samples from day 9 onwards after inoculation (Table 4). The three isolates were recovered from their respective hosts through day 49. At day 77, the caribou Babesia sp. isolate and the elk Babesia sp. isolate were cultured from R87 and R89, respectively, but no B. odocoilei-E parasites were cultured from R92 at this

3LE 3. Option settings used in the DNADIST, FITCH, NEIGHBOR, DNAPARS, and fastDNAml algorhythms for phylogenetic tree inference. Parameters were in response to specific queries in the PHYLIP Version 3.5 phylogeny inference package programs (Felsenstein, 1993). TABLE :

DNADIST	FITCH	NEIGHBOR	NDAPARS	fastNDAml
Distance-Kimura	Search for best tree	Neighbor joining tree	Search for best tree	Search for best tree
	Outgroup root-Oxytricha	Outgroup root-Oxytricha	Outgroup root-Oxytri-	Outgroup root-Oxytricha
	nova	nova	cha nova	nova
One category of substitu-	No/no-Upper/lower-triangular	No/no-Upper/lower-triangular	Ordinary parsimony	One category of substitution
tion rates	data matrix	data matrix		rates
Square distance matrix	Use input order of species	Use input order of species	Use input order of spe-	Use input order of species
			cies	
	No subreplicates	No subreplicates		Empirical base frequencies
	Global rearrangements			Global rearrangements
1.5 Transition/transversion		1.5 Transition/transversion ra-		1.5 Transition/transversion ra-
ratio		tio		tio
	Power-2.00000			

Bdv Bodo		ATCCTGCCAG ATCCTGCCAG						Bdv Bod
Bdv	AAGTACAAAC	TTTTTACGGT	GAAACTGCGA	ATGGCTCATT	ACAACAGTTA	$\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{TAGTTTCTTT} \\ \mathtt{TAGTTTCTTT} \end{array}$	120	Bdv
Bod	AAGTACAAAC	TTTTTACGGT	GAAACTGCGA	ATGGCTCATT	ACAACAGTTA		120	Bod
Bdv	GGTATTCGTT	TTCCATGGAT	AACCGTGCTA	ATTGTAGGGC	TAATACAAGT	TCGAGGCCTT	180	Bdv
Bod	GGTATTCGTT	TTCCATGGAT	AACCGTGCTA	ATTGTAGGGC	TAATACAAGT	TCGAGGCCTT	180	Bod
Bdv Bod	$\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{TT}\text{-}\mathtt{GGCGGCG} \\ \mathtt{TT}\underline{\mathbf{T}}\mathtt{GGCGGCG} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{TTTATTAGTT} \\ \mathtt{TTTATTAGTT} \end{array}$	CTAAAACCAT CTAAA-CCAT	$\begin{array}{c} \texttt{CC} \textbf{C} \texttt{TTTTGGT} \\ \texttt{CC} \underline{\textbf{G}} \texttt{TTTTGGT} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{TTTCGGTGAT} \\ \mathtt{TTTCGGTGAT} \end{array}$	TCATAATAAA TCATAATAAA	239 239	Bdv Bod
Bdv	CTTGCGAATC	$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{GCAATTT}\mathbf{T}\\ \texttt{GCAATTT}\underline{\mathbf{A}}\\ \texttt{TT} \end{array}$	GCGATGGACC	ATTCAAGTTT	CTGACCCATC	AGCTTGACGG	299	Bdv
Bod	CT <u>C</u> GCGAATC		GCGATGGACC	ATTCAAGTTT	CTGACCCATC	AGCTTGACGG	299	Bod
Bdv	TAGGGTATTG	GCCTACCGAG	GCAGCAACGG	GTAACGGGGA	ATTAGGGTTC	GATTCCGGAG	359	Bdv
Bod	TAGGGTATTG	GCCTACCGAG	GCAGCAACGG	GTAACGGGGA	ATTAGGGTTC	GATTCCGGAG	359	Bod
Bdv	AGGGAGCCTG	AGAAACGGCT	ACCACATCCA	AGGAAGGCAG	CAGGCGCGCA	AATTACCCAA	419	Bdv
Bod	AGGGAGCCTG	AGAAACGGCT	ACCACATCCA	AGGAAGGCAG	CAGGCGCGCA	AATTACCCAA	419	Bod
Bdv	TCCTGACACA	GGGAGGTAGT	GACAAGAAAT	AACAATACAG	$\begin{array}{c} {\tt GGCAATTGTC} \\ {\tt GGCAATTGTC} \end{array}$	TTGTAATTGG	479	Bdv
Bod	TCCTGACACA	GGGAGGTAGT	GACAAGAAAT	AACAATACAG		TTGTAATTGG	479	Bod
Bdv	AATGATGGTG	ACCTAAACCC	TCACCAGAGT	AACAATTGGA	GGGCAAGTCT	GGTGCCAGCA	539	Bdv
Bod	AATGATGGTG	ACCTAAACCC	TCACCAGAGT	AACAATTGGA	GGGCAAGTCT	GGTGCCAGCA	539	Bod
Bdv Bod		TTCCAGCTCC TTCCAGCTCC						Bdv Bod
Bdv Bod		TGCGT GGTGT TGCGT <u>CACCG</u>						
Bdv	ATTTATCCCT	TTTTACTTTG	AGAAAATTAG	AGTGTTTCAA	GCAGACTTTT	GTCTTGAATA	719	Bdv
Bod	ATTTATCCCT	TTTTACTTTG	AGAAAATTAG	AGTGTTTCAA	GCAGACTTTT	GTCTTGAATA	718	Bod
Bdv Bod	CTTCAGCATG CTTCAGCATG	GAATAATAGA GAATAATAGA	$\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{GTAGGACTTT} \\ \mathtt{GTAGGACTTT} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\tt GGTTCTATTT} \\ {\tt GGTTCTATTT} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \texttt{TGTTGGTTTG} \\ \texttt{TGTTGGTTTG} \end{array}$	TGAACCTTAG TGAACCTTAG	779 778	Bdv Bod
Bdv Bod		TAGGAACGGT TAGGAACGGT						
Bdv	GATTTGTTAA	AGACGAACTA	CTGCGAAAGC	ATTTGCCAAG	GACGTTTTCA	TTAATCAAGA	899	Bdv
Bod	GATTTGTTAA	AGACGAACTA	CTGCGAAAGC	ATTTGCCAAG	GACGTTTTCA	TTAATCAAGA	898	Bod
Bdv	ACGAAAGTTA	GGGGATCGAA	GACGATCAGA	TACCGTCGTA	GTCCTAACCA	TAAACTATGC	959	Bdv
Bod	ACGAAAGTTA	GGGGATCGAA	GACGATCAGA	TACCGTCGTA	GTCCTAACCA	TAAACTATGC	958	Bod
Bdv Bod		TTGGAGGTCG TTGGAGGTCG						
Bdv Bod		TCTGGGGGGA TCTGGGGGGA						
Bdv	GGCACCACCA	GGCGTGGAGC	CTGCGGCTTA	ATTTGACTCA	ACACGGGGAA	ACTCACCAGG	1139	Bdv
Bod	GGCACCACCA	GGCGTGGAGC	CTGCGGCTTA	ATTTGACTCA	ACACGGGGAA	ACTCACCAGG	1138	Bod
Bdv	TCCAGACAAT	GTTAGGATTG	ACAGATTGAT	AGCTCTTTCT	$\begin{array}{c} \texttt{TGATTCTTTG} \\ \texttt{TGATTCTTTG} \end{array}$	GGTGGTGGTG	1199	Bdv
Bod	TCCAGACAAT	GTTAGGATTG	ACAGATTGAT	AGCTCTTTCT		GGTGGTGGTG	1198	B Bod
Bdv Bod		CTTAGTTGGT CTTAGTTGGT		GTCTGGTTAA GTCTGGTTAA	$\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{TTCCGTTAAC} \\ \mathtt{TTCCGTTAAC} \end{array}$	GAACGAGACC GAACGAGACC	1259 1258	Bdv Bod
Bdv	TTAACCTGCT	AACTAGTG T C	CGT A A A AAGG	TTCGTCCGTT		TCTTAGAGGG	1318	Bdv
Bod	TTAACCTGCT	AACTAGTG <u>C</u> C	CGT G A G AAGG	TTCGTCCGTT		TCTTAGAGGG	1318	Bod
Bdv	ACTTTGCGGC	TCTAAGCCGC	AAGGAAGTTT	AAGGCAATAA	CAGGTCTGTG	ATGCCCTTAG	1379	Bdv
Bod	ACTTTGCGGC	TCTAAGCCGC	AAGGAAGTTT	AAGGCAATAA	CAGGTCTGTG	ATGCCCTTAG	1378	Bod
Bdv Bod		CTGCACGCGC CTGCACGCGC						
Bdv	AGGGCTGGGT	AATCTTTAGT	ATGCATCGTG	ACGGGGATTG	ATTTTTGCAA	TTCTAAATCA	1499	Bdv
Bod	AGGGCTGGGT	AATCTTTAGT	ATGCATCGTG	ACGGGGATTG	ATTTTTGCAA	TTCTAAATCA	1498	Bod
Bdv	TGAACGAGGA	ATGCCTAGTA	TGCGCAAGTC	$\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{ATCAGCTTG}\mathbf{T}\\ \mathtt{ATCAGCTTG}\mathbf{\underline{A}} \end{array}$	GCAGATTACG	TCCCTGCCCT	1559	Bdv
Bod	TGAACGAGGA	ATGCCTAGTA	TGCGCAAGTC		GCAGATTACG	TCCCTGCCCT	1558	Bod
Bdv	TTGTACACAC	CGCCCGTCGC	TCCTACCGAT	CGAGTGATCC	GGTGAATTAT	TCGGACCGTG	1619	Bdv
Bod	TTGTACACAC	CGCCCGTCGC	TCCTACCGAT	CGAGTGATCC	GGTGAATTAT	TCGGACCGTG	1618	B Bod
Bdv	GCCTTTCCGA	TTCGTCGG C T	T G GCCTAGGG	AAGTCT T GTG	AACCTTATCA	CTTAAAGGAA	1679	Bdv
Bod	GCTTTTCCGA	TTCGTCGG <u>T</u> T	T T GCCTAGGG	AAGTCT C GTG	AACCTTATCA	CTTAAAGGAA	1678	Bod
Bdv Bod	GGAGAAGTCG GGAGAAGTCG	TAACAAGGTT TAACAAGGTT	TCCGTAGGTG TCCGTAGGTG	AACCTGCAGA AACCTGCAGA	AGGATC AGGATC			Bdv Bod

FIGURE 1. Alignment of the nucleotide sequences obtained from *Babesia divergens* and *Babesia odocoilei* SSU rRNA genes. Differences between the two sequences are indicated in bold type and the position underlined. Identical SSU rRNA gene sequences were found in *B. odocoilei*-E, *B. odocoilei*-B, and in the elk *Babesia* sp. and the caribou *Babesia* sp. and are represented as a single *B. odocoilei* sequence.

Days to parasite detection Day culture initiated after inoculation Caribou isolate Elk isolate Babesia odocoilei-F. NDa ND ND NPb

TABLE 4. Results of *Babesia* sp. erythrocyte cultures initiated from the red deer inoculated with the various isolates. The parasites were detected by microscopic examination of Giemsa-stained erythrocyte films.

time. However, the three red deer remained carriers for at least 8 mo as determined by successful culture of the parasites from these animals at that time (data not shown).

The IFA test showed seroconversion of all three animals by day 14 (Table 5). The highest titers of antibody activity were against the homologous antigen, but cross-reactivity was also evident (Table 5). Immunoprecipitation analysis revealed the presence of shared antigens as well as antigens specific to the individual *Babesia* isolate (Fig. 2). Two antigen bands specific for the caribou *Babesia* sp. isolate migrating at 31.5 and 30.5 KDa, an antigen band migrating at 38.5 KDa specific for the elk *Babesia* sp. isolate, and an antigen band

migrating at 36.5 KDa that was shared by the elk *Babesia* sp. isolate and *B. odocoilei* are indicated in Figure 2.

The blood sample from the seven-point male deer resident at the Minnesota Zoological Garden was positive for intraerythrocytic *B. odocoilei* after 3 days in culture. No *B. odocoilei* parasites were observed in cultures from the younger white-tailed deer during the cultivation period.

Amplification of the *Babesia* spp. SSU rRNA gene from *B. divergens, B. odocoilei*-E, *B. odocoilei*-B and the caribou and elk *Babesia* sp. isolates resulted in a single PCR product for each banding at approximately 1,800 base pairs (not shown). Sequence analysis showed the *B. divergens* and *B. odocoilei* SSU rRNA genes to be

TABLE 5. Indirect fluorescent antibody test of red deer sera collected 14 days post inoculation assayed against *Babesia odocoilei*-E, and the *Babesia* isolates from the elk and caribou. Results are expressed as reciprocal titers.

		Antiserum					
Antigen	R87∝Caribou <i>Babesia</i> isolate	R89∝Elk <i>Babesia</i> isolate	R92∝ <i>Babesia odocoilei</i> -E				
Caribou Babesia isolate	320	40	80				
Elk <i>Babesia</i> isolate	40	160	80				
Babesia odocoilei-E	40	No reaction	160				

a ND = not done.

b NP = no parasites seen.




FIGURE 2. Individual red deer packed cell volumes after inoculation with either the caribou *Babesia* sp. isolate $(-\blacksquare -)$; the elk *Babesia* sp. isolate $(-\blacksquare -)$; or *Babesia odocoilei*-E $(-\blacksquare -)$.

1724 and 1723 base pairs in length, respectively (Fig. 3). All of the cervine babesia isolates possessed SSU rRNA gene sequences identical to that of *B. odocoilei*-E (submitted to the GenBank database as *B. odocoilei*, Genbank Accession No. U16369), which differed at 32 positions from that of *B. divergens* (GenBank Accession No. U16370) (Fig. 3).

The *B. divergens* and *B. odocoilei* SSU rRNA gene sequences were aligned with appropriate SSU rRNA gene sequences of Babesia and Theileria spp. from the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) (Larsen et al., 1993) and phylogenetic trees inferred by the NEIGHBOR distance method (Fig. 4), FITCH (not shown), DNA-PARS (not shown), and fastDNAml (not shown) algorhythms. In each case, B. divergens and B. odocoilei form a closely related pair within the clade composed of "true" Babesia spp. (Babesia sensu stricto), i.e., those known to be transovarially tick transmitted and not having an intra-lymphocytic stage. The robustness of the inferred NEIGHBOR, FITCH, and DNA-PARS trees were tested by 100 bootstrap resamplings, which consistently supported (100 out of 100 samples) the positions of B. divergens and B. odocoilei. The NEIGHBOR tree topology is presented as representative of the positioning of *B. div*ergens and B. odocoilei within the Babesia sensu stricto clade (Fig. 4).




FIGURE 3. Immunoprecipitation analysis of the elk *Babesia* sp. (A), *Babesia odocoilei* (B), and the caribou *Babesia* sp. (C). In A, B, and C, lane 1 shows total radiolabelled protein for the respective isolate, lane 2 shows reactions with preimmune red deer R89 serum, lane 3 shows reactions with red deer R87 antiserum to the caribou *Babesia* sp., lane 4 shows reactions with red deer R89 antiserum to the elk *Babesia* sp., and lane 5 shows reactions with red deer R92 antiserum to *Babesia odocoilei*-E. A. The elk *Babesia* sp. immunoprecipitation shows a specific elk *Babesia* sp. antigen band at 38.5 KDa (arrow, lane 4). Arrows in lanes 4 and 5 show a 36.5 KDa antigen band recognized by both elk *Babesia* sp.- and *B. odocoilei*-E-specific antisera. B. *Babesia odocoilei*-E-specific antisera (arrows, lanes 4 and 5). C. The caribou *Babesia* sp. immunoprecipitation shows a caribou *Babesia* sp. specific antigen doublet bands at 30.5 kDa (arrow, lane 3).




FIGURE 4. NEIGHBOR phylogenetic tree constructed from aligned SSU rRNA gene sequences of *Babesia* spp. and *Theileria* spp. with *Oxytricha nova* as the outgroup. The elk and caribou *Babesia* spp. SSU rRNA gene sequences and *Babesia odocoilei*-Engeling and *Babesia odocoilei*-Brushy Creek SSU rRNA gene sequences were all identical and are included in the tree as a single sequence for *Babesia odocoilei*. Relative evolutionary distances are represented by the horizontal distances between nodes of the tree. The scale bar corresponds to 10 changes per 100 nucleotide positions. Node values indicate the percentage of times that node was supported after 100 bootstrap resamplings.

DISCUSSION

This study suggests that in addition to white-tailed deer, caribou, and elk are hosts for *B. odocoilei*. The two *Babesia* sp. isolates from a caribou and an elk were indistinguishable from *B. odocoilei* of white-tailed deer on the basis of in vivo infections in red deer and SSU rRNA gene sequences. Although some antigenic variation was noted among the three *Babesia* spp., shared antigens also were evident by both IFA and immunoprecipitation methods.

The observed antigenic variation among these isolates and *B. odocoilei* indicated that traditional immunological methods of characterizing organisms were not able to unequivocally demonstrate whether the caribou *Babesia* sp. and the elk *Babesia* sp. represented the same species. Thus, DNA analysis was implemented to resolve the questions of relatedness and identity of these three parasite isolates. To this end, nucleotide sequence determination of the

gene encoding the small-subunit ribosomal RNA was employed. Sequence analysis of the coding regions for the SSU rRNAs has gained widespread acceptance for molecular systematics, as shown with other Babesia spp. and the closely related hemoprotozoan parasites, *Theileria* spp., as well as other protozoa (Allsopp et al., 1993, 1994; Allsopp, 1994; Ellis et al., 1992; Gajadhar et al., 1991). In the current study, sequence analysis of the full length SSU rRNA gene showed that both the Brushy Creek and the Engeling B. odocoilei isolates from white-tailed deer had identical gene sequences. Further, the Babesia sp. isolates from the caribou and elk also possessed the same SSU rRNA gene nucleotide sequences as *B. odocoilei*. Thus identical SSU rRNA gene nucleotide sequences were confirmed in isolates from four geographic locations and from three different cervine hosts.

Babesia divergens was included in the SSU rRNA gene sequence analysis because phenotypic similarities between B. divergens and B. odocoilei have previously been noted (Gray et al., 1991) and both species parasitize deer (Gray et al., 1990). We now add a genetic aspect to the similarities found between these two parasite species. Alignment of the *B. divergens* SSU rRNA gene sequence with that of *B*. odocoilei shows that the respective SSU rRNA gene sequences differ at only 32 of more than 1,700 nucleotide positions. The phylogenetic trees inferred from hemoparasitic SSU rRNA gene sequences consistently paired *B. divergens* and *B. odocoilei* together within the group consisting of Babesia spp. sensu stricto (those Babesia spp. transovarially tick transmitted and that multiply only within the erythrocytes of their mammalian hosts). The observed pairing of *B. divergens* and *B. odocoilei* was well-supported by bootstrap analysis of the inferred tree. To further test the robustness of the inferred relationships, phylogenetic trees were also constructed using maximum likelihood, parsimony, and Fitch-Margoliash and least-squares distance algorhythms (data not shown). All methods placed *B. odocoilei* branched together with *B. divergens* in the "true" *Babesia* clade.

Babesia odocoilei is generally considered a nonpathogenic parasite of whitetailed deer (Perry et al., 1985). However, the elk and caribou Babesia sp. isolates were acquired as a direct result of acute babesiosis in their respective hosts. Since elk or caribou were not available, we attempted to fulfill Koch's postulates by using red deer to which the North American elk is a closely related subspecies. However, neither the elk nor caribou Babesia sp. isolates caused clinical disease in the red deer, although the animals did become carriers of the parasites. Each red deer demonstrated a similar mild response to infection regardless of the isolate inoculated, including the Engeling B. odocoilei isolate. Further, this mild response was consistent with previous observations when spleen-intact white-tailed deer were inoculated with B. odocoilei-infected blood or cultured parasites (Waldrup, 1991). A slight decrease in PCV in B. odocoilei-inoculated white-tailed deer between days 7 and 13 was the only clinical sign noted in those studies (Waldrup, 1991), which was consistent with the slight drop in PCV noted between days 12 and 14 after inoculation of the red deer. All three red deer became carriers of the respective parasites and remained carriers for at least eight months as confirmed by culture reisolation of the parasites. Previously, a white-tailed deer experimentally infected with B. odocoilei remained a carrier for ten months after inoculation as confirmed by culture reisolation of the parasite (Waldrup, 1991).

From the present study, we conclude that the *Babesia* sp. isolates from the caribou and elk are, in fact, *B. odocoilei*. The source of infection of the caribou at the Minnesota Zoological Garden has been speculative, as no other animals on the premises were affected (Holman et al., 1994b; Petrini et al., 1995). Our results suggest that the source of infection might

be the white-tailed deer population resident at the Minnesota Zoological Gardens since we cultured B. odocoilei from a white-tailed deer in residence at the zoo at the time that the caribou became parasitemic (Holman et al., 1994b, Petrini et al., 1995). No ectoparasites were found on the caribou at the time it was clinically affected (Petrini et al., 1995). However, the incubation period following B. odocoilei transmission by *Ixodes scapularis* is at least 6–10 days (Waldrup et al., 1990; Waldrup, 1991), so at the time clinical signs were observed in the caribou, the vector ticks probably had detached from the animal. The potential for vector ticks from reservoir wild animals to access the zoo-housed animals exists. Ixodid ticks occur in the area and there are no physical barriers to prevent the entry of ticks onto the zoo pre-

The possibility of white-tailed deer harboring *B. odocoilei* infective for other as yet undiscovered mammalian hosts is of concern, particularly since the organism may be considered potentially pathogenic in compromised hosts. Intensive management and marketing practices may serve as stressors and thus expose animals to opportunities for disease manifestation and transmission. Also of concern is that the vector tick, *Ixodes scapularis*, is ubiquitous throughout much of eastern and central North America. The presence of unidentified reservoir animals and vector ticks, coupled with increased movement of nondomestic ungulates due to surging interest in ranching and farming of exotic species, raises the possibility of exposing naive susceptible animals to endemic environments or, alternatively, introducing *B. odocoilei* to native wildlife. Either situation could result in disease in a susceptible population. The potential impact of reservoir infection is of importance to the growing industry of deer and antelope ranching and to other handlers of exotic or native wildlife, including zoological parks, and underscores the risks involved in the introduction of outside animals to a herd.

A lack of reliable tests for accurate diagnoses of infectious diseases, including babesiosis, in exotic ungulates is a major problem facing the deer farming industry. The undetected presence of hemoparasites and their vectors may threaten not only the deer and exotic livestock industry, but domestic livestock as well. The information gained through this study can be applied to future research into suitable methods of diagnosis. Cultured parasites might be applied in IFA tests developed for serological determination of exposure to the parasites. Epidemiological surveys based on IFA tests using banks of cervine sera routinely obtained for mandated tuberculosis testing would provide information concerning which farmed exotic wildlife ruminants are susceptible to these Babesia isolates. Lastly, DNA-based assays derived from SSU rRNA gene sequences may be valuable in diagnosis and identification of carrier animals and for the specific identification of new isolates.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank D. Melendy and A. Johnson for excellent technical assistance. This research was supported in part by the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station (Project H-6261).

LITERATURE CITED

- Allsopp, B. A., M. Carrington, H. Baylis, S. Sohal, T. Dolan, and K. Iams. 1989. Improved characterization of *Theileria parva* isolates using the polymerase chain reaction and oligonucleotide probes. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 35: 137–148.
- ———, H. A. BAYLIS, M. T. E. P. ALLSOPP, T. CAV-ALIER-SMITH, R. P. BISHOP, D. M. CARRINGTON, B. SOHANPAL, AND P. SPOONER. 1993. Discrimination between six species of *Theileria* using oligonucleotide probes which detect small subunit ribosomal RNA sequences. Parasitology 107: 157–165.
- ALLSOPP, M. T. E. P. 1994. The phylogeny of *Babesia, Theileria* and related parasites and the development of species-specific probes for diagnostic purposes. Ph.D. Thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, 101 pp.
- T. CAVALIER-SMITH, D. T. DE WAAL, AND B. A. ALLSOPP. 1994. Phylogeny and evolution of the piroplasms. Parasitology 108: 147–152.
- BARBET, A. F., L. W. ANDERSON, G. H. PALMER, AND

- T. C. McGuire. 1983. Comparison of protein synthesized by two different isolates of *Anaplasma marginale* Infection and Immunity 40: 1068–1074.
- ELLIS, J., C. HEFFORD, P. R. BAVERSTOCK, B. P. DALRYMPLE, AND A. M. JOHNSON. 1992. Ribosomal DNA sequence comparison of *Babesia* and *Theileria*. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 54: 87–96.
- ELWOOD, H. J., G. J. OLSEN, AND M. L. SOGIN. 1985. The small subunit ribosomal RNA gene sequences from the hypotrichous ciliates *Oxytricha nova* and *Stylonychia pustulata*. Journal of Molecular Biology and Evolution 5: 399–410.
- EMERSON, H. R., AND W. T. WRIGHT. 1968. The isolation of a *Babesia* in white-tailed deer. Bulletin of the Wildlife Diseases Association 4: 142–14.
- —, AND —, 1970. Correction. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 6: 519.
- ENIGK, K., AND K. T. FRIEDHOFF. 1962a. Babesia capreoli n. sp. beim Reh (Capreolus capreolus). Zeitschrift für Tropenmedizin und Parasitologie 13: 8–20.
- ——, AND ——. 1963. *Babesia capreoli* hos ustonradjur i Sverge. Svensk Veterinartidning 31: 231–232.
- FELSENSTEIN, J. 1993. PHYLIP Version 3.5.1. University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, 120 pp.
- GAJADHAR, A. A., W. C. MARQUARDT, R. HALL, J. GUNDERSON, E. V. ARIZTIA-CARMONA, AND M. L. SOGIN. 1991. Ribosomal RNA sequences of Sarcocystis muris, Theileria annulata and Crypthecodinium cohnii reveal evolutionary relationships among Apicomplexans, dinoflagellates and ciliates. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 45: 147–154.
- GOFF, W. L., D. A. JESSUP, K. A. WALDRUP, J. W. THOMFORD, P. A. CONRAD, W. A. BOYCE, J. R. GORHAM, AND G. G. WAGNER. 1993. The isolation and partial characterization of a *Babesia* sp. from desert bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis nelsoni*). Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology 40: 237–243.
- GRAY, J. S., T. M. MURPHY, S. M. TAYLOR, D. A. BLEWETT, AND R. HARRINGTON. 1990. Comparative morphological and cross transmission studies with bovine and deer babesias in Ireland. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 9: 185–193.
- —, K. A. WALDRUP, G. G. WAGNER, D. A. BLEWETT, AND R. HARRINGTON. 1991. Comparative studies of *Babesia* spp. from white-tailed and sika deer. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 27: 86–91.
- HOLMAN, P. J., K. A. WALDRUP, AND G. G. WAGNER. 1988. *In vitro* cultivation of a babesia isolated

- from a white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virgini-anus*). The Journal of Parasitology 74: 111–115.
- ——, W. M. FRERICHS, L. CHIEVES, AND G. G. WAGNER. 1993a. Culture confirmation of the carrier status of *Babesia caballi*-infected horses. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 31: 698–70
- K. A. WALDRUP, R. E. DROLESKEY, D. E. CORRIER, AND G. G. WAGNER. 1993b. In vitro growth of *Babesia bovis* in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) erythrocytes. The Journal of Parasitology 79: 233–237.
- ——, T. M. CRAIG, D. L. DOAN CRIDER, K. R. PETRINI, J. RHYAN, AND G. G. WAGNER. 1994a. Culture isolation and partial characterization of a *Babesia* sp. from a North American elk (*Cervus elaphus*). Journal of Wildlife Diseases 30: 460–465
- ———, K. PETRINI, J. RHYAN, AND G. G. WAGNER. 1994b. In vitro isolation and cultivation of a *Babesia* from an American woodland caribou (*Rangifer tarandus rangifer*). Journal of Wildlife Diseases 30: 195–200.
- LARSEN, N., G. J. OLSEN, B. L. MAIDAK, M. J. MCCAUGHEY, R. OVERBEEK, T. J. MACKE, T. L. MARSH, AND C. R. WOESE. 1993. The ribosomal database project. Nucleic Acids Research 21 (supplement): 3021–3023.
- NILSSON, O., M. NORDKVIST, AND L. RYDEN. 1965. Experimental *Babesia divergens* infection in reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus*). Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica 6: 353.
- PERRY, B. D., D. K. NICHOLS, AND E. S. CULLOM. 1985. *Babesia odocoilei* Emerson and Wright, 1970, in white-tailed deer, *Odocoileus virginianus* (Zimmerman), in Virginia. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 21: 149–152.
- Petrini, K. R., P. J. Holman, J. S. Rhyan, S. J. Jen-Kins, and G. G. Wagner. 1995. Fatal babesiosis in an American woodland caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*). Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine 26: 298–305.
- Purnell, R. E., D. W. Brocklesby, D. J. Hendry, and E. R. Young. 1976. Separation and recombination of *Babesia divergens* and *Erhlichia phagocytophila* from a field case of redwater from Erie. Veterinary Record 99: 415–417.
- REDDY, G. R., D. CHAKRABARTI, C. A. YOWELL, AND J. B. DAME. 1991. Sequence microheterogeneity of the three ribosomal RNA genes of *Babesia bigemina*: expression in erythrocyte culture. Nucleic Acids Research 19: 3641–3645.
- ROBINSON, R. M. K., K. L. KUTTLER, H. R. EMER-

- SON, L. P. JONES, AND R. G. MARBURGER. 1968. Blood parasites in Texas deer. Transactions of the North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference 33: 359–364.
- SAMBROOK, J. E., F. FRITSCH, AND T. MANIATIS. 1989. Molecular cloning, a laboratory manual, 2nd Edition. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, 1,320 pp.
- SMITH, S. 1992. Genetic Data Environment, Version 2.2: Documentation. Harvard University, Hartford, Connecticut, and the University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, 27 pp.
- SOGIN, M. L. 1990. Amplification of ribosomal RNA genes for molecular evolution studies. *In PCR* protocols: A guide to methods and applications, M. A. Innis, D. H. Gelfand, J. J. Suninsky, and T. H. White (eds.). Academic Press Inc., New York, New York, pp. 307–314.
- SPINDLER, L. A., R. W. ALLEN, L. S. DIAMOND, AND J. C. LOTZE. 1958. *Babesia* in a white-tailed deer. Journal of Protozoology 5: 8.
- THOMFORD, J. W., P. A. CONRAD, W. M. BOYCE, P. J. HOLMAN, AND D. A. JESSUP. 1993. Isolation and in vitro cultivation of *Babesia* parasites from free-ranging desert bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis nelsoni*) and mule deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) in California. The Journal of Parasitology 79: 77–84.
- WALDRUP, K. A. 1991. The involvement of whitetailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) in the epidemiology of bovine *Babesia bovis* infection in Texas. Ph.D. Dissertation. Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, 196 pp.
- ——, A. A. KOCAN, T. QURESHI, D. S. DAVIS, D. BAGGETT, AND G. G. WAGNER. 1989. Serological prevalence and isolation of *Babesia odocoilei* among white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) in Texas and Oklahoma. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 25: 194–201.
- ——, A. A. KOCAN, R. W. BARKER, AND G. G. WAGNER. 1990. Transmission of *Babesia odocoilei* in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) by *Ixodes scapularis* (Acari: Ixodidae). Journal of Wildlife Diseases 26: 390–391.
- —, J. MORITZ, D. BAGGETT, S. MAGYAR, AND G. G. WAGNER. 1992. Monthly incidence of *Theileria cervi* and seroconversion to *Babesia odocoilei* in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) in Texas. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 28: 457–459.

Received for publication 21 April 1999.